

TABLE 1—ESTIMATES OF ANNUALIZED HOUR BURDEN

Type of respondent	Instrument	Number of respondents	Responses per respondent	Total number of responses	Burden per response (hours)	Annual burden (hours)	Hourly wage rate (\$)	Total cost (\$)
<b>State/Tribal Cross-Site Evaluation Instruments</b>								
Project Evaluator .....	Prevention Strategies Inventory—State Tribal (PSI-ST).	61	4	244	0.75	183	37.82	6,922
Provider (Trainees) ....	Training Utilization and Preservation Survey (TUP-S).	2,000	1	2,000	0.16	320	21.35	6,832
Adolescents (Trainees).	Training Utilization and Preservation Survey (TUP-S).	300	1	300	0.16	48	7.25	348
Provider (Trainees) ....	Training Utilization and Preservation Survey (TUP-S): 6-Month Follow-up.	467	1	1,467	0.16	75	21.35	1,602
Provider (Stakeholder)	Referral Network Survey (RNS) .....	1,426	1	1,426	0.67	956	21.35	20,411
Project Evaluator .....	Coalition Profile (CP) .....	33	1	33	0.33	11	37.82	417
Provider (Stakeholder)	Coalition Survey (CS) .....	426	1	426	0.67	286	21.35	6,107
Project Evaluator .....	Early Identification, Referral and Follow-up Analysis (EIRF).	61	4	244	5	1,220	37.82	46,141
Project Evaluator .....	Early Identification, Referral and Follow-up Screening Form (EIRF-S).	27	4	108	1	108	37.82	4,085
Project Evaluator .....	Training Activity Summary Page (TASP-ST)	61	4	244	.33	81	37.82	3,064

TABLE 2—ANNUALIZED SUMMARY TABLE

Respondents	Number of respondents	Responses/ respondent	Total responses	Total annualized hour burden
<b>State/Tribal Cross-Site Evaluation Instruments</b>				
Project Evaluators .....	243	17	873	1,603
Adolescents (Trainees) .....	300	1	300	48
Provider (Trainees) .....	2,467	2	3,467	395
Provider (Stakeholder) .....	1,852	2	1,852	1,242
<b>Campus Cross-Site Evaluation Instruments</b>				
Project Evaluators .....	180	9	720	280
Students .....	7,202	5	8,802	3,709
Provider Trainees .....	37,920	1	37,920	6,447
<b>Total</b>				
Total .....	50,164		53,934	13,724

The estimate reflects the average annual number of respondents, the average annual number of responses, the time it will take for each response, and the average annual burden. While the different cohorts of grantees finish their grants at different times, it is assumed that new cohorts will replace previous cohorts. Therefore, the number of grantees in each year is assumed to be constant.

Written comments and recommendations concerning the proposed information collection should be sent by September 16, 2013 to the SAMHSA Desk Officer at the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget (OMB). To ensure timely receipt of comments, and to avoid potential delays in OMB's receipt and processing of mail sent through the U.S. Postal Service, commenters are encouraged to submit their comments to OMB via email to: [OIRA\\_Submission@omb.eop.gov](mailto:OIRA_Submission@omb.eop.gov).

Although commenters are encouraged to send their comments via email, commenters may also fax their comments to: 202-395-7285. Commenters may also mail them to: Office of Management and Budget, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, New Executive Office Building, Room 10102, Washington, DC 20503.

**Summer King,**  
Statistician.

[FR Doc. 2013-19985 Filed 8-15-13; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4162-20-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY**

[Docket No. DHS-2013-0023]

**Statewide Communication Interoperability Plan Template and Annual Progress Report**

**AGENCY:** National Protection and Programs Directorate, DHS.

**ACTION:** 60-day notice and request for comments; New Information Collection Request: 1670-0017.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Homeland Security (DHS), National Protection and Programs Directorate (NPPD), Office of Cybersecurity and Communications (CS&C), Office of Emergency Communications (OEC), will submit the following Information Collection Request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and

clearance in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–13, 44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

**DATES:** Comments are encouraged and will be accepted until October 15, 2013. This process is conducted in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.1.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments and questions about this Information Collection Request should be forwarded to DHS/NPPD/CS&C/OEC, 245 Murray Lane SW., Mail Stop 0640, Arlington, VA 20598–0640. Emailed requests should go to Serena Maxey, [serena.maxey@hq.dhs.gov](mailto:serena.maxey@hq.dhs.gov). Written comments should reach the contact person listed no later than October 15, 2013. Comments must be identified by “DHS–2013–0023” and may be submitted by one of the following methods:

- *Federal eRulemaking Portal:* <http://www.regulations.gov>.

- *Email:* Include the docket number in the subject line of the message.

*Instructions:* All submissions received must include the words “Department of Homeland Security” and the docket number for this action. Comments received will be posted without alteration at <http://www.regulations.gov>, including any personal information provided.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Department of Homeland Security, Office of Emergency Communications (OEC), formed under Title XVIII of the Homeland Security Act of 2002, 6 U.S.C. 571 et seq., is required, pursuant to 6 U.S.C. 572, to develop the National Emergency Communications Plan (NECP), which includes identification of goals, timeframes, and appropriate measures to achieve interoperable communications capabilities. In 2010, the Statewide Communication Interoperability Plan (SCIP) Implementation Report was cleared in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 and will expire in September of 2013. The SCIP Template and Annual Progress Report will replace the previous SCIP Template and SCIP Implementation Report. These updated documents (SCIP Template and Annual Progress Report) streamline the information collected by OEC to track the progress states are making in implementing milestones and demonstrating goals of the NECP. The process for completing the SCIP Template and Annual Progress Report will not change.

The SCIP Template and Annual Progress Report will assist states in their strategic planning for interoperable and emergency communications while demonstrating each state’s achievements

and challenges in accomplishing optimal interoperability for emergency responders. In addition, certain government grants may require states to update their SCIP Templates and Annual Progress Reports to include broadband efforts in order to receive funding for interoperable and emergency communications. Statewide Interoperability Coordinators (SWICs) will be responsible for the development and incorporation of input from their respective stakeholders and governance bodies into their SCIP Template and Annual Progress Report. SWICs will complete and submit the reports directly to OEC through unclassified electronic submission.

OMB is particularly interested in comments that:

1. Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

2. Evaluate the accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

3. Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

4. Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submissions of responses.

#### Analysis

*Agency:* Department of Homeland Security, National Protection and Programs Directorate, Office of Cybersecurity and Communications, Office of Emergency Communications.

*Title:* Statewide Communication Interoperability Plan Template and Annual Progress Report.

*OMB Number:* 1670–0017.

*Frequency:* Annually.

*Affected Public:* Statewide Interoperability Coordinators.

*Number of Respondents:* 56 respondents.

*Estimated Time per Respondent:* 10 hours.

*Total Burden Hours:* 560 annual burden hours.

*Total Burden Cost (capital/startup):* \$0.

*Total Recordkeeping Burden:* \$0.

*Total Burden Cost (operating/maintaining):* \$28,918.40.

Dated: August 12, 2013.

**Scott Libby,**

*Deputy Chief Information Officer, National Protection and Programs Directorate, Department of Homeland Security.*

[FR Doc. 2013–20025 Filed 8–15–13; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 9910–9P–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

### Transportation Security Administration

#### Intent To Request Renewal From OMB of One Current Public Collection of Information: Sensitive Security Information Threat Assessments

**AGENCY:** Transportation Security Administration, DHS.

**ACTION:** 60-day Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Transportation Security Administration (TSA) invites public comment on one currently approved Information Collection Request (ICR), Office of Management and Budget (OMB) control number 1652–0042, abstracted below that we will submit to OMB for renewal in compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA). The ICR describes the nature of the information collection and its expected burden. The collection involves TSA determining whether the party or representative of a party seeking access to sensitive security information (SSI) in a civil proceeding in federal court may be granted access to the SSI.

**DATES:** Send your comments by October 15, 2013.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments may be emailed to [TSAPRA@dhs.gov](mailto:TSAPRA@dhs.gov) or delivered to the TSA PRA Officer, Office of Information Technology (OIT), TSA–11, Transportation Security Administration, 601 South 12th Street, Arlington, VA 20598–6011.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Susan L. Perkins at the above address, or by telephone (571) 227–3398.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Comments Invited

In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.), an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. The ICR documentation is available at <http://www.reginfo.gov>. Therefore, in preparation for OMB review and approval of the following information collection, TSA is soliciting comments to—

(1) Evaluate whether the proposed information requirement is necessary for