

to the zone under nonprivileged foreign status (19 CFR 146.42) is limited to 6.5 million square yards.

2. H.M. Richards, Inc., must admit all foreign upholstery fabrics other than micro-denier suede upholstery fabrics finished with a caustic soda solution to the zone under domestic (duty-paid) status (19 CFR 146.43).

3. H.M. Richards, Inc., shall submit supplemental annual report data and information for the purpose of monitoring by the FTZ Staff.

Dated: August 7, 2013.

Andrew McGilvray,
Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2013-19626 Filed 8-12-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[B-28-2013]

Foreign-Trade Zone 158—Vicksburg/Jackson, Mississippi; Authorization of Production Activity; Extension of Production Authority; Lane Furniture Industries, Inc. (Upholstered Furniture); Belden, Saltillo, and Verona, Mississippi

On February 28, 2013, the Greater Mississippi Foreign-Trade Zone, Inc., grantee of FTZ 158, submitted a notification of proposed production activity to the Foreign-Trade Zones (FTZ) Board on behalf of Lane Furniture Industries, Inc., in Belden, Saltillo, and Verona, Mississippi.

The notification was processed in accordance with the regulations of the FTZ Board (15 CFR part 400), including notice in the **Federal Register** inviting public comment (78 FR 20889, 4-8-2013). The FTZ Board has determined that no further review of the activity is warranted at this time. The production activity described in the notification is authorized and the authority is extended on an indefinite basis, subject to the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations, including Section 400.14, and the following restrictions:

1. The annual volume of foreign micro-denier suede upholstery fabric finished with a caustic soda solution that may be admitted to the zone under nonprivileged foreign status (19 CFR 146.42) is limited to 6.5 million square yards.

2. Lane Furniture Industries, Inc., must admit all foreign upholstery fabrics other than micro-denier suede upholstery fabrics finished with a caustic soda solution to the zone under domestic (duty-paid) status (19 CFR 146.43).

3. Lane Furniture Industries, Inc., shall submit supplemental annual report data and information for the purpose of monitoring by the FTZ Staff.

Dated: August 7, 2013.

Andrew McGilvray,
Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2013-19624 Filed 8-12-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[Order No. 1910]

Reorganization/Expansion of Foreign-Trade Zone 26 (Expansion of Service Area) Under Alternative Site Framework; Atlanta, Georgia

Pursuant to its authority under the Foreign-Trade Zones Act of June 18, 1934, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a-81u), the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) adopts the following Order:

Whereas, the Board adopted the alternative site framework (ASF) (15 CFR 400.2(c)) as an option for the establishment or reorganization of zones;

Whereas, the Georgia Foreign-Trade Zone, Inc., grantee of Foreign-Trade Zone 26, submitted an application to the Board (FTZ Docket B-52-2012, docketed 07-17-12; amended 08-22-12) for authority to expand the service area of the zone to include Columbia County, Georgia, as described in the application, adjacent to the Columbia, South Carolina Customs and Border Protection port of entry;

Whereas, notice inviting public comment was given in the **Federal Register** (77 FR 43806, 7-26-12; 77 FR 52310, 8-29-12) and the application has been processed pursuant to the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations; and,

Whereas, the Board adopts the findings and recommendations of the examiner's report, and finds that the requirements of the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations are satisfied;

Now, therefore, the Board hereby orders:

The application to reorganize FTZ 26 to expand the service area under the ASF is approved, subject to the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations, including Section 400.13, and to the Board's standard 2,000-acre activation limit for the zone.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 2nd day of August 2013.

Paul Piquado,

Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Import Administration, Alternate Chairman, Foreign-Trade Zones Board.

Attest:

Andrew McGilvray,
Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2013-19627 Filed 8-12-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-583-008]

Certain Circular Welded Carbon Steel Pipes and Tubes From Taiwan: Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2012-2013

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.
SUMMARY: On June 28, 2013, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published a notice of initiation of an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain circular welded carbon steel pipes and tubes from Taiwan. The period of review (POR) is May 1, 2012, through April 30, 2013, and the review covers six respondents. Based on a withdrawal of the requests for review of certain companies from United States Steel Corporation (Petitioner), we are now rescinding this administrative review with respect to five of those respondents.

DATES: *Effective Date:* August 13, 2013.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Steve Bezirgianian or Robert James, AD/CVD Operations, Office 7, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-1131 or (202) 482-0649, respectively.

Background

On June 28, 2013, the Department published in the **Federal Register** a notice of initiation of an antidumping duty administrative review of the order on certain circular welded carbon steel pipes and tubes from Taiwan covering the period May 1, 2012, through April 30, 2013.¹ The review covers six companies: Chung Hung Steel Corp., Far East Machinery Co., Ltd., Kao Hsing

¹ See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Request for Revocation in Part*, 78 FR 38924 (June 28, 2013).

Chang Iron & Steel Corp., Shin Yang Steel Co., Ltd., Tension Steel Industries Co., Ltd., and Yieh Phui Enterprise Co., Ltd. Petitioner requested a review of all six companies. Shin Yang Steel Co., Ltd. requested a review of itself.

On July 25, 2013, Petitioner withdrew its request for an administrative review for all of the companies except Shin Yang Steel Co., Ltd.

Partial Rescission

The applicable regulation, 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), states that if a party that requested an administrative review withdraws the request within 90 days of the publication of the notice of initiation of the review, the Secretary will rescind the review. Petitioner withdrew its review request with respect to five companies within the 90-day deadline and, therefore, the withdrawal is timely.

Accordingly, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), we are rescinding this review with respect to the following five companies: (1) Chung Hung Steel Corp.; (2) Far East Machinery Co., Ltd.; (3) Kao Hsing Chang Iron & Steel Corp.; (4) Tension Steel Industries Co., Ltd.; and (5) Yieh Phui Enterprise Co., Ltd. This review will continue with respect to Shin Yang Steel Co., Ltd.

Assessment Instructions

The Department will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. For the companies for which this review is rescinded, antidumping duties shall be assessed at rates equal to the cash deposit of estimated antidumping duties required at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(c)(1)(i). The Department intends to issue appropriate assessment instructions directly to CBP 15 days after publication of this notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers for whom this review is being rescinded of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of the antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Orders

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (APOs) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305, which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with section 777(i)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: August 7, 2013.

Christian Marsh,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. 2013-19601 Filed 8-12-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C-475-819]

Certain Pasta From Italy: Preliminary Results of the Countervailing Duty Administrative Review; 2011

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce ("Department") is conducting an administrative review of the countervailing duty order on certain pasta from Italy. The period of review ("POR") is January 1, 2011, through December 31, 2011. We preliminarily determine that Molino e Pastificio Tomasello S.p.A. ("Tomasello") received countervailable subsidies during the POR, and that Delverde Industrie Alimentari S.p.A. ("Delverde") and Valdigrano di Flavio Pagani S.r.l. ("Valdigrano") received *de minimis* countervailable subsidies during the POR. Interested parties are invited to comment on the preliminary results.

DATES: *Effective Date:* August 13, 2013.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Joseph Shuler or Christopher Siepmann, AD/CVD Operations, Office 1, Import Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230;

telephone: (202) 482-1293 and (202) 482-7958, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Scope of the Order

The scope of the order consists of certain pasta from Italy. The merchandise subject to the order is currently classifiable under items 1901.90.90.95 and 1902.19.20 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS"). Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise is dispositive. A full description of the scope of the order is contained in the "Decision Memorandum for Preliminary Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review: Certain Pasta from Italy," from Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, to Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, dated August 2, 2013 ("Preliminary Decision Memorandum"), and hereby adopted by this notice.

The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Import Administration's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System ("IA ACCESS"). IA ACCESS is available to registered users at <http://iaaccess.trade.gov> and in the Central Records Unit ("CRU"), room 7046 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the Internet at <http://www.trade.gov/ia/>. The signed Preliminary Decision Memorandum and the electronic versions of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Methodology

The Department has conducted this review in accordance with section 751(a)(1)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"). For each of the subsidy programs found countervailable, we preliminarily determine that there is a subsidy, *i.e.*, a government-provided financial contribution that gives rise to a benefit to the recipient, and that the subsidy is specific. *See* sections 771(5)(B) and (D) of the Act regarding financial contribution; section 771(5)(E) of the Act regarding benefit; and section 771(5A) of the Act regarding specificity. For a full description of the methodology underlying our conclusions, *see* Preliminary Decision Memorandum.