

Act and the Federal Advisory Committee Act of 1972, the U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management (BLM) Central California Resource Advisory Council (RAC) will meet as indicated below.

**DATES:** A meeting will be held Wednesday, Aug. 21, from 10 a.m. to 1 p.m., by video teleconference to discuss renewable energy projects. Members of the public are welcome to attend.

Time for public comment is reserved from noon to 12:15 p.m. Members of the public can attend at the following locations: BLM Bakersfield Field Office, 3801 Pegasus Drive, Bakersfield; Hollister Field Office, 20 Hamilton Court, Hollister; Bishop Field Office, 351 Pacu Lane, Bishop; Ukiah Field Office, 2550 N. State St., Ukiah; California State Office, 2800 Cottage Way, Sacramento.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** BLM Central California District Manager Este Stifel, (916) 978-4626; or BLM Public Affairs Officer David Christy, (916) 941-3146.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The 12-member council advises the Secretary of the Interior, through the BLM, on a variety of planning and management issues associated with public land management in Central California. At this meeting, agenda topics will include an update on renewable energy projects. Additional ongoing business will be discussed by the council. All meetings are open to the public. Members of the public may present written comments to the council. Each formal council meeting will have time allocated for public comments. Depending on the number of persons wishing to speak, and the time available, the time for individual comments may be limited. Individuals who plan to attend and need special assistance, such as sign language interpretation and other reasonable accommodations, should contact the BLM as provided above.

Dated: July 22, 2013.

**David Christy,**  
Public Affairs Officer.

[FR Doc. 2013-18852 Filed 8-2-13; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-40-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### National Park Service

[NPS-NER-NEO-13240; PPNEGATE00/PMP00UP05.YP0000]

#### General Management Plan, Draft Environmental Impact Statement, Gateway National Recreation Area, New Jersey and New York

**AGENCY:** National Park Service, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of Availability.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, 42 U.S.C. 4332(2)(C), the National Park Service (NPS) is releasing a Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the General Management Plan (GMP), Gateway National Recreation Area (Gateway), New York. The draft describes and analyzes several alternatives to guide the management of the site over the next 20 years. The NPS preferred alternative incorporates various management prescriptions to ensure access to and protection and enjoyment of Gateway's resources.

**DATES:** We will accept comments for a period of 60 days following publication of the Environmental Protection Agency's notice of availability in the **Federal Register**. We will announce the dates, times, and locations of public meetings on the DEIS/GMP through the park's Web page (<http://www.nps.gov/gate>) and the NPS Planning, Environment, and Public Comment (PEPC) Web site (<http://www.parkplanning.nps.gov/projectHome.cfm?projectID=16091>) and media outlets.

**ADDRESSES:** Electronic copies of the DEIS/GMP will be available for public review at <http://www.parkplanning.nps.gov/projectHome.cfm?projectID=16091>. A limited number of printed copies will be available upon request by contacting the Superintendent's office.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Acting Superintendent Suzanne McCarthy, Gateway National Recreation Area, 210 New York Avenue, Staten Island, New York 10305 or telephone at (718) 354-4663.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The document describes the no-action alternative and two action alternatives for future management of Gateway, the environment that would be affected by the alternative management actions, and the environmental consequences of implementing the alternatives.

Alternative A is a continuation of current management and trends. The park's enabling legislation and current

GMP would continue to guide park management. Gateway would manage park resources and visitor use as it does today, with no major change in direction.

Alternative B is the NPS Preferred Alternative. This alternative provides the widest range of activities and most recreation opportunities in dispersed locations throughout the park. New connections would be forged with park lands and communities adjacent to Gateway and nearby. This alternative offers the most instructional programming and skills development and draws people into the park to increase awareness and enjoyment of Gateway's historic resources and the natural environment. Alternative C provides the most opportunities for independent exploration and experiences that immerse visitors into natural areas, historic sites, and landscapes. This alternative increases the visibility, enjoyment, and protection of coastal resources and highlights preservation efforts as part of interpretation and education activities and promotes hands-on learning and outdoor skills.

Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, please be aware that your entire comment -including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Dated: July 31, 2013.

**Gay Vietzke,**

Acting Regional Director, Northeast Region,  
National Park Service.

[FR Doc. 2013-18862 Filed 8-2-13; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-PM-P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-889]

### Certain Wireless Devices, Including Mobile Phones and Tablets Institution of Investigation

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that a complaint was filed with the U.S. International Trade Commission on June 27, 2013, under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, on behalf of Pragmatus Mobile,

LLC of Alexandria, Virginia. A supplement to the complaint was filed on July 16, 2013. The complaint alleges violations of section 337 based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain wireless devices, including mobile phones and tablets by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent No. 8,149,124 (“the ’124 patent”) and U.S. Patent No. 8,466,795 (“the ’795 patent”). The complaint further alleges that an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337.

The complainant requests that the Commission institute an investigation and, after the investigation, issue a limited exclusion order and cease and desist orders.

**ADDRESSES:** The complaint, except for any confidential information contained therein, is available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Room 112, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–2000. Hearing impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at (202) 205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** The Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone (202) 205–2560.

**Authority:** The authority for institution of this investigation is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and in section 210.10 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10 (2013).

**Scope of Investigation:** Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on July 29, 2013, *ordered that*—

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain wireless devices,

including mobile phones and tablets by reason of infringement of one or more of claims 1–5, 7–17, and 19–21 of the ’124 patent and claims 1–33 of the ’795 patent, and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337;

(2) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

(a) The complainant is:

Pragmatus Mobile, LLC, 601 King Street, Suite 200, Alexandria, VA 22314.

(b) The respondents are the following entities alleged to be in violation of section 337, and are the parties upon which the complaint is to be served:

Pantech Co., Ltd., 1–2, DMC Sangam-don Mapo-gu, Seoul, Republic of Korea;

Pantech Wireless, Inc., 5607 Glenridge Drive, Suite 500, Atlanta, GA 30342.

(c) The Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Suite 401, Washington, DC 20436; and

(3) For the investigation so instituted, the Chief Administrative Law Judge, U.S. International Trade Commission, shall designate the presiding Administrative Law Judge.

Responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondents in accordance with section 210.13 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to 19 CFR 201.16(e) and 210.13(a), such responses will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the Commission of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of a respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of an exclusion order or a cease and desist order or both directed against the respondent.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: July 30, 2013.

**Lisa R. Barton,**

*Acting Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2013–18735 Filed 8–2–13; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020–02–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Under the Clean Water Act, Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act, and Oil Pollution Act

Notice is hereby given that on July 31, 2013, a proposed Consent Decree in *United States v. Delta Fuels, Inc. and Knight Enterprises, Inc.*, Civil Action No. 3:13–CV–00455, was lodged with the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio.

In this action, the United States brought claims against Delta Fuels, Inc. and Knight Enterprises, Inc. (“Defendants”) alleging violations of Sections 311(c) and (j) of the Clean Water Act (“CWA”), 33 U.S.C. 1321(c) and (j); Section 312(a) of the Emergency Planning and Community Right-To-Know Act of 1986 (“EPCRA”), 42 U.S.C. 11022(a); and Section 1002(a) of the Oil Pollution Act, 33 U.S.C. 2702(a). The allegations in the Complaint relate to a November 25, 2005 overflow of approximately 103,000 gallons of gasoline (the “Spill”) from an aboveground storage tank at a bulk petroleum storage and distribution facility (the “Facility”) owned by Delta Fuels, Inc. The United States spent approximately \$4,354,768 from the Oil Spill Liability Trust Fund responding to the Spill. In the Complaint, the United States sought reimbursement of these response costs as well as a civil penalty for alleged CWA and EPCRA violations.

The proposed Consent Decree resolves all pending claims against Defendants in this action on an ability-to-pay basis. Under the terms of the proposed Consent Decree, Defendants will reimburse the United States \$1,747,500 plus interest in four annual installments. Defendants will also pay a civil penalty of \$582,500 plus interest in two installments. Finally, Defendants will conduct extensive injunctive relief at the Facility designed to ensure environmental compliance.

The Department of Justice will receive for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication comments relating to the Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Acting Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and either emailed to [pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov](mailto:pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov) or mailed to P.O. Box 7611, U.S.