

Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000.

General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at United States International Trade Commission (USITC) at *USITC*.<sup>2</sup> The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's Electronic Document Information System (EDIS) at *EDIS*.<sup>3</sup> Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Commission has received a complaint and a submission pursuant to section 210.8(b) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure filed on behalf of the Clorox Company on July 25, 2013. The complaint alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1337) in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain laundry and household cleaning products and related packaging. The complainant names as respondents Industrias Alen, S.A. de C.V. of Mexico; and Alen USA, LLC of TX. The complainant requests that the Commission issue a limited exclusion order, cease and desist orders, and a bond upon respondents' alleged infringing products during the 60-day Presidential review period pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1337(j).

Proposed respondents, other interested parties, and members of the public are invited to file comments, not to exceed five (5) pages in length, inclusive of attachments, on any public interest issues raised by the complaint or section 210.8(b) filing. Comments should address whether issuance of the relief specifically requested by the complainant in this investigation would affect the public health and welfare in the United States, competitive conditions in the United States economy, the production of like or directly competitive articles in the United States, or United States consumers.

In particular, the Commission is interested in comments that:

- (i) Explain how the articles potentially subject to the requested remedial orders are used in the United States;
- (ii) Identify any public health, safety, or welfare concerns in the United States

relating to the requested remedial orders;

(iii) Identify like or directly competitive articles that complainant, its licensees, or third parties make in the United States which could replace the subject articles if they were to be excluded;

(iv) Indicate whether complainant, complainant's licensees, and/or third party suppliers have the capacity to replace the volume of articles potentially subject to the requested exclusion order and/or a cease and desist order within a commercially reasonable time; and

(v) Explain how the requested remedial orders would impact United States consumers.

Written submissions must be filed no later than by close of business, eight calendar days after the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**. There will be further opportunities for comment on the public interest after the issuance of any final initial determination in this investigation.

Persons filing written submissions must file the original document electronically on or before the deadlines stated above and submit 8 true paper copies to the Office of the Secretary by noon the next day pursuant to section 210.4(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.4(f)). Submissions should refer to the docket number ("Docket No. 2969") in a prominent place on the cover page and/or the first page. (See Handbook for Electronic Filing Procedures, *Electronic Filing Procedures*<sup>4</sup>). Persons with questions regarding filing should contact the Secretary (202-205-2000).

Any person desiring to submit a document to the Commission in confidence must request confidential treatment. All such requests should be directed to the Secretary to the Commission and must include a full statement of the reasons why the Commission should grant such treatment. See 19 CFR 201.6. Documents for which confidential treatment by the Commission is properly sought will be treated accordingly. All nonconfidential written submissions will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Secretary and on *EDIS*.<sup>5</sup>

This action is taken under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and of sections 201.10 and 210.8(c) of

the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 201.10, 210.8(c)).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: July 25, 2013.

**Lisa R. Barton,**

*Acting Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2013-18343 Filed 7-30-13; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020-02-P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-830]

### Certain Dimmable Compact Fluorescent Lamps and Products Containing Same; Termination of an Investigation

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined to grant motions to terminate the above-captioned investigation as to the two remaining respondents on the basis of settlement and withdrawal of the complaint, resulting in termination of the investigation in its entirety.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Sidney A. Rosenzweig, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 708-2532. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Commission instituted this investigation on February 27, 2012, based on a complaint filed by Andrzej Bobel and Neptun Light, Inc., both of Lake Forest, Illinois (collectively, "Neptun"). 77 FR 11587 (Feb. 27, 2012). The complaint alleged violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended 19 U.S.C. 1337, by reason of the infringement of certain claims of United States Patent

<sup>2</sup> United States International Trade Commission (USITC): <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

<sup>3</sup> Electronic Document Information System (EDIS): <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

<sup>4</sup> Handbook for Electronic Filing Procedures: [http://www.usitc.gov/secretary/fed\\_reg\\_notices/rules/handbook\\_on\\_electronic\\_filing.pdf](http://www.usitc.gov/secretary/fed_reg_notices/rules/handbook_on_electronic_filing.pdf).

<sup>5</sup> Electronic Document Information System (EDIS): <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

Nos. 5,434,480 (“the ‘480 patent”) and 8,035,318 (“the ‘318 patent”). The complaint named numerous respondents, many of whom have been terminated from the investigation on the basis of settlement agreement, consent order, or withdrawal of the complaint. By the time of the Administrative Law Judge’s final Initial Determination (“ID”), the remaining respondents were: Technical Consumer Products, Inc. of Aurora, Ohio; Shanghai Qiangling Electronics Co., Ltd. of Shanghai, China; Zhejiang Qiang Ling Electronic Co. Ltd. of Zhenjiang, China (collectively, “TCP”); U Lighting America Inc. of San Jose, California (“ULA”); and Golden U Lighting Manufacturing (Shenzhen) of Shenzhen, China (“Golden U”). Claim 9 of the ‘480 patent has been asserted against ULA and Golden U, and claims 1 and 12 of the ‘318 patent have been asserted against TCP.

On February 27, 2013, the ALJ issued his final Initial Determination (“ID”). The ID found Golden U in default, but found no violation of section 337 as to all remaining respondents on the basis of Neptun’s failure to satisfy the economic prong of the domestic industry requirement of section 337. The ALJ also found that respondent TCP’s accused products do not infringe the asserted claims of the ‘318 patent.

On March 12, 2013, Neptun filed a petition for review of the ID; TCP and ULA each filed a contingent petition for review of the ID. On March 20, 2013, Neptun opposed TCP’s and ULA’s petitions, and TCP and ULA each opposed Neptun’s petition. On April 3, 2013, the Commission extended the whether-to-review deadline and the target date by approximately six weeks. Notice (Apr. 3, 2013).

On June 10, 2013, Neptun and TCP filed an unopposed joint motion to terminate the investigation as to TCP on the basis of a settlement agreement between Neptun and TCP. On June 12, 2013, the Commission issued a notice terminating the investigation as to TCP. That notice also determined to review, *inter alia*, the ALJ’s finding that Neptun did not demonstrate the existence of a domestic industry. On June 25, 2013, Neptun and ULA filed briefs in response to the Commission notice. Neptun and ULA subsequently requested extensions of time for the filing of replies in order to enable them to submit a motion terminating the investigation against ULA.

On July 10, 2013, Neptun and ULA moved to terminate the investigation against ULA on the basis of a settlement agreement. On July 15, 2013, Neptun moved to terminate the investigation against Golden U on the basis of

withdrawal of the complaint. Termination against these two respondents results in termination of the investigation. The Commission has determined that termination as to the remaining respondents is in the public interest, and the Commission has determined to grant both motions. The Commission thereby terminates the investigation.

The authority for the Commission’s determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in sections 210.21 and 210.42–46 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.21, 210.42–46).

By order of the Commission.  
Issued: July 26, 2013.

**Lisa R. Barton,**  
*Acting Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2013–18392 Filed 7–30–13; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020–02–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Notice of Lodging of Proposed Consent Decree Under the Clean Water Act

On July 23, 2013, the Department of Justice lodged a proposed Consent Decree with the United States District Court for the Western District of Texas in the lawsuit entitled *United States and State of Texas v. San Antonio Water System*, Civil Action No. 5:13–cv–00666.

This civil action for injunctive relief and civil penalties was initiated pursuant to Sections 301 and 309 of the Clean Water Act (“CWA”), 33 U.S.C. §§ 1311 and 1319, and provisions of the Texas Water Code (“TWC”) against the San Antonio Water System (“SAWS”), San Antonio, Bexar County, Texas, for: (a) Discharges of pollutants, including discharges from unpermitted point sources, in violation of Section 301 of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1311, and provisions of the TWC; and (b) violations of effluent limitations and other conditions established in National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (also known as Texas Pollutant Discharge Elimination System or TPDES) permits issued to SAWS. Under the proposed Consent Decree, SAWS has agreed to implement comprehensive injunctive relief measures designed to address and eliminate illegal discharges or sanitary sewer overflows and violations of effluent limits. SAWS will pay a \$2.6 million civil penalty, which will be split between the United States and the State.

The publication of this notice opens a period for public comment on the Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Acting Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and should refer to *United States and State of Texas v. San Antonio Water System*, D.J. Ref. No. 90–5–1–1–09215. All comments must be submitted no later than thirty (30) days after the publication date of this notice. Comments may be submitted either by email or by mail:

<i>To submit comments:</i>	<i>Send them to:</i>
By e-mail .....	<i>pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov.</i>
By mail .....	Acting Assistant Attorney General, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, D.C. 20044–7611.

During the public comment period, the Consent Decree may be examined and downloaded at this Justice Department Web site: [http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/Consent\\_Decrees.html](http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/Consent_Decrees.html). We will provide a paper copy of the Consent Decree upon written request and payment of reproduction costs. Please mail your request and payment to: Consent Decree Library, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044–7611.

Please enclose a check or money order for \$21.50 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the United States Treasury for a copy of the Consent Decree without the Appendices, or a check or money order for \$39.00 for a copy of the Consent Decree with Appendices A–H.

**Robert E. Maher, Jr.,**  
*Assistant Section Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.*

[FR Doc. 2013–18404 Filed 7–30–13; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410–15–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Drug Enforcement Administration

#### Importer of Controlled Substances; Notice of Application; Research Triangle Institute

Pursuant to Title 21 Code of Federal Regulations 1301.34 (a), this is notice that on March 20, 2013, Research Triangle Institute, Poonam G. Pande, Ph.D. RPH, RAC, Hermann Building, East Institute Drive, P.O. Box 12194, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27709, made application by renewal to the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) for registration as an importer of