comment is not required with regard to the delegations of authority because they relate solely to Commission organization, procedure, or practice.<sup>19</sup>

Section 23(a)(2) of the Exchange Act requires the Commission to consider the competitive effects of rulemaking under the Exchange Act.<sup>20</sup> Further, section 3(f) of the Exchange Act requires the Commission, when engaging in rulemaking where it is required to consider or determine whether an action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, to consider, in addition to the protection of investors, whether the action will promote efficiency, competition, and capital formation.<sup>21</sup> Rescinding the rules related to the SIBHC program will not create any competitive advantages or disadvantages, or affect efficiency, competition, and capital formation because the Commission is merely rescinding rules that no longer have any legal effect.

#### **III. Paperwork Reduction Act**

Certain provisions of Rules 17i–1 through 17i–8 contained "collection of information" requirements within the meaning of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 ("PRA").<sup>22</sup> Consequently, the Commission submitted these collections of information to the Office of Management and Budget ("OMB") for review in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 3507(d) and 5 CFR 1320.11.

The titles for the collections of information are: (i) Rule 17i-2 Notice of Intention to be Supervised by the Commission as a Supervised Investment Bank Holding Company; (ii) Rule 17i–3 Withdrawal from Supervision as a Supervised Investment Bank Holding Company; (iii) Rule 17i–4 Internal Risk Management Control Systems **Requirements for Supervised** Investment Bank Holding Companies; (iv) Rule 17i–5 Record Creation, Maintenance, and Access Requirements for Supervised Investment Bank Holding Companies; (v) Rule 17i-6 Reporting Requirements for Supervised Investment Bank Holding Companies; and (vi) Rule 17i-8 Notification **Requirements for Supervised** Investment Bank Holding Companies. OMB approved these collections of information and assigned them OMB Control Nos. 3235-0592, 3235-0593, 3235-0594, 3235-0590, 3235-0588, and 3235-0591, respectively.

As noted above, the rules promulgated under section 17(i)

established a framework pursuant to which an investment bank holding company could elect to become supervised by the Commission as an SIBHC, as well as recordkeeping and reporting requirements for SIBHCs. Because the Commission is rescinding this regulatory framework, the Commission has discontinued the OMB collections of information associated with it.

As discussed above, to eliminate duplicative recordkeeping and reporting requirements, broker-dealers affiliated with an SIBHC were exempt from Rules 17h–1T and 17h–2T. Any broker-dealer previously relying on the SIBHC exemptions in Rules 17h-1T and 17h-2T (and thus required to comply with Rules 17i–1 through 17i–8) has, since July 21, 2011, been required to comply with Rules 17h–1T and 17h–2T. One broker-dealer that elected to use the SIBHC rules now is required to comply with Rules 17h-1T and 17h-2T. The Commission has accounted for this increased burden in connection with the recent notice seeking comment on the existing collection of information provided for in Rules 17h-1T and 17h-2T.23

# IV. Statutory Authority and Text of Amendments

The Commission is removing regulations pursuant to authority provided by section 23(a) of the Exchange Act.

### List of Subjects

### 17 CFR Part 200

Administrative practice and procedure; Authority delegations (Government agencies).

# 17 CFR Part 240

Brokers; Reporting and recordkeeping requirements; Securities.

#### **Text of Amendments**

For the reasons set out in the preamble, Title 17, Chapter II of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

### PART 200—ORGANIZATION; CONDUCT AND ETHICS; AND INFORMATION AND REQUESTS

# Subpart A—Organization and Program Management

■ 1. The authority citation for Part 200, Subpart A, continues to read, in part, as follows: Authority: 15 U.S.C. 770, 77s, 77sss, 78d, 78d–1, 78d–2, 78w, 78l/(d), 78mm, 80a–37, 80b–11, and 7202, unless otherwise noted.

# §200.30-3 [Amended]

■ 2. Section 200.30–3 is amended by removing and reserving paragraphs (a)(77), (a)(78), and (a)(79).

# PART 240—GENERAL RULES AND REGULATIONS, SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

■ 3. The authority citation for Part 240 continues to read, in part, as follows:

Authority: 15 U.S.C. 77c, 77d, 77g, 77j, 77s, 77z–2, 77z–3, 77eee, 77ggg, 77nnn, 77sss, 77ttt, 78c, 78c–3, 78d, 78e, 78f, 78g, 78i, 78j, 78j–1, 78k, 78k–1, 78l, 78m, 78n, 78n–1, 78o, 78o–4, 78p, 78q, 78s, 78u–5, 78w, 78x, 78ll, 78mm, 80a–20, 80a–23, 80a–29, 80a–37, 80b–3, 80b–4, 80b–11, and 7201 *et seq.*; and 18 U.S.C. 1350, 12 U.S.C. 5221(e)(3), and sec. 939A, Pub. L. 111–203, 124 Stat. 1376, (2010), unless otherwise noted.

## §240.17h-1T [Amended]

- 4. Section 240.17h–1T is amended by:
- a. Removing paragraph (d)(5); and
- b. Redesignating paragraph (d)(6) as paragraph (d)(5).

#### §240.17h-2T [Amended]

- 5. Section 240.17h–2T is amended by:
- a. Removing paragraph (b)(5); and
- b. Redesignating paragraph (b)(6) as paragraph (b)(5).

# §§ 240.17i-1-240.17i-8 [Removed]

■ 6. Sections 240.17i–1 through 240.17i–8 are removed, including the heading, "Supervised Investment Bank Holding Company Rules," and the Preliminary Note preceding those sections.

By the Commission. Dated: July 12, 2013.

# Elizabeth M. Murphy,

Secretary. [FR Doc. 2013–17194 Filed 7–17–13; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 8011–01–P

# DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

# Coast Guard

# 33 CFR Part 165

[Docket No. USCG-2013-0320]

# RIN 1625-AA00

# Safety Zone; Chicago Harbor; Navy Pier Southeast; Chicago, IL

**AGENCY:** Coast Guard, DHS. **ACTION:** Final rule.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> See 5 U.S.C. 553(b).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78w(a)(2).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup>15 U.S.C. 78c(f).

<sup>22 44</sup> U.S.C. 3501 et seq.

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> See Proposed Collection; Comment Request, 77
FR 31408 (May 25, 2012).

**SUMMARY:** The Coast Guard is amending the safety zone for Chicago Harbor, Navy Pier Southeast, Chicago, IL. This safety zone is intended to restrict vessels from a portion of Chicago Harbor during fireworks displays, races, and other marine events that occur throughout each calendar year. The safety zone established by this rule is necessary to protect spectators, participants, and vessels from the hazards associated with these fireworks displays, boat races, and other events. **DATES:** This final rule is effective August 19, 2013.

ADDRESSES: Documents mentioned in this preamble are part of docket USCG-2013-0320. To view documents mentioned in this preamble as being available in the docket, go to http:// www.regulations.gov, type the docket number in the ''SEARCH'' box and click "SEARCH." Click on Open Docket Folder on the line associated with this rulemaking. You may also visit the Docket Management Facility in Room W12-140 on the ground floor of the Department of Transportation West Building, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC 20590, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you have questions on this rule, contact MST1 Joseph McCollum, Prevention Department, Coast Guard Sector Lake Michigan, Milwaukee, WI at (414) 747– 7148 or by email at

Joseph.P.McCollum@USCG.mil. If you have questions on viewing or submitting material to the docket, call Barbara Hairston, Program Manager, Docket Operations, telephone 202–366–9826. SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

# Table of Acronyms

DHS Department of Homeland Security FR Federal Register NPRM Notice of Proposed Rulemaking TFR Temporary Final Rule

#### A. Regulatory History and Information

On May 21, 2013, The Coast Guard published a notice of proposed rulemaking entitled "Safety Zone; Chicago Harbor, Navy Pier SE., Chicago IL" in the **Federal Register** (78 FR 29680). We did not receive any comments in response to the proposed rule. No public meeting was requested and one was not held.

# **B. Basis and Purpose**

Each year dozens of fireworks displays are launched from barges in positions just south of the Navy Pier in Chicago. These fireworks displays, along with other marine events, take

place on a monthly and sometimes weekly basis. The Captain of the Port, Lake Michigan, has determined that these fireworks displays and other events such as races or air shows pose a significant risk to public safety and property. Such hazards include falling debris and collisions among spectator vessels. To address these hazards the Coast Guard established a permanent safety zone for the protection of spectators during these displays and events in 33 CFR 165.931. This year, however, the Coast Guard was informed by Melrose Pyrotechnics that a new launch position will be used for some of the fireworks displays. This new position launches a display from a break wall south of the Navy Pier and would impact portions of Chicago Harbor hundreds of feet beyond the boundaries of the zone as it is currently listed. To address this new launch position, and to ensure safety of spectators and vessels, this rule extends the boundaries of the safety zone within 33 CFR 165.931.

# C. Discussion of Comments, Changes and the Final Rule

The Captain of the Port, Lake Michigan, has determined that a safety zone is necessary to mitigate the aforementioned safety risks. Thus, this rule amends 33 CFR 165.931 and establishes a permanent safety zone on Lake Michigan within Chicago harbor. This rule amends 33 CFR 165.931 to read: The following area is a safety zone: The waters of Lake Michigan within Chicago Harbor bounded by coordinates beginning at 41°53′26.5″ N, 087°35′26.5″ W; then south to 41°53'7.6" N 087°35'26.3" W; then west to 41°53'7.6" N,  $087^\circ 36' 23.2''$  W; then north to 41°53'26.5" N, 087°36'24.6" W; then east back to the point of origin (NAD 83).

The Captain of the Port Lake Michigan will use all appropriate means to notify the public when the safety zone established by this rule will be enforced. Consistent with 33 CFR 165.7(a), such means may include, among other things, publication in the **Federal Register**, Broadcast Notice to Mariners, or Local Notice to Mariners.

Entry into, transiting, or anchoring within this safety zone during the period of enforcement is prohibited unless authorized by the Captain of the Port, Lake Michigan, or his or her designated on-scene representative. The Captain of the Port, Lake Michigan, or his or her designated on-scene representative may be contacted via VHF Channel 16.

# **D. Regulatory Analyses**

We developed this rule after considering numerous statutes and

executive orders related to rulemaking. Below we summarize our analyses based on these statutes or executive orders.

# 1. Regulatory Planning and Review

This rule is not a significant regulatory action under section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866, Regulatory Planning and Review, and does not require an assessment of potential costs and benefits under section 6(a)(3) of that Order. The Office of Management and Budget has not reviewed it under that Order. It is not "significant" under the regulatory policies and procedures of the Department of Homeland Security. We conclude that this rule is not a significant regulatory action because we anticipate that it will have minimal impact on the economy, will not interfere with other agencies, will not adversely alter the budget of any grant or loan recipients, and will not raise any novel legal or policy issues. The safety zone created by this rule will be relatively small and enforced in short periods immediately before, during, and after the time the displays and events occur. Also, this safety zone is designed to minimize its impact on navigable waters. Furthermore, the safety zone has been designed to allow vessels to transit portions of the waterways not affected by the safety zone. Thus, restrictions on vessel movements within that particular area are expected to be minimal. Under certain conditions, moreover, vessels may still transit through the safety zone when permitted by the Captain of the Port, Lake Michigan. On the whole, the Coast Guard expects insignificant adverse impact to mariners from the activation of this safety zone.

#### 2. Impact on Small Entities

Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601–612), we have considered whether this rule would have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. The term "small entities" comprises small businesses, not-for-profit organizations that are independently owned and operated and are not dominant in their fields, and governmental jurisdictions with populations of less than 50,000.

The Coast Guard certifies under 5 U.S.C. 605(b) that this rule would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. This rule will affect the following entities, some of which might be small entities: The owners or operators of vessels intending to transit or anchor in portions of Chicago Harbor when this safety zone is being enforced.

### 3. Assistance for Small Entities

Under section 213(a) of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (Pub. L. 104–121), we want to assist small entities in understanding this rule so that they can better evaluate its effects on them and participate in the rulemaking process. If this rule would affect your small business, organization, or governmental jurisdiction and you have questions concerning its provisions or options for compliance, please contact the person listed in **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section, above.

Small businesses may send comments on the actions of Federal employees who enforce, or otherwise determine compliance with, Federal regulations to the Small Business and Agriculture Regulatory Enforcement Ombudsman and the Regional Small Business Regulatory Fairness Boards. The Ombudsman evaluates these actions annually and rates each agency's responsiveness to small business. If you wish to comment on actions by employees of the Coast Guard, call 1-888-REG-FAIR (1-888-734-3247). The Coast Guard will not retaliate against small entities that question or complain about this rule or any policy or action of the Coast Guard.

#### 4. Collection of Information

This rule calls for no new collection of information under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501– 3520).

# 5. Federalism

A rule has implications for federalism under Executive Order 13132, Federalism, if it has a substantial direct effect on State or local governments and would either preempt State law or impose a substantial direct cost of compliance on them. We have analyzed this rule under that Order and have determined that it does not have implications for federalism.

#### 6. Protest Activities

The Coast Guard respects the First Amendment rights of protesters. Protesters are asked to contact the person listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section to coordinate protest activities so that your message can be received without jeopardizing the safety or security of people, places or vessels.

# 7. Unfunded Mandates Reform Act

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531–1538) requires Federal agencies to assess the effects of their discretionary regulatory actions. In particular, the Act addresses actions that may result in the expenditure by a State, local, or tribal government, in the aggregate, or by the private sector of \$100,000,000 (adjusted for inflation) or more in any one year. Though this rule would not result in such an expenditure, we do discuss the effects of this rule elsewhere in this preamble.

### 8. Taking of Private Property

This rule would not affect a taking of private property or otherwise have taking implications under Executive Order 12630, Governmental Actions and Interference With Constitutionally Protected Property Rights.

#### 9. Civil Justice Reform

This rule meets applicable standards in sections 3(a) and 3(b)(2) of Executive Order 12988, Civil Justice Reform, to minimize litigation, eliminate ambiguity, and reduce burden.

# 10. Protection of Children

We have analyzed this rule under Executive Order 13045, Protection of Children From Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks. This rule is not an economically significant rule and would not create an environmental risk to health or risk to safety that might disproportionately affect children.

# 11. Indian Tribal Governments

This rule does not have tribal implications under Executive Order 13175, Consultation and Coordination With Indian Tribal Governments, because it does not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes.

#### 12. Energy Effects

This action is not a "significant energy action" under Executive Order 13211, Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use.

# 13. Technical Standards

This rule does not use technical standards. Therefore, we did not consider the use of voluntary consensus standards.

#### 14. Environment

We have analyzed this rule under Department of Homeland Security Management Directive 023–01 and Commandant Instruction M16475.lD, which guide the Coast Guard in complying with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) (42 U.S.C. 4321–4370f), and have determined that this action is one of a category of actions which do not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment. This rule involves the establishment of a safety zone and thus, is categorically excluded under paragraph (34)(g) of the Instruction. An environmental analysis checklist supporting this determination is available in the docket where indicated under **ADDRESSES**.

#### List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 165

Harbors, Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Security measures, Waterways.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard amends 33 CFR part 165 as follows:

# PART 165— REGULATED NAVIGATION AREAS AND LIMITED ACCESS AREAS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 165 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 33 U.S.C. 1231; 46 U.S.C. Chapters 701, 3306, 3703; 50 U.S.C. 191, 195; 33 CFR 1.05–1, 6.04–1, 6.04–6, and 160.5; Pub. L. 107–295, 116 Stat. 2064; Department of Homeland Security Delegation No. 0170.1. ■ 2. Revise § 165.931 to read as follows:

# § 165.931 Safety Zone; Chicago Harbor, Navy Pier Southeast, Chicago, IL.

(a) *Location*. The following area is a safety zone: The waters of Lake Michigan within Chicago Harbor bounded by coordinates beginning at 41°53'26.5" N, 087°35'26.5" W; then south to 41°53'7.6" N, 087°35'26.3" W; then west to 41°53'7.6" N, 087°36'23.2" W; then north to 41°53'26.5" N, 087°36'24.6" W then east back to the point of origin (NAD 83).

(b) *Definitions*. The following definitions apply to this section:

(1) Designated representative means any Coast Guard Commissioned, warrant, or petty officer designated by the Captain of the Port, Lake Michigan to monitor a safety zone, permit entry into the zone, give legally enforceable orders to persons or vessels within the zone, and take other actions authorized by the Captain of the Port.

(2) *Public vessel* means vessels owned, chartered, or operated by the United States, or by a State or political subdivision thereof.

(c) *Regulations*. (1) In accordance with the general regulations in 33 CFR 165.23, entry into, transiting, or anchoring within this safety zone is prohibited unless authorized by the Captain of the Port, Lake Michigan, or his designated representative. (2) This safety zone is closed to all vessel traffic, excepted as may be permitted by the Captain of the Port, Lake Michigan or his designated representative. All persons and vessels must comply with the instructions of the Coast Guard Captain of the Port or his designated representative. Upon being hailed by the U.S. Coast Guard by siren, radio, flashing light or other means, the operator of a vessel shall proceed as directed.

(3) All vessels must obtain permission from the Captain of the Port or his designated representative to enter, move within, or exit the safety zone established in this section when this safety zone is enforced. Vessels and persons granted permission to enter the safety zone must obey all lawful orders or directions of the Captain of the Port or a designated representative.

(d) Notice of Enforcement or Suspension of Enforcement. The safety zone established by this section will be enforced only upon notice of the Captain of the Port. The Captain of the Port will cause notice of enforcement of the safety zone established by this section to be made by all appropriate means to the affected segments of the public including publication in the **Federal Register** as practicable, in accordance with 33 CFR 165.7(a). Such means of notification may also include, but are not limited to Broadcast Notice to Mariners or Local Notice to Mariners.

(e) *Exemption*. Public vessels, as defined in paragraph (b) of this section, are exempt from the requirements in this section.

(f) *Waiver*. For any vessel, the Captain of the Port Lake Michigan or his designated representative may waive any of the requirements of this section, upon finding that operational conditions or other circumstances are such that application of this section is unnecessary or impractical for the purposes of public or environmental safety.

Dated: July 1, 2013.

# M.W. Sibley,

Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Captain of the Port, Lake Michigan.

[FR Doc. 2013–17108 Filed 7–17–13; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 9110–04–P

# DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

34 CFR Chapter III

[CFDA Number: 84.133B-11]

#### Final Priority; National Institute on Disability and Rehabilitation Research—Rehabilitation Research and Training Centers

**AGENCY:** Office of Special Education and Rehabilitative Services, Department of Education.

**ACTION:** Final priority.

**SUMMARY:** The Assistant Secretary for Special Education and Rehabilitative Services announces a priority for the Disability and Rehabilitation Research **Projects and Centers Program** administered by the National Institute on Disability and Rehabilitation Research (NIDRR). Specifically, we announce a priority for a Rehabilitation Research and Training Center (RRTC) on Community Living Policy. The Assistant Secretary may use this priority for competitions in fiscal year (FY) 2013 and later years. We take this action to focus research attention on areas of national need. We intend this priority to improve outcomes among individuals with disabilities.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* This priority is effective August 19, 2013.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Marlene Spencer, U.S. Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue SW., Room 5133, PCP, Washington, DC 20202–2700. Telephone: (202) 245–7532 or by email: *marlene.spencer@ed.gov*.

If you use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) or a text telephone (TTY), call the Federal Relay Service (FRS), toll free, at 1–800–877– 8339.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Purpose of Program: The purpose of the Disability and Rehabilitation **Research Projects and Centers Program** is to plan and conduct research, demonstration projects, training, and related activities, including international activities, to develop methods, procedures, and rehabilitation technology that maximize the full inclusion and integration into society, employment, independent living, family support, and economic and social selfsufficiency of individuals with disabilities, especially individuals with the most severe disabilities, and to improve the effectiveness of services authorized under the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, as amended (Rehabilitation Act).

# Rehabilitation Research and Training Centers

The purpose of the RRTCs, which are funded through the Disability and **Rehabilitation Research Projects and** Centers Program, is to achieve the goals of, and improve the effectiveness of services authorized under, the Rehabilitation Act through advanced research, training, technical assistance, and dissemination activities in general problem areas, as specified by NIDRR. These activities are designed to benefit rehabilitation service providers, individuals with disabilities, and the family members or other authorized representatives of individuals with disabilities. Additional information on the RRTC program can be found at: www.ed.gov/rschstat/research/pubs/resprogram.html#RRTC.

*Program Authority:* 29 U.S.C. 762(g) and 764(b)(2).

Applicable Program Regulations: 34 CFR part 350.

We published a notice of proposed priority in the **Federal Register** on May 15, 2013 (78 FR 28543). That notice contained background information and our reasons for proposing the priority.

There are differences between the proposed priority and the final priority as discussed under *Analysis of Comments and Changes*.

*Public Comment:* In response to our invitation in the notice of proposed priority, two parties submitted comments on the proposed priority.

Generally, we do not address technical and other minor changes or suggested changes the law does not authorize us to make under the applicable statutory authority. In addition, we do not address general comments that raised concerns not directly related to the proposed priority.

Analysis of Comments and Changes: An analysis of the comments and of any changes in the priority since publication of the proposed priority follows.

*Comment:* One commenter noted that there are few Federal and State efforts to collect data on long-term services and supports for individuals with disabilities, relative to data collection efforts that focus on acute health care services. In this context, the commenter recommended that NIDRR expand paragraph (a) to require the RRTC to work with NIDRR and the Administration for Community Living to propose and develop new data resources, such as additional measures to be included in existing surveys, or to create new data sets and surveys.

*Discussion:* NIDRR agrees with the commenter that additional data resources are needed in the area of long-