

Regulatory Agenda estimates that the SBREFA Panel Review will be held in October 2013.

Summary Evaluation

OSHA has initiated a rulemaking to issue a combustible dust standard and continues to undertake noteworthy and important regulatory enforcement and educational efforts to prevent and control combustible dust hazards in the workplace. The federal rulemaking process is complex; however, a combustible dust general industry standard is urgently needed to prevent future fires and explosions from claiming the lives of American workers. In addition, more than six years have passed since the CSB first issued a recommendation for this standard. Therefore, staff propose that the Board vote to designate all four recommendations with the status: "Open-Unacceptable Response."

No factual analyses, conclusions, or findings presented by staff should be considered final. Only after the Board has considered the staff presentations and voted to approve a change in status of the recommendation should that status be considered final.

Additional Information

The meeting will be free and open to the public. If you require a translator or interpreter, please notify the individual listed below as the "Contact Person for Further Information," at least five business days prior to the meeting.

The CSB is an independent federal agency charged with investigating accidents and hazards that result, or may result, in the catastrophic release of extremely hazardous substances. The agency's Board Members are appointed by the President and confirmed by the Senate. CSB investigations look into all aspects of chemical accidents and hazards, including physical causes such as equipment failure as well as inadequacies in regulations, industry standards, and safety management systems.

PUBLIC COMMENT: Members of the public are invited to make brief statements to the Board at the conclusion of the staff presentations in the morning and afternoon. The time provided for public statements will depend upon the number of people who wish to speak. Speakers should assume that their presentations will be limited to five minutes or less, and may submit written statements for the record.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Hillary J. Cohen, Communications Manager, hillary.cohen@csb.gov or (202) 446-8094. General information about

the CSB can be found on the agency Web site at: www.csb.gov.

Rafael Moure-Eraso,
Chairperson.

[FR Doc. 2013-16838 Filed 7-10-13; 4:15 pm]

BILLING CODE 6350-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[B-27-2013]

Foreign-Trade Zone 161—Sedgwick County, Kansas; Authorization of Production Activity; Siemens Energy, Inc. (Wind Turbine Nacelles and Hubs); Hutchinson, Kansas

On March 7, 2013, Siemens Energy, Inc., an operator of FTZ 161, submitted a notification of proposed production activity to the Foreign-Trade Zones (FTZ) Board.

The notification was processed in accordance with the regulations of the FTZ Board (15 CFR part 400), including notice in the **Federal Register** inviting public comment (78 FR 20888, April 8, 2013). The FTZ Board has determined that no further review of the activity is warranted at this time. The production activity described in the notification is authorized, subject to the FTZ Act and the FTZ Board's regulations, including Section 400.14.

Dated: July 8, 2013.

Andrew McGilvray,
Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2013-16784 Filed 7-11-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[B-25-2013]

Foreign-Trade Zone 39—Dallas-Fort Worth, Texas; CSI Calendering, Inc. (Rubber Coated Textile Fabric); Arlington, Texas

On March 4, 2013, the Dallas/Fort Worth International Airport Board, grantee of FTZ 39, submitted a notification of proposed production activity to the Foreign-Trade Zones (FTZ) Board on behalf of CSI Calendering, Inc., in Arlington, Texas.

The notification was processed in accordance with the regulations of the FTZ Board (15 CFR part 400), including notice in the **Federal Register** inviting public comment (78 FR 18314, March 26, 2013). Pursuant to Section 400.37, the FTZ Board has determined that further review is warranted and has not

authorized the proposed activity. If the applicant wishes to seek authorization for this activity, it will need to submit an application for production authority, pursuant to Section 400.23.

Dated: July 5, 2013.

Andrew McGilvray,
Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2013-16777 Filed 7-11-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

RIN 0648-XC008

Endangered and Threatened Species; Recovery Plans

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of availability.

SUMMARY: We, NMFS, announce the adoption of an Endangered Species Act (ESA) recovery plan for Lower Columbia River Chinook salmon (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*), Lower Columbia coho salmon (*O. kisutch*), and Columbia River chum salmon (*O. keta*) evolutionarily significant units (ESUs) and the Lower Columbia River steelhead (*O. mykiss*) distinct population segment (DPS), all of which are listed as threatened under the ESA. The geographic area covered by the plan is the Lower Columbia River mainstem and tributaries downstream of (and including) the White Salmon River in Washington and the Hood River in Oregon. As required by the ESA, the plan contains objective, measurable delisting criteria, site-specific management actions necessary to achieve the plan's goals, and estimates of the time and costs required to implement recovery actions. The *Endangered Species Act (ESA) Recovery Plan for Lower Columbia River Chinook Salmon, Lower Columbia River Coho Salmon, Columbia River Chum Salmon, and Lower Columbia River Steelhead* (Plan) and our summary of and responses to public comments on the Proposed Plan are now available.

ADDRESSES: Electronic copies of the Plan and a summary of and response to public comments on the Proposed Plan are available on-line at http://www.nwr.noaa.gov/protected_species/salmon_steelhead/recovery_planning_and_implementation/lower_columbia_river_lower_columbia_river_recovery_plan_for_salmon_steelhead.html. A CD-ROM

of these documents can be obtained by emailing a request to kelly.gallivan@noaa.gov with the subject line "CD ROM Request for Lower Columbia Recovery Plan" or by writing to NMFS Protected Resources Division, National Marine Fisheries Service, 1201 NE Lloyd Boulevard, Suite 1100, Portland, OR 97232.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dr. Scott Rumsey, Branch Chief, Protected Resources Division, at (503) 872-2791, scott.rumsey@noaa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

We are responsible for developing and implementing recovery plans for Pacific salmon and steelhead listed under the ESA of 1973, as amended (16 U.S.C. 1531 *et seq.*). Recovery means that the listed species and their ecosystems are sufficiently restored, and their future secured, to a point that the protections of the ESA are no longer necessary. Section 4(f)(1) of the ESA requires that recovery plans include, to the extent practicable: (1) Objective, measurable criteria which, when met, would result in a determination that the species is no longer threatened or endangered; (2) site-specific management actions necessary to achieve the plan's goals; and (3) estimates of the time required and costs to implement recovery actions.

We believe it is essential to have local support of recovery plans by those whose activities directly affect the listed species and whose continued commitment and leadership will be needed to implement the necessary recovery actions. We therefore support and participate in locally led, collaborative efforts to develop salmon and steelhead recovery plans that involve state, tribal, and Federal entities, local communities, and other stakeholders. We review locally developed recovery plans to ensure that they satisfy the ESA requirements. We make the recovery plans, along with any additional plan elements needed to satisfy the ESA requirements, available for public review and comment before finalizing and formally adopting them as ESA recovery plans.

In the Lower Columbia River, four salmon and steelhead species are listed as threatened: Lower Columbia River Chinook salmon, Lower Columbia River coho salmon, Columbia River chum salmon, and Lower Columbia River steelhead.

Three geographically based, locally developed plans each address a different portion of these species' range. NMFS' science center and regional

office staff were closely involved in the development of these local plans. We have reviewed the final versions of these local plans and have developed an ESU/DPS-level plan that synthesizes the local plans, incorporates them as appendices, and provides all additional material needed to meet the ESA requirements. We have determined that this *ESA Recovery Plan for Lower Columbia River Chinook Salmon, Lower Columbia River Coho Salmon, Columbia River Chum Salmon, and Lower Columbia River Steelhead* meets the statutory requirements for a recovery plan and are adopting it as the ESA recovery plan for these four threatened species.

Development of the Plan

The initial technical foundation for this Plan was developed by the Willamette-Lower Columbia Technical Recovery Team. NMFS appointed Technical Recovery Teams to provide a solid scientific foundation for recovery plans. Scientists on these teams were nominated because of their geographic and species expertise. The Willamette-Lower Columbia Technical Recovery Team included biologists from NMFS, other federal agencies, states, tribes, academic institutions, and the private sector.

A primary task for all the Technical Recovery Teams was to recommend criteria for determining when each component population with an ESU or DPS should be considered viable (*i.e.*, when they have a low risk of extinction over a 100-year period) and when ESUs and DPSs have a risk of extinction consistent with no longer needing the protections of the ESA. All Technical Recovery Teams used the same biological principles for developing these recommendations; these principles are described in the NOAA technical memorandum *Viable Salmonid Populations and the Recovery of Evolutionarily Significant Units* (McElhany *et al.* 2000).

We also worked with state, tribal, local, and other federal entities to develop planning forums that built on ongoing locally led recovery efforts. We defined "management units" for these local efforts, based on jurisdictional boundaries as well as areas where discrete local planning efforts were under way. A recovery plan was developed for each management unit, either led by local groups with strong NMFS participation, or led by NMFS with extensive local participation. Management unit recovery planners adopted and built upon the work of the Technical Recovery Teams. The management unit plans for the Lower

Columbia River Basin, which are incorporated as Appendices A through C of this Plan, are as follows:

(1) Oregon Management Unit: The recovery plan for the Oregon management unit covers the portions of the Lower Columbia salmon ESUs and steelhead DPS that occur within Oregon. The Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife (ODFW) led development of this plan in collaboration with NMFS and numerous stakeholders. The *Lower Columbia River Conservation and Recovery Plan for Oregon Populations of Salmon and Steelhead* (ODFW 2010) is incorporated into this Plan as Appendix A.

(2) Washington Management Unit: The recovery plan for the Washington management unit covers the portions of the Lower Columbia salmon ESUs and steelhead DPS that occur in Washington within the planning area of the Lower Columbia Fish Recovery Board (LCFRB). The LCFRB was established by Washington State statute in 1998 to oversee and coordinate salmon and steelhead recovery efforts in the Lower Columbia region of Washington. The LCFRB led a collaborative process to develop the *Washington Lower Columbia Salmon Recovery and Fish & Wildlife Subbasin Plan* (LCFRB 2010). In February 2006 we approved the December 2004 version of the LCFRB plan as an interim regional recovery plan for the Washington management unit of the listed Lower Columbia River salmon ESUs and steelhead DPS. In May 2010, the LCFRB completed a revision of its earlier plan. That revised version is incorporated into this Plan as Appendix B.

(3) White Salmon Management Unit: In the absence of an existing local planning forum for salmon recovery, we led the development of the White Salmon management unit plan in cooperation with local stakeholders. The plan covers the portions of the Lower Columbia Chinook, coho, and chum salmon ESUs that occur in the White Salmon River subbasin (Washington). The Lower Columbia steelhead DPS does not occur in the White Salmon River subbasin. (However, the White Salmon management unit plan does cover a steelhead population that is part of the Middle Columbia River Steelhead DPS, which is addressed in NMFS' *Middle Columbia River Steelhead Distinct Population Segment ESA Recovery Plan* [2009]). The *ESA Salmon Recovery Plan for the White Salmon River Subbasin* (NMFS 2011a) is incorporated into this Plan as Appendix C.

After the management unit plans were completed, we developed an ESU/DPS-

level document that synthesizes material from the management unit plans to demonstrate that recovery needs are being addressed at the ESU and DPS levels. We also incorporated delisting criteria into the Plan. In addition, to address recovery needs in the Lower Columbia River mainstem and estuary, we developed and incorporated the *Columbia River Estuary ESA Recovery Plan Module for Salmon and Steelhead* (NMFS 2011b) as Appendix D of this Plan. To address recovery needs related to the Columbia River Hydropower System, we incorporated the *Recovery Plan Module: Mainstem Columbia River Hydropower Projects* (NMFS 2008) as Appendix E of this Plan.

Contents of Plan

The ESU/DPS-level portion of the Plan contains background and contextual information that includes descriptions of the ESUs and DPS addressed, the planning area, and the context of the plan's development. It presents relevant information on ESU and DPS structure, guidelines for assessing salmonid population and ESU/DPS-level status, and brief summaries of the Willamette-Lower Columbia Technical Recovery Team's products. It also contains summaries of the management unit plans' recovery goals, NMFS' delisting criteria for the ESUs and DPS, and a description of the methods used in the management unit plans to develop the principal plan components.

For each species addressed, the Plan also summarizes the results of the management unit plan analyses and presents specific information on the following: population status; limiting factors and threats that have contributed to population declines; estimates of the impacts of six main categories of threats on population productivity; and a scenario of reductions in each of those threats that, if achieved, would likely improve the persistence probability of each population to a level consistent with recovery goals for the ESU or DPS.

In addition, the Plan describes recovery strategies and actions for each ESU/DPS, critical uncertainties, and research, monitoring, and evaluation needs. It explains how management unit planners developed site-specific management actions and summarizes the time and costs required to implement those actions. It also describes how implementation, prioritization of actions, and adaptive management will proceed at both the ESU/DPS and management-unit scales. In addition to summary information presented in the Plan, readers are

referred to specific sections of the management unit plans (Appendices A through C) and recovery plan modules (Appendices D and E) for more information on all these topics.

How NMFS and Others Expect To Use the Plan

We commit to implementation of the actions in the Plan for which we have authority and funding; encourage other federal and state agencies and tribal governments to implement plan actions for which they have responsibility, authority, and funding; and work cooperatively with the public and local stakeholders on implementation of other actions. We expect the plan to guide us and other federal agencies in evaluating federal actions under ESA section 7, as well as in implementing other provisions of the ESA and other statutes. For example, the plan will provide greater biological context for evaluating the effects that a proposed action may have on a species by providing delisting criteria, information on priority areas for addressing specific limiting factors, and information on how populations within the ESUs and DPS can tolerate varying levels of risk.

When we are considering a species for delisting, we will examine whether the ESA section 4(a)(1) listing factors have been addressed. To assist in this examination, we will use the delisting criteria described in Section 3.2 of the Plan, which include both biological criteria and criteria addressing each of the ESA section 4(a)(1) listing factors, as well as any other relevant data and policy considerations.

At the management unit level, the LCFRB, ODFW, and the Washington Gorge Implementation Team, working with us, will develop implementation schedules that provide greater specificity for recovery actions to be implemented over three- to five-year periods. These entities also will coordinate the implementation of the recovery actions identified in the management unit plans and subsequent implementation schedules, and will track and report on implementation progress. Management unit planners and NMFS staff will work together to coordinate the implementation of recovery actions among federal, state, local, and tribal entities and stakeholders.

Public Comments Solicited

Section 4(f) of the ESA, as amended in 1988, requires that public notice and an opportunity for public review and comment be provided prior to final approval of a recovery plan. Between May 16 and July 16, 2012, we made the

Proposed Plan—including the three management unit plans and two recovery plan modules, which were included as appendices—available for public review (77 FR 28855; May 16, 2012). In response to a stakeholder request, the public comment period was reopened between September 7 and October 9, 2012 (77 FR 55191; September 7, 2012).

NMFS received a total of 17 comment letters on the Proposed Plan from a variety of sources, including local, state, and federal entities, tribal governments, nonprofit organizations, and individuals. Comments addressed both the Proposed Plan and the management unit plan for the White Salmon subbasin (NMFS 2013).

We reviewed all comments for substantive issues and new information and have addressed them in a summary available on the Northwest Region Web site (http://www.nwr.noaa.gov/protected_species/salmon_steelhead/recovery_planning_and_implementation/lower_columbia_river/proposed_lower_columbia_river_recovery_plan_for_salmon_steelhead.html). We have revised the Plan and the White Salmon management unit plan as appropriate.

Conclusion

Section 4(f)(1)(B) of the ESA requires that recovery plans incorporate, to the extent practicable, (1) Objective, measurable criteria which, when met, would result in a determination that the species is no longer threatened or endangered; (2) site-specific management actions necessary to achieve the plan's goals; and (3) estimates of the time required and costs to implement recovery actions. We conclude that the Plan meets the requirements of ESA section 4(f) and adopt it as the *ESA Recovery Plan for Lower Columbia River Chinook Salmon, Lower Columbia River Coho Salmon, Columbia River Chum Salmon, and Lower Columbia River Steelhead*. NMFS has reviewed the Plan and public comments. Based on that review, NMFS concludes that the Plan meets the requirements in section 4(f) of the ESA for developing a recovery plan.

Literature Cited

- Lower Columbia Fish Recovery Board (LCFRB). 2010. Washington Lower Columbia Salmon Recovery and Fish & Wildlife Subbasin Plan. Lower Columbia Fish Recovery Board, Washington. May 28, 2010.
- McElhany, P., M.H. Ruckelshaus, M.J. Ford, T.C. Wainwright, and E.P. Bjorkstedt. 2000. Viable salmon populations and the recovery of evolutionarily significant units. U.S. Dept. of Commerce, NOAA

Tech. Memo., NMFS NWFSC 42, 156 p.
National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS).
2009. Middle Columbia River Steelhead
Distinct Population Segment ESA
Recovery Plan. Northwest Region.
November 30, 2009.

National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS).
2011a. Draft ESA Recovery Plan for the
White Salmon River Subbasin.
Northwest Region. December 2011.

National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS).
2011b. Columbia River Estuary ESA
Recovery Plan Module for Salmon and
Steelhead. Northwest Region. Prepared
for NMFS by the Lower Columbia River
Estuary Partnership (contractor) and PC
Trask & Associates, Inc. (subcontractor).
January 2011.

Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife.
2010. Lower Columbia River
Conservation and Recovery Plan for
Oregon Populations of Salmon and
Steelhead. August 6, 2010.

Authority: 16 U.S.C. 1531 *et seq.*

Dated: July 8, 2013.

Angela Somma,

*Chief, Endangered Species Conservation
Division, Office of Protected Resources,
National Marine Fisheries Service.*

[FR Doc. 2013-16710 Filed 7-11-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-22-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

RIN 0648-XC754

Caribbean Fishery Management Council; Public Meeting

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries
Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and
Atmospheric Administration (NOAA),
Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of a public meeting.

SUMMARY: The Caribbean Fishery
Management Council's (Council)
Advisory Panel (AP) will hold a
meeting.

DATES: The meeting will be held on
Wednesday, August 7, 2013, from 10
a.m. to 4:30 p.m.

ADDRESSES: Caribbean Fishery
Management Council Office, 270 Muñoz
Rivera Avenue, Suite 401, San Juan,
Puerto Rico 00918.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Caribbean Fishery Management Council,
270 Muñoz Rivera Avenue, Suite 401,
San Juan, Puerto Rico 00918, telephone:
(787) 766-5926.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The
Advisory Panel will meet to discuss the
items contained in the following
agenda:

August 7, 2013, 10 a.m.—4:30 p.m.

- Call to order
- Adoption of Agenda
- Review the results of the scoping
meetings on the Development of Island-
Specific Fishery Management Plans for
Puerto Rico and the U.S. Virgin Islands:

Action 1: Establish the fishery
management units (FMUs) for the
comprehensive St. Thomas/St. John
fishery management plan (FMP).

Action 2: Revise the species
composition of the comprehensive St.
Thomas/St. John FMP.

Action 3: Establish management
reference points for any new species
added to the comprehensive St.
Thomas/St. John FMP.

Action 4: Modify or establish additional
management measures.

- Recommendations to the CFMC
- Other business

The established times for addressing
items on the agenda may be adjusted as
necessary to accommodate the timely
completion of discussion relevant to the
agenda items. To further accommodate
discussion and completion of all items
on the agenda, the meeting may be
extended from, or completed prior to
the date established in this notice.

The meeting is open to the public,
and will be conducted in English.
Fishers and other interested persons are
invited to attend and participate with
oral or written statements regarding
agenda issues.

Although non-emergency issues not
contained in this agenda may come
before this group for discussion, those
issues may not be subjects for formal
action during this meeting. Actions will
be restricted to those issues specifically
identified in this notice, and any issues
arising after publication of this notice
that require emergency action under
section 305(c) of the Magnuson-Stevens
Fishery Conservation and Management
Act, provided that the public has been
notified of the Council's intent to take
final action to address the emergency.

Special Accommodations

The meeting is physically accessible
to people with disabilities. For more
information or request for sign language
interpretation and/or other auxiliary aids,
please contact Mr. Miguel A. Rolón,
Executive Director, Caribbean Fishery
Management Council, 270 Muñoz
Rivera Avenue, Suite 401, San Juan,
Puerto Rico, 00918, telephone (787)
766-5926, at least 5 days prior to the
meeting date.

Dated: July 9, 2013.

Tracey L. Thompson,

*Acting Deputy Director, Office of Sustainable
Fisheries, National Marine Fisheries Service.*

[FR Doc. 2013-16723 Filed 7-11-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-22-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

RIN 0649-XC755

South Atlantic Fishery Management Council (Council); Public Meetings

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries
Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and
Atmospheric Administration (NOAA),
Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of public hearing and
scoping meetings.

SUMMARY: The South Atlantic Fishery
Management Council (SAFMC) will
hold a series of public hearing meetings
pertaining to: Amendment 5 to the
Dolphin Wahoo Fishery Management
Plan (FMP); Amendment 14 to the
Snapper Grouper FMP; Amendment 8 to
the Coral FMP; and Amendments 19
and 20 as well as Framework actions to
the Coastal Migratory Pelagics FMP.

DATES: The meetings will be held from
August 5, 2013 through August 15,
2013. All meetings will be held from 4
p.m. to 7 p.m.

ADDRESSES:

Meeting Addresses:

1. August 5, 2013: Richmond Hill City
Center, 520 Cedar Street, Richmond
Hill, GA 31324; telephone: (912) 445-
0043.

2. August 6, 2013: Jacksonville
Marriott, 4670 Salisbury Road,
Jacksonville, FL 32256; telephone: (904)
296-2222.

3. August 7, 2013: Doubletree by
Hilton Cocoa Beach Oceanfront, 2080
North Atlantic Avenue, Cocoa Beach, FL
32931; telephone: (321) 783-9222.

4. August 8, 2013: Hilton Key Largo,
97000 Overseas Highway, Key Largo, FL
33037; telephone: (305) 852-5553.

5. August 13, 2013: Hilton Garden
Inn, 5265 International Boulevard,
North Charleston, SC 29418; telephone:
(843) 308-9330.

6. August 15, 2013: Bridge Point
Hotel, 101 Howell Road, New Bern, NC
28582; telephone: (252) 636-3637.

Council Address: South Atlantic
Fishery Management Council, 4055
Faber Place Drive, Suite 201, N.
Charleston, SC 29405.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kim
Iverson, Public Information Officer,