# **Notices**

### Federal Register

Vol. 78, No. 133

Thursday, July 11, 2013

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains documents other than rules or proposed rules that are applicable to the public. Notices of hearings and investigations, committee meetings, agency decisions and rulings, delegations of authority, filing of petitions and applications and agency statements of organization and functions are examples of documents appearing in this section.

#### **DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE**

# Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

July 8, 2013.

The Department of Agriculture has submitted the following information collection requirement(s) to OMB for review and clearance under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104–13. Comments regarding (a) Whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of burden including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected; (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology should be addressed to: Desk Officer for Agriculture, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget (OMB),

OIRA\_Submission@OMB.EOP.GOV or fax (202) 395–5806 and to Departmental Clearance Office, USDA, OCIO, Mail Stop 7602, Washington, DC 20250–7602. Comments regarding these information collections are best assured of having their full effect if received within 30 days of this notification. Copies of the submission(s) may be obtained by calling (202) 720–8958.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless the collection of information displays a currently valid OMB control number and the agency informs potential persons who are to respond to the collection of information that such persons are not required to respond to

the collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

#### **Food and Nutrition Service**

Title: Direct Certification and Certification of Homeless, Migrant and Runaway Children for Free School Meals

OMB Control Number: 0584—New. Summary of Collection: The Richard B. Russell National School Lunch Act (NSLA), as amended, authorizes the National School Lunch Program (NSLP). Section 104 of the Child Nutrition and WIC Reauthorization Act of 2004 added section 9(b)(4) to the NSLA (42 U.S.C. 1758(b)(4)) to require local education agencies to directly certify, without further application, any child who is a member of a household receiving Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) benefits and also the certification of certain children who are homeless, runaway, or migratory.

Need and Use of the Information: The purpose of this data collection associated with rulemaking is to comply with the requirements of Section 104 of Public law 108–265 for State agencies and local educational agencies. The intent is to improve school meal program access for low-income children, reduce paperwork for households and program administrators, and improve the integrity of the free and reduced price meal certification process.

Description of Respondents: State, Local, or Tribal Government. Number of Respondents: 20,858. Frequency of Responses: Recordkeeping; Reporting: On occasion. Total Burden Hours: 52,145.

# Ruth Brown,

Departmental Information Collection Clearance Officer.

[FR Doc. 2013–16649 Filed 7–10–13; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 3410–30–P** 

#### **DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE**

# Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

July 8, 2013.

The Department of Agriculture has submitted the following information collection requirement(s) to OMB for review and clearance under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104–13. Comments regarding (a) Whether the collection of

information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of burden including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected; (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology should be addressed to: Desk Officer for Agriculture, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget (OMB), New Executive Office Building, 725—17th Street NW., Washington, DC 20502. Commenters are encouraged to submit their comments to OMB via email to:

OIRA\_Submission@omb.eop.gov or fax (202) 395–5806 and to Departmental Clearance Office, USDA, OCIO, Mail Stop 7602, Washington, DC 20250–7602. Comments regarding these information collections are best assured of having their full effect if received by August 12, 2013. Copies of the submission(s) may be obtained by calling (202) 720–8681.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless the collection of information displays a currently valid OMB control number and the agency informs potential persons who are to respond to the collection of information that such persons are not required to respond to the collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

#### **Rural Business Cooperative Service**

*Title:* Rural Cooperative Development Grants—7 CFR 4284–F.

OMB Control Number: 0570–0006. Summary of Collection: The Rural Cooperative Development Grants (RCDG) program is administered through State Rural Development Offices on behalf of the Rural Business Cooperative Service (RBS). The primary objective of the program is to improve the economic condition of rural areas through cooperative development. Grant funds are awarded on a competitive basis using a scoring system that gives preference to applications that

demonstrate a proven track record. The applicants, who are non-profit corporations or institutions of higher education, will provide information using various forms and supporting documentation.

Need and Use of the Information: RBS will use the information collected to evaluate the applicant's ability to carry out the purposes of the program. If this information were not collected, RBS would have no basis on which to evaluate the relative merit of each application.

Description of Respondents: Not for profit institutions.

Number of Respondents: 73. Frequency of Responses: Record keeping; Reporting: On occasion. Total Burden Hours: 7,838.

#### Charlene Parker,

Departmental Information Collection Clearance Officer.

[FR Doc. 2013-16651 Filed 7-10-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-XY-P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE**

#### **Forest Service**

Nez Perce-Clearwater National Forests; Idaho; Notice To Proceed With Forest Plan Revision

**AGENCY:** Forest Service, Northern Region, Nez Perce-Clearwater National Forests, USDA.

**ACTION:** Notice of initiating the development of a proposed forest plan revision for the Nez Perce-Clearwater National Forests.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Agriculture (USDA), Forest Service, Northern Region, Nez Perce-Clearwater National Forests have initiated revision of their forest plan pursuant to the 2012 Forest Planning Rule. This process will ultimately result in a Forest Land Management Plan which describes the strategic direction for management of forest resources for the next ten to fifteen years on these National Forests (NFs). The first two phases of the process-preparing an assessment and developing a proposed action for plan revision—have begun. The 2012 Planning Rule requires the Forest Service to notify interested parties of development of a proposed plan or plan revision (36 CFR 219.16(a)(1). This notice meets that requirement. The public may comment on the draft assessment and draft proposed action (draft plan components) through the plan revision Web site at www.fs.usda.gov/main/

nezperceclearwater/landmanagement/planning.

DATES: The refined assessment will be available for public input in October 2013. A proposed action, which will be in the format of a plan (including plan components), is expected to be available for public comment in January 2014. A Notice of Intent will be published in the Federal Register pursuant to NEPA at that time. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) is anticipated to be available for public review and comment in early 2015 with the Final EIS being available late 2015.

ADDRESSES: Information, including the draft assessment and draft forest plan components (proposed action), is available on the Nez Perce-Clearwater NFs' Web site at: http://www.fs.usda.gov/main/nezperceclearwater/landmanagement/planning. The Web site has a link to a Collaborative Mapping Web site for site based input. Comments can also be sent via email to fpr\_npclw@fs.fed.us.
Comments are welcome at anytime but comments received prior to August 1, 2013 will be considered in the refined assessment and proposed action.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Joyce Thompson, Forest Planning and Public Affairs Staff, (208) 935–4273, email: fpr npclw@fs.fed.us.

Individuals who use telecommunication devices for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1–800–877–8339 between 8 a.m. and 8 p.m., Eastern Time, Monday through Friday.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The National Forest Management Act (NFMA) of 1976 requires that every National Forest System unit develop a land management plan. On April 9, 2012, the Forest Service finalized its land management planning rule (2012 Planning Rule) which implements the NFMA. Forest Plans describe the strategic direction for management of forest resources for ten to fifteen years and are adaptive and amendable as conditions change over time.

Under the 2012 Planning Rule, the assessment of ecological, social, and economic trends and conditions is the first phase of the planning process. Only informal public input is required at this stage (36 CFR 219.16(c)(6)).

The second phase is development of a proposed plan which ultimately includes analysis and a Record of Decision in compliance with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA)

The Forest Plan Revision process started on the Nez Perce and Clearwater National Forests in April 2012. An interdisciplinary team was assigned and began working on development of the Forest Plan Assessment.

The Forest worked with University of Idaho staff to develop a strategy on how to engage the public in the Forest Plan Revision process through a collaborative framework and open, transparent process. Five public orientation meetings, cohosted by County Commissioners and facilitated by University of Idaho staff, were held in Grangeville, Orofino, Moscow, and Lewiston, ID and Lolo, MT to:

- Inform the interested public on our intent to initiate Forest Plan
   Revision efforts
- 2. Solicit input on how the Forests could allow for an open, transparent and inclusive public participation process
- 3. Identify interested members of the public

Interested members of the public participated in a two-day Forest Planning Summit held Oct. 13–14, 2012 in Orofino, ID. The Forest worked with University of Idaho staff to design and facilitate the Summit. The Summit was also cohosted with County Commissioners representing the five counties in which the Nez Perce-Clearwater NFs lay. During the Summit, participants were asked how they wanted to participate in Forest Plan Revision, to what extent they could participate and how they would work together during collaborative participation. A core group of 60-70 interested parties/members of the public identified a process for collaborating with the Nez Perce-Clearwater NFs.

The Summit initiated a process where interested parties/members of the public met monthly in a collaborative learning environment to provide input to the Forest Supervisor and Forest Interdisciplinary Team. The locations of meetings rotated between Grangeville and Orofino, ID with two satellite locations in Missoula, MT and Boise, ID participating via video teleconference. The first meeting occurred in November 2012 in Grangeville, ID with seven subsequent meetings. The Forests will not hold meetings during the summer of 2013 while they review and compile input and perform preliminary analysis. Public collaboration meetings will continue in October 2013.

E-collaboration tools were developed so all interested members of the public could provide input into Forest Plan Revision efforts, not just those individuals who physically participated in collaborative meetings. Public input, whether received through collaborative meetings, e-collaboration or other forms,