

According to OCC, OTC Options are nearly identical to listed FLEX options on the S&P 500 that OCC has cleared for many years. OTC Options have the same degree of customization as FLEX options except that OTC Options are limited to a maximum tenor of five years whereas FLEX options can have tenors of up to fifteen years. In this respect, OCC states that OTC Options pose less of a challenge from a risk management perspective than do FLEX options. However, OCC believes, based on activity in the existing OTC markets for uncleared, bilateral options, that there may be greater open interest in OTC Options with tenors exceeding three years as compared to FLEX options, in which open interest is more concentrated in shorter term options. In addition, it is inherent in the nature of the OTC option markets that there are no market makers with affirmative duties to create liquidity by standing ready to buy and sell OTC Options in response to market interest as in the listed options markets, including the FLEX options market.

In order to address the potentially greater open interest in longer-tenor options, OCC is proposing to supplement its existing risk management procedures by enhancing its STANS margining system by:

(i) including in the daily dataset of market prices used by STANS to value each portfolio indicative daily quotations obtained through a third-party service provider that obtains these quotations through a daily poll of OTC derivatives dealers;

(ii) incorporating, into the set of risk factors whose behavior is included in the econometric models underlying STANS, time series of proportional changes in implied volatilities, for a range of tenors and in-the-money and out-of-the-money amounts representative of the foregoing dataset; and

(iii) introducing a valuation adjustment into the portfolio net asset value used by STANS, based upon the aggregate sensitivity of any longer-tenor options in a portfolio to the overall level of implied volatilities at three years and five years and to the relationship between implied volatility and exercise prices at both the three- and five-year tenors in order to allow for the market impact of unwinding a portfolio of longer-tenor options, as well as for any differences in the quality of data provided by OCC's third party service provider's dataset, given that month-end data may be subjected to more extensive validation by the service provider than daily data.

These proposed changes are described in more detail above. As noted above, OCC will not commence clearing of OTC Options unless and until the Commission has approved the modeling enhancements described herein.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Advance Notice and Timing for Commission Action

OCC may implement the proposed change pursuant to Section 806(e)(1)(G) of the Clearing Supervision Act¹¹ if it has not received an objection to the proposed change within 60 days of the later of (i) the date that the Commission received the advance notice or (ii) the date the Commission receives any further information it requested for consideration of the notice. The clearing agency shall not implement the proposed change if the Commission has any objection to the proposed change.

The Commission may extend the period for review by an additional 60 days if the proposed change raises novel or complex issues, subject to the Commission providing the clearing agency with prompt written notice of the extension. A proposed change may be implemented in less than 60 days from the date of receipt of the advance notice, or the date the Commission receives any further information it requested, if the Commission notifies the clearing agency in writing that it does not object to the proposed change and authorizes the clearing agency to implement the proposed change on an earlier date, subject to any conditions imposed by the Commission.

The clearing agency shall post notice on its Web site of proposed changes that are implemented.

The proposal shall not take effect until all regulatory actions required with respect to the proposal are completed.¹²

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views and arguments concerning the foregoing. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR-OCC-2013-803 on the subject line.

¹¹ 12 U.S.C. 5465(e)(1)(G).

¹² OCC also filed the proposals contained in this advance notice as a proposed rule change under Section 19(b)(1) of the Exchange Act and Rule 19b-4 thereunder. See *supra* note 3.

Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Elizabeth M. Murphy, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-OCC-2013-803. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet Web site (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the advance notice that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the advance notice between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for Web site viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549 on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of OCC and on OCC's Web site (<http://www.theocc.com/about/publications/bylaws.jsp>). All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-OCC-2013-803 and should be submitted on or before July 30, 2013.

By the Commission.

Elizabeth M. Murphy,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2013-16477 Filed 7-8-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-69921; File No. SR-Phlx-2013-72]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; NASDAQ OMX PHLX LLC; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change To Amend the Acceptable Complex Execution Parameter

July 2, 2013.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

(“Act”)¹, and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on July 1, 2013, NASDAQ OMX PHLX LLC (“Phlx” or “Exchange”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC” or “Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III, below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of the Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to amend the Acceptable Complex Execution Parameter (“ACE Parameter”) in Rule 1080.08(i), which is the price range outside of which a Complex Order (as defined below) will not be executed.

The text of the proposed rule change is set forth below. Proposed new language is *italicized*; deleted text is in brackets.

* * * * *

Rule 1080. Phlx XL and Phlx XL II

- (a)–(o) No change.
- • • *Commentary*: —————
- .01–.07 No change.
- .08 Complex Orders on Phlx XL.
- (a)–(h) No change.

* * * * *

(i) Acceptable Complex Execution (“ACE”) Parameter. The ACE Parameter defines a price range outside of which a Complex Order will not be executed [following a COLA]. The ACE Parameter is *either* a percentage *or number* defined by the Exchange on an issue-by-issue basis. [The ACE Parameter percentage shall not be less than 3 percent.] The ACE Parameter price range is based on the cNBBO at the time an order would be executed. A Complex Order to sell will not be executed at a price that is lower than the cNBBO bid by more than the ACE Parameter [percentage]. A Complex Order to buy will not be executed at a price that is higher than the cNBBO offer by more than the ACE Parameter [percentage]. A Complex Order or a portion of a Complex Order that cannot be executed within the ACE Parameter pursuant to this rule will be placed on the CBOOK. The Exchange will issue an Options Trader Alert (“OTA”) to membership indicating the issue-by-issue ACE Parameters [percentages]. The Exchange will also maintain a list of ACE Parameters [percentages] on its Web site.

* * * * *

II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements

concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The ACE Parameter feature is designed to help maintain a fair and orderly market by helping to mitigate the potential risk of executions at prices which are extreme and potentially erroneous. Specifically, the ACE Parameter prevents Complex Orders³ from automatically executing at potentially erroneous prices by establishing a price range outside of which a Complex Order will not be executed. Currently, the ACE Parameter is a percentage defined by the Exchange on an issue-by-issue basis. The purpose of this proposal is to make the ACE Parameter more flexible and relevant to different types of options by eliminating the 3 percent limit and permitting the ACE Parameter to a number, in addition to a percentage.

Currently, the ACE Parameter is always a percentage, not less than 3 percent. The ACE Parameter is based on the Complex National Best Bid or Offer (“cNBBO”)⁴ at the time an order would be executed. A Complex Order to sell will not be executed at a price that is lower than the cNBBO bid by more than the ACE Parameter. A Complex Order to buy will not be executed at a price that is higher than the cNBBO offer by more than the ACE Parameter percentage. A Complex Order or a portion of a Complex Order that cannot be executed within the ACE Parameter will be placed on Exchange’s Complex Limit Order Book (“CBOOK”).⁵

³ See Rule 1080.08(a).

⁴ See Rule 1080.08(a)(vi).

⁵ See Rule 1080.08(f). The Exchange notes that Complex Orders are placed on the CBOOK at their limit price and may trade pursuant to Rule 1080.08(f)(iii), depending on the movement of the cNBBO and application of the ACE Parameter. Although at any given time, the price of a Complex Order may lock or cross another Complex Order on the CBOOK, this is not a prohibited locked or crossed market for purposes of Rule 1086, because such Complex Orders do not constitute a Protected Quotation as defined in Rule 1083. Complex Orders consist of multiple components (rather than one series) and are not disseminated pursuant to the OPRA Plan. If two Complex Orders on the CBOOK cross, they may nevertheless execute against each

other, if the execution price is within the ACE Parameter. If, however, the potential execution price is not within the ACE Parameter for one of those orders, those orders would not trade.

For example, assume the ACE parameter is set at 10%, and a PHLX XL participant submits a Complex Order to buy Series A and buy Series B (30 units of the strategy) for a net debit of \$8.40 and a COLA⁶ is initiated. At the end of the COLA, the market is:

NBBO for Series A is \$4.50 – \$4.60, size 10 X 10.

NBBO for Series B is \$2.90 – \$3.00, size 10 X 10.

cNBBO for the strategy is \$7.40 – \$7.60.

If the ACE Parameter is set at 10%, executions to buy the strategy (buy Series A and buy Series B) will occur up to \$8.36 (\$7.60 + [0.10 x \$7.60]) but no higher. Any remainder of the order will be placed on the CBOOK at \$8.40.

In its proposal to adopt the ACE Parameter, the Exchange adopted a minimum 3 percent level, similar to the CBOE.⁷ At the time, the Exchange believed that this level was reasonable and appropriate, because a marketable order that would deviate from the cNBBO by more than 3% may be indicative of an extreme or potentially erroneous price, and an Exchange participant would likely want to evaluate the affected Complex Order further before receiving an automatic execution. At this time, based on its experience, the Exchange believes that this amount may not be appropriate for all options, such that a lower percentage could be necessary. For example, higher priced options series may benefit from an ACE Parameter of 1%. Consider the following scenario: Assume the ACE Parameter is set at 10%, and a PHLX XL participant submits a Complex Order to buy Series A and buy Series B (30 units of the strategy) at the market. Further assume:

NBBO for Series A is \$124.50 – \$124.60, size 10 X 10.

NBBO for Series B is \$12.90 – \$13.00, size 10 X 10.

cNBBO for the strategy is \$137.40 – \$137.60.

If the ACE Parameter is set at 10%, executions to buy the strategy (buy Series A and buy Series B) will occur up to \$151.36 (\$137.60 + [0.10 x \$137.60]) but no higher. The resulting executions of the Complex Order could vary in price by up to \$13.76 (\$151.36 – \$137.60). If the ACE

other, if the execution price is within the ACE Parameter. If, however, the potential execution price is not within the ACE Parameter for one of those orders, those orders would not trade.

⁶ COLA is the automated Complex Order Live Auction process. See Rule 1080.08(e).

⁷ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 66602 (March 14, 2012), 77 FR 16579 (March 21, 2012) (SR-Phlx-2012-31). See also CBOE Rule 6.53C.08(e).

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b–4.

Parameter is set at 1% rather than 10%, executions to buy the strategy will occur only up to \$138.97 (\$137.60 + [0.01 x \$137.60]) but no higher. With the ACE Parameter set at 1%, the variation in execution prices is drastically reduced.

The Exchange also seeks to operate the ACE Parameter not only as a percentage but as an absolute number, representing a certain dollar amount around the cNBBO. The Exchange believes that sometimes an absolute number rather than a percentage would be appropriate, such as when the cNBBO is low priced.

For example, assume the ACE Parameter is set at 10%, and a PHLX XL participant submits a Complex Order to buy Series A and sell Series B (30 units of the strategy) for a net debit of \$0.08.

NBBO for Series A is \$0.25 – \$0.28, size 10 X 10.

NBBO for Series B is \$0.20 – \$0.25, size 10 X 10.

cNBBO for the strategy is \$0.00 – \$0.08.

If the ACE Parameter is set at 10%, executions to buy the strategy (buy Series A and sell Series B) will only be permitted to occur at the offer of \$0.08 since a 10% range of that offer equates to a sub-penny increment (\$0.08 + [.10 x \$0.08]) = .088. Allowing an absolute number rather than a percentage for the ACE Parameter in this instance would give the Exchange the ability to offer a range of allowable execution prices rather than only the cNBBO offer.

The Exchange intends to implement these changes to the ACE Parameter in July or August, and will issue an Options Trader Alert (“OTA”) indicating when the changes become operative as well as the issue-by-issue ACE Parameters. The Exchange will also maintain a list of ACE Parameters on its Web site.

The Exchange also proposes to amend the first sentence of Rule 1080.08(i) by deleting reference to the COLA. The Exchange believes that this was an inadvertent drafting error and now seeks to correct it. Consistent with the fourth sentence, the ACE Parameter applies and is based on the cNBBO at the time an order would be executed, whether or not there was a COLA. The Exchange believes that the fifth and sixth sentences further support this and do not mention a COLA. Regardless, the Exchange believes that it is appropriate to apply the ACE Parameter even when there is no COLA, because the purpose of the ACE Parameter is to protect orders from an execution at a faraway price, which purpose is equally relevant when there is an execution without a COLA. Accordingly, the Exchange believes that applying the ACE

Parameter to orders than are not subject to a COLA should be beneficial to users submitting Complex Orders to the Exchange.

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that its proposal is consistent with Section 6(b) of the Act⁸ in general, and furthers the objectives of Section 6(b)(5) of the Act⁹ in particular, in that it is designed to promote just and equitable principles of trade and protect investors and the public interest, by making slight modifications to the ACE Parameter so that it can better protect investors from extreme and potentially erroneous executions of their Complex Orders. The ACE Parameter, as modified, will continue to promote just and equitable principles of trade by preventing executions at prices that are significantly worse than the cNBBO, which the Exchange believes is a fair representation of then-available prices. Like other order protections, such as an Acceptable Trade Range feature,¹⁰ the ACE Parameter is a protection against executions at inappropriate prices and the Exchange believes that it will do so better with the modifications proposed herein.

Respecting the amendment to the first sentence of Rule 1080.08(i), the Exchange believes that applying the ACE Parameter when there is no COLA is consistent with the aforementioned statutory principles, because the ACE Parameter will protect orders from an execution at a faraway price. Specifically, when there is no COLA and therefore no opportunity for price improvement over existing markets, protection from executions at faraway prices is especially useful and likely to promote just and equitable principles of trade and protect investors and the public interest.

The Exchange noted above that Complex Orders are placed on the CBOOK at their limit price and may trade from the CBOOK pursuant to Rule 1080.08(f)(iii). At any given time, the price of a Complex Order may lock or cross another Complex Order on the CBOOK, which is not prohibited, as explained above. The Exchange believes that it is consistent with just and equitable principles of trade and the protection of investors and the public interest for Complex Orders on the CBOOK to, in this way, lock or cross, including because of the application of the ACE Parameter, without interacting,

because those orders benefit from the protections of the ACE Parameter in terms of pricing at a reasonable price from the market. Although such orders could have potentially interacted but for the ACE Parameter, the orders are nevertheless protected from unreasonable execution prices, which benefits those who enter such Complex Orders.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. Specifically, the proposal does not impose an intra-market burden on competition, because it will be available to all Phlx participants who enter Complex Orders. Nor will the proposal impose a burden on competition among the options exchanges, because, in addition to the vigorous competition for order flow among the options exchanges generally, many options exchanges offer complex order functionality. To the extent that market participants disagree with the particular approach taken by the Exchange herein, market participants can easily and readily direct order flow to competing venues. The ACE Parameter, as amended by this proposed rule change, will not impose a burden on competition.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

No written comments were either solicited or received.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Because the foregoing proposed rule change does not: (i) Significantly affect the protection of investors or the public interest; (ii) impose any significant burden on competition; and (iii) become operative for 30 days from the date on which it was filed, or such shorter time as the Commission may designate, it has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)(ii) of the Act¹¹ and subparagraph (f)(6) of Rule 19b-4 thereunder.¹²

¹¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(a)(ii).

¹² 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6). In addition, Rule 19b-4(f)(6) requires a self-regulatory organization to give the Commission written notice of its intent to file the proposed rule change at least five business days prior to the date of filing of the proposed rule change, or such shorter time as designated by the Commission. The Exchange has satisfied this requirement.

⁸ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

⁹ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

¹⁰ See NOM Rules, Chapter VI, Section 10(7) and BX Options Rules, Chapter VI, Section 10(7).

At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is: (i) Necessary or appropriate in the public interest; (ii) for the protection of investors; or (iii) otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission shall institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule should be approved or disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR-Phlx-2013-72 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Elizabeth M. Murphy, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-Phlx-2013-72. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet Web site (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for Web site viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of such filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal offices of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal

identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-Phlx-2013-72, and should be submitted on or before July 30, 2013.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.¹³

Elizabeth M. Murphy,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2013-16476 Filed 7-8-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-69927; File No. SR-NYSE-2013-46]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; New York Stock Exchange LLC; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change Amending Its Price List To Add Greater Specificity Related to the Applicable "Tier 3" Supplemental Liquidity Provider Rate and the Member Organization Tier 1 and Tier 2 Adding Credit Rates

July 3, 2013.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act")¹ and Rule 19b4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that, on June 20, 2013, New York Stock Exchange LLC (the "Exchange" or "NYSE") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to amend its Price List to add greater specificity related to (i) the applicable "tier 3" Supplemental Liquidity Provider ("SLP") rate and (ii) the member organization Tier 1 and Tier 2 Adding Credit rates. The Exchange proposes to implement the fee change effective July 1, 2013. The text of the proposed rule change is available on the Exchange's Web site at www.nyse.com, at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission's Public Reference Room.

¹³ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the self-regulatory organization included statements concerning the purpose of, and basis for, the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of those statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant parts of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The Exchange proposes to amend its Price List to add greater specificity related to (i) the applicable "tier 3" SLP rate and (ii) the member organization Tier 1 and Tier 2 Adding Credit rates. The Exchange proposes to implement the fee change effective July 1, 2013.

SLP Credits³

SLPs are eligible for certain credits when adding liquidity to the Exchange. The amount of the credit is determined by the "tier" that the SLP qualifies for, which is generally based on the SLP's level of quoting and the average daily volume ("ADV") of liquidity added by the SLP in assigned securities, excluding early closing days. Since October 1, 2012, a \$0.0025 credit has been available under "tier 3" for an SLP that adds liquidity to the NYSE in securities with a per share price of \$1.00 or more if the SLP (i) meets the 10% average or more quoting requirement in an assigned security pursuant to Rule 107B (quotes of an SLP-Prop and an SLMM of the same member organization are not aggregated), (ii) adds liquidity for all assigned SLP securities in the aggregate (including shares of both an SLP-Prop and an SLMM of the same member organization) of an ADV of more than 0.22% of NYSE consolidated ADV ("CADV"), (iii) adds liquidity for all assigned SLP securities in the aggregate (including shares of both an SLP-Prop and an SLMM of the same member organization) of an ADV during the billing month that is at least an 0.18% increase over the SLP's

³ The SLP program provides incentives for quoting and adds competition to the existing group of liquidity providers. An SLP can either be a proprietary trading unit of a member organization (an "SLP-Prop") or a registered market maker at the Exchange (an "SLMM"). See Rule 107B.