

during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Room 112, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. Hearing impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: The Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone (202) 205-2560.

Authority: The authority for institution of this investigation is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and in section 210.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10 (2012).

Scope of Investigation: Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on _____, 2013, ordered that—

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain consumer electronics with display and processing capabilities by reason of infringement of one or more of claims 2, 3, 5-8, and 25-31 of the '327 patent; claims 1, 3, 4, and 6-10 of the '158; and claim 1 of the '881 patent, and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337;

(2) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

(a) The complainant is: Graphics Properties Holdings, Inc., 56 Harrison Street, Suite 203A, New Rochelle, NY 10801-6555.

(b) The respondents are the following entities alleged to be in violation of section 337, and are the parties upon which the complaint is to be served: Panasonic Corporation, 1006 Oaza Kadoma-shi, Kadoma 571-8501, Osaka, Japan.

Panasonic Corporation of North America, 1 Panasonic Way, Secaucus, NJ 07094.

Toshiba Corporation, 1-1, Shibaura 1 Chome, Minato-Ku, Tokyo 105-8001, Japan.

Toshiba America, Inc., 1251 Avenue of the Americas, Suite 4110, New York, NY 10020.

Toshiba America Information Systems, Inc., 9740 Irvine Boulevard, Irvine, CA 92618.

Vizio, Inc., 39 Tesla, Irvine, CA 92618.

AmTran Logistics, Inc., 9 Goddard, Irvine, CA 92618.

AmTran Technology Co., Ltd., 17f, 268, Lien Cheng Road, 23553 New Taipei City, Taiwan.

ZTE Corporation, ZTE Plaza, No. 55, Hi-Tech Road South, Hi-Tech Industrial Park, Shenzhen 518057, Guangdong, China.

ZTE (USA) Inc. (registered agent), 33 Wood Avenue South, Floor 2, Iselin, NJ 08830, and 2425 N. Central Expressway #323, Richardson, TX 75080.

ZTE Solutions, 2425 N. Central Expressway #323, Richardson, TX 75080.

(c) The Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Suite 401, Washington, DC 20436; and

(3) For the investigation so instituted, the Chief Administrative Law Judge, U.S. International Trade Commission, shall designate the presiding Administrative Law Judge.

Responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondents in accordance with section 210.13 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to 19 CFR 201.16(e) and 210.13(a), such responses will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the Commission of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of a respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter an initial determination and a final determination containing

such findings, and may result in the issuance of an exclusion order or a cease and desist order or both directed against the respondent.

Issued: June 20, 2013.

By order of the Commission.

William R. Bishop,

Supervisory Hearings and Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 2013-15103 Filed 6-24-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Proposed Consent Decree Under Clean Air Act

On June 18, 2013, the Department of Justice lodged a proposed Consent Decree with the United States District Court for the District of Columbia in the lawsuit entitled *United States v. American Honda Motor Company, Inc.*, Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-912.

In this action the United States seeks, among other things, injunctive relief and civil penalties for the importation by American Honda Motor Company, Inc. ("AHM") of uncertified small non-road gasoline engines in violation of Section 203(a) of the Clean Air Act ("CAA"), 42 U.S.C. 7522(a). The engines were uncertified because they did not conform to the certificates of conformity issued to cover their importation and sale in the United States, in that they lacked mufflers or air intake boxes. The proposed Consent Decree provides for AHM to pay a \$580,000 civil penalty and to address the environmental harm by retiring 55 tons of pollution credits.

The publication of this notice opens a period for public comment on the Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and should refer to *United States v. American Honda Motor Company, Inc.*, D.J. Ref. No. 90-5-2-1-10148. All comments must be submitted no later than 30 days after the publication date of this notice. Comments may be submitted either by email or by mail:

<i>To submit comments:</i>	<i>Send them to:</i>
By email	<i>pubcommentees.enrd@usdoj.gov.</i>
By mail	Assistant Attorney General, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044-7611.

During the public comment period, the Consent Decree may be examined

and downloaded at this Justice Department Web site: http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/Consent_Decrees.html. We will provide a paper copy of the Consent Decree upon written request and payment of reproduction costs. Please mail your request and payment to: Consent Decree Library, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044–7611.

Please enclose a check or money order for \$4.25 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the United States Treasury.

Maureen Katz,

Assistant Section Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 2013–15148 Filed 6–24–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410–15–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Proposed Consent Decree Under the Clean Air Act

On June 19, 2013, the Department of Justice lodged a proposed consent decree with the United States District Court for the District of Kansas in the lawsuit entitled *United States et al. v. Ash Grove Cement Company*, Civil Action No. 2:13–cv–02299–JTM–DJW.

The proposed consent decree, if approved by the Court, would require Ash Grove Cement Company (“Ash Grove”) to achieve substantial reductions of nitrogen oxides (“NO_x”), sulfur dioxide (“SO₂”), and particulate matter (“PM”) at its nine cement manufacturing plants operating in as many states. The states of Arkansas, Idaho, Kansas, Montana, Nebraska, Oregon, Utah, Washington and the Puget Sound Clean Air Agency are parties to the proposed Decree. To reduce NO_x emissions, the proposed Decree would require Ash Grove to install new, modern pollution controls on nine of the kilns; shut down two old, inefficient kilns; optimize the operation of two relatively small, older kilns; and meet stringent NO_x emission limits. The Decree would also require Ash Grove to meet stringent emission limits to reduce SO₂ emissions and would require modern pollution controls to reduce PM emissions at all eleven kilns that will continue to operate. Finally, the Decree would require Ash Grove to pay \$2.5 million in civil penalties and to perform additional projects to replace diesel truck engines with modern, more efficient engines at its plants located in Arkansas, Kansas, and Texas. These truck engine replacements will further reduce NO_x, PM, and ozone emissions.

The publication of this notice opens a period for public comment on the proposed Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and should refer to *United States v. Ash Grove Cement Co.*, D.J. Ref. No. 90–5–2–1–09875. All comments must be submitted no later than thirty (30) days after the publication date of this notice. Comments may be submitted either by email or by mail:

<i>To submit comments:</i>	<i>Send them to:</i>
By email	<i>pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov.</i>
By mail	Assistant Attorney General U.S. DOJ—ENRD P.O. Box 7611 Washington, DC 20044–7611.

During the public comment period, the proposed Consent Decree may be examined and downloaded at this Justice Department Web site: <http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/ConsentDecrees.html>. We will provide a paper copy of the proposed Consent Decree upon written request and payment of reproduction costs. Please mail your request and payment to: Consent Decree Library, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044–7611.

Please enclose a check or money order for \$31.25 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the United States Treasury. For a paper copy without the exhibits and signature pages, the cost is \$27.25.

Maureen Katz,

Assistant Section Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 2013–15067 Filed 6–24–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410–15–P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

Announcement Regarding a Change in Eligibility for Unemployment Insurance (UI) Claimants in Alabama, Alaska, Delaware, Illinois, Louisiana, Michigan, Mississippi, Ohio, the Virgin Islands and Wisconsin in the Emergency Unemployment Compensation 2008 (EUC08) Program, and the Federal-State Extended Benefits (EB) Program

AGENCY: Employment and Training Administration, Labor.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Announcement regarding a change in eligibility for Unemployment Insurance (UI) claimants in Alabama, Alaska, Delaware, Illinois, Louisiana, Michigan, Mississippi, Ohio, the Virgin Islands and Wisconsin in the Emergency Unemployment Compensation (EUC08) program, and the Federal-State Extended Benefits (EB) program.

The U.S. Department of Labor (Department) produces trigger notices indicating which states qualify for both EB and EUC08 benefits, and provides the beginning and ending dates of payable periods for each qualifying state. The trigger notices covering state eligibility for these programs can be found at: http://ows.doleta.gov/unemploy/claims_arch.asp.

The following changes have occurred since the publication of the last notice regarding states EUC08 and EB trigger status:

- Alabama’s trigger value had fallen below the 7.0% threshold and has triggered “off” Tier 3 of EUC08.

Based on data released by the Bureau of Labor Statistics on March 18, 2013, the three month average, seasonally adjusted total unemployment rate (TUR) in Alabama was 6.9%, falling below the 7.0% trigger threshold necessary to remain “on” Tier 3 of EUC08. The week ending April 13, 2013, was the last week in which EUC08 claimants in Alabama could exhaust Tier 2 and establish Tier 3 eligibility. Under the phase-out provisions, claimants could receive any remaining entitlement they had for Tier 3 after April 13, 2013.

- Alaska’s insured unemployment rate (IUR) has fallen below the 6.0% trigger threshold and has triggered “off” of EB.

Based on data from Alaska for the week ending April 13, 2013, the 13 week IUR in Alaska fell below the 6.0% trigger threshold necessary to remain “on” EB. The payable period in EB for Alaska ended May 4, 2013.

- Alaska’s IUR has fallen below the 6.0% trigger threshold and has triggered “off” Tier 4 of EUC08.

Based on data from Alaska for the week ending April 13, 2013, the 13 week IUR in Alaska fell below the 6.0% trigger rate threshold to remain “on” Tier 4 of EUC08. The week ending May 4, 2013, was the last week in which EUC08 claimants in Alaska could exhaust Tier 3, and establish Tier 4 eligibility. Under the phase-out provisions, claimants could receive any remaining entitlement they had for Tier 4 after May 4, 2013.

- Delaware’s trigger value exceeds the 7.0% trigger threshold and has triggered “on” Tier 3 of EUC08.