

second sentence of the **SUMMARY** and the title of contact person was incorrect. This document corrects those errors.

In the first column, second sentence of the **SUMMARY**, add the word “advanced” before “notice of proposed rulemaking,” and in the second column, **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**, correct the title by removing “Executive Director, Center for Veterans Enterprise (00VE)” and adding, in its place, “Executive Director of the Office of Small and Disadvantaged Business Utilization (00SB)”.

Dated: June 14, 2013.

**William F. Russo,**

*Deputy Director, Office of Regulation Policy and Management, Office of the General Counsel, Department of Veterans Affairs.*

[FR Doc. 2013-14583 Filed 6-18-13; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 8320-01-P**

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 49

[EPA-R09-OAR-2013-0009; FRL-9825-3]

#### Approval of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Navajo Nation; Regional Haze Requirements for Navajo Generating Station; Notice of Intent To Hold Public Hearings

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice of intent to hold public hearings.

**SUMMARY:** On February 5, 2013, EPA proposed a Best Available Retrofit Technology (BART) determination for emissions of oxides of nitrogen (NO<sub>x</sub>) from the Navajo Generating Station (NGS), located on the Navajo Nation. EPA provided a three-month period for public comments, to close on May 6, 2013. The Navajo Nation, Gila River Indian Community, and other affected stakeholders requested a 90-day extension of the comment period to allow time for stakeholders to develop an alternative to EPA’s proposed BART determination that achieves greater reasonable progress. On March 19, 2013, EPA extended the close of the public comment period to August 5, 2013. EPA is providing notice of our intent to hold five public hearings to accept written and oral comments on the proposed BART determination for NGS.

**DATES:** EPA will announce dates and locations for the public hearings at a later time in the **Federal Register**, on our Web site, and in the docket for this

proposed rulemaking.<sup>1</sup> Comments on the proposed BART determination for NGS must be postmarked no later than August 5, 2013.

**ADDRESSES:** The public hearings will be held at various locations in Indian country and in the state of Arizona. Please see the section on **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** for more details.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Anita Lee, EPA Region 9, (415) 972-3958, [r9ngsbart@epa.gov](mailto:r9ngsbart@epa.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** EPA intends to hold public hearings at one location each on the Navajo Reservation, on the Hopi Reservation, and in Page, Phoenix, and Tucson, Arizona. These hearings will provide interested parties the opportunity to present facts, views, or arguments concerning the proposed rule requiring NGS to meet emission limits for NO<sub>x</sub>, required under the BART provision of the Regional Haze Rule, in order to reduce visibility impairment resulting from NGS at 11 National Parks and Wilderness Areas.

Written statements and supporting information submitted during the comment period will be considered with the same weight as any oral comments and supporting information presented at the public hearing. Written comments must be postmarked on or before the last day of the comment period, August 5, 2013.

If you are unable to attend the hearing but wish to submit comments on the proposed rule, you may submit comments, identified by docket number EPA-R09-OAR-2013-0009, by one of the following methods:

(1) *Federal eRulemaking Portal:* [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov). Follow the on-line instructions.

(2) *Email:* [r9ngsbart@epa.gov](mailto:r9ngsbart@epa.gov).

(3) *Mail or deliver:* Anita Lee (Air-2), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region 9, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105-3901.

For more detailed instructions concerning how to submit comments on this proposed rule, and for more information on our proposed rule, please see the notice of proposed rulemaking, published in the **Federal Register** on February 5, 2013 (78 FR 8274).

#### List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 49

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Indians, Intergovernmental relations, Nitrogen dioxide.

<sup>1</sup> See <http://www.epa.gov/region9/air/navajo/index.html#proposed> and <http://www.regulations.gov/#!docketDetail;D=EPA-R09-OAR-2013-0009>.

Dated: June 10, 2013.

**Deborah Jordan,**

*Air Division Director, Region 9.*

[FR Doc. 2013-14630 Filed 6-18-13; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 52

[EPA-R03-OAR-2008-0603; FRL-9824-6]

#### Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Pennsylvania; Philadelphia County Reasonably Available Control Technology Under the 1997 8-Hour Ozone National Ambient Air Quality Standard; Withdrawal and New Issuance

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule; withdrawal and new issuance.

**SUMMARY:** On August 26, 2008, EPA published a proposed rule to approve a revision to the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania (Pennsylvania) State Implementation Plan (SIP) submitted by the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection (PADEP) on behalf of Philadelphia Air Management Services (AMS). The SIP revision, submitted to EPA on September 29, 2006 (the 2006 SIP revision), consists of a demonstration that Philadelphia County is meeting the requirements of reasonably available control technology (RACT) of the Clean Air Act (CAA) for nitrogen oxides (NO<sub>x</sub>) and volatile organic compounds (VOC) under the 1997 8-hour ozone national ambient air quality standard (NAAQS). EPA has determined that it cannot proceed with the final approval of the 2006 SIP revision. In light of the decision of the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia (the Court) regarding EPA’s Phase 2 Ozone Implementation Rule, EPA cannot approve that compliance with a cap-and-trade program satisfies the NO<sub>x</sub> RACT requirement for electric generating units (EGUs) in Philadelphia County, as presumed in the 2006 SIP revision. In addition, upon further review, EPA has determined that the 2006 SIP revision does not adequately address the RACT requirements under the 1997 8-hour ozone NAAQS for the major sources of VOC and NO<sub>x</sub> for which EPA has previously approved source-specific RACT determinations under the 1-hour ozone NAAQS. Therefore, EPA is withdrawing its August 26, 2008 proposed rule to

approve Philadelphia County's 1997 8-hour RACT demonstration. On June 22, 2010, PADEP submitted another SIP revision (the 2010 SIP revision) that consists of AMS regulations to address specific RACT requirements for Philadelphia County. EPA is proposing conditional approval of Philadelphia County 1997 8-hour ozone RACT demonstration provided in the 2006 and 2010 SIP revisions, based upon AMS' commitment to submit additional SIP revisions addressing source-specific RACT controls for major sources of VOC and NO<sub>x</sub> in Philadelphia County. This proposed action and the withdrawal action are being taken under the Clean Air Act (CAA).

**DATES:** The proposed rule published on August 26, 2008 (73 FR 50270) is withdrawn as of July 19, 2013. Written comments on EPA's proposed conditional approval action must be received on or before July 19, 2013.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID Number EPA-R03-OAR-2008-0603 by one of the following methods:

A. *www.regulations.gov*. Follow the on-line instructions for submitting comments.

B. Email: [fernandez.cristina@epa.gov](mailto:fernandez.cristina@epa.gov).

C. Mail: EPA-R03-OAR-2008-0603, Cristina Fernandez, Associate Director, Office of Air Program Planning, Mailcode 3AP30, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, 1650 Arch Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103.

D. *Hand Delivery:* At the previously-listed EPA Region III address. Such deliveries are only accepted during the Docket's normal hours of operation, and special arrangements should be made for deliveries of boxed information.

**Instructions:** Direct your comments to Docket ID No. EPA-R03-OAR-2008-0603. EPA's policy is that all comments received will be included in the public docket without change, and may be made available online at *www.regulations.gov*, including any personal information provided, unless the comment includes information claimed to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Do not submit information that you consider to be CBI or otherwise protected through *www.regulations.gov* or email. The *www.regulations.gov* Web site is an "anonymous access" system, which means EPA will not know your identity or contact information unless you provide it in the body of your comment. If you send an email comment directly to EPA without going through *www.regulations.gov*, your

email address will be automatically captured and included as part of the comment that is placed in the public docket and made available on the Internet. If you submit an electronic comment, EPA recommends that you include your name and other contact information in the body of your comment and with any disk or CD-ROM you submit. If EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties and cannot contact you for clarification, EPA may not be able to consider your comment. Electronic files should avoid the use of special characters, any form of encryption, and be free of any defects or viruses.

**Docket:** All documents in the electronic docket are listed in the *www.regulations.gov* index. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, i.e., CBI or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, is not placed on the Internet and will be publicly available only in hard copy form. Publicly available docket materials are available either electronically in *www.regulations.gov* or in hard copy during normal business hours at the Air Protection Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, 1650 Arch Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103. Copies of the State submittal are available at the Department of Public Health, Air Management Services, 321 University Avenue, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19104. Copies are also available at Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection, Bureau of Air Quality Control, P.O. Box 8468, 400 Market Street, Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17105.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Emlyn Vélez-Rosa, (215) 814-2038, or by email at [velez-rosa.emlyn@epa.gov](mailto:velez-rosa.emlyn@epa.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On September 29, 2006, and on June 22, 2010, PADEP submitted on behalf of AMS two SIP revisions for Philadelphia County addressing the requirements of RACT under the 1997 8-hour ozone NAAQS.

### I. Background

Ozone is formed in the atmosphere by photochemical reactions between VOC, NO<sub>x</sub>, and carbon monoxide (CO) in the presence of sunlight. In order to reduce ozone concentrations in the ambient air, the CAA requires all nonattainment areas to apply controls on VOC and NO<sub>x</sub> emission sources to achieve emission reductions. Among effective control measures, RACT controls are a major

group for reducing VOC and NO<sub>x</sub> emissions from stationary sources.

Since the 1970's, EPA has consistently interpreted RACT to mean the lowest emission limit that a particular source is capable of meeting by the application of the control technology that is reasonably available considering technological and economic feasibility (*See* 72 FR 20586 at 20610, April 25, 2007). Section 172(c)(1) of the CAA provides that SIPs for nonattainment areas must include reasonably available control measures (RACT) for attainment of the NAAQS, including emissions reductions from existing sources through adoption of RACT. Section 182(a)(2)(A) of the CAA referred to as RACT fix-up requires the correction of RACT rules for which EPA identified deficiencies before the CAA was amended in 1990. Philadelphia County has no deficiencies to correct under this section of the CAA.

Section 182(b)(2) and (f) of the CAA requires that moderate (or worse) ozone nonattainment areas, as well as marginal and attainment areas in the ozone transport region (OTR) established pursuant to section 184 of the CAA, implement RACT controls on all major VOC and NO<sub>x</sub> emission sources (point sources) and on all sources and source categories covered by a control technique guideline (CTG) issued by EPA. A major source in a nonattainment area is defined as any stationary source that emits or has the potential to emit NO<sub>x</sub> and VOC emissions above a certain applicability threshold that is based on the ozone nonattainment classification of the area: marginal, moderate, serious, or severe. (*See* "major stationary source" in 40 CFR 51.165).

Philadelphia County was designated under the 1-hour ozone NAAQS as part of the Philadelphia-Wilmington-Trenton severe ozone nonattainment area. *See* 56 FR 56694, at 56822 (November 6, 1991). The entire Commonwealth of Pennsylvania is also part of the OTR established under section 184 of the CAA. Therefore, Philadelphia County was subject to the CAA RACT requirements under the 1-hour ozone NAAQS. As a result, PADEP and AMS implemented numerous RACT controls applicable in Philadelphia County to meet the RACT requirements.

On July 18, 1997 (62 FR 38856), EPA promulgated an 8-hour ozone NAAQS. On April 30, 2004, Philadelphia County was designated under the 1997 8-hour ozone NAAQS as part of the Philadelphia-Wilmington-Atlantic City moderate ozone nonattainment area. *See* 69 FR 23858, at 23931 (April 30, 2004). Therefore, PADEP is required to submit to EPA, on behalf of AMS, a SIP revision

that addresses how Philadelphia County meets the RACT requirements under the 1997 8-hour ozone standard.

Implementation of RACT controls is required in Philadelphia County for each category of VOC sources covered by a CTG document issued by EPA and all other major stationary sources of NO<sub>x</sub> and VOC.

On November 29, 2005 (70 FR 71612), EPA published an ozone implementation rule to address nonattainment SIP requirements for the 1997 8-hour ozone NAAQS (the Phase 2 Ozone Implementation Rule). This rule addressed various statutory requirements, including the requirement for RACT level controls for sources located within nonattainment areas generally, and controls for NO<sub>x</sub> emissions from EGUs in particular. In the Phase 2 Ozone Implementation Rule, EPA specifically required that states meet the RACT requirements under the 1997 8-hour ozone NAAQS, either through a certification that previously adopted RACT controls in their SIP revisions approved by EPA under the 1-hour ozone NAAQS continue to represent adequate RACT control levels for 8-hour attainment purposes, or through the adoption of new or more stringent regulations that represent RACT control levels. *See* 70 FR 71655 (November 29, 2005).

As set forth in the preamble to the Phase 2 Ozone Implementation Rule, a certification must be accompanied by appropriate supporting information such as consideration of information received during the public comment period and consideration of new data. This information may supplement existing RACT guidance documents that were developed for the 1-hour standard, such that the state's SIP accurately reflects RACT for the 1997 8-hour ozone standard based on the current availability of technically and economically feasible controls. Adoption of new RACT regulations will occur when states have new stationary sources not covered by existing RACT regulations, or when new data or technical information indicates that a previously adopted RACT measure does not represent a newly available RACT control level. Another 1997 8-hour ozone NAAQS requirement for RACT is to submit a negative declaration if there are no CTG major sources of VOC and NO<sub>x</sub> emissions within the nonattainment area in lieu of or in addition to a certification.

For addressing interstate transport of ozone pollution, EPA determined in the Phase 2 Ozone Implementation Rule that the regional NO<sub>x</sub> emissions reductions that result from either the

NO<sub>x</sub> SIP Call or the Clean Air Interstate Rule (CAIR) would meet the NO<sub>x</sub> RACT requirement for EGUs located in states included within the respective geographic regions. Thus, EPA concluded that the states need not perform a NO<sub>x</sub> RACT analysis for sources subject to the state's emission cap-and-trade program where the cap-and-trade program has been adopted by the state and approved by EPA as meeting the NO<sub>x</sub> SIP Call requirements or, in states achieving the CAIR reductions solely from EGUs, the CAIR NO<sub>x</sub> requirements.

In November 2008, several parties challenged EPA's Phase 2 Ozone Implementation Rule. In particular, EPA's determination that compliance with the NO<sub>x</sub> SIP Call could satisfy NO<sub>x</sub> RACT requirements for EGUs in nonattainment areas was challenged. As a result of this litigation, the Court decided that the provisions in the Phase 2 Ozone Implementation Rule providing that a state need not perform (or submit) a NO<sub>x</sub> RACT analysis for EGU sources subject to a cap-and-trade program in accordance with the NO<sub>x</sub> SIP Call were inconsistent with the statutory requirements of section 172(c)(1) of the CAA. Because regionwide RACT-level reductions in emissions do not meet the statutory requirement that the reductions be from sources in the nonattainment area, the Court found that EPA has not shown that compliance with the NO<sub>x</sub> SIP Call will result in at least RACT-level reductions in emissions from sources within each nonattainment area. *See NRDC v. EPA*, 571 F.3d 1245 (D.C. Cir. 2009).

## II. EPA's Rationale for Withdrawal of Proposed Approval and Proposal of Conditional Approval

On September 29, 2006, PADEP submitted on behalf of AMS a SIP revision for Philadelphia County to meet the RACT requirements for the 1997 8-hour ozone NAAQS. The 2006 SIP revision consists of a demonstration that Philadelphia County has met the RACT requirements for NO<sub>x</sub> and VOC, and includes: (1) A certification that previously adopted RACT controls in Pennsylvania's SIP that were approved by EPA for Philadelphia County under the 1-hour ozone NAAQS are based on the currently available technically and economically feasible controls, and continue to represent RACT for the 8-hour implementation purposes; (2) the adoption of federally enforceable permits that represent RACT control levels for four major VOC sources; and (3) a negative declaration that certain VOC sources do not exist in Philadelphia County.

On August 26, 2008 (73 FR 50270), EPA published a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPR) proposing approval of the 2006 SIP revision. However, the 2006 SIP revision relies on the NO<sub>x</sub> SIP Call to meet the NO<sub>x</sub> RACT requirements for EGUs. In light of the Court decision regarding the Phase 2 Ozone Implementation Rule, EPA has determined it cannot approve the presumption in the 2006 SIP submittal that the NO<sub>x</sub> SIP Call constitutes RACT for EGU sources in Philadelphia County. Thus, AMS needs to perform a NO<sub>x</sub> RACT analysis for sources that in the 2006 SIP revision relied on the NO<sub>x</sub> SIP Call to satisfy Philadelphia County's NO<sub>x</sub> RACT requirements.

Upon further review, EPA also determined that the 2006 SIP revision does not specifically and sufficiently address if the source-specific RACT controls for 46 major sources in Philadelphia County that were previously approved in the SIP under the 1-hour ozone NAAQS continue to represent RACT under the 1997 8-hour ozone NAAQS. Therefore, to satisfy the major source RACT requirement for the 1997 8-hour ozone NAAQS, AMS needs to either: (1) Provide a certification that previously adopted source-specific RACT controls approved by EPA in Pennsylvania's SIP under the 1-hour ozone NAAQS for major sources in Philadelphia County (as listed in 40 CFR 52.2020(d)(1)) continue to adequately represent RACT for the 1997 8-hour ozone NAAQS, or (2) perform a source-specific RACT analysis for each source which controls are not currently adequately representing RACT under the 1997 8-hour ozone standard.

On June 22, 2010, PADEP submitted another SIP revision addressing Philadelphia County's RACT requirements under the 1997 8-hour ozone standard. The 2010 SIP revision consists of: (1) The adoption of two regulations to meet CTG RACT requirements, and (2) a negative declaration for a CTG source category.

Since the 2006 SIP revision relies on the NO<sub>x</sub> SIP Call to meet the NO<sub>x</sub> RACT requirements for EGUs and it does not specifically and sufficiently address the source-specific RACT determinations for 46 major sources that were previously approved under the 1-hour ozone standard, EPA has determined that it cannot proceed with the final approval of this SIP revision. Therefore, EPA is withdrawing its August 26, 2008 proposed rule (73 FR 50270) to approve the 2006 SIP revision.

Nevertheless, in this rulemaking action, EPA is proposing conditional approval of Philadelphia County's 1997 8-hour ozone RACT demonstration

provided in the 2006 and 2010 SIP revisions, based upon a commitment from AMS to submit additional SIP revisions to provide source-specific RACT determinations for certain major sources of VOC and NO<sub>x</sub> in Philadelphia County, and a certification that previously adopted source-specific RACT controls approved by EPA in the Pennsylvania's SIP under the 1-hour ozone NAAQS for the remaining sources in Philadelphia County (as listed in 40 CFR 52.2020(d)(1)) continue to adequately represent RACT for the 1997 8-hour ozone NAAQS. Pursuant to

section 110(k)(4) of the CAA, on April 26, 2013, PADEP submitted on behalf of AMS a letter committing to submit SIP revisions to address source-specific RACT controls under the 1997 8-hour ozone standard for Philadelphia County.

**III. Summary of SIP Revisions**

*A. CTG RACT Controls and Negative Declarations*

In the 2006 SIP revision, in lieu of adopting regulations to address VOC CTG RACT requirements, Federally-enforceable permits were included for the following four major VOC sources in

Philadelphia County: (1) Philadelphia Gas Works—Richmond Station, (2) Philadelphia Energy Solutions Refinery (formerly Sunoco Philadelphia Refinery), (3) Aker Philadelphia Shipyard, and (4) Sunoco Chemicals. In Section 4 of the 2006 SIP revision, AMS certified that these permits established RACT controls that are as stringent as EPA's presumptive RACT provided in the applicable CTG documents for the specific source categories. Table 1 identifies the four major VOC sources and the applicable CTG RACT requirements covered by these permits.

**TABLE 1—AFFECTED VOC SOURCES AND CTG RACT REQUIREMENTS**

RACT basis	Affected sources in Philadelphia County
CTG: Control of Volatile Organic Equipment Leaks from Natural Gas/Gasoline Processing Plants, EPA-450/2-83-007, December 1983.	—Philadelphia Gas Works—Richmond Station. —Philadelphia Energy Solutions Refinery (formerly Sunoco Philadelphia Refinery). —Aker Philadelphia Shipyard.
CTG: Control Techniques Guidelines for Shipbuilding and Ship Repair Operations (Surface Coating), 61 FR 44050, August 27, 1996. ACT: Surface Coating Operations at Shipbuilding and Ship Repair Facilities, EPA-453/R-94-032, April 1994	—Sunoco Chemicals.
CTG: Control of Volatile Organic Compound Emissions from Air Oxidation Processes in Synthetic Organic Chemical Manufacturing Industry (SOCMI), EPA-450/3-84-015, December 1984.	—Sunoco Chemicals.
Control of Volatile Organic Compound Emissions from Reactor Processes and Distillation Operations Processes in the Synthetic Organic Chemical Manufacturing Industry (SOCMI), EPA-450/4-91-031, August 1993.	—Sunoco Chemicals.

However, in the 2006 SIP revision, Philadelphia Gas Works—Richmond Station and Philadelphia Energy Solutions Refinery (formerly Sunoco Philadelphia Refinery) were erroneously defined as natural gas processing plants under EPA's CTG "Control of Volatile Organic Equipment Leaks from Natural Gas/Gasoline Processing Plants," (EPA-450/2-83-007, December 1983). Subsequently, as part of the 2010 SIP revision, AMS submitted a negative declaration demonstrating that no sources exist in Philadelphia County for this CTG source category.

In addition, the 2010 SIP revision adopts VOC RACT rules that address the following CTGs: (1) "Control Techniques Guidelines for Shipbuilding and Ship Repair Operations (Surface Coating)" (61 FR 44050, August 27, 1996); (2) "Control of Volatile Organic Compound Emissions from Air Oxidation Processes in Synthetic Organic Chemical Manufacturing Industry" (EPA-450/3-84-015,

December 1984); and (3) "Control of Volatile Organic Compound Emissions from Reactor Processes and Distillation Operations in Synthetic Organic Chemical Manufacturing Industry" (EPA-450/4-91-031, August 1993). Therefore, the 2010 SIP revisions addresses each of the CTG requirements listed in Table 1 and it supersedes Section 4 of the 2006 SIP revision addressing these CTG RACT requirements.

For Philadelphia Gas Works—Richmond Station and Philadelphia Energy Solutions (formerly Sunoco Refinery), which were erroneously defined as natural gas processing plants in the 2006 SIP revision, EPA approved source-specific RACT evaluations under the 1-hour ozone standard. See 66 FR 54947 and 66 FR 54942 (October 31, 2001). The 2006 and 2010 SIP revisions do not address how Philadelphia meets the "major source" RACT requirement under the 1997 8-hour ozone standard for those sources for which EPA had

previously approved source-specific RACT determinations under the 1-hour ozone NAAQS. However, AMS has committed to submit additional SIP revisions to address this RACT requirement.

In addition to the 2010 SIP revision's negative declaration, the 2006 SIP revision includes a negative declaration for the VOC source category defined under EPA's CTG "Control of Volatile Organic Emissions from Existing Stationary Sources, Volume VII: Factory Surface Coating of Flat Wood Paneling" (EPA-450/2-78-032, June 1978). Table 2 below lists the negative declarations submitted by AMS in the 2006 and 2010 SIP revisions, which EPA is proposing to conditionally approve. AMS certified that these VOC CTG source categories do not exist in Philadelphia County. Therefore, AMS does not need to adopt regulations addressing the applicable CTGs for these source categories.

**TABLE 2—PHILADELPHIA COUNTY'S NEGATIVE DECLARATION LIST FOR VOC CTG SOURCES**

CTG source category	RACT basis
Coating of Flat Wood Paneling .....	Control of Volatile Organic Emissions from Existing Stationary Sources, Volume VII: Factory Surface Coating of Flat Wood Paneling, EPA-450/2-78-032, June 1978.

TABLE 2—PHILADELPHIA COUNTY’S NEGATIVE DECLARATION LIST FOR VOC CTG SOURCES—Continued

CTG source category	RACT basis
Equipment Leaks from Natural Gas and Gasoline Processing Plants ....	Control of Volatile Organic Equipment Leaks from Natural Gas/Gasoline Processing Plants, EPA-450/2-83-007, December 1983.

*B. VOC RACT Controls*

AMS Regulation (AMR) V (“Control of Emissions of Organic Substances From Stationary Sources”) and PADEP Regulation Title 25, Chapter 129 contain the CTG and non-CTG VOC RACT controls that were implemented and approved in Philadelphia County SIP under the 1-hour ozone NAAQS. The 2006 SIP revision identifies Philadelphia County’s VOC RACT regulations for which AMS has provided the required evaluation and is certifying as currently representing RACT under the 1997 8-hour ozone NAAQS. Although alternative control technology documents (ACTs) are not regulatory documents and have no legal effect on state regulations, EPA requires that states verify that ACTs have been considered in the RACT program development process. Therefore, Philadelphia County included ACTs in their review of applicable RACT requirements in the 2006 SIP revision. Further details of Philadelphia County’s RACT determination for the 1997 8-hour ozone NAAQS can be found in the technical support document (TSD) prepared for this rulemaking action.

The 2010 SIP revision adopts the following regulations to meet CTG RACT requirements: (1) AMR V, section

XV “Control of Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) from Marine Vessel Coating Operations” and (2) AMR V, section XVI “Synthetic Organic Manufacturing Industry (SOCMI) Air Oxidation, Distillation, and Reactor Processes.” These regulations are in accordance with EPA’s presumptive RACT provided in the following CTGs: (1) “Control Techniques Guidelines for Shipbuilding and Ship Repair Operations (Surface Coating)” (61 FR 44050, August 27, 1996), (2) “Control of Volatile Organic Compound Emissions from Air Oxidation Processes in Synthetic Organic Chemical Manufacturing Industry” (EPA-450/3-84-015, December 1984), and (3) “Control of Volatile Organic Compound Emissions from Reactor Processes and Distillation Operations in Synthetic Organic Chemical Manufacturing Industry” (EPA-450/4-91-031, August 1993). The 2010 SIP revision also amends AMR V, section I “Definitions” for incorporating various definitions applicable to the adopted provisions in Sections XV and XVI. These definitions are in accordance with EPA’s recommendations in the applicable CTGs. These amendments to AMR V were adopted by AMS on April 26, 2010 and became effective upon adoption.

1. Marine Vessel Coating Operations

AMR V, section XV is applicable to marine vessel coating operations at a facility at which the total potential VOC emissions equal or exceed 25 tons (22.75 metric tons) per year; or the actual VOC emissions from all marine vessel coating operations exceed 15 pounds (7 kilograms) per day or 2.7 tons (2,455 kilograms) per year. The regulation establishes VOC emissions limits from general use coatings and from various specialty coatings. The limits, provided in Table 3 below, are expressed in two sets of equivalent units: grams/liter coating (minus water and exempt compounds) or grams/liter of solids. The limits are identical to those recommended in the corresponding CTG document, except that the cold-weather was specified to a period of every year, November 1st through March 31st. Further, for any coating used in a marine vessel coating operation for which the regulation does not provide an emissions standard, AMR V, section XV establishes a maximum VOC content limit of 340 grams/liter (minus water and exempt solvents) or 571 grams per liter solids.

TABLE 3—VOC EMISSIONS LIMITS FOR MARINE COATINGS IN AMR V, SECTION XV

Coating category	VOC limits <sup>a b c</sup>		
	Grams per liter of coating (minus water and except compounds)	Grams/liter solids <sup>c</sup>	
		April 1st through October 31st	November 1st through March 31st <sup>d</sup>
General Use .....	340	571	728
Specialty:			
Air flask .....	340	571	728
Antenna .....	530	1,439	1,439
Antifoulant .....	400	765	971
Heat resistant .....	420	841	1,069
High-gloss .....	420	841	1,069
High-temperature .....	500	1,237	1,597
Inorganic zinc high-build .....	340	571	728
Military exterior .....	340	571	728
Mist .....	610	2,235	2,235
Navigational aids .....	550	1,597	1,597
Nonskid .....	340	571	728
Nuclear .....	420	841	1,069
Organic zinc .....	360	630	802
Pretreatment wash primer .....	780	11,095	11,095
Repair and maintenance of thermoplastics .....	550	1,597	1,597
Rubber camouflage .....	340	571	728
Sealant for thermal spray aluminum .....	610	2,235	2,235
Special marking .....	490	1,178	1,178

TABLE 3—VOC EMISSIONS LIMITS FOR MARINE COATINGS IN AMR V, SECTION XV—Continued

Coating category	VOC limits <sup>a b c</sup>		
	Grams per liter of coating (minus water and exempt compounds)	Grams/liter solids <sup>c</sup>	
		April 1st through October 31st	November 1st through March 31st <sup>d</sup>
Specialty interior .....	340	571	728
Tack coat .....	610	2,235	2,235
Undersea weapon systems .....	340	571	728
Weld-through preconstruction primer .....	650	2,885	2,885

<sup>a</sup> The above limits are expressed in two sets of equivalent units, grams/liter coating (minus water and exempt compounds) or grams/liter solids.

<sup>b</sup> To convert from grams/liter (g/L) to pounds/gallon (lb/gal), multiply by (3,785 L/gal)/(1/453.6 lb/g) or 1/120. For compliance purposes, metric units define the standards.

<sup>c</sup> VOC limits expressed in units of mass of VOC per volume of solids were derived from the VOC limits expressed in units of mass of VOC per volume of coating assuming the coatings contain no water or exempt compounds and that the volumes of all components within a coating are additive.

<sup>d</sup> These limits apply during the period November 1st through March 31st. During this period of time, allowances are not given to coating categories that permit less than 40 percent solids (non-volatiles) content by volume. Such coatings are subject to the same limits regardless of weather conditions.

<sup>e</sup> VOC limits from EPA's CTG for Ship Building, (61 FR 44050, August 27, 1996).

AMR V, section XV also specifies as RACT the following cleanup requirements to minimize VOC emissions: (1) Storing all waste materials containing VOC, including cloth and paper, in closed containers; (2) maintaining lids on any VOC-bearing materials when not in use; and (3) using enclosed containers or VOC recycling equipment to clean spray gun equipment.

## 2. Synthetic Organic Chemical Manufacturing Industry

AMR V, section XVI applies to a vent stream from an air oxidation unit processes, distillation operations, or reactor processes in the SOCM. The regulation is limited to vent streams from reactor processes and distillation operations producing one or more of the chemicals listed in Appendix A of "Control of Volatile Organic Compound Emissions from Reactor Processes and Distillation Operations in Synthetic Organic Chemical Manufacturing Industry (SOCMI) for Reactor and Distillation CTG" (EPA-450/4-91-031, August 1993) and vent streams from an air oxidation unit process producing one or more of the chemicals listed in 40 CFR 60.617.

The owner or operator of an affected source subject to AMR V, section XVI is required to comply with the New Source Performance Standards (NSPS) requirements found in 40 CFR part 60, subpart III, subpart NNN, and/or subpart RRR, with some exceptions listed. The

NSPS requirements for SOCM sources are essentially identical to those recommendations in the applicable CTGs, and therefore are as stringent as EPA's presumptive RACT. An air oxidation unit process, a distillation operation or reactor process in SOCM subject to AMR V, section XVI must comply with either one of the following standards: (1) Reduction of emissions of total organic compounds (TOC) (minus methane and ethane) by 98 weight-percent, or to a TOC (minus methane and ethane) concentration of 20 ppmv on a dry basis corrected to 3 percent oxygen, whichever is less stringent; (2) combustion of the emissions in a flare that meets the requirements of 40 CFR 60.18; or (3) maintenance of a total resource effectiveness (TRE) index value greater than 1.0 without use of VOC emission control devices.

The TRE index is a measure of the supplemental total resource requirement per unit of VOC reduction, associated with VOC control by a flare or incinerator. The TRE index value can be determined for each vent stream for which the off-gas characteristics are known, including: flow rate, hourly VOC emissions, corrosion properties, and net heating value. AMR V, section XVI provides two equations for calculating the TRE index value: (1) For a vent stream controlled by a flare, and (2) a vent stream controlled by an incinerator. For purposes of complying with maintaining a TRE index value greater than 1.0 without the use of VOC

emission control devices, the owner or operator of a facility affected should calculate the TRE index value of the vent stream using the equation for incineration. The TRE index value of a non-halogenated vent stream is determined by calculating values using both the incinerator equation and the flare equation, and selecting the lower of the two values.

EPA finds that the provisions adopted in AMR V, sections XV and XVI and the amendments of AMR V, section I are consistent with the CTG documents issued by EPA and that they represent RACT under the 1997 8-hour ozone standard for these VOC source categories in Philadelphia County. Thus, EPA is proposing conditional approval of the 2010 SIP revision as part of Philadelphia County's RACT demonstration for the 1997 8-hour ozone NAAQS.

## C. NO<sub>x</sub> RACT Controls

The 2006 SIP revision demonstrates that AMR VII ("Control of Emissions of Nitrogen Oxides From Stationary Sources") and PADEP Regulation Title 25, Chapter 129 ("Standards for Sources") contain NO<sub>x</sub> RACT controls that were implemented and approved in Philadelphia County SIP under the 1-hour ozone NAAQS. Table 4 lists Philadelphia County's NO<sub>x</sub> RACT controls for which AMS has provided the required evaluation and is certifying as currently representing RACT for the 1997 8-hour ozone NAAQS.

TABLE 4—PHILADELPHIA COUNTY'S NO<sub>x</sub> RACT CONTROLS UNDER THE 1997 8-HOUR OZONE NAAQS

Regulation	SIP Approval by EPA	RACT Rule applicability and requirements
AMR VII, section II— <i>Fuel Burning Equipment</i> .	1/14/87; 52 FR 1456 .....	This section applies to fuel burning equipment greater than or equal to 250,000 BTU/hr.
AMR VII, section III— <i>Nitric Acid Plants</i> .	5/14/73; 38 FR 12696 .....	This section applies to nitric acid plants in excess of three pounds per ton of acid produced on a two hour average.
AMR VII, section IV— <i>Emissions Monitoring</i> .	5/14/73; 38 FR 12696 .....	This section requires instrument(s) for continuously monitoring and recording emissions of nitrogen oxides be well maintained.
25 Pa. Code sections 129.91–129.95— <i>Control of major sources of NO<sub>x</sub> and VOCs</i> .	7/20/01; 66 FR 37908 .....	This regulation applies to all major sources of NO <sub>x</sub> and VOC not subject to any other RACT regulations. Section 129.92 establishes requirements for source-specific RACT determinations for certain major NO <sub>x</sub> and VOC sources. Section 129.93 establishes presumptive RACT limitations for certain classes of combustion units: coal-fired combustion units rated equal or greater than 100 MMBtu, combustion units rated equal or greater than 20 MMBtu and less than 50 MMBtu.

In the 2006 SIP revision, AMS also certifies that PADEP's interstate pollution transport regulations currently represent NO<sub>x</sub> RACT under the 1997 8-hour ozone NAAQS. These provisions rely on the NO<sub>x</sub> SIP Call and are found in the following PADEP regulations: 25 Pa. Code sections 145.1–145.100 (“NO<sub>x</sub> Budget Trading Program”), 25 Pa. Code sections 145.111–145.113 (“Emissions of NO<sub>x</sub> from Stationary Internal Combustion Engines”), and 25 Pa. Code sections 145.141–144 (“Emissions of NO<sub>x</sub> from Cement Manufacturing”). In light of the Court decision regarding the Phase 2 Ozone Implementation Rule, EPA has determined it cannot approve AMS' presumption that the NO<sub>x</sub> SIP Call constitutes RACT for EGU sources in Philadelphia County. There are five EGUs in Philadelphia County that relied on emissions reductions under the NO<sub>x</sub> SIP Call as RACT: (1) Exelon—Delaware Station, (2) Exelon—Richmond Station, (3) Exelon—Schuylkill Station, (4) Veolia—Edison Station (formerly Trigen—Edison Station), and (5) Veolia—Schuylkill Station (formerly Trigen—Schuylkill Station). These EGUs are all major sources of NO<sub>x</sub>. AMS has committed to submit additional SIP revisions to address RACT for these five sources in Philadelphia County by providing source-specific RACT determinations.

#### D. Source-Specific RACT

AMS is implementing PADEP's regulation 25 Pa. Code sections 129.91 through 129.95 as RACT for the 1997 8-hour ozone standard for all major sources of NO<sub>x</sub> and VOC not subject to any other RACT rules. The regulation requires the owners or operators of the applicable sources to provide a case-by-case evaluation to determine RACT for each source (25 Pa. Code section 129.92) or to alternatively comply with presumptive NO<sub>x</sub> standards (25 Pa. Code section 129.93).

Under the 1-hour ozone NAAQS, EPA previously approved into Pennsylvania's SIP source-specific RACT determinations for 46 major sources of VOC and NO<sub>x</sub> in Philadelphia County. See 40 CFR 52.2020(d)(1). EPA has found that the 2006 and 2010 SIP revisions do not address how AMS is currently meeting the source-specific RACT requirement under the 1997 8-hour ozone NAAQS for these 46 major sources. AMS has also identified five sources that since the approval of the 1-hour ozone source-specific RACT determinations have adopted or will adopt additional controls that represent RACT under the 1997 8-hour ozone NAAQS: (1) Philadelphia Energy Solutions Refinery (formerly Sunoco Refinery), (2) Kraft Nabisco (formerly Nabisco Biscuit Co), (3) Temple University—Health Sciences Center, (4) GATX Terminals Corporation, and (5) Honeywell International (formerly Sunoco Chemicals—Frankford Plant). AMS has committed to submit additional SIP revisions to address RACT for these major sources of NO<sub>x</sub> and VOC in Philadelphia County.

#### IV. Withdrawal of Proposed Action and Proposed Action

In this rulemaking action, EPA is withdrawing its August 26, 2008 NPR (73 FR 50270), which proposed to approve the 2006 SIP revision submitted by PADEP on behalf of AMS as Philadelphia County's 1997 8-hour ozone RACT demonstration in accordance with the Court's Opinion in *NRDC v. EPA*. See 571 F.3d 1245. EPA is also proposing to conditionally approve Philadelphia County's RACT demonstration under the 1997 8-hour ozone NAAQS, as provided in the 2006 and the 2010 SIP revisions. Pursuant to section 110(k)(4) of the CAA, this conditional approval is based upon a letter from PADEP on behalf of AMS

dated April 26, 2013 committing to submit to EPA, no later than twelve months from EPA's final conditional approval of Philadelphia County's 1997 8-hour ozone RACT demonstration, additional SIP revisions to address the deficiencies in the current RACT demonstration for Philadelphia County. The SIP revisions, to be submitted by PADEP on behalf of AMS, will address source-specific RACT determinations for the following major sources in Philadelphia County: (1) Exelon—Delaware Station, (2) Exelon—Richmond Station, (3) Exelon—Schuylkill Station, (4) Veolia—Edison Station (formerly Trigen—Edison Station), (5) Veolia—Schuylkill Station (formerly Trigen—Schuylkill Station), (6) Philadelphia Energy Solutions Refinery (formerly Sunoco Refinery), (7) Kraft Nabisco (formerly Nabisco Biscuit Company), (8) Temple University, Health Sciences Center, (9) GATX Terminals Corporation, and (10) Honeywell (formerly Sunoco Chemicals, Frankford Plant); and will include a certification that previously adopted source-specific RACT controls approved by EPA in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania's SIP under the 1-hour ozone NAAQS for the remaining sources in Philadelphia County (as listed in 40 CFR 52.2020(d)(1)) continue to adequately represent RACT for the 1997 8-hour ozone NAAQS.

Once EPA has determined that AMS has satisfied this condition, EPA shall remove the conditional nature of its approval and Philadelphia County's 1997 8-hour ozone RACT demonstration will, at that time, receive a full approval status. Should AMS fail to meet the condition specified above, the final conditional approval of Philadelphia County's 1997 8-hour ozone RACT demonstration will convert to a disapproval. EPA is soliciting public comments on the issues discussed in

this document. These comments will be considered before taking final action.

## V. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

Under the CAA, the Administrator is required to approve a SIP submission that complies with the provisions of the CAA and applicable Federal regulations. 42 U.S.C. 7410(k); 40 CFR 52.02(a). Thus, in reviewing SIP submissions, EPA's role is to approve state choices, provided that they meet the criteria of the Clean Air Act. Accordingly, this action merely proposes to approve state law as meeting Federal requirements and does not impose additional requirements beyond those imposed by state law. For that reason, this proposed action:

- Is not a "significant regulatory action" subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget under Executive Order 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993);
- does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*);
- is certified as not having a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*);
- does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-4);
- does not have Federalism implications as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999);
- is not an economically significant regulatory action based on health or safety risks subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997);
- is not a significant regulatory action subject to Executive Order 13211 (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001);
- is not subject to requirements of Section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) because application of those requirements would be inconsistent with the CAA; and
- does not provide EPA with the discretionary authority to address, as appropriate, disproportionate human health or environmental effects, using practicable and legally permissible methods, under Executive Order 12898 (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994).

In addition, this proposed rule, pertaining to Philadelphia County's RACT under the 1997 8-hour ozone NAAQS, does not have tribal implications as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9,

2000), because the SIP is not approved to apply in Indian country located in the state, and EPA notes that it will not impose substantial direct costs on tribal governments or preempt tribal law.

### List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Nitrogen dioxide, Ozone, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Volatile organic compounds.

**Authority:** 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

Dated: June 3, 2013.

**W.C. Early,**

*Acting Regional Administrator, Region III.*

[FR Doc. 2013-14519 Filed 6-18-13; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

## GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

### 41 CFR Part 102-117

[FMR Case 2012-102-5; Docket 2012-0017, Sequence 1]

RIN 3090-AJ34

### Federal Management Regulation (FMR); Restrictions on International Transportation of Freight and Household Goods

**AGENCY:** Office of Governmentwide Policy (OGP), General Services Administration (GSA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** GSA is proposing to amend the Federal Management Regulation (FMR) provisions pertaining to the use of United States air carriers for cargo under the provisions of the "Fly America Act." This proposed rule would additionally update the current provisions in the FMR regarding the Cargo Preference Act of 1954, as amended. Also, this proposed rule would amend the Federal Management Regulation (FMR) to state clearly that this part applies to all agencies and wholly-owned Government corporations except where otherwise expressly provided.

**DATES:** Interested parties should submit comments in writing on or before July 19, 2013 to be considered in the formulation of a final rule.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit comments in response to FMR Case 2012-102-5 by any of the following methods:

- *Regulations.gov:* <http://www.regulations.gov>. Submit comments via the Federal eRulemaking portal by searching for "FMR Case 2012-102-5," select the link "Submit a Comment" that corresponds with "FMR case 2012-

102-5." Follow the instructions provided at the "Submit a Comment" screen. Please include your name, company name (if any), and "FMR Case 2012-102-5" on your attached document.

- *Fax:* 202-501-4067.

- *Mail:* General Services

Administration, Regulatory Secretariat (MVCB), ATTN: Hada Flowers, 1275 First Street NE., 7th Floor, Washington, DC 20417. Instructions: Please submit comments only and cite FMR Case 2012-102-5, in all correspondence related to this case. All comments received will be posted without change to <http://www.regulations.gov>, including any personal and/or business confidential information provided.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** The Regulatory Secretariat at 202-501-4755, for information pertaining to status or publication schedules. For clarification of content, contact Ms. Lee Gregory, Office of Governmentwide Policy, at 202-501-1533 or email at [lee.gregory@gsa.gov](mailto:lee.gregory@gsa.gov). Please cite FMR case 2012-102-5.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

This proposed rule, if adopted, would inform readers where to find additional information regarding bilateral or multilateral air transport agreements, to which the United States Government and the government of a foreign country are parties, and which the Department of Transportation has determined meets the requirements of the Fly America Act.

As these agreements qualify as exceptions to the use of U.S. flag air carrier service mandated by FMR section 102-117.135(a), this proposed rule, if adopted, would advise of an Internet-based source of information regarding the use of foreign air carriers under the terms of these bilateral or multilateral agreements. Additionally, this proposed rule would incorporate language regarding other exceptions to the Fly America Act and would more clearly define who would be subject to the provisions implementing the Fly America Act and the Cargo Preference Act.

### A. Background

The Fly America Act, 49 U.S.C. 40118, requires the use of United States air carrier service for all air cargo transportation services funded by the United States Government. The requirements of the Fly America Act apply whenever the air transportation of the cargo is funded by the U.S. Government. One exception to this requirement is transportation provided under a bilateral or multilateral air