

2. Incomplete applications as of the deadline for submission will not be considered. If an application is determined to be incomplete, the applicant will be notified in writing and the application will be returned with no further action.

3. Applications conforming with this part will then be evaluated competitively by a panel of Rural Utilities Service employees selected by the Administrator of Rural Utilities Service, and will be awarded points as described in the scoring criteria in 7 CFR 1739.17. Applications will be ranked and grants awarded in rank order until all grant funds are expended.

**C. Selection Process**

Grant applications are ranked by final score. Rural Utilities Service selects applications based on those rankings, subject to the availability of funds.

**VI. Award Administration Information**

**A. Award Notices**

Rural Utilities Service recognizes that each funded project is unique, and therefore may attach conditions to different projects' award documents. Rural Utilities Service generally notifies applicants whose projects are selected for awards by emailing a scanned copy of an award letter. Rural Utilities Service follows the award letter with a grant agreement that contains all the terms and conditions for the grant. An applicant must execute and return the grant agreement, accompanied by any additional items required by the grant agreement.

**B. Administrative and National Policy Requirements.** The items listed in paragraph IV.C.2.k of this notice, and the Community Connect Grant Program regulation, application guide and accompanying materials implement the appropriate administrative and national policy requirements.

**C. Reporting**

1. **Performance reporting.** All recipients of Community Connect Grant

Program financial assistance must provide annual performance activity reports to RUS until the project is complete and the funds are expended. A final performance report is also required; the final report may serve as the last annual report. The final report must include an evaluation of the success of the project. See 7 CFR 1739.19.

2. **Financial reporting.** All recipients of Community Connect Grant Program financial assistance must provide an annual audit, beginning with the first year a portion of the financial assistance is expended. Audits are governed by United States Department of Agriculture audit regulations. See 7 CFR 1739.20.

3. **Recipient and Subrecipient Reporting.** The applicant must have the necessary processes and systems in place to comply with the reporting requirements for first-tier sub-awards and executive compensation under the Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act of 2006 in the event the applicant receives funding unless such applicant is exempt from such reporting requirements pursuant to 2 CFR part 170, § 170.110(b). The reporting requirements under the Transparency Act pursuant to 2 CFR part 170 are as follows:

a. First Tier Sub-Awards of \$25,000 or more (unless they are exempt under 2 CFR part 170) must be reported by the Recipient to <http://www.fsrs.gov> no later than the end of the month following the month the obligation was made.

b. The Total Compensation of the Recipient's Executives (5 most highly compensated executives) must be reported by the Recipient (if the Recipient meets the criteria under 2 CFR part 170) to <http://www.sam.gov> by the end of the month following the month in which the award was made.

c. The Total Compensation of the Subrecipient's Executives (5 most highly compensated executives) must be reported by the Subrecipient (if the Subrecipient meets the criteria under 2 CFR part 170) to the Recipient by the

end of the month following the month in which the subaward was made.

**VII. Agency Contacts**

A. Web site: <http://www.usda.gov/rus/commconnect.htm>. This Web site maintains up-to-date resources and contact information for the Community Connect Grant Program.

B. Phone: 202-690-4673

C. Fax: 202-690-4389

D. Main point of contact: Kenneth Kuchno, Director, Broadband Division, Rural Utilities Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture.

Dated: June 6, 2013.

**John Charles Padalino,**

*Acting Administrator, Rural Utilities Service.*

[FR Doc. 2013-13827 Filed 6-10-13; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3410-15-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

**Economic Development Administration**

**Notice of Petitions by Firms for Determination of Eligibility to Apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance**

**AGENCY:** Economic Development Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice and Opportunity for Public Comment.

Pursuant to Section 251 of the Trade Act 1974, as amended (19 U.S.C. 2341 et seq.), the Economic Development Administration (EDA) has received petitions for certification of eligibility to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance from the firms listed below. Accordingly, EDA has initiated investigations to determine whether increased imports into the United States of articles like or directly competitive with those produced by each of these firms contributed importantly to the total or partial separation of the firm's workers, or threat thereof, and to a decrease in sales or production of each petitioning firm.

**LIST OF PETITIONS RECEIVED BY EDA FOR CERTIFICATION ELIGIBILITY TO APPLY FOR TRADE ADJUSTMENT ASSISTANCE**  
[5/30/2013 through 6/5/2013]

Firm name	Firm address	Date accepted for investigation	Product(s)
Folbot Holdings, LLC .....	4209 Pace Street, Charleston, SC 29405.	5/29/2013	The firm manufactures foldable, skin on frame kayaks. Manufacturing materials include aluminum and fabric.
Frontier Metal Stamping, Inc ..	3764 Puritan Way, Frederick, CO 80516.	5/31/2013	The firm produces stamped metal parts.
RD Industries, Inc .....	7417 N 101st St, Omaha, NE 68122.	6/4/2013	The firm produces plastic chemical dispensing and containment products.
Bodypoint, Inc .....	558 First Ave South, Suite 300, Seattle, WA 98104.	6/4/2013	The firm manufactures wheelchair seating and positioning systems.

Any party having a substantial interest in these proceedings may request a public hearing on the matter. A written request for a hearing must be submitted to the Trade Adjustment Assistance for Firms Division, Room 71030, Economic Development Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, DC 20230, no later than ten (10) calendar days following publication of this notice.

Please follow the requirements set forth in EDA's regulations at 13 CFR 315.9 for procedures to request a public hearing. The Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance official number and title for the program under which these petitions are submitted is 11.313, Trade Adjustment Assistance for Firms.

Dated: June 5, 2013.

**Michael DeVillo,**  
*Eligibility Examiner.*

[FR Doc. 2013-13766 Filed 6-10-13; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-WH-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[S-87-2013]

#### **Foreign-Trade Zone 262—Southaven, Mississippi; Application for Subzone; Milwaukee Electric Tool Corporation; Olive Branch, Greenwood and Jackson, Mississippi**

An application has been submitted to the Foreign-Trade Zones (FTZ) Board by Northern Mississippi FTZ, Inc., grantee of FTZ 262, requesting special-purpose subzone status for the facilities of Milwaukee Electric Tool Corporation (METCO) located in Olive Branch, Greenwood and Jackson, Mississippi. The application was submitted pursuant to the provisions of the Foreign-Trade Zones Act, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a-81u), and the regulations of the FTZ Board (15 CFR part 400). It was formally docketed on June 5, 2013.

The proposed subzone would consist of the following sites: *Site 1* (39 acres)—Olive Branch Distribution/Kitting Facility, 12385 Crossroads Drive, Olive Branch (DeSoto County); *Site 2* (16 acres)—Greenwood Manufacturing Facility, 1003 Sycamore Street, Greenwood (Leflore County); and, *Site 3* (12 acres)—Jackson Manufacturing Facility, 4355 Milwaukee Street, Jackson (Hinds County). A notification of proposed production activity has been docketed (B-22-2013). The proposed subzone would be subject to the existing activation limit of FTZ 262.

In accordance with the FTZ Board's regulations, Camille Evans of the FTZ

Staff is designated examiner to review the application and make recommendations to the Executive Secretary.

Public comment is invited from interested parties. Submissions shall be addressed to the FTZ Board's Executive Secretary at the address below. The closing period for their receipt is July 22, 2013. Rebuttal comments in response to material submitted during the foregoing period may be submitted during the subsequent 15-day period to August 5, 2013.

A copy of the application will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Executive Secretary, Foreign-Trade Zones Board, Room 21013, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230-0002, and in the "Reading Room" section of the FTZ Board's Web site, which is accessible via [www.trade.gov/ftz](http://www.trade.gov/ftz).

For further information, contact Camille Evans at [Camille.Evans@trade.gov](mailto:Camille.Evans@trade.gov) or (202) 482-2350.

Dated: June 5, 2013.

**Andrew McGilvray,**  
*Executive Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2013-13868 Filed 6-10-13; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-570-967; C-570-968]

#### **Aluminum Extrusions From the People's Republic of China: Notice of Court Decision Not in Harmony With Final Scope Ruling and Notice of Amended Final Scope Ruling Pursuant to Court Decision**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** On May 23, 2013, the United States Court of International Trade (CIT) sustained the Department of Commerce's (the Department's) final results of remand redetermination in which it determined that certain drapery rail kits are outside of the scope of the antidumping (AD) and countervailing duty (CVD) orders on aluminum extrusions,<sup>1</sup> pursuant to the CIT's remand order in *The Rowley Company v. United States Court No. 12-00055* (Ct. Int'l Trade November 30,

<sup>1</sup> See *Aluminum Extrusions from the People's Republic of China: Antidumping Duty Order*, 76 FR 30650 (May 26, 2011) and *Aluminum Extrusions from the People's Republic of China: Countervailing Duty Order*, 76 FR 30653 (May 26, 2011) (*Orders*).

2012) (*Remand Order*). See Final Results of Redetermination Pursuant to Court Remand *Rowley Company v. United States Court No. 12-00055* (February 27, 2013) (*Remand Results*). Consistent with the decision of the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit (Federal Circuit) in *Timken Co. v. United States*, 893 F.2d 337 (Fed. Cir. 1990) (*Timken*), as clarified by *Diamond Sawblades Mfrs. Coalition v. United States*, 626 F.3d 1374 (Fed. Cir. 2010) (*Diamond Sawblades*), the Department is notifying the public that the final judgment in this case is not in harmony with the Department's Final Scope Ruling on Drapery Rail Kits<sup>2</sup> and is amending its final scope ruling.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* June 3, 2013.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** James Terpstra, AD/CVD Operations, Office 8, Import Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC, 20230; telephone (202) 482-3965.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

#### Background

On November 16, 2011, the Rowley Company (Rowley) submitted a scope request claiming that certain drapery rail kits which it imports are outside the scope of the *Orders*. The Department issued its Final Scope Ruling on Drapery Rail Kits on February 3, 2012; in that ruling, the Department determined that certain drapery rail kits are within the scope of the *Orders*.

On August 10, 2012, Rowley filed its brief with the Court. On October 19, 2012, the Department asked the Court to grant it a voluntary remand that would allow it to re-examine the determination it reached in its Final Scope Ruling on Drapery Rail Kits. On November 30, 2012, the Court granted the Department's request for a voluntary remand. In the Remand Results, we found that the drapery rail kits described in the Scope Request constituted "finished goods kits" as described in the scope of the *Orders*, and, thus, fall outside the scope. The Department found that the drapery rail kits are designed to incorporate readily interchangeable drapes or curtains that can change with users' needs and are intended to be customizable. On May 23, 2013, the CIT sustained the Department's Remand Results.<sup>3</sup>

<sup>2</sup> See Memorandum to Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, "Final Scope Ruling on Drapery Rail Kits" (February 3, 2012) (Final Scope Ruling on Drapery Rail Kits).

<sup>3</sup> See *Remand Order*.