93.393, Cancer Cause and Prevention Research; 93.394, Cancer Detection and Diagnosis Research; 93.395, Cancer Treatment Research; 93.396, Cancer Biology Research; 93.397, Cancer Centers Support; 93.398, Cancer Research Manpower; 93.399, Cancer Control, National Institutes of Health, HHS)

Dated: May 31, 2013.

Melanie J. Gray,

Program Analyst, Office of Federal Advisory Committee Policy.

[FR Doc. 2013-13371 Filed 6-5-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4140-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Agency Information Collection Activities: Request for Expressions of Interest (EOI) To Perform a Chemical Defense Demonstration Project

AGENCY: Office of Health Affairs, Chemical Defense Program, DHS.

ACTION: 30-Day Notice and request for comments; New Collection, 1601—NEW

SUMMARY: The Department of Homeland Security, Office of Health Affairs, Chemical Defense Program will submit the following information collection request (ICR) to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and clearance in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-13, 44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). DHS previously published this information collection request (ICR) in the Federal Register on February 8, 2013, at FR 9405 for a 60-day public comment period. No comments were received by DHS. The purpose of this notice is to allow additional 30-days for public comments.

DATES: Comments are encouraged and will be accepted until July 8, 2013. This process is conducted in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.10.

ADDRESSES: Interested persons are invited to submit written comments on the proposed information collection to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget. Comments should be addressed to OMB Desk Officer, Department of Homeland Security and sent via electronic mail to oirg submission@omb.eon.gov.or.faxed.

oira_submission@omb.eop.gov or faxed to (202) 395–5806.

The Office of Management and Budget is particularly interested in comments which:

1. Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including

whether the information will have practical utility;

- 2. Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- 3. Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- 4. Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submissions of responses.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If additional information is required contact: The Department of Homeland Security (DHS), Office of Health Affairs, Chemical Defense Program, Attn.: CAPT Joselito Ignacio, joselito.ignacio@hq.dhs.gov, 202–254–

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Chemical Defense Program seeks to obtain information from respondents interested in hosting a demonstration project aimed at developing a comprehensive chemical defense framework. The authority for the Chemical Defense Program to collect this information can be found in Public Law 112–74, Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2012 and Conference Report 112–331.

The information requested on the form includes: Name of state, local, tribal, or territorial government agency; address; submitter's name, position and contact information; identified venue for demonstration project; interest in developing a chemical defense capability; specific reasons for the communities interest and needs for a chemical defense capability; community chemical threat assessed risks if applicable; any additional information respondent requests for consideration. As identified in Public Law 112-74 and Conference Report 112-331, the Chemical Defense Program must competitively select the locations for conducting the chemical defense demonstration projects. The Chemical Defense Program will use the provided information for the selection process.

Analysis

Agency: Office of Health Affairs Chemical Defense Program, DHS.

Title: Request for Expressions of Interest (EOI) To Perform a Chemical Defense Demonstration Project. OMB Number: 1601—NEW. Frequency: Once.

Affected Public: State, Local, or Tribal

Government.

Number of Respondents: 25. Estimated Time per Respondent: 20 hours.

Total Burden Hours: 500 Hours.

Dated: May 23, 2013.

Margaret H. Graves,

Acting Chief Information Officer. [FR Doc. 2013–13324 Filed 6–5–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9110-9B-P

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

[Docket No. DHS-2013-0024]

Review and Revision of the National Infrastructure Protection Plan

AGENCY: National Protection and Programs Directorate, DHS. **ACTION:** Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: This notice informs the public that the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) National Protection and Programs Directorate (NPPD) Office of Infrastructure Protection (IP) is currently reviewing the National Infrastructure Protection Plan (NIPP) to conform to the requirements of Presidential Policy Directive 21, Critical Infrastructure Security and Resilience, and, as part of a comprehensive national review process, solicits public comment on issues or language in the NIPP that need to be updated.

DATES: Written comments are encouraged and will be accepted until July 8, 2013.

ADDRESSES: Written comments and questions about the NIPP should be forwarded to Lisa Barr, DHS/NPPD/IP/Office of Strategy and Policy, 245 Murray Lane SW., Mail Stop 8530, Arlington, VA 20598–8530. Written comments should reach the contact person listed no later than July 8, 2013. Comments must be identified by "DHS–2013–0024" and may be submitted by one of the following methods:

• Federal eRulemaking Portal: http://www.regulations.gov.

• Email: EO-PPDTaskForce@ hq.dhs.gov. Include the docket number in the subject line of the message.

Instructions: All submissions received must include the words "Department of Homeland Security" and the docket number for this action. All comments received (via any of the identified methods) will be posted without change to http://www.regulations.gov, including any personal information provided. You may submit your comments and

material by one of the methods specified in the ADDRESSES section. Please submit your comments and material by only one means to avoid the adjudication of duplicate submissions. If you submit comments by mail, your submission should be an unbound document and no larger than 8.5 by 11 inches to enable copying and electronic document management. If you want DHS to acknowledge receipt of comments by mail, include with your comments a self-addressed, stamped postcard that includes the docket number for this action. We will date your postcard and return it to vou via regular mail. For purposes of review, the 2009 NIPP can be found at http://www.dhs.gov/nipp.

Docket: Background documents and comments can be viewed at http://www.regulations.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Lisa Barr, DHS/NPPD/IP/Office of Strategy and Policy; 245 Murray Lane SW., Mail Stop 8530, Washington, DC 20528–8530 or 703–235–9542.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Public Participation

The Department of Homeland Security (DHS) invites interested persons to contribute suggestions and comments for the rewrite of the National Infrastructure Protection Plan (NIPP) by submitting written data, views, or ideas. Comments that will provide the most assistance to DHS in updating the NIPP will explain the reason for any recommended changes to the NIPP and include data, information, or authority that supports such recommended change. Linking changes to specific sections of the NIPP would also be helpful. There will be an opportunity to review a revised document reflecting the various changes sometime this summer.

II. Background

On February 12, 2013, President Obama signed Presidential Policy Directive 21 ¹ (PPD–21), *Critical Infrastructure Security and Resilience*, which builds on the extensive work done to date to protect and enhance the resilience of the Nation's critical infrastructure. This directive aims to clarify roles and responsibilities across the Federal Government and establish a more effective partnership with owners and operators and state, local, tribal, and territorial entities to enhance the

security and resilience of critical infrastructure.

President Obama also signed Executive Order (EO) 13636 ² on February 12, 2013, entitled *Improving Critical Infrastructure Cybersecurity*. By issuing the EO and PPD together, the Administration is taking an integrated approach to strengthening the security and resilience of critical infrastructure against all hazards, through an updated and overarching national framework that acknowledges the increased role of cybersecurity in securing physical assets.

PPD-21 sets forth several actions that the Secretary of Homeland Security shall take to implement the directive. One of these is to develop a successor to the NIPP to address the implementation of PPD-21; the requirements of Title II of the Homeland Security Act of 2002, as amended; and alignment with the National Preparedness Goal and System required by Presidential Policy Directive 8 (PPD-8).

The 2009 NIPP set forth a comprehensive risk management framework and defined roles and responsibilities for DHS; the Sector-Specific Agencies (SSAs); other Federal departments and agencies; state, local, tribal, and territorial governments; critical infrastructure owners and operators; and other stakeholders in industry, academia, and nongovernmental organizations. The NIPP provides a coordinated approach for establishing national priorities, goals, and requirements so that resources can be applied in the most effective manner. The NIPP risk management framework responds to an evolving risk landscape; as such, there will always be changes to the NIPP—from relatively minor to more significant—to ensure it remains relevant to the critical infrastructure mission over time.

III. Initial List of Issues To Be Updated in the NIPP

PPD–21 specifies the following elements that shall be included in the successor to the NIPP:

- Identification of a risk management framework to be used to strengthen the security and resilience of critical infrastructure;
- Protocols to synchronize communication and actions within the Federal Government; and
- A metrics process to be used to measure the Nation's ability to manage and reduce risks to critical infrastructure.

Some other actions required of the Secretary for Homeland Security under PPD-21 also must be addressed in the successor to the NIPP, including a description of functional relationships within DHS and across the Federal Government related to critical infrastructure security and resilience; and any changes to the sector partnership resulting from the evaluation of the existing public-private partnership model. Finally, the plan must consider sector dependencies on energy and communications systems, and identify pre-event and mitigation measures or alternate capabilities during disruptions to those systems.

The NIPP review will be coordinated with a broad range of critical infrastructure partners and other stakeholders. This notice extends an invitation to the public to provide feedback on the 2009 NIPP and those changes that should or should not be made. To assist the reviewer, DHS has conducted a review of expected changes to the NIPP and an initial list of potential changes is included in this notice. The purpose of this notice is to request public comment on additional changes that would help fulfill the mandate of PPD-21 to make the successor to the NIPP more relevant and useful in strengthening the security and resilience of the Nation's critical physical and cyber infrastructure. Some of the known changes that will be addressed in the successor to the NIPP

- Changes to the sectors and designated SSAs;
- Changes in terminology based on recent directives;
- Alignment with PPD–8 on National Preparedness;
- Updates to information-sharing tools and mechanisms;
- Critical infrastructure security and resilience regulatory programs;
- Updates on measurement and reporting and risk-informed resource allocation;
- Review and update cycles for the NIPP and Sector-Specific Plans (SSPs);
- Closer integration of physical and cybersecurity, including increased coordination of research and development efforts;
- Review of the risk management approach;
- Sector dependencies on energy and communications systems;
- Increased regional emphasis of critical infrastructure security and resilience; and
- Other issues, such as aging infrastructure and climate change adaptation.

¹PPD–21 can be found at: http:// www.whitehouse.gov/the-press-office/2013/02/12/ presidential-policy-directive-critical-infrastructuresecurity-and-resil.

²EO 13636 can be found at: http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/FR-2013-02-19/pdf/2013-03915.pdf.

These changes are discussed further below.

IV. Discussion of Issues To Be Addressed in the Successor to the NIPP

Implementing PPD-21 will require DHS to address a number of specific issues in reviewing and updating the NIPP. However, since the NIPP was last issued in 2009, critical infrastructure programs across the Nation have matured and produced lessons learned and best practices from day-to-day operations, exercises, and actual incidents that should be incorporated in any successor to the plan. The new document must incorporate developments including new laws, EOs, Presidential directives, and regulations, and procedural changes to critical infrastructure security and resilience activities based on real-world events and emerging risks.

Some of the known changes that will be addressed in this review of the NIPP are described below. DHS welcomes comments and ideas on areas that should be updated, expanded, changed, added, or deleted as appropriate.

Changes to the Sectors and SSAs

PPD–21 reduces the number of sectors from 18 to 16 by designating two previously existing sectors as new subsectors. National Monuments and Icons is now a subsector of the Government Facilities Sector and Postal and Shipping is a subsector of the Transportation Systems Sector. In addition, the PPD changed the names of two sectors to better reflect their scope:

- The Banking and Finance Sector is now the Financial Services Sector; and
- The Water Sector is now the Water and Wastewater Systems Sector. Finally, PPD–21 designates new co-SSAs for two sectors, as follows: The General Services Administration joins DHS as a co-SSA of the Government Facilities Sector and the U.S. Department of Transportation joins DHS as a co-SSA for the Transportation Systems Sector.

Changes in Terminology and Alignment With Presidential Policy Directive 8, National Preparedness

PPD-21 changes the lexicon by using critical infrastructure security and resilience in place of critical infrastructure protection. The new terminology is consistent with the national preparedness construct established by PPD-8. The five mission areas under PPD-8—prevention, protection, mitigation, response, and recovery—link to the two major outcomes that preparedness seeks to achieve: Security, which closely aligns

with prevention and protection; and resilience, which more closely aligns with mitigation, response, and recovery. There is overlap among all of the PPD–8 mission areas and between those mission areas and the concepts of security and resilience. The new terminology supports the move toward a more comprehensive approach to overall national preparedness, of which critical infrastructure security and resilience are major components. The use of the term "security" in this context applies to all hazards and not simply threats from terrorism.

Updates to Information-Sharing Tools and Mechanisms

PPD-21 sets forth the following strategic imperative: "A secure, functioning, and resilient critical infrastructure requires the efficient exchange of information, including intelligence, between all levels of government and critical infrastructure owners and operators." To that end, several of the actions required of DHS in the PPD are designed to improve and streamline information sharing between the Federal Government and critical infrastructure partners and stakeholders. DHS requests comments and input on ways that the current NIPP informationsharing approach and mechanisms could be changed and improved.

Critical Infrastructure Security and Resilience Regulatory Programs

Through existing regulations, the Federal Government can mandate security-related activities and protocols, as appropriate and authorized by Congress, to better ensure that a baseline level of security is being maintained at various types of critical infrastructure facilities. An example of currently existing regulatory regimes that enhance critical infrastructure security and resilience include regulations pursuant to the U.S. Coast Guard's Maritime Transportation Security Act (MTSA), 33 CFR Parts 101-107, which requires certain critical infrastructure located adjacent to a U.S. port or waterway to conduct facility security assessments and develop and implement facility security plans. DHS is not proposing new regulatory authority through this notice, but is requesting input on ways to better integrate existing regulatory programs into the NIPP framework.

Updates on Measurement and Reporting Processes and Risk-Informed Resource Allocation

DHS has been working to improve metrics and reporting processes to assess national critical infrastructure security and resilience efforts and identify opportunities for improvement. Over the last year, DHS and the SSAs have worked to streamline data collection processes, and identify links between the National Preparedness Goal core capabilities and the national critical infrastructure protection outcomes. The successor to the NIPP will reflect the maturation of metrics processes, and efforts to use those metrics to inform resource allocation decisions.

Review and Update Cycles for the NIPP and SSPs

The revision cycle for the SSPs follows the NIPP revision cycle by one year, to ensure that the concepts and strategic direction provided in the NIPP are captured in the next edition of the SSPs. In 2010, government and private sector partners agreed that a four-year review cycle was sufficient to keep the NIPP and SSPs current and would provide better alignment with the Quadrennial Homeland Security Review. This change took effect in July 2011, placing the next review and rewrite of the NIPP in 2013 and the next reissue of the SSPs in 2014.

Following development of the successor to the NIPP in late 2013, DHS will issue guidance to the SSAs for revising the SSPs. This guidance will cover the major updates and changes to the NIPP to address implementation of PPD–21 so the sectors can incorporate these updates into the SSPs as appropriate.

Closer Integration of Physical and Cyber Security

DHS leads an Interagency Task Force charged with accomplishing the integrated implementation of PPD-21 and EO 13636. The task force includes representatives from DHS, the SSAs, and other Federal departments and agencies with a role in critical infrastructure security and resilience and/or cybersecurity. The task force established various working groups to address the deliverables required for implementation of the EO and PPD. Many of these deliverables will influence and be reflected in the successor to the NIPP and the document will address physical and cybersecurity in a more integrated and holistic

A key part of this approach includes greater integration and coordination of research and development efforts for physical and cybersecurity and strategic planning to support the development and use of incentives to facilitate this integration. DHS requests comments on the timeframe and requirements for research, development, and incentives

for increased cyber-physical integration and how the successor to the NIPP can integrate the concepts and implementation of physical and cybersecurity.

Review of the Risk Management Approach

The NIPP's risk management framework establishes an approach for setting goals; identifying infrastructure; combining consequence, vulnerability, and threat information to produce a comprehensive, systematic, and rational assessment of national or sector risk; developing security measures and resilience strategies; and measuring effectiveness.

It is designed to respond to an everchanging risk environment and, as such, it provides an adaptable framework to address evolving and emerging risks to critical infrastructure. DHS is not seeking to make significant changes to the basic structure and concept of the risk management framework but rather to review how PPD–21 and other recent directives and events will influence the context and application of the risk management framework going forward.

Sector Dependencies on Energy and Communications Systems

PPD–21 acknowledges the dependency of all critical infrastructure sectors on energy and communications systems and functions and requires that these dependencies be specifically considered in reviewing the NIPP. The updated document will consider preevent and mitigation measures or alternate capabilities that communities and critical infrastructure owners and operators may bring to bear during disruptions to those systems and functions. This aligns with implementation of the National Preparedness Goal under PPD–8.

Increased Regional Emphasis

As DHS has sought to improve the efficacy of the delivery of critical infrastructure protection and resilience support and assistance to state, local, tribal, territorial, and private sector partners, it has moved toward a more decentralized regional model that leverages field-based employees. The regional model synchronizes with DHS's effort to provide more tailored support to specific geographic regions to more closely address their unique challenges, such as region-specific hazards (e.g., earthquakes, hurricanes), and operating environments.

Other Issues—Aging Infrastructure and Climate Change Adaptation

The areas of aging infrastructure and climate change are appreciated as risks of concern to critical infrastructure security and resilience. As a result, these issues will be considered as part of the all-hazards approach in reviewing and rewriting the NIPP.

Dated: May 31, 2013.

Robert Kolasky,

Director for Strategy and Policy, Office of Infrastructure Protection, National Protection and Programs Directorate, Department of Homeland Security.

[FR Doc. 2013–13427 Filed 6–5–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9110-9P-P

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Coast Guard

[Docket No. USCG-2013-0461]

National Offshore Safety Advisory Committee

AGENCY: United States Coast Guard, DHS.

ACTION: Notice of teleconference meeting.

SUMMARY: The National Offshore Safety Advisory Committee (NOSAC) will meet via teleconference to receive a Final Report from the Subcommittee on the Implementation of Standards from the International Labor Organization-Maritime Labour Convention of 2006, a task statement presented at the 17-18 April, 2013 NOSAC meeting. Upon committee approval, the final report will be presented to the Coast Guard for acceptance. Additionally the committee will reconvene the Subcommittee on commercial diving safety to consider recommendations for commercial diving operational standards. This teleconference meeting will be open to the public.

DATES: The teleconference meeting will take place on Tuesday June 25, 2013, from 11 a.m. to 2 p.m. EST. This teleconference meeting may end early if all business is finished before 2 p.m. If you wish to make oral comments at the teleconference meeting, simply notify Mr. Scott Hartley before the teleconference, as specified in the ADDRESSES section, or the designated Coast Guard staff at the meeting. If you wish to submit written comments or make a presentation, submit your comments or request to make a presentation by June 7, 2013.

ADDRESSES: The Committee will meet via teleconference. To participate by

phone, contact the Alternate Designated Federal Officer (ADFO) listed below in the for further information contact section to obtain teleconference information. Note the number of teleconference lines is limited and will be available on a first-come, first-served basis. To join those participating in this teleconference from U.S. Coast Guard Headquarters, come to Room 5-1222, U.S. Coast Guard Headquarters Building, 2100 Second Street SW., Washington, DC 20593. You must present a valid, government-issued photo identification to gain entrance to the Coast Guard Headquarters building.

If you want to make a presentation, send your request by June 7, 2013, to Mr. Scott Hartley, NOSAC ADFO, telephone 202–372–1437, Commandant (CG–OES–2), 2100 Second Street SW., Stop 7126, Washington, DC 20593–7126 or by fax to 202–372–1926. To facilitate public participation we are inviting public comment on the issues to be considered by the committee as listed in the "AGENDA" section below. You may submit a written comment on or before June 7, 2013 or make an oral comment during the public comment portion of the teleconference.

To submit a comment in writing, use one of the following methods:

- Federal eRulemaking Portal: http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.
- Email: Scott.E.Hartley@uscg.mil. Include the docket number (USCG—2013–0461) on the subject line of the message.
- Fax: (202) 372–1925. Include the docket number (USCG–2010–0164) on the subject line of the fax.
- Mail: Docket Management Facility (M–30), U.S. Department of Transportation, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12–140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC 20590–0001. We encourage use of electronic submissions because security screening may delay the delivery of mail.
- Hand Delivery: Same as mail address above, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The telephone number is 202–366–9329.
- To avoid duplication, please use only one of the above methods.

Instructions: All submissions received must include the words "Department of Homeland Security" and the docket number for this action. Comments received will be posted without alteration at http://www.regulations.gov, including any personal information provided. You may review a Privacy Act notice regarding our public dockets in the January 17, 2008, issue of the Federal Register (73 FR 3316).