

Environmental Impact Report/ Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement, dated January 31, 2013, prepared by the City and County of San Francisco Planning Department pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act and the environmental re-evaluation letter by SFMTA, dated April 17, 2013, and related documents evaluating any potential impacts.

Issued on: May 30, 2013.

**Lucy Garliauskas,**

*Associate Administrator for Planning and Environment.*

[FR Doc. 2013-13304 Filed 6-4-13; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE P**

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration

[Docket No. PHMSA-2013-0126, Notice No. 3-8]

#### Safety Advisory: Compressed Gas Cylinders That Have Not Been Tested Properly

**AGENCY:** Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (PHMSA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Safety Advisory Notice.

**SUMMARY:** PHMSA has concluded that Shasta Fire Equipment, Inc. of Redding, CA, certified DOT-specification, exemption, and special permit cylinders with Requalification Identification Number (RIN) D183, between March 6, 2013 and May 6, 2013, without performing proper requalification testing to verify the suitability of the cylinders for continued service, as required by the Hazardous Materials Regulations (HMR; 49 CFR Parts 171-180).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** PHMSA, DOT, 3401 Centrelake Drive, Suite 550B, Ontario, CA 91761, Telephone (909) 522-1901, Ms. Shelly Negrete, PHMSA Investigator; or Shasta Fire Equipment, Inc., 3092 Crossroads Drive, Redding, CA 96003, Telephone (530) 223-2492, Mr. Danniell Hoose, President.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Shasta Fire Equipment, Inc. marked DOT-specification 3AA, 3AL, 3HT, and exemption (DOT-E) and special permit (DOT-SP) cylinders, with RIN D183 between March 6, 2013 and May 6, 2013, certifying that they were successfully requalified accordance with HMR. After an inspection of Shasta Fire Equipment Inc., PHMSA has concluded that during this period,

Shasta Fire Equipment, Inc. failed to requalify cylinders in compliance with the HMR. As a result, any tests performed during this period were unreliable and invalid.

Cylinders that have not been properly requalified in accordance with the HMR pose an unreasonable safety risk. Cylinders that are not properly tested may not have the structural integrity to contain hazardous materials safely under pressure during normal transportation and use and may leak or rupture, resulting in property damage, injuries, or death. The affected cylinders are used primarily in oxygen service but may also be used for other hazardous materials.

Additionally, it is a violation of the HMR to ship hazardous materials in a packaging or container that does not conform to requalification testing requirements. Shipping or transporting hazardous materials in a cylinder that does not meet the requirements of the HMR is unauthorized, unless and until the cylinder passes proper testing in accordance with the HMR.

If you identify a cylinder that is subject to this notice, you are advised to remove it from service and submit it to an authorized retester for proper testing. A list of retesters that PHMSA authorizes to perform requalification testing on DOT-specification and special permit cylinders is available on PHMSA's Web site under "Cylinder Requalifiers" at <http://www.phmsa.dot.gov/hazmat/permits-approvals/pressure-vessels>. Any cylinder purchased from or serviced by Shasta Fire Equipment, Inc. and marked with RIN D183 between March 6, 2013 and the date of this notice must be retested in accordance with the HMR requalification requirements before it is used. Cylinders described in this safety advisory that are filled with an atmospheric gas should be vented or otherwise safely discharged. Cylinders that are filled with a material other than an atmospheric gas should not be vented but should be safely discharged by authorized personnel.

Issued in Washington, DC, on May 30, 2013.

**Magdy El-Sibaie,**

*Associate Administrator for Hazardous Materials Safety, Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration.*

[FR Doc. 2013-13222 Filed 6-4-13; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-60-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Surface Transportation Board

[Docket No. AB 586 (Sub-No. 3X)]

#### North Central Railway Association, Inc.—Abandonment Exemption—in Franklin and Hardin Counties, Iowa

North Central Railway Association, Inc. (NCRA), has filed a verified notice of exemption under 49 CFR part 1152 subpart F—*Exempt Abandonments* to abandon 10.46 miles of rail line between milepost 201.46 at or near Ackley, and milepost 191.0 at or near Geneva, in Franklin and Hardin Counties, Iowa. The line traverses United States Postal Service Zip Codes 50633 and 50601.

NCRA has certified that: (1) No local traffic has moved over the line for at least two years; (2) there is no overhead traffic on the line; (3) no formal complaint filed by a user of rail service on the line (or by a state or local government entity acting on behalf of such user) regarding cessation of service over the line either is pending with the Surface Transportation Board (Board) or with any U.S. District Court or has been decided in favor of complainant within the two-year period; and (4) the requirements at 49 CFR 1105.7(c) (environmental report), 49 CFR 1105.11 (transmittal letter), 49 CFR 1105.12 (newspaper publication), and 49 CFR 1152.50(d)(1) (notice to governmental agencies) have been met.

As a condition to this exemption, any employee adversely affected by the abandonment shall be protected under *Oregon Short Line Railroad—Abandonment Portion Goshen Branch Between Firth & Ammon, in Bingham & Bonneville Counties, Idaho*, 360 I.C.C. 91 (1979). To address whether this condition adequately protects affected employees, a petition for partial revocation under 49 U.S.C. 10502(d) must be filed.

Provided no formal expression of intent to file an offer of financial assistance (OFA) has been received, this exemption will be effective on July 5, 2013, unless stayed pending reconsideration. Petitions to stay that do not involve environmental issues,<sup>1</sup> formal expressions of intent to file an

<sup>1</sup> The Board will grant a stay if an informed decision on environmental issues (whether raised by a party or by the Board's Office of Environmental Analysis (OEA) in its independent investigation) cannot be made before the exemption's effective date. See *Exemption of Out-of-Serv. Rail Lines*, 5 I.C.C. 2d 377 (1989). Any request for a stay should be filed as soon as possible so that the Board may take appropriate action before the exemption's effective date.