

Office 9, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230.

*Background:* The Department of Commerce (“the Department”) published a notice in the **Federal Register** on May 3, 2013, concerning the preliminary determination in the antidumping duty investigation of hardwood and decorative plywood from the People’s Republic of China.<sup>1</sup>

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:  
Correction of Federal Register Notice**

The *Preliminary Determination* listed the combination rates for the respondents which were found to be eligible for a separate rate in this investigation. The Department inadvertently failed to list one supplier for Jiaxing Gsun Imp. & Exp. Co., Ltd. which should have received a separate rate.<sup>2</sup> The combination rate which should have been included in the

*Preliminary Determination* is listed below. This combination is in addition to the rates which were published in the *Preliminary Determination* and does not replace any previously published combination rates. Additionally, the Department will issue instructions to Customs and Border Protection correcting the suspension of liquidation instructions that were issued pursuant to the publication of the *Preliminary Determination* to include the below combination rate.

Exporter	Producer	Percent margin
Jiaxing Gsun Imp. & Exp. Co., Ltd .....	Linyi Qunxiang Wood Co., Ltd .....	22.14

**Postponement of the Final Determination**

The *Preliminary Determination* stated that the Department would issue its final determination no later than 75 days after the date of publication of the *Preliminary Determination*, in accordance with section 773(a)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (“the Act”). The final determination is currently due no later than July 17, 2013.

On April 3, 2013, Xuzhou Jiangyang Wood Industries Co. Ltd, and Xuzhou Jiangheng Wood Products Co. Ltd, and Linyi San Fortune Wood Co. Ltd (collectively, “Respondents”), requested, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.210(b), a postponement of the final determination and an extension of provisional measures.<sup>3</sup> In accordance with sections 733(d) and 735 (a)(2)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.210(b)(2)(ii) and (e), because (1) our preliminary determination is affirmative, (2) the requesting exporters account for a significant proportion of exports of the subject merchandise, and (3) no compelling reasons for denial exist, we are granting the requests and are

postponing the final determination until no later than 135 days after the publication of the *Preliminary Determination*. Suspension of liquidation will be extended accordingly.

An extension of 50 days from the current deadline of July 17, 2013, would result in a new deadline of September 5, 2013.

Dated: May 28, 2013.  
**Ronald K. Lorentzen,**  
*Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*  
[FR Doc. 2013–13081 Filed 5–31–13; 8:45 a.m.]  
**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
International Trade Administration  
Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Advance Notification of Sunset Reviews**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**Background**

Every five years, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (“the Act”), the Department of Commerce (“the Department”) and the International Trade Commission automatically initiate and conduct a review to determine whether revocation of a countervailing or antidumping duty order or termination of an investigation suspended under section 704 or 734 of the Act would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping or a countervailable subsidy (as the case may be) and of material injury.

**Upcoming Sunset Reviews for July 2013**

The following Sunset Reviews are scheduled for initiation in July 2013 and will appear in that month’s Notice of Initiation of Five-Year Sunset Review (“Sunset Review”).

	Department contact
<b>Antidumping Duty Proceedings</b>	
Laminated Woven Sacks from China (A–570–916) (1st Review) .....	Jennifer Moats, (202) 482–5047.
Non-Malleable Cast Iron Pipe Fittings from China (A–570–875) (2nd Review) .....	Jenifer Moats, (202) 482–5047.
Sodium Nitrite from China (A–570–925) (1st Review) .....	Jennifer Moats, (202) 482–5047.
Steel Nails from China (A–570–909) (1st Review) .....	Jennifer Moats, (202) 482–5047.
Sodium Nitrite from Germany (A–428–841) (1st Review) .....	David Goldberger, (202) 482–4136.
<b>Countervailing Duty Proceedings</b>	
Laminated Woven Sacks from China (C–570–917) (1st Review) .....	Dana Mermelstein, (202) 482–1391.
Sodium Nitrite from China (C–570–926) (1st Review) .....	Dana Mermelstein, (202) 482–1391.

<sup>1</sup> See *Hardwood and Decorative Plywood From the People’s Republic of China: Antidumping Duty Investigation*, 78 FR 25946 (May 3, 2013) (“*Preliminary Determination*”).

<sup>2</sup> See Memorandum to James C. Doyle, Director, Office 9, through Catherine Bertrand, Program Manager, Office 9, from Katie Marksberry, Senior

International Trade Specialist, Office 9; Re: Antidumping Duty Investigation of Hardwood and Decorative Plywood from the People’s Republic of China: Analysis of Ministerial Error Allegations, dated concurrently with this notice.

<sup>3</sup> See Letter to the Department, from Respondents, Re: Request for Extension of Final Determination,

dated April 3, 2013; see also Memorandum to The File, from Katie Marksberry, Senior International Trade Analyst, Re: Phone Call Regarding Clarification of Respondent’s Request for an Extension of the Final Determination, dated April 3, 2013.

## Suspended Investigations

No Sunset Review of suspended investigations is scheduled for initiation in July 2013.

The Department's procedures for the conduct of Sunset Reviews are set forth in 19 CFR 351.218. Guidance on methodological or analytical issues relevant to the Department's conduct of Sunset Reviews is set forth in the Department's Policy Bulletin 98.3—*Policies Regarding the Conduct of Five-Year ("Sunset") Reviews of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders; Policy Bulletin*, 63 FR 18871 (April 16, 1998). The Notice of Initiation of Five-Year ("Sunset") Reviews provides further information regarding what is required of all parties to participate in Sunset Reviews.

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.103(c), the Department will maintain and make available a service list for these proceedings. To facilitate the timely preparation of the service list(s), it is requested that those seeking recognition as interested parties to a proceeding contact the Department in writing within 10 days of the publication of the Notice of Initiation.

Please note that if the Department receives a Notice of Intent to Participate from a member of the domestic industry within 15 days of the date of initiation, the review will continue. Thereafter, any interested party wishing to participate in the Sunset Review must provide substantive comments in response to the notice of initiation no later than 30 days after the date of initiation.

This notice is not required by statute but is published as a service to the international trading community.

Dated: May 17, 2013.

**Christian Marsh,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.*

[FR Doc. 2013-13101 Filed 5-31-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

#### **Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity To Request Administrative Review**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Brenda E. Waters, Office of AD/CVD Operations, Customs Unit, Import Administration, International Trade

Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230, telephone: (202) 482-4735.

### Background

Each year during the anniversary month of the publication of an antidumping or countervailing duty order, finding, or suspended investigation, an interested party, as defined in section 771(9) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), may request, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213, that the Department of Commerce ("the Department") conduct an administrative review of that antidumping or countervailing duty order, finding, or suspended investigation.

All deadlines for the submission of comments or actions by the Department discussed below refer to the number of calendar days from the applicable starting date.

### Respondent Selection

In the event the Department limits the number of respondents for individual examination for administrative reviews initiated pursuant to requests made for the orders identified below, the Department intends to select respondents based on U.S. Customs and Border Protection ("CBP") data for U.S. imports during the period of review. We intend to release the CBP data under Administrative Protective Order ("APO") to all parties having an APO within five days of publication of the initiation notice and to make our decision regarding respondent selection within 21 days of publication of the initiation **Federal Register** notice. Therefore, we encourage all parties interested in commenting on respondent selection to submit their APO applications on the date of publication of the initiation notice, or as soon thereafter as possible. The Department invites comments regarding the CBP data and respondent selection within five days of placement of the CBP data on the record of the review.

In the event the Department decides it is necessary to limit individual examination of respondents and conduct respondent selection under section 777A(c)(2) of the Act:

In general, the Department has found that determinations concerning whether particular companies should be "collapsed" (*i.e.*, treated as a single entity for purposes of calculating antidumping duty rates) require a substantial amount of detailed information and analysis, which often require follow-up questions and analysis. Accordingly, the Department

will not conduct collapsing analyses at the respondent selection phase of this review and will not collapse companies at the respondent selection phase unless there has been a determination to collapse certain companies in a previous segment of this antidumping proceeding (*i.e.*, investigation, administrative review, new shipper review or changed circumstances review). For any company subject to this review, if the Department determined, or continued to treat, that company as collapsed with others, the Department will assume that such companies continue to operate in the same manner and will collapse them for respondent selection purposes. Otherwise, the Department will not collapse companies for purposes of respondent selection. Parties are requested to (a) identify which companies subject to review previously were collapsed, and (b) provide a citation to the proceeding in which they were collapsed. Further, if companies are requested to complete the Quantity and Value Questionnaire for purposes of respondent selection, in general each company must report volume and value data separately for itself. Parties should not include data for any other party, even if they believe they should be treated as a single entity with that other party. If a company was collapsed with another company or companies in the most recently completed segment of this proceeding where the Department considered collapsing that entity, complete quantity and value data for that collapsed entity must be submitted.

### Deadline for Withdrawal of Request for Administrative Review

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), a party that has requested a review may withdraw that request within 90 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the requested review. The regulation provides that the Department may extend this time if it is reasonable to do so. In order to provide parties additional certainty with respect to when the Department will exercise its discretion to extend this 90-day deadline, interested parties are advised that, with regard to reviews requested on the basis of anniversary months on or after June 2013, the Department does not intend to extend the 90-day deadline unless the requestor demonstrates that an extraordinary circumstance has prevented it from submitting a timely withdrawal request. Determinations by the Department to extend the 90-day deadline will be made on a case-by-case basis.

The Department is providing this notice on its Web site, as well as in its