

II. Desired Focus of Comments

The Mine Safety and Health Administration (MSHA) is soliciting comments concerning the proposed extension of the information collection related to the Certificate of Electrical Training and the applications both for MSHA-approved tests and for State tests administered as a part of a MSHA-approved State program. MSHA is particularly interested in comments that:

- Evaluate whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information has practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the MSHA's estimate of the burden of the collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Suggest methods to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Address the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology (e.g., permitting electronic submissions of responses), to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond.

OMB clearance requests are available on MSHA's Web site at <http://www.msha.gov> under "Federal Register Documents" on the right side of the screen by selecting "New and Existing Information Collections and Supporting Statements". The document will be available on MSHA's Web site for 60 days after the publication date of this notice, and on regulations.gov. Comments submitted in writing or in electronic form will be made available for public inspection on regulations.gov. Because comments will not be edited to remove any identifying information, MSHA cautions the commenter against including any information in the submission that should not be publicly disclosed.

The public also may examine publicly available documents at MSHA, Office of Standards, Regulations, and Variances, 1100 Wilson Boulevard, Room 2350, Arlington, VA 22209-3939.

Questions about the information collection requirements may be directed to the person listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section of this notice.

III. Current Actions

The information obtained from applicants will be used to determine compliance with 30 CFR Part 75 and 30 CFR Part 77.

MSHA has updated the number of respondents and responses, as well as the total burden hours and burden costs supporting this information collection request.

MSHA does not intend to publish the results from this information collection and is not seeking approval to either display or not display the expiration date for the OMB approval of this information collection.

There are no certification exceptions identified with this information collection and the collection of this information does not employ statistical methods.

Summary

Type of Review: Revision of a currently approved information collection.

Agency: Mine Safety and Health Administration.

Title: Certificate of Electrical Training.

OMB Number: 1219-0001.

Affected Public: Business or other for-profit.

Cite/Reference/Form/etc: 30 CFR 75.153 and 77.103.

Total Number of Respondents: 273.

Frequency: Various.

Total Number of Responses: 2,350.

Total Burden Hours: 996 hours.

Total Annual Respondent or Recordkeeper Cost Burden: \$731.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and included in the request for Office of Management and Budget approval of the information collection request; they will also become a matter of public record.

Authority: 44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A).

Dated: May 28th, 2013.

George F. Triebsch,
Certifying Officer.

[FR Doc. 2013-12949 Filed 5-30-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-43-P

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

Notice of Information Collection

AGENCY: National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA).

NOTICE: (13-062).

ACTION: Notice of information collection.

SUMMARY: The National Aeronautics and Space Administration, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction

Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-13, 44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)).

DATES: All comments should be submitted within 60 calendar days from the date of this publication.

ADDRESSES: All comments should be addressed to Ms. Frances Teel, JF000, National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Washington, DC 20546-0001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Requests for additional information or copies of the information collection instrument(s) and instructions should be directed to Frances Teel, NASA Clearance Officer, NASA Headquarters, 300 E Street SW., JF000, Washington, DC 20546, Frances.C.Teel@nasa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Abstract

This collection of information supports both the White House initiative to create opportunities to advance science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (STEM) education, and the President's Council of Advisors on Science and Technology (PCAST) *Engage to Excel* goals to improve STEM education during the first two years of college. The Department of Commerce estimates that STEM occupations will grow 1.7 times faster than non-STEM occupations between 2008-2018. As demographics in the U.S. continue to shift towards a more diverse populous, there is a need to attract underserved and underrepresented students to STEM degree fields. Traditionally, underrepresented groups in STEM include females, African-American, Hispanics, Native Americans, Pacific Islanders (natives of the Philippines, Guam, American Samoa, or Micronesia), and disabled students.

The NASA Glenn Research Center (GRC) Shadowing and Exploring Project is a career exploration initiative targeting students in the 14-20 age group. It connects classroom training to tangible activities that enable practical application of STEM disciplines, and cultivates innovative thinking. The program is designed to increase awareness of STEM career paths and encourage both the pursuit and retention of STEM majors during the initial years of college. The program incorporates GRC scientists, engineers, technicians, and administrative professionals to serve as mentors to participating students. The NASA Glenn Research Center Shadowing and Exploring Project Participation is voluntary and registration is required to participate.

II. Method of Collection

Electronic and Paper.

III. Data

Title: NASA GRC Shadowing and Exploring.

OMB Number: 2700–XXXX.

Type of review: Existing Collection without OMB Approval.

Affected Public: Individuals.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 500.

Estimated Time per Response: 0.5 hours.

Estimated Total Annual Burden

Hours: 250.

Estimated Total Annual Cost: \$39,552.51.

IV. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on: (1) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of NASA, including whether the information collected has practical utility; (2) the accuracy of NASA's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including automated collection techniques or the use of other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection. They will also become a matter of public record.

Frances Teel,

NASA PRA Clearance Officer.

[FR Doc. 2013–12906 Filed 5–30–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7510–13–P

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION**Agency Information Collection Activities: Comment Request**

AGENCY: National Science Foundation.

ACTION: Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request.

SUMMARY: The National Science Foundation (NSF) has submitted the following information collection requirement to OMB for review and clearance under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104–13. This is the second notice for public comment; the first was published in the **Federal Register** at 78 FR 56876, and three comments were received. NSF is forwarding the proposed renewal

submission to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for clearance simultaneously with the publication of this second notice. The full submission (including comments) may be found at: <http://www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain>. Comments regarding (a) whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of burden including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected; (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology should be addressed to: Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs of OMB, Attention: Desk Officer for National Science Foundation, 725–17th Street NW., Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503, and to Suzanne H. Plimpton, Reports Clearance Officer, National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Suite 1265, Arlington, Virginia 22230 or send email to splimpto@nsf.gov. Individuals who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1–800–877–8339, which is accessible 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, 365 days a year (including federal holidays).

Comments regarding these information collections are best assured of having their full effect if received within 30 days of this notification. Copies of the submission(s) may be obtained by calling 703–292–7556.

NSF may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless the collection of information displays a currently valid OMB control number and the agency informs potential persons who are to respond to the collection of information that such persons are not required to respond to the collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**Comments**

As required by 5 CFR 1320.8(d), comments on the information collection activities as part of this study were solicited through publication of a 60 Day Notice in the **Federal Register** on September 14, 2012, at 77 FR 56876. We received three comments, to which we here respond.

Commenter 1

We agreed with one commenter's conclusion that (a) the information is necessary and will have practical use; and (b) our estimated burden on respondents appears appropriate. In (c), the commenter raised two points, and one more in (d) which we address here.

The first point of (c) is about our non-inclusion of the actual course instructor in our survey. We did not specifically include interviews with instructors for two reasons. The first is that NSF does not require grantees to provide RCR instruction through a live person—NSF concluded it was acceptable for grantees to direct participants to a Web site for online RCR education. Thus, there may not be an RCR instructor with whom we could speak. The second reason is that, based on our limited experience with grantees in which live RCR instruction is offered, the RCR administrator is also involved in that instruction, so the administrator will also have that perspective in those instances. Finally, we want to limit the burden this survey imposes on awardee institutions.

The second point in (c) is that our minimum number of participants of the RCR training (three—one undergraduate, one graduate, one post-doc) seems too low to provide a representative sample. We will ask grantees to make available as many students as practical, but since NSF requires grantees to provide RCR training only to students directly supported (paid) from NSF grants, we recognize that for many grantees, this may mean that few NSF participants exist. Of course, if a grantee provides RCR education to a broader range of students/post-docs/faculty than the minimal requirements of NSF, we expect to be able to draw from a larger pool of participants. Indeed, this is one of our questions for the RCR Administrator.

The comment in (d) about the most significant way to reduce the burden on respondents would be to give clear and timely guidance on what does and does not constitute 'adequate' training goes to one of the points of doing this survey. NSF has not specified what constitutes 'adequate' RCR training. We are assessing how grantees have implemented NSF's requirement, how many of them would welcome further specificity in NSF's requirement, and how many would not—and why or why not. As we note, one likely outcome of our effort would be recommendations back to NSF for improving its RCR program, and, depending on the response data, this could be one of those recommendations.