

incorporating a permanent riving knife design. The revised standard also specified detailed design and performance requirements for the modular blade guard, riving knife, and anti-kickback device(s). The effective date for the new requirements in UL 987 was January 31, 2010.

In the ANPR, the Commission expressed concern that the requirements in the voluntary standard for table saws, UL 987, which include a permanent riving knife and the new modular blade guard system, may not adequately address the operator blade contact injuries associated with table saw use. The Commission stated that:

While we support the recent progress UL has made in improving the voluntary standard to address blade contact injuries by focusing solely on prevention of skin-to-blade contact, the standard requirements do not appear to address adequately the number or severity of blade contact injuries that occur on table saws, nor do they address the associated societal costs. In addition, while we believe that the new modular guard design is a significant improvement over the old guard design, the effectiveness of any blade guard system depends upon an operator's willingness to use it. Safety equipment that hinders the ability to operate the product likely will result in consumers bypassing, avoiding, or discarding the safety equipment. In addition, of the 66,900 table saw operator blade contact injuries in 2007 and 2008, approximately 20,700 (30.9%) of the injuries occurred on table saws where the blade guard was in use. The current voluntary standard for table saws does not appear to address those types of injuries. Accordingly, we are particularly interested in obtaining information regarding current or developing voluntary standards that would address table saw blade contact injuries.

76 FR 62683. Currently, the CPSC does not know how consumers are using the new modular blade guard. Because the usage patterns are directly linked to the safety of the user, additional data are needed to understand how consumers use the modular blade guard to determine how effective the design will be in preventing future injuries. The data collected from this survey will be used to help CPSC staff understand better how consumers are using the modular blade guard system, such as when consumers install and remove the blade guard, what type of cuts are being made without the blade guard, and/or what may be preventing the use of the blade guard. With additional information, the Commission will be able to evaluate the role of modular blade guards in the proposed rule. The data, along with testing results, subject matter input analysis, and other study information, will be used by the Commission to develop the proposed

rule addressing consumer injuries associated with table saws.

To gather the information, the CPSC will conduct a survey of consumers who own table saws with a modular blade guard system. Because the population of owners of table saws that were purchased with a modular blade guard is a specific and hard-to-reach population, the survey will be based on a convenience sample of participants recruited by various advertisement strategies. No results from the survey will be generalized to the population. To recruit respondents, advertisements will be placed on popular Web sites, in woodworking magazines, and posted in woodworking guilds with their cooperation. Respondents will have the option to go through a screening process, either online, or via the telephone. Respondents meeting the criteria of the survey—owners of table saws with the modular blade guard system—will participate in the follow-up, full-scale Computer Assisted Telephone Interviewing (CATI) survey about their usage of, and opinions about, the modular blade guard system. After completion of the full-scale CATI survey, each respondent will be sent a \$50 check for completing the survey. CPSC staff anticipates that approximately 100 eligible respondents will be interviewed. Up to an additional 100 respondents may be interviewed, if additional funding becomes available.

A final report will summarize the data about modular blade use collected from the surveyed table saw owners. Any patterns that emerge can be considered in conjunction with other testing, subject matter expert analyses, and any other data gathered as part of the rulemaking process, to assess the potential effectiveness of the modular blade guard design and to inform rulemaking. Any patterns that emerge may also be used by CPSC staff to develop future studies.

B. Burden Hours

CPSC staff estimates that the recruitment stage time required to verify whether the respondent fits the study's target group of consumers will not exceed 10 minutes, and the actual survey will not exceed 25 minutes. Thus, total time per eligible respondent is estimated not to exceed 35 minutes. For the 100 anticipated eligible respondents, time required in connection with the survey would be estimated at approximately 58 hours (100 × 0.58 hours) in the aggregate. According to the Bureau of Labor Statistics, March 2013, <http://www.bls.gov/news.release/ecec.nr0.htm>, the average compensational hourly rate

is \$28.89. The total cost burden for this study is estimated at \$1,676. If an additional 100 respondents were interviewed, the total burden hours would be estimated at \$3,352.

The estimated cost to the federal government is \$182,159.87 for the costs of recruiting respondents and conducting the survey. In addition, one full-time CPSC employee will spend an estimated 600 hours of labor for an estimated cost of \$49,488, the equivalent of a GS-14 Step 5 employee with an additional 30.8 percent added for benefits for an hourly compensation rate of \$82.48. (U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics, "Employer Costs for Employee Compensation," December 2012, Table 1, percentage of wages and salaries for all civilian management, professional, and related employees, <http://www.bls.gov/ncs>). Accordingly, the total estimated cost to the federal government is \$231,647.87 (\$182,159.87 plus \$49,488). If an additional 100 respondents are surveyed, the additional estimated cost to the federal government is \$98,000 (\$31,000 for recruiting + \$67,000 for conducting survey), for a total estimated cost to the federal government of \$329,647.87.

C. Request for Comments

The CPSC invites comments on these topics:

- Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of CPSC's functions, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- The accuracy of CPSC's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
 - Ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
 - Ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques, when appropriate, and other forms of information technology.

Dated: May 22, 2013.

Todd A. Stevenson,

Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission.

[FR Doc. 2013-12552 Filed 5-24-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6355-01-P

CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION

Notice of Second Prehearing Conference; Update

AGENCY: U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission.

In the Matter of Baby Matters, LLC,
CPSC Docket No. 13–1.

Federal Register Citation of
Previous Announcement:

Vol. 78, No. 93, Tuesday, May 14,
2013, page 29205.

*Announced Time and Date of Second
Prehearing Conference:* Thursday, May
23, 2013, 11:00 a.m. Eastern.

The prehearing conference scheduled
for May 23, 2013 will be continued to
a later date, if necessary.

**CONTACT PERSON FOR ADDITIONAL
INFORMATION:** Regina Maye, Paralegal
Specialist, U.S. Coast Guard ALJ
Program, (212) 825–1230.

Dated: May 22, 2013.

Todd A. Stevenson,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2013–12575 Filed 5–24–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6355–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Office of the Secretary

[Docket ID: DOD–2013–OS–0111]

Proposed Collection; Comment Request

AGENCY: Defense Logistics Agency, DoD.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In compliance with Section
3506(c)(2)(A) of the *Paperwork
Reduction Act of 1995*, the Defense
Logistics Agency announces a proposed
public information collection and seeks
public comment on the provisions
thereof. Comments are invited on: (a)
Whether the proposed collection of
information is necessary for the proper
performance of the functions of the
agency, including whether the
information shall have practical utility;
(b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate
of the burden of the information
collection; (c) ways to enhance the
quality, utility, and clarity of the
information to be collected; and (d)
ways to minimize the burden of the
information collection on respondents,
including through the use of automated
collection techniques or other forms of
information technology.

DATES: Consideration will be given to all
comments received by July 29, 2013.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments,
identified by docket number and title,
by any of the following methods:

- *Federal eRulemaking Portal:* <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.

- *Mail:* Federal Docket Management System Office, 4800 Mark Center Drive, 2nd Floor, East Tower, Suite 02G09, Alexandria, VA 22350–3100.

Instructions: All submissions received
must include the agency name, docket
number and title for this **Federal
Register** document. The general policy
for comments and other submissions
from members of the public is to make
these submissions available for public
viewing on the Internet at <http://www.regulations.gov> as they are
received without change, including any
personal identifiers or contact
information.

Any associated form(s) for this
collection may be located within this
same electronic docket and downloaded
for review/testing. Follow the
instructions at <http://www.regulations.gov> for submitting
comments. Please submit comments on
any given form identified by docket
number, form number, and title.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: To
request more information on this
proposed information collection or to
obtain a copy of the proposal and
associated collection instruments,
please write to the Defense Logistics
Agency Headquarters, ATTN: Mr.
Thomas Reinard, DLA Installation
Support, 8725 John J. Kingman Rd., Ft.
Belvoir, VA 22060–6221; or call (703)
767–5419.

*Title; Associated Form; and OMB
Number:* Defense Logistics Agency
(DLA) Police Center Records (POLC);
OMB Control Number 0704–TBD.

Needs and Uses: DLA police require
an integrated police records
management system, PoliceCenter
(POLC), to automate and standardize all
of the common record keeping functions
of DLA police. POLC shall provide
records management of police
operations, including property, incident
reports, blotters, qualifications,
dispatching, and other police
information management
considerations. The tool will allow
authorized users the capability to
collect, store, and access sensitive law
enforcement information gathered by
Police Officers. The tool will allow DLA
Police to automate many police
operational functions and assist with
crime rate and trend analysis. Relevant
law enforcement matters include, but
are not limited to; traffic accidents,
illegal parking, firearms records,
suspicious activity, response to calls for
service, criminal activity, alarm
activations, medical emergencies,
witnesses, victims, or suspect in a
police matter, or any other situation
which warrants police contact as
outlined in DoD Directives and DLA
Policy.

In addition to those disclosures
generally permitted under 5 U.S.C.

552a(b) of the Privacy Act of 1974, these
records contained therein may
specifically be disclosed outside the
DoD as a routine use pursuant to 5
U.S.C. 552a(b)(3) as follows:

- To Federal, State, and local
agencies having jurisdiction over or
investigative interest in the substance of
the investigation, for corrective action,
debarment, or reporting purposes.

- To Government contractors
employing individuals who are subjects
of an investigation.

- To DLA contractors or vendors
when the investigation pertains to a
person they employ or to a product or
service they provide to DoD when
disclosure is necessary to accomplish or
support corrective action.

Affected Public: Individuals and
Households: Members of the public who
are involved in any law enforcement or
security matter on DLA property which
requires DLA Police response or contact.

Annual Burden Hours: 225.

Number of Respondents: 450.

Average Burden per Response: 30
minutes.

Frequency: On occasion.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Summary of Information Collection

This POLC system contains the
following categories of records:
Individual's name, address and
telephone number; social security
number (not in all matters); driver's
license number; Reports of Preliminary
Inquiry; Criminal Information Reports;
Reports of Investigation; Police Incident
Reports; Crime Vulnerability
Assessments; statements of witnesses,
subjects, and victims; photographs; data
collection reports; and other related
papers by DLA Police Officers, Federal,
State, and local law enforcement and
investigative agencies.

Dated: May 22, 2013.

Aaron Siegel,

*Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison
Officer, Department of Defense.*

[FR Doc. 2013–12549 Filed 5–24–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5001–06–P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Office of the Secretary

[Transmittal Nos. 13–22]

36(b)(1) Arms Sales Notification

AGENCY: Defense Security Cooperation
Agency, Department of Defense.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Defense is
publishing the unclassified text of a