By order of the Commission.

Lisa R. Barton,

Acting Secretary to the Commission.
[FR Doc. 2013–11817 Filed 5–16–13; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

NATIONAL FOUNDATION ON THE ARTS AND THE HUMANITIES

National Endowment for the Arts

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

The National Endowment for the Arts (NEA) has submitted a public information collection request (ICR) to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995: Clearance Request for NEA ArtBeat Survey. Copies of this ICR, with applicable supporting documentation, may be obtained by visiting www.Reginfo.gov.

Comments should be sent to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attn: OMB Desk Officer for the National Endowment for the Arts, Office of Management and Budget, Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503 202/395–7316, within 30 days from the date of this publication in the **Federal Register**.

The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) is particularly interested in comments which:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Could help minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of electronic submission of responses through Grants.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The ArtBeat Questionnaire is available upon request from *survey@arts.gov*

Agency: National Endowment for the Arts.

Title: Clearance Request for NEA ArtBeat Survey.

OMB Number: 3135–XXXX. Frequency: Annually, FY14–FY16. Affected Public: Nonprofit organizations and individuals.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 503,532.

Estimated Time per Respondent: 5 min.

Total Burden Hours: 41,961. Total Annualized Capital/Startup Costs: 0.

Total Annual Costs (Operating/ Maintaining Systems or Purchasing Services): 0.

Description: Under the National Endowment for the Arts' Strategic Plan, a critical NEA goal is to Engage the Public with Diverse and Excellent Art. To help monitor progress in achieving this goal, the NEA plans to conduct the ArtBeat information collection. Results from the collection will enable the Agency to measure the percentage of audience members at various kinds of NEA-sponsored arts events (exhibits, performances, and film screenings) who report being affected by those events. Also relevant to NEA decision-makers, the data will allow the NEA to gauge how such responses vary by different types of events and audience groups.

Dated: May 13, 2014.

Kathy Plowitz-Worden,

 ${\it Panel Coordinator, National Endowment for the Arts.}$

[FR Doc. 2013–11801 Filed 5–16–13; 8:45 am]

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[NRC-2013-0096; Docket Nos. 50-295 and 50-304; License Nos. DPR-39 and DPR-481

In the Matter of Zion Solutions, LLC; Zion Nuclear Power Station, Units 1 and 2; Order Approving Indirect Transfer of Control of Facility Operating Licenses

I.

ZionSolutions, LLC (ZS) is the licensee and owner of the Zion Nuclear Power Station, Units 1 and 2 (ZNPS) in Zion, Illinois.

II

By letter dated January 10, 2013, ZS submitted an application requesting that the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) consent to the indirect transfer of control of Facility Operating License Nos. DPR–39 and DPR–48 for the Zion Nuclear Power Station, Units 1 and 2 held by ZS, including the General License for the Zion Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation (ISFSI).

The transfer will occur as a result of a proposed transaction whereby the current ultimate parent holding company of ZS, Energy Solutions, Inc. (ES, Inc.), would be directly acquired by Rockwell Holdco, Inc. (Rockwell), a Delaware corporation that was formed for the purpose of acquiring ES, Inc. and is held by certain investment fund entities organized by controlled affiliates of Energy Capital Partners II, LLC (ECP II). No physical changes to the ZNPS are being proposed.

Approval of the indirect transfer of the licenses was requested pursuant to Section 184 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (AEA) (42 U.S.C. 2234) and Section 50.80 of Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR). A notice of the request for approval and opportunity for a hearing or to submit written comments was published in the **Federal Register** on February 20, 2013 (78 FR 11904). No requests for a hearing were received in response to this notice. Two comments were received in response to this notice.

Pursuant to Section 184 of the AEA, no license granted under the AEA, and pursuant to 10 CFR 50.80, no license granted under 10 CFR Part 50, shall be transferred, assigned, or in any manner disposed of, directly or indirectly, through transfer of control of any license to any person unless the Commission, finds that the proposed transferee is qualified to be the holder of the license and that the transfer is in accordance with the provisions of law, regulations, and orders issued by the Commission, and gives its consent in writing

Upon review of the information received from ZS, and other information before the Commission, and relying upon the representations and agreements contained in the Transfer Application, the NRC staff finds that: (1) the qualifications of ZS regarding the proposed indirect transfer of control of ZNPS are not changed, and (2) the proposed indirect transfer of the licenses due to the purchase of the current ultimate parent holding company of ZS, Energy Solutions, Inc., which would be directly acquired by Rockwell Holdco, Inc. is otherwise consistent with applicable provisions of laws, regulations and orders issued by the Commission pursuant thereto.

The findings set forth above are supported by a Safety Evaluation (SE) dated May 8, 2013.

The commenters opined that the transfer would disadvantage financial stakeholders. As stated in the supporting SE., the staff reviewed the proposed transfer and found no financial issues with the proposal. In addition, the proposed transfer in no way affects the current financial reporting requirements or the NRC annual review of those reports. Based on the review the staff finds no