

document so that we can contact you if we have questions regarding your submission.

To submit your comment online, go to <http://www.regulations.gov>, type the docket number (USCG–2013–0232) in the “SEARCH” box and click “SEARCH.” Click on “Submit a Comment” on the line associated with this notice.

If you submit your comments by mail or hand delivery, submit them in an unbound format, no larger than 8½ by 11 inches, suitable for copying and electronic filing. If you submit them by mail and would like to know that they reached the Facility, please enclose a stamped, self-addressed postcard or envelope. We will consider all comments and material received during the comment period.

Viewing comments and documents: To view comments, go to <http://www.regulations.gov>, type the docket number (USCG–2013–0125) in the “SEARCH” box and click “SEARCH.” Click on “Open Docket Folder” on the line associated with this rulemaking. You may also visit the Docket Management Facility in Room W12–140 on the ground floor of the Department of Transportation West Building, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC 20590, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

Privacy Act: Anyone can search the electronic form of comments received into any of our dockets by the name of the individual submitting the comment (or signing the comment, if submitted on behalf of an association, business, labor union, etc.). You may review a Privacy Act notice regarding our public dockets in the January 17, 2008, issue of the **Federal Register** (73 FR 3316).

Public meeting: We do not now plan to hold a public meeting, but you may submit a request for one, using one of the methods specified under **ADDRESSES**. Please explain why you believe a public meeting would be beneficial. If we determine that one would aid this rulemaking, we will hold one at a time and place announced by a later notice in the **Federal Register**.

Basis and Purpose: Under 33 CFR 127.007(a), an owner or operator planning new construction to expand or modify marine terminal operations in an existing facility handling Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) or Liquefied Hazardous Gas (LHG), where the construction, expansion, or modification would result in an increase in the size and/or frequency of LNG or LHG marine traffic on the waterway associated with the facility, must submit a Letter of Intent (LOI) to the COTP of

the zone in which the facility is located. Under 33 CFR 127.007(e), an owner or operator planning such an expansion must also file or update a Waterway Suitability Assessment (WSA) that addresses the proposed increase in LNG or LHG marine traffic in the associated waterway. Sunoco Partners Marketing and Terminals in Nederland, Texas submitted an LOI on March 11, 2013, and a WSA on March 11, 2013, regarding the company’s proposed expansion of its LHG facility in Nederland, Texas.

Under 33 CFR 127.009, after receiving an LOI, the COTP issues a Letter of Recommendation (LOR) as to the suitability of the waterway for LNG or LHG marine traffic to the appropriate jurisdictional authorities. The LOR is based on a series of factors outlined in 33 CFR 127.009 that related to the physical nature of the affected waterway and issues of safety and security associated with LNG or LHG marine traffic on the affected waterway.

The purpose of this notice is to solicit public comments on the proposed increase in LHG marine traffic in Beaumont, Texas. The Coast Guard believes that input from the public may be useful to the COTP with respect to development of the LOR. Additionally, the Coast Guard asked the Area Maritime Security Committee, Port Arthur, Texas and the Southeast Texas Waterways Advisor Committee (SETWAC) to form a subcommittee comprised of affected port users and stakeholders. The goal of these subcommittees will be to gather information to help the COTP assess the suitability of the associated waterway for increased LHG marine traffic as it relates to navigational safety and security.

On January 24, 2011, the Coast Guard published Navigation and Vessel Inspection Circular (NVIC) 01–2011, “Guidance Related to Waterfront Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) Facilities.” NVIC 01–2011 provides guidance for owners and operators seeking approval to build and operate LNG facilities. While NVIC 01–2011 is specific to LNG, it provides useful process information and guidance for owners and operators seeking approval to build and operate LHG facilities as well. The Coast Guard will refer to NVIC 01–2011 for process information and guidance in evaluating Sunoco Partners Marketing and Terminal’s WSA. A copy of NVIC 01–2011 is available for viewing in the public docket for this notice and also on the Coast Guard’s Web site at <http://www.uscg.mil/hq/cg5/nvic/2010s.asp>.

This notice is issued under authority of 33 U.S.C. 1223–1225, Department of

Homeland Security Delegation Number 0170.1(70), 33 CFR 127.009, and 33 CFR 103.205.

Dated: April 8, 2013.

G.J. Paitl,

Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Captain of the Port, Port Arthur.

[FR Doc. 2013–10456 Filed 5–2–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9110–04–P

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Transportation Security Administration

Extension of Agency Information Collection Activity Under OMB Review: Pipeline Corporate Security Review

AGENCY: Transportation Security Administration, DHS.

ACTION: 30-day Notice.

SUMMARY: This notice announces that the Transportation Security Administration (TSA) has forwarded the Information Collection Request (ICR), Office of Management and Budget (OMB) control number 1652–0056, abstracted below to OMB for review and approval of an extension of the currently approved collection under the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA). The ICR describes the nature of the information collection and its expected burden. TSA published a **Federal Register** notice, with a 60-day comment period soliciting comments, of the following collection of information on February 26, 2013, 78 FR 13075. The collection encompasses interviews and site visits with pipeline operators regarding company security planning and implementation.

DATES: Send your comments by June 3, 2013. A comment to OMB is most effective if OMB receives it within 30 days of publication.

ADDRESSES: Interested persons are invited to submit written comments on the proposed information collection to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, OMB. Comments should be addressed to Desk Officer, Department of Homeland Security/TSA, and sent via electronic mail to oir_submission@omb.eop.gov or faxed to (202) 395–6974.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Susan L. Perkins, TSA PRA Officer, Office of Information Technology (OIT), TSA–11, Transportation Security Administration, 601 South 12th Street, Arlington, VA 20598–6011; telephone (571) 227–3398; email TSAPRA@dhs.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited

In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. The ICR documentation is available at <http://www.reginfo.gov>. Therefore, in preparation for OMB review and approval of the following information collection, TSA is soliciting comments to—

(1) Evaluate whether the proposed information requirement is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden;

(3) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(4) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including using appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Information Collection Requirement

Title: Pipeline Corporate Security Review (PCSR).

Type of Request: Extension of a currently approved collection.

OMB Control Number: 1652-0056.

Forms(s): Pipeline Corporate Security Review (PCSR) Protocol Form.

Affected Public: Hazardous Liquids and Natural Gas Pipeline Industry.

Abstract: Under the Aviation and Transportation Security Act (ATSA) and delegated authority from the Secretary of Homeland Security, TSA is tasked with developing policies, strategies and plans for dealing with transportation security. To carry out this responsibility regarding pipelines, TSA assesses current industry security practices through its Pipeline Corporate Security Review (PCSR) program. The PCSR is a voluntary, face-to-face visit with a pipeline operator during which TSA discusses an operator's corporate security planning and also completes the PCSR Form. The PCSR Form includes 218 questions concerning the operator's corporate level security planning, covering security topics such as physical security, vulnerability assessments, training, and emergency communications. TSA uses the information collected during the PCSR process to determine baseline security standards and areas of security weakness in the pipeline mode.

Number of Respondents: 2,200 potential respondents; likely 15 annual respondents.

Estimated Annual Burden Hours: An estimated 120 hours annually, based on TSA conducting 15 PCSR visits a year, each lasting 8 hours.

Dated: April 30, 2013.

Susan L. Perkins,

TSA Paperwork Reduction Act Officer, Office of Information Technology.

[FR Doc. 2013-10524 Filed 5-2-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9110-05-P

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

U.S. Customs and Border Protection

Notice of Issuance of Final Determination Concerning Generation II Military Energizer Flashlights

AGENCY: U.S. Customs and Border Protection, Department of Homeland Security.

ACTION: Notice of final determination.

SUMMARY: This document provides notice that U.S. Customs and Border Protection ("CBP") has issued a final determination concerning the country of origin of the Generation II military Energizer flashlight, with light-emitting diodes. Based upon the facts presented, CBP has concluded in the final determination that China is the country of origin of the Generation II military Energizer flashlight, for purposes of U.S. Government procurement.

DATES: The final determination was issued on April 29, 2013. A copy of the final determination is attached. Any party-at-interest, as defined in 19 CFR 177.22(d), may seek judicial review of this final determination within June 3, 2013.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Robert Dinerstein, Valuation and Special Programs Branch, Regulations and Rulings, Office of International Trade (202-325-0132).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Notice is hereby given that on April 29, 2013, pursuant to subpart B of part 177, Customs and Border Protection (CBP) Regulations (19 CFR part 177, subpart B), CBP issued a final determination concerning the country of origin of the Generation II military Energizer flashlights which may be offered to the United States Government under an undesignated government procurement contract. This final determination, in HQ H215657, was issued at the request of Energizer Battery Inc. under procedures set forth at 19 CFR Part 177, Subpart B, which implements Title III of

the Trade Agreements Act of 1979, as amended (19 U.S.C. 2511-18). In the final determination, CBP concluded that the Generation II military Energizer flashlights assembled in the United States from foreign made parts and programmed with U.S. origin software in the United States are products of China for purposes of U.S. Government procurement.

Section 177.29, CBP Regulations (19 CFR 177.29), provides that notice of final determinations shall be published in the **Federal Register** within 60 days of the date the final determination is issued. Section 177.30, CBP Regulations (19 CFR 177.30), provides that any party-at-interest, as defined in 19 CFR 177.22(d), may seek judicial review of a final determination within 30 days of publication of such determination in the **Federal Register**.

Dated: April 29, 2013.

Sandra L. Bell,

Executive Director, Regulations and Rulings, Office of International Trade.

HQ H215657

April 29, 2013

MAR-02 OT:RR:CTF:VS H215657 RSD

CATEGORY: MARKING

M. Jason Cunningham, Esq.
30 South Wacker Drive
Suite 2200 No. 41
Chicago, Illinois 60606

RE: Final Determination of U.S. Government Procurement: Country of Origin of Military Energizer Flashlight

Dear Mr. Cunningham:

This is in response to your letter dated March 28, 2012, requesting a final determination on behalf of Energizer Battery, Inc. (Energizer), pursuant to subpart B Part 177 Customs and Border Protection ("CBP") Regulations (19 CFR § 177.21 *et seq.*). Under these regulations, which implement Title III of the Trade Agreements Act of 1979, as amended (codified at 19 U.S.C. § 2511 *et seq.*), CBP issues country of origin advisory rulings and final determinations as to whether an article is or would be a product of a designated country or instrumentality for the purpose of granting waivers of certain "Buy American" restrictions in U.S. law or practice for products offered for sale to the U.S. Government. This final determination concerns the country of origin of a Generation II flashlight. You have provided additional information regarding the processing operations performed on the flashlight in the United States in submissions made through email and a DVD on July 13, 2012, November 8, 2012, and February 14, 2013. We note that Energizer is a party-at-interest within the meaning of 19 CFR § 177.22(d)(1) and is entitled to request this final determination. We regret the delay in our response.

FACTS:

The product at issue is a finished second generation military flashlight (Generation II)