

## CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION

[Docket No. CPSC 2011–0081]

### Request for Information Regarding Third Party Testing for Lead Content, Phthalate Content, and the Solubility of the Eight Elements Listed in ASTM F963–11

#### Correction

In notice document 2013–8858 appearing on pages 22518–22520 in the issue of Tuesday, April 16, 2013, make the following correction:

On page 22518, in the second column, in the ADDRESSES section, in the second and third lines, “CPSC 2010–0037” should read “CPSC 2011–0081”.

[FR Doc. C1–2013–08858 Filed 4–22–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 1505–01–D

## COURT SERVICES AND OFFENDER SUPERVISION AGENCY FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

### Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Submission to OMB for Review and Approval for Collection of Qualitative Feedback on Agency Service Delivery; Public Comment Request

**AGENCY:** Pretrial Services Agency for the District of Columbia (PSA), CSOSA.

**ACTION:** Notice and request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** This notice announces the intention of the Pretrial Services Agency for the District of Columbia, an independent entity within the Court Services and Offender Supervision Agency (CSOSA) to request that the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) approve the proposed information collection project: “Pretrial Services Agency for the District of Columbia 2013 Judicial Survey.” In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act, 44 U.S.C. 3501–3521, this notice announces PSA’s intent to submit this collection to OMB for approval. PSA invites the public to comment on this proposed information collection.

**DATES:** Consideration will be given to all comments received by June 24, 2013.

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit written comments, identified by “Collection of Qualitative Feedback on Agency Service Delivery” to: Rorey Smith, Deputy General Counsel and Chief Privacy Officer, Office of General Counsel, Court Services and Offender Supervision Agency, 633 Indiana Avenue NW., Room 1380, Washington, DC 20004 or to [Rorey.Smith@csosa.gov](mailto:Rorey.Smith@csosa.gov).

Comments submitted in response to this notice may be made available to the public. For this reason, please do not include in your comments information of a confidential nature, such as sensitive personal information or proprietary information. If you send an email comment, your email address will be automatically captured and included as part of the comment that is placed in the public docket and may be made available on the Internet. Please note that responses to this public comment request containing any routine notice about the confidentiality of the communication will be treated as public comments that may be made available to the public notwithstanding the inclusion of the routine notice.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Rorey Smith, Deputy General Counsel and Chief Privacy Officer, Office of General Counsel, Court Services and Offender Supervision Agency, 633 Indiana Avenue NW., Room 1890, Washington, DC 20004, (202) 220–5797 or to [Rorey.Smith@csosa.gov](mailto:Rorey.Smith@csosa.gov). For content support: Diane Bradley, Assistant General Counsel, Office of General Counsel, Court Services and Offender Supervision Agency, 633 Indiana Avenue NW., Room 1375, Washington, DC 20004, (202) 220–5364 or to [Diane.Bradley@csosa.gov](mailto:Diane.Bradley@csosa.gov).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title: Pretrial Services Agency for the District of Columbia 2013 Judicial Survey.

*Abstract:* Under the PRA (44 U.S.C. 3501–3520), federal agencies must obtain approval from the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for each collection of information they collect or sponsor. Section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the PRA (944 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)) requires federal agencies to provide a 60-day notice in the **Federal Register** concerning each proposed collection of information, including each proposed extension of an existing collection of information, before submitting the collection of information to OMB for approval. To comply with this requirement, PSA is publishing notice of the proposed collection of information set forth in this document.

The proposed information collection activity provides a means to garner qualitative customer and stakeholder feedback in an efficient, timely manner, in accordance with the Administration’s commitment to improving service delivery. This feedback will provide insights into customer or stakeholder perceptions, experiences and expectations, provide an early warning of issues with service, or focus attention on areas where communication, training

or changes in operations might improve delivery of products or services. These collections will allow for ongoing, collaborative and actionable communications between the Agency and its customers and stakeholders. It will also allow feedback to contribute directly to the improvement of program management.

The judicial officers at the District of Columbia Superior and District Courts are one of PSA’s critical customers. The Agency’s mission statement notes that “assistance to the courts” is critical to promoting pretrial justice and community safety. The proposed survey will assess judicial satisfaction with PSA’s responsiveness, staff professionalism, the quality and benefit of PSA reports, PSA’s supervision of higher risk defendants (including those with mental health and substance dependence issues), and the provision of treatment services. The judicial survey will represent the only qualitative or quantitative measure of this important metric. PSA will use the collected information to support several organizational improvements including: Enhancements to PSA’s supervision of medium to higher-risk pretrial defendants; improve communications with the Court regarding defendant compliance and noncompliance with supervision requirements; provide better performance ratings of Senior Executive Services staff; and creation of a qualitative performance measure to gauge overall judicial satisfaction under PSA’s “partnerships” strategic objective. This type of collection for qualitative information will be used for quantitative information collections that are designed to yield reliable actionable results, such as monitoring trends over time or documenting program performance.

As a general matter, information collections will not result in any new system of records containing privacy information and will not ask questions of a sensitive nature, such as sexual behavior and attitudes, religious beliefs, and other matters that are commonly considered private.

This evaluation study addresses PSA’s need for a report to inform strategic planning for dissemination and program activities to targeted 60 DC Superior Court and District Court judicial officers as survey participants—the universe of judicial officers hearing criminal matters in both courts and those with bail setting duties.

The survey is intended to assess judicial officers’ perceptions and attitudes through a structured survey to measure judicial perceptions and attitudes about specific elements of