

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-9803-8]

Proposed Consent Decree, Clean Air Act Citizen Suit**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).**ACTION:** Notice of proposed consent decree; request for public comment.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Clean Air Act, as amended (“CAA”), notice is hereby given of a proposed consent decree, to resolve a lawsuit filed by Clean Air Council in the United States District Court for the District of Columbia: *Clean Air Council v. Jackson*, No. 1:12-cv-00707 (D. DC). On May 2, 2012, Plaintiff filed a complaint alleging that EPA failed to promulgate a Federal Implementation Plan (“FIP”) as mandated by the CAA, addressing certain nonattainment planning provisions for the 1997 fine particulate matter National Ambient Air Quality Standard (“NAAQS”) in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania (“Pennsylvania”). The complaint also alleges that EPA failed to make a determination regarding whether the Liberty-Clairton nonattainment area in Pennsylvania (“Liberty-Clairton Area”) has attained the 1997 fine particulate matter NAAQS by the applicable attainment date as mandated by the CAA. The proposed consent decree establishes deadlines for EPA to take action.

DATES: Written comments on the proposed consent decree must be received by May 20, 2013.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID number EPA-HQ-OGC-2013-0234, online at www.regulations.gov (EPA’s preferred method); by email to oei.docket@epa.gov; mailed to EPA Docket Center, Environmental Protection Agency, Mailcode: 2822T, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460-0001; or by hand delivery or courier to EPA Docket Center, EPA West, Room 3334, 1301 Constitution Ave., NW., Washington, DC, between 8:30 a.m. and 4:30 p.m. Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. Comments on a disk or CD-ROM should be formatted in Word or ASCII file, avoiding the use of special characters and any form of encryption, and may be mailed to the mailing address above.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: David Orlin, Air and Radiation Law Office (2344A), Office of General Counsel, U.S. Environmental Protection

Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460; telephone: (202) 564-1222; fax number (202) 564-5601; email address: orlin.david@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**I. Additional Information About the Proposed Consent Decree**

The proposed consent decree would resolve a lawsuit filed by the Clean Air Council seeking to compel the Agency to satisfy certain mandatory duties under the Clean Air Act. Specifically the consent decree provides for EPA to promulgate a FIP by December 15, 2013, for the Liberty-Clairton Area including the following elements: an attainment demonstration, emission inventory, reasonably available control measures/reasonably available control technology (“RACM/RACT”), reasonable further progress (“RFP”), and contingency measures for the 1997 fine particulate matter annual NAAQS under CAA section 110(c)(1)(A), 42 U.S.C. 7410(c)(1)(A). However, pursuant to CAA section 110(k)(3), 42 U.S.C. 7410(k)(3), should EPA approve a state implementation plan for the Liberty-Clairton Area to attain the 1997 fine particulate matter annual NAAQS for any of the elements listed above, EPA’s obligation to promulgate a federal implementation plan for that element is void. In addition, should EPA make a determination that the Liberty Clairton Area has attained the 1997 fine particulate matter annual NAAQS prior to December 15, 2013 and has not revoked that determination by December 15, 2013, the obligation to promulgate a FIP for an attainment demonstration, reasonably available control measures/reasonably available control technology (“RACM/RACT”), reasonable further progress (“RFP”), and contingency measures is void. The proposed consent decree also provides for EPA to make a determination by December 15, 2013, as to whether the Liberty-Clairton Area attained the 1997 fine particulate matter annual NAAQS by the applicable attainment date, unless as of December 15, 2013, EPA has signed a final notice extending the attainment deadline for the 1997 fine particulate matter annual NAAQS for the Liberty-Clairton Area to a date after April 5, 2010.

Under the proposed consent decree, within 15 business days following signature of each final action described in the proposed consent decree, EPA shall deliver the notice to the Office of the Federal Register for review and publication in the **Federal Register**. After EPA fulfills its obligations under the consent decree, the consent decree

provides that this case shall be dismissed with prejudice.

The proposed consent decree also states that the consent decree can be modified by the parties, or by the court following a motion by a party and a response thereto. In addition, the parties agree to seek to resolve informally Clean Air Council’s claim for litigation costs pursuant to 42 U.S.C. 7604(d), but the court would retain jurisdiction to resolve that claim.

For a period of thirty (30) days following the date of publication of this notice, the Agency will accept written comments relating to the proposed consent decree from persons who were not named as parties or intervenors to the litigation in question. EPA or the Department of Justice may withdraw or withhold consent to the proposed consent decree if the comments disclose facts or considerations that indicate that such consent is inappropriate, improper, inadequate, or inconsistent with the requirements of the Act. Unless EPA or the Department of Justice determines that consent to this consent decree should be withdrawn, the terms of the decree will be affirmed.

II. Additional Information About Commenting on the Proposed Consent Decree**A. How can I get a copy of the consent decree?**

The official public docket for this action (identified by Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-OGC-2013-0234) contains a copy of the proposed consent decree (including Attachment A). The official public docket is available for public viewing at the Office of Environmental Information (OEI) Docket in the EPA Docket Center, EPA West, Room 3334, 1301 Constitution Ave. NW., Washington, DC. The EPA Docket Center Public Reading Room is open from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The telephone number for the Public Reading Room is (202) 566-1744, and the telephone number for the OEI Docket is (202) 566-1752.

An electronic version of the public docket is available through www.regulations.gov. You may use the www.regulations.gov to submit or view public comments, access the index listing of the contents of the official public docket, and to access those documents in the public docket that are available electronically. Once in the system, key in the appropriate docket identification number then select “search”.

It is important to note that EPA’s policy is that public comments, whether

submitted electronically or in paper, will be made available for public viewing online at www.regulations.gov without change, unless the comment contains copyrighted material, CBI, or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Information claimed as CBI and other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute is not included in the official public docket or in the electronic public docket. EPA's policy is that copyrighted material, including copyrighted material contained in a public comment, will not be placed in EPA's electronic public docket but will be available only in printed, paper form in the official public docket. Although not all docket materials may be available electronically, you may still access any of the publicly available docket materials through the EPA Docket Center.

B. How and to whom do I submit comments?

You may submit comments as provided in the **ADDRESSES** section. Please ensure that your comments are submitted within the specified comment period. Comments received after the close of the comment period will be marked "late." EPA is not required to consider these late comments.

If you submit an electronic comment, EPA recommends that you include your name, mailing address, and an email address or other contact information in the body of your comment and with any disk or CD ROM you submit. This ensures that you can be identified as the submitter of the comment and allows EPA to contact you in case EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties or needs further information on the substance of your comment. Any identifying or contact information provided in the body of a comment will be included as part of the comment that is placed in the official public docket, and made available in EPA's electronic public docket. If EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties and cannot contact you for clarification, EPA may not be able to consider your comment.

Use of the www.regulations.gov Web site to submit comments to EPA electronically is EPA's preferred method for receiving comments. The electronic public docket system is an "anonymous access" system, which means EPA will not know your identity, email address, or other contact information unless you provide it in the body of your comment. In contrast to EPA's electronic public docket, EPA's electronic mail (email) system is not an "anonymous access" system. If you send an email comment

directly to the Docket without going through www.regulations.gov, your email address is automatically captured and included as part of the comment that is placed in the official public docket, and made available in EPA's electronic public docket.

Dated: April 8, 2013.

Lorie J. Schmidt,

Associate General Counsel.

[FR Doc. 2013-09294 Filed 4-18-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-9805-2; CERCLA-04-2013-3751]

LWD, Inc. Superfund Site; Calvert City, Marshall County, Kentucky; Notice of Settlement

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of Settlement.

SUMMARY: Under 122(h) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA), the United States Environmental Protection Agency has entered into a settlement with one hundred twenty-five (125) parties and nineteen (19) Federal Agencies addressing past costs concerning the LWD, Inc., Superfund Site located in Calvert City, Marshall County, Kentucky. The settlement addresses costs from a federally funded Removal Action taken by EPA at the Site and response costs incurred by the settling parties in connection with the Site pursuant to a 2007 work AOC.

DATES: The Agency will consider public comments on the settlement until May 20, 2013. The Agency will consider all comments received and may modify or withdraw its consent to the settlement if comments received disclose facts or considerations which indicate that the settlement is inappropriate, improper, or inadequate.

ADDRESSES: Copies of the settlement are available from EPA's Environmental Protection Specialist, Ms. Paula V. Painter. Submit your comments by Site name LWD, Inc., Superfund Site by one of the following methods:

- www.epa.gov/region4/superfund/programs/enforcement/enforcement.html

- Email: Painter.Paula@epa.gov
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Attn: Paula V. Painter, Superfund Division, 61 Forsyth Street SW., Atlanta, Georgia 30303

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Paula V. Painter at 404/562-8887.

Dated: April 11, 2013.

Anita L. Davis,

Chief, Superfund Enforcement & Information Management Branch, Superfund Division.

[FR Doc. 2013-09306 Filed 4-18-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[GN Docket No. 13-86; DA 13-581]

FCC Reduces Backlog of Broadcast Indecency Complaints by 70% (More Than One Million Complaints); Seeks Comments on Adopting Egregious Cases Policy

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In this document, the Federal Communications Commission Enforcement Bureau and Office of General Counsel seek comment on whether the full Commission should make changes to its current broadcast indecency policies or maintain them as they are. For example, should the Commission treat isolated expletives in a manner consistent with its decision in *Pacifica Foundation, Inc.* or instead maintain the approach to isolated expletives set forth in its decision in *Complaints Against Various Broadcast Licensees Regarding Their Airing of the "Golden Globe Awards" Program?* As another example, should the Commission treat isolated (non-sexual) nudity the same as or differently than isolated expletives? Commenters are invited to address these issues as well as any other aspect of the Commission's substantive indecency policies.

DATES: Written comments may be filed on or before May 20, 2013. Reply comments may be filed on or before June 18, 2013.

ADDRESSES: Office of the Secretary, Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street SW., Washington, DC 20554. See *Supplementary Information*.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Eloise Gore, Associate Bureau Chief, Enforcement Bureau, at (202) 418-1066 or Jacob Lewis, Associate General Counsel, Office of the General Counsel, at (202) 418-1767. Please direct press inquiries to Mark Wigfield at (202) 418-0253.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Each document that is filed in this proceeding must display the docket number of this Notice, GN Docket No. 13-86, on the front page. The Public Notice, DA 13-581, released April 1, 2013, is available for inspection and