

reports and forms are periodically reviewed to reduce information requirements and duplication by industry and public sector agencies.

USDA has not identified any relevant Federal rules that duplicate, overlap, or conflict with this rule.

Comments on the interim rule were required to be received on or before February 4, 2013. No comments were received. Therefore, for reasons given in the interim rule, we are adopting the interim rule as a final rule, without change.

To view the interim rule, go to: <http://www.regulations.gov/#!documentDetail;D=AMS-FV-12-0026-0001>.

This action also affirms information contained in the interim rule concerning Executive Orders 12866 and 12988, and the E-Gov Act (44 U.S.C. 101).

After consideration of all relevant material presented, it is found that finalizing the interim rule, without change, as published in the **Federal Register** (77 FR 72683, December 6, 2012) will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the Act.

#### List of Subjects in 7 CFR Part 923

Cherries, Marketing agreements, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

#### PART 923—SWEET CHERRIES GROWN IN DESIGNATED COUNTIES IN WASHINGTON

Accordingly, the interim rule amending 7 CFR part 923, which was published at 77 FR 72683 on December 6, 2012, is adopted as a final rule, without change.

Dated: April 5, 2013.

**David R. Shipman,**

*Administrator, Agricultural Marketing Service.*

[FR Doc. 2013-08463 Filed 4-10-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-02-P

#### DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

#### Agricultural Marketing Service

#### 7 CFR Part 927

[Doc. No. AMS-FV-12-0031; FV12-927-2 FIR]

#### Pears Grown in Oregon and Washington; Assessment Rate Decrease for Processed Pears

**AGENCY:** Agricultural Marketing Service, USDA.

**ACTION:** Affirmation of interim rule as final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Agriculture is adopting, as a final rule, without change, an interim rule that decreased the assessment rate established for the Processed Pear Committee (Committee) for the 2012–2013 and subsequent fiscal periods from \$7.73 to \$7.00 per ton of summer/fall processed pears. The Committee locally administers the marketing order that regulates the handling of processed pears grown in Oregon and Washington. The Committee recommended the assessment rate decrease because the summer/fall processed pear promotion budget for the 2012–2013 fiscal period was reduced.

**DATES:** Effective April 12, 2013.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Teresa Hutchinson or Gary Olson, Northwest Marketing Field Office, Marketing Order and Agreement Division, Fruit and Vegetable Program, AMS, USDA; Telephone: (503) 326–2724, Fax: (503) 326–7440, or Email: [Teresa.Hutchinson@ams.usda.gov](mailto:Teresa.Hutchinson@ams.usda.gov) or [GaryD.Olson@ams.usda.gov](mailto:GaryD.Olson@ams.usda.gov).

Small businesses may obtain information on complying with this and other marketing order regulations by viewing a guide at the following Web site: <http://www.ams.usda.gov/MarketingOrdersSmallBusinessGuide> or by contacting Jeffrey Smutny, Marketing Order and Agreement Division, Fruit and Vegetable Program, AMS, USDA, 1400 Independence Avenue SW., STOP 0237, Washington, DC 20250–0237; Telephone: (202) 720–2491, Fax: (202) 720–8938, or Email: [Jeffrey.Smutny@ams.usda.gov](mailto:Jeffrey.Smutny@ams.usda.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This rule is issued under Marketing Order No. 927, as amended (7 CFR part 927), regulating the handling of pears grown in Oregon and Washington, hereinafter referred to as the “order.” The order is effective under the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601–674), hereinafter referred to as the “Act.”

The Department of Agriculture (USDA) is issuing this rule in conformance with Executive Order 12866.

Under the order, processed pear handlers are subject to assessments, which provide funds to administer the order. Assessment rates issued under the order are intended to be applicable to all assessable processed pears for the entire fiscal period, and continue indefinitely until amended, suspended, or terminated. The Committee’s fiscal period begins on July 1, and ends on June 30.

In an interim rule published in the **Federal Register** on December 5, 2012,

and effective on December 6, 2012 (77 FR 72197, Doc. No. AMS-FV-12-0031, FV12-927-2 IR), § 927.237 was amended by decreasing the assessment rate established for Oregon-Washington processed pears for the 2012–2013 and subsequent fiscal periods from \$7.73 to \$7.00 per ton of summer/fall processed pears handled. The Committee recommended the assessment rate decrease because the 2012–2013 summer/fall processed pear promotion budget was reduced.

#### Final Regulatory Flexibility Analysis

Pursuant to requirements set forth in the Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA) (5 U.S.C. 601–612), the Agricultural Marketing Service (AMS) has considered the economic impact of this rule on small entities. Accordingly, AMS has prepared this final regulatory flexibility analysis.

The purpose of the RFA is to fit regulatory actions to the scale of business subject to such actions in order that small businesses will not be unduly or disproportionately burdened. Marketing orders issued pursuant to the Act, and the rules issued thereunder, are unique in that they are brought about through group action of essentially small entities acting on their own behalf.

There are approximately 1,500 producers of processed pears in the regulated production area and approximately 50 handlers of processed pears subject to regulation under the order. Small agricultural producers are defined by the Small Business Administration (SBA) as those having annual receipts of less than \$750,000, and small agricultural service firms are defined as those whose annual receipts are less than \$7,000,000. (13 CFR 121.201)

According to the Noncitrus Fruits and Nuts 2011 Preliminary Summary issued in March 2012 by the National Agricultural Statistics Service, the total farm-gate value of summer/fall processed pears grown in Oregon and Washington for 2011 was \$35,315,000. Based on the number of processed pear producers in Oregon and Washington, the average gross revenue for each producer can be estimated at approximately \$23,543. Furthermore, based on Committee records, the Committee has estimated that each of the Oregon-Washington pear handlers currently ship less than \$7,000,000 worth of processed pears all on an annual basis. From this information, it is concluded that the majority of producers and handlers of Oregon and Washington processed pears may be classified as small entities.

There are three pear processing plants in the production area, all located in Washington. All three pear processors would be considered large entities under the SBA's definition of small businesses.

This rule continues in effect the action that decreased the assessment rate established for the Committee and collected from handlers for the 2012–2013 and subsequent fiscal periods from \$7.73 to \$7.00 per ton of processed pears handled. The Committee also unanimously recommended 2012–2013 expenditures of \$842,137. The assessment rate of \$7.00 is \$0.73 lower than the rate previously in effect.

The quantity of assessable summer/fall processed pears for the 2012–2013 fiscal period is estimated at 120,000 tons. Thus, the \$7.00 rate should provide \$840,000 in assessment income. Income derived from summer/fall processed pear handler assessments, monetary reserve, interest, and other income will be adequate to cover the budgeted expenses. The Committee recommended the assessment rate decrease because the 2012–2013 summer/fall processed pear promotion budget was reduced.

This rule continues in effect the action that decreased the assessment obligation imposed on handlers. Assessments are applied uniformly on all handlers and some of the costs may be passed on to producers. However, decreasing the assessment rate reduces the burden on handlers and may reduce the burden on producers.

In addition, the Committee's meeting was widely publicized throughout the Oregon-Washington pear industry. All interested persons were invited to attend the meeting and participate in Committee deliberations on all issues. Like all Committee meetings, the May 30, 2012, meeting was a public meeting and all entities, both large and small, were able to express views on this issue.

In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35), the order's information collection requirements have been previously approved by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) and assigned OMB No. 0581–0189, Generic Fruit Crops. No changes in those requirements as a result of this action are anticipated. Should any changes become necessary, they would be submitted to OMB for approval.

This action imposes no additional reporting or recordkeeping requirements on either small or large Oregon-Washington processed pear handlers. As with all Federal marketing order programs, reports and forms are periodically reviewed to reduce

information requirements and duplication by industry and public sector agencies.

USDA has not identified any relevant Federal rules that duplicate, overlap, or conflict with this rule.

Comments on the interim rule were required to be received on or before February 4, 2013. No comments were received. Therefore, for the reasons given in the interim rule, we are adopting the interim rule as a final rule, without change.

To view the interim rule, go to: <http://www.regulations.gov/#!docketDetail;D=AMS-FV-12-0031>

This action also affirms information contained in the interim rule concerning Executive Orders 12866 and 12988, and the E-Gov Act (44 U.S.C. 101).

After consideration of all relevant material presented, it is found that finalizing the interim rule, without change, as published in the **Federal Register** (77 FR 72197, December 5, 2012) will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the Act.

#### List of Subjects in 7 CFR Part 927

Marketing agreements, Pears, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

#### PART 927—PEARS GROWN IN OREGON AND WASHINGTON

Accordingly, the interim rule amending 7 CFR part 927, which was published at 77 FR 72197 on December 5, 2012, is adopted as a final rule, without change.

Dated: April 5, 2013.

**David R. Shipman,**

*Administrator, Agricultural Marketing Service.*

[FR Doc. 2013–08475 Filed 4–10–13; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3410–02–P**

#### COMMODITY FUTURES TRADING COMMISSION

##### 17 CFR Part 140

**RIN 3038–AE04**

#### Delegation of Authority To Disclose Confidential Information to a Contract Market, Registered Futures Association or Self-Regulatory Organization

**AGENCY:** Commodity Futures Trading Commission.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Commission is revising its regulations to add to its delegation of authority to staff respecting the disclosure of information to self-

regulatory organizations newly established in the Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the “Dodd-Frank Act”) and not previously enumerated in the relevant regulations.

**DATES:** This rulemaking is effective on April 11, 2013.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Commodity Futures Trading Commission, Three Lafayette Centre, 1155 21st Street NW., Washington, DC 20581; David Van Wagner, Chief Counsel, Division of Market Oversight, telephone (202) 418–5481 and email [dvanwagner@cftc.gov](mailto:dvanwagner@cftc.gov); and Robert Wasserman, Chief Counsel, Division of Clearing and Risk, telephone (202) 418–5092 and email [rwasserman@cftc.gov](mailto:rwasserman@cftc.gov).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### I. Background

Section 8a(6) of the Commodity Exchange Act (“CEA”), 7 U.S.C. 12a(6), authorizes the Commission to communicate to the proper committee of any registered entity the “full facts concerning any transaction or market operation, including the names of parties thereto, which in the judgment of the Commission disrupts or tends to disrupt any market or is otherwise harmful or against the best interests of producers, consumers, or investors, or which is necessary or appropriate to effectuate the purposes of [the CEA].” The term “registered entity” has been defined to include boards of trade designated as contract markets, derivatives clearing organizations, swap execution facilities, swap data repositories, and certain electronic facilities on which a contract determined by the Commission to be a significant price discovery contract is executed or traded.<sup>1</sup>

The definition of “registered entity” in the CEA was amended by the Dodd-Frank Act, which was enacted on July 21, 2010.<sup>2</sup> Two new categories of registered entity were established: Swap execution facilities (“SEFs”) and swap data repositories (“SDRs”), which have self-regulatory roles in the swaps markets established in the CEA and its implementing regulations. Additionally, the core principles for derivatives clearing organizations (“DCOs”) were revised to expand the scope of a DCO's self-regulatory responsibilities, in particular with respect to risk management. Commission regulations implementing the core principles require, for example, monitoring by the DCO of the large trader reports of its

<sup>1</sup> 7 U.S.C. 1a(40).

<sup>2</sup> See Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, Public Law 111–203, 124 Stat. 1376 (2010).