

*Functional equivalency.* The Postal Service asserts that the Agreement is functionally equivalent to the baseline agreement filed in Docket No. CP2011–59 because it shares similar cost and market characteristics and meets the criteria in Governors' Decision No. 08–24 concerning attributable costs. *Id.* at 3–4. The Postal Service further asserts that the functional terms of the Agreement and the baseline agreement are the same and the benefits are comparable. *Id.* at 4. It states that prices offered under the Agreement may differ from other IBRS 3 contracts due to differences in volumes, postage commitments, and pricing at the time of the Agreement's execution, but asserts that these differences do not alter the functional equivalency of the Agreement and the baseline agreement. *Id.* at 4–5. The Postal Service also identifies differences between the terms of the baseline agreement and this Agreement, but asserts that these differences do not affect the fundamental service being offered or the fundamental structure of the Agreement.<sup>3</sup> *Id.*

### III. Notice of Proceeding

The Commission establishes Docket No. CP2013–59 for consideration of matters raised by the Postal Service's Notice. Interested persons may submit comments on whether the Agreement is consistent with the requirements of 39 CFR part 3020 subpart B, 39 CFR 3015.5, and the policies of 39 U.S.C. 3632, 3633, and 3642. Comments are due no later than April 12, 2013. The public portions of this filing can be accessed via the Commission's Web site, <http://www.prc.gov>. Information on how to obtain access to material filed under seal appears in 39 CFR part 3007.

The Commission appoints Curtis E. Kidd to serve as Public Representative in the captioned proceeding.

### IV. Ordering Paragraphs

*It is ordered:*

1. The Commission establishes Docket No. CP2013–59 for consideration of the matters raised by the Postal Service's Notice.

2. Comments by interested persons in this proceeding are due no later than April 12, 2013.

3. Pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 505, the Commission appoints Curtis E. Kidd to serve as an officer of the Commission (Public Representative) to represent the interests of the general public in this docket.

4. The Secretary shall arrange for publication of this order in the **Federal Register**.

By the Commission.

**Ruth Ann Abrams,**

*Acting Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2013–08433 Filed 4–10–13; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7710–FW–P**

## POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. CP2013–58; Order No. 1691]

### International Mail Product

**AGENCY:** Postal Regulatory Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Commission is noticing a recent Postal Service filing concerning an additional International Reply Service Competitive Contract 3 Negotiated Service Agreement. This notice informs the public of the filing, invites public comment, and takes other administrative steps.

**DATES:** *Comments are due:* April 12, 2013.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit comments electronically via the Commission's Filing Online system at <http://www.prc.gov>. Those who cannot submit comments electronically should contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section by telephone for advice on filing alternatives.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Stephen L. Sharfman, General Counsel, at 202–789–6820.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Table of Contents

- I. Introduction
- II. Contents of Filing
- III. Notice of Proceeding
- IV. Ordering Paragraphs

### I. Introduction

On April 4, 2013, the Postal Service filed a notice pursuant to 39 CFR 3015.5 announcing that it has entered into an additional International Business Reply Service (IBRS) Competitive Contract 3 negotiated service agreement (Agreement).<sup>1</sup> It seeks to have the Agreement included within the existing IBRS Competitive Contract 3 product on grounds of functional equivalence to the baseline agreement filed in Docket No. CP2011–59.<sup>2</sup> Notice at 4–6.

<sup>1</sup> Notice of United States Postal Service Filing of a Functionally Equivalent International Business Reply Service Competitive Contract 3 Negotiated Service Agreement, April 4, 2013 (Notice).

<sup>2</sup> See Docket Nos. MC2011–21 and CP2011–59, Order No. 684, Order Approving International Business Reply Service Competitive Contract 3 Negotiated Service Agreement, February 28, 2011.

## II. Contents of Filing

*Agreement.* The Postal Service states that the Agreement is the successor to the agreement included in the IBRS Competitive Contract 3 product in Docket No. CP2012–17, and is on behalf of the same customer as in Docket No. CP2012–17. *Id.* at 3.

The Postal Service filed the following material in conjunction with its Notice:

- Attachment 1—a copy of the Agreement;
- Attachment 2—the certified statement required by 39 CFR 3015.5(c)(2);
- Attachment 3—a copy of Governors' Decision No. 08–24; and
- Attachment 4—an application for non-public treatment of materials filed under seal.

Attachments 1 through 3 were filed in redacted (public) and unredacted (sealed) versions.

*Effective date; duration.* The Postal Service intends the Agreement to take effect April 24, 2013. *Id.* The Agreement expires 1 year after its effective date unless terminated earlier. *Id.* at 4.

*Functional equivalency.* The Postal Service asserts that the Agreement is functionally equivalent to the baseline agreement filed in Docket No. CP2011–59 because it shares similar cost and market characteristics and meets the criteria in Governors' Decision No. 08–24 concerning attributable costs. *Id.* The Postal Service further asserts that the functional terms of the Agreement and the baseline agreement are the same and the benefits are comparable. *Id.* It states that prices offered under the Agreement may differ from other IBRS 3 contracts due to differences in volume, postage commitments, and pricing at the time of the Agreement's execution, but asserts that these differences do not alter the functional equivalency of the Agreement and the baseline agreement. *Id.* at 5.

The Postal Service states that there are differences between the terms of the two agreements, but characterizes them as minor, and asserts that they do not affect the fundamental service being offered or the fundamental structure of the Agreement.<sup>3</sup> *Id.*

### III. Notice of Proceeding

The Commission establishes Docket No. CP2013–58 for consideration of matters raised by the Postal Service's

<sup>3</sup> Differences include an additional phrase in Article 15, captioned Confidentiality, stating that the Postal Service may be required to file information (such as revenue, cost or volume data) related to the Agreement in other Commission dockets and an additional Article 30, captioned Intellectual Property, Co-Branding and Licensing). *Id.* at 5–6.

Notice. Interested persons may submit comments on whether the Agreement is consistent with the requirements of 39 CFR part 3020 subpart b, 39 CFR 3015.5, and the policies of 39 U.S.C. 3632, 3633, and 3642. Comments are due no later than April 12, 2013. The public portions of this filing can be accessed via the Commission's Web site, <http://www.prc.gov>. Information on how to obtain access to material filed under seal appears in 39 CFR part 3007.

The Commission appoints Lawrence Fenster to serve as Public Representative in the captioned proceeding.

#### IV. Ordering Paragraphs

*It is ordered:*

1. The Commission establishes Docket No. CP2013-58 for consideration of the matters raised by the Postal Service's Notice.

2. Comments by interested persons in this proceeding are due no later than April 12, 2013.

3. Pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 505, the Commission appoints Lawrence Fenster to serve as an officer of the Commission (Public Representative) to represent the interests of the general public in this docket.

4. The Secretary shall arrange for publication of this order in the **Federal Register**.

By the Commission.

**Ruth Ann Abrams,**

*Acting Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2013-08432 Filed 4-10-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7710-FW-P

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[File No. 500-1]

### Order of Suspension of Trading; in the Matter of Integrity Bancshares, Inc.

April 9, 2013.

It appears to the Securities and Exchange Commission that there is a lack of current and accurate information concerning the securities of Integrity Bancshares, Inc. ("Integrity") because Integrity has not filed any reports since its Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2007, filed November 13, 2007.

The Commission is of the opinion that the public interest and the protection of investors require a suspension of trading in the securities of Integrity.

Therefore, *it is ordered*, pursuant to Section 12(k) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, that trading in the securities of Integrity is suspended for the period from 9:30 a.m. EDT on April

9, 2013, through 11:59 p.m. EDT on April 22, 2013.

By the Commission.

**Lynn M. Powalski,**

*Deputy Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2013-08632 Filed 4-9-13; 4:15 pm]

BILLING CODE P

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-69319; File No. SR-CHX-2013-08]

### Self-Regulatory Organizations; Chicago Stock Exchange, Inc.; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change To Adopt and Amend Exchange Rules in Connection With Limit Up-Limit Down Plan

April 5, 2013.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Act")<sup>1</sup> and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,<sup>2</sup> notice is hereby given that on March 28, 2013, the Chicago Stock Exchange, Inc. ("CHX" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I and II below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

#### I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

CHX proposes to amend Article 20, Rule 2 and to adopt Article 20, Rule 2A to implement the Limit Up-Limit Down requirements as detailed in the Regulation NMS Plan to Address Extraordinary Market Volatility (the "Limit Up-Limit Down Plan," "LULD Plan," or the "Plan"), which was submitted to and approved, on a one-year pilot basis, by the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Commission") pursuant to Rule 608 of Regulation NMS under the Act. The Exchange also proposes to amend Article 1, Rule 2; Article 20, Rule 4; and Article 20, Rule 8 to comport the CHX Only Price Sliding Processes with the proposed Limit Up-Limit Down Price Sliding ("LULD Price Sliding") functionality and amend Article 16, Rule 8 and Article 20, Rule 10 to update various citations affected by this proposed rule change. The text of this proposed rule change is available on the

<sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

Exchange's Web site at ([www.chx.com](http://www.chx.com)) and in the Commission's Public Reference Room.

#### II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the CHX included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule changes and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The CHX has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

##### A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

###### 1. Purpose

The Exchange proposes to amend Article 20, Rule 2 and adopt Article 20, Rule 2A ("Limit Up-Limit Down Plan and Trading Pauses in Individual Securities Due to Extraordinary Market Volatility") to implement the Limit Up-Limit Down Plan,<sup>3</sup> as approved by the Commission on a one-year pilot basis.<sup>4</sup> Moreover, the Exchange proposes to amend Article 1, Rule 2; Article 20, Rule 4; and Article 20, Rule 8 to comport the CHX Only Price Sliding Processes with the proposed LULD Price Sliding functionality and to amend Article 16, Rule 8 and Article 20, Rule 10 to update various citations affected by the proposed rule change. Among other things, proposed Rule 2A will gradually phase out the current single-stock circuit breaker under CHX Article 20, Rule 2(d) and (e), which will be modified and incorporated as proposed Article 20, Rule 2A(c)(1) and (b)(2), as discussed below.

Since May 6, 2010, when the markets experienced excessive volatility in an abbreviated time period (*i.e.*, the "flash

<sup>3</sup> See Letter from Janet McGinness, Senior Vice President, Legal and Corporate Secretary, NYSE Euronext, to Elizabeth M. Murphy, Secretary, Commission, dated May 24, 2012.

<sup>4</sup> See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 67091 (May 31, 2012), 77 FR 33498 (June 6, 2012) (File No. 4-631) (Order Approving, on a Pilot Basis, the National Market System Plan To Address Extraordinary Market Volatility by BATS Exchange, Inc., BATS Y-Exchange, Inc., Chicago Board Options Exchange, Incorporated, Chicago Stock Exchange, Inc., EDGA Exchange, Inc., EDGX Exchange, Inc., Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, Inc., NASDAQ OMX BX, Inc., NASDAQ OMX PHLX LLC, The Nasdaq Stock Market LLC, National Stock Exchange, Inc., New York Stock Exchange LLC, NYSE MKT LLC, and NYSE Arca, Inc).