which the requestor wishes to participate. Depending upon the level of interest, the Copyright Office may not be able to seat every participant in every session he or she requests, so it is helpful to know which topics are most important to each participant. In addition, please note that while an organization may bring multiple representatives, only one person per organization may participate in a particular session. A different person from the same organization may, of course, participate in another session. Requestors who already have submitted a comment in response to the Office's September 19, 2012 Notice of Inquiry, or who will be representing an organization that has submitted a comment, are asked to identify their comments on the request form. Requestors who have not submitted comments should include a brief summary of their views on the topics they wish to discuss directly on the request form. Nonparticipants who wish to attend and observe the discussion should note that seating is limited and, for nonparticipants, will be available on a first come. first served basis.

Dated: March 25, 2013.

Karyn A. Temple-Claggett,

Associate Register of Copyrights and Director of Policy and International Affairs.

[FR Doc. 2013–07270 Filed 3–28–13; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 1410–30–P

# NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

### Advisory Committee for Polar Programs; Notice of Meeting

In accordance with Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92–463, as amended), the National Science Foundation announces the following meeting:

*Name:* Advisory Committee for Polar Programs (1130).

*Date/Time:* Wednesday, May 1, 2013, 12:30 p.m.–5:00 p.m.

*Place:* National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Stafford II, Room 555, Arlington, VA—THE ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEMBERS WILL ATTEND VIRTUALLY.

Type Of Meeting: Open.

*Contact Person:* Sue LaFratta, Office of Polar Programs (OPP). National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, VA 22230. (703) 292–8030.

*Minutes:* May be obtained from the contact person listed above.

*Purpose of Meeting:* To advise NSF on the impact of its policies, programs, and activities on the polar research

community, to provide advice to the Director of OPP on issues related to long-range planning.

*Agenda:* Discussion of Committee of Visitors' reports on Antarctic and Arctic programs.

Dated: March 26, 2013.

#### Susanne Bolton,

Committee Management Officer. [FR Doc. 2013–07331 Filed 3–28–13; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7555–01–P

# NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

### Request for Information (RFI): Reducing Investigator's Administrative Workload for Federally Funded Research

# **Key Dates**

Release Date: March 25, 2013. Response Date: May 24, 2013.

## Issued by

National Science Foundation (NSF).

#### Purpose

This RFI offers principal investigators with Federal research funding the opportunity to identify Federal agency and university requirements that contribute most to their administrative workload and to offer recommendations for reducing that workload. Members of the National Science Board's Task Force on Administrative Burdens do not wish to increase your administrative workload with this request and you may choose to answer only those questions that are most pertinent to you. Your responses will provide vital input so that we can implement agency-level changes and offer recommendations to reduce unnecessary and redundant administrative requirements.

#### Background

Over the past decade two Federal Demonstration Partnership (FDP) Faculty Workload Surveys (2005 and 2012) indicate that administrative burdens associated with Federal research funding are consuming roughly 42% of an awardee's available research time, a figure widely cited in numerous articles and reports. To help address these issues, the National Science Board (Board) recently created a Task Force on Administrative Burdens. The Task Force is charged with examining the burden imposed on Federally-supported researchers at U.S. colleges, universities, and non-profit institutions. Responses to this RFI will be considered as the Board develops recommendations to ensure investigators' administrative workload is at an appropriate level.

## **Request for Information**

The Task Force is seeking a response to the questions below. In your response, please reference the question number to which you are responding.

# Sources of Administrative Work and Recommendations for Reducing Work

1. What specific requirements associated with your Federally-funded grants require you personally to do the greatest amount of administrative work? Where possible, please indicate whether the origin of that administrative work is a requirement at your institution, a Federal requirement, or a requirement from another institution. What recommendations would you offer that might help to reduce the level of work?

2. Principal investigators responding to the FDP's 2012 Faculty Workload Survey identified the following sources of administrative work, in addition to human subject protection and animal care treated below, as particularly burdensome for Federal grantees:

 Grant progress report submissions;
 Finances (e.g. managing budget-toactual expenses, equipment and supplies purchases, and other financial

supplies purchases, and other infancial issues/requirements);
Personnel management, hiring, and

employee evaluation, and visa issues;

- Effort reporting;
- Conflict of interest;
- Responsible conduct of research;
- Lab safety/security;
- Data sharing; and,

• Sub-contracts (e.g. overseeing: progress toward project goals and deadlines; budget expenditures, invoices, and other financial matters; and, compliance and safety/security issues).

If not addressed in question 1, for any of the areas listed, do you believe that the associated requirements significantly increase the amount of administrative work you personally need to perform? Where possible please indicate whether the source of the required administrative work is a requirement at your institution, a Federal requirement, or a requirement from another institution. What recommendations would you offer that might help to reduce the level of work?

3. Do you receive administrative support from your institution for Federal grants? If yes, for what specific preparation, reporting, and compliance requirements do you receive administrative support? Is the amount of support excellent, good, adequate, poor, or non-existent? Where does your administrative support come from within the institution (e.g. office of the