

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-ICC-2013-03. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet Web site (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for Web site viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Section, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of such filings will also be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of ICC and on ICC's Web site at https://www.theice.com/publicdocs/regulatory_filings/ICEclearCredit_20130306.pdf.

All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-ICC-2013-03 and should be submitted on or before April 17, 2013.

For the Commission by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.⁶

Kevin O'Neill,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2013-07008 Filed 3-26-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-69197; File No. SR-NYSEArca-2013-28]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; NYSE Arca, Inc.; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change Amending the Definition of Complex Orders and Stock/Options Orders To Accommodate the Trading of Option Contracts Overlying 10 Shares of a Security

March 20, 2013.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1)¹ of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Act")² and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,³ notice is hereby given that, on March 19, 2013, NYSE Arca, Inc. (the "Exchange" or "NYSE Arca") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I and II below, which Items have been prepared by the self-regulatory organization. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of the Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to amend the definition of Complex Orders and Stock/Options orders to accommodate the trading of option contracts overlying 10 shares of a security ("mini-options contracts"). The text of the proposed rule change is available on the Exchange's Web site at www.nyse.com, at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission's Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the self-regulatory organization included statements concerning the purpose of, and basis for, the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of those statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant parts of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The Exchange recently amended its rules to allow for the listing of mini-options contracts on SPDR S&P 500 ("SPY"), Apple, Inc. ("AAPL"), SPDR Gold Trust ("GLD"), Google Inc. ("GOOG") and Amazon.com Inc. ("AMZN").⁴ Whereas standard option contracts represent a deliverable of 100 shares of an underlying security, mini-options contracts represent a deliverable of 10 shares. Except for the difference in the number of deliverable shares, mini-options contracts have the same terms and contract characteristics as regular-sized equity and ETF options, including exercise style. The Exchange notes that Exchange rules that apply to the trading of standard option contracts would apply to mini-option contracts as well.

Prior to the commencement of trading mini-options, the Exchange proposes to amend Rule 6.62 (Certain Types of Orders Defined) and Rule 6.92 (Definitions) to provide that Exchange rules regarding complex orders shall apply to mini-options and that consequently, OTP Holders may execute complex orders and Stock/Option Orders involving mini-options contracts. Moreover, the Exchange seeks to amend these rules to provide that all permissible ratios referenced in the definitions of Stock/Option Orders represent the total number of shares of the underlying stock in the option leg to the total number of shares of the underlying stock in the stock leg. Finally, the Exchange seeks to make these amendments to coincide with a similar proposal recently submitted by another options market.⁵

Exchange Rule 6.62 governs Complex Orders and Stock/Options Orders on the Exchange and Rule 6.92 lists definitions applicable to intermarket linkage. Currently, a Stock/Option Orders are defined in Rule 6.62(h)(1) and Rule 6.92(a)(4)(ii) as an order to buy or sell a stated number of units of an underlying stock or a security convertible into the underlying stock coupled with the purchase or sale of options contract(s) on the opposite side of the market representing either (A) the same number of units of the underlying stock or convertible security, or (B) the number of units of the underlying stock

⁴ See Securities Exchange Act Release Nos. [sic] 67948 (September 28, 2012), 77 FR 60735 (October 4, 2012) (SR-NYSE-Arca-2012-64) (SR-ISE-2012-58).

⁵ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 34-69129 (March 13, 2013) (SR-CBOE-2013-33).

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 15 U.S.C. 78a.

³ 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

⁶ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

necessary to create a delta neutral position, but in no case in a ratio greater than 8 options contracts per unit of trading of the underlying stock or convertible security established for that series by the Clearing Corporation. Therefore, under this definition it would be permissible to execute, for example, a trade where the options leg consists of one (1) standard option contract (i.e., 100 shares) and the stock leg consists of 100 shares of the underlying stock. Additionally, it would be permissible to execute a trade where the options leg consists of eight (8) standard option contracts (i.e., 800 shares) and the stock leg consists of 100 shares of the underlying stock.

The terms Complex Order in Rule 6.62(e) and Complex Trade in Rule 6.92(a)(4)(i) are defined substantially identical as any order involving the execution of two or more different options series in the same underlying security occurring at or near the same time in a ratio that is equal to or greater than one-to-three (.333) and less than or equal to three-to-one (3.00).

The Exchange notes that the abovementioned permissible ratios were established to ensure that only complex and Stock/Option orders that seek to achieve legitimate investment strategies are afforded certain benefits. Particularly, since compliance with trade-through rules may impede a market participant's ability to achieve the legitimate investment strategies that complex and Stock/Option orders facilitate, an exception from the prohibition on trade-throughs is provided for any transaction that was effected as a portion of a legitimate complex and Stock/Option order. Requiring a meaningful relationship between the different legs of a complex and Stock/Option order prevents market participants from taking advantage of these orders to circumvent the otherwise applicable trade-through rules (e.g., preventing the execution of a Stock/Option Order where the option leg consists of 100 options (i.e., 10,000 shares) and the stock leg consists of only 100 shares).

The Exchange proposes to amend the definition of Stock/Option Orders in Rule 6.62(h)(1) and Rule 6.92(a)(4)(ii). As discussed above, the Stock/Option Order definition in both Rule 6.62 and Rule 6.92 clearly permits that an option leg may be coupled with a stock leg representing the same number of units of the underlying stock (i.e., one-to-one ratio). The Exchange seeks to provide that mini-options contracts may also be coupled with a stock leg if the stock leg represents the same number of units of the underlying stock. For example,

pursuant to the definition, it would be permissible to execute a trade where leg one consists of one (1) mini-option contract (i.e., 10 shares) and leg two consists of 10 shares of the underlying stock.

Next, the Exchange seeks to amend the Stock/Option Order definition in Rule 6.62 and Rule 6.92 to provide that in addition to standard options, mini-options contracts may be coupled with a stock leg consisting of however many units of the underlying stock is necessary to create a delta neutral position, provided that the total number of shares of the underlying stock in the option leg to the total number of shares of the underlying stock in the stock leg does not exceed an eight-to-one ratio. The proposed change specifies that the permissible ratios should be calculated and scaled based upon the total number of *shares of the underlying stock* in the option leg to the total number of shares of the underlying stock in the stock leg, instead of by the total number of option contracts in the option leg to the total number of shares of the underlying stock in the stock leg. An example of a permitted Stock/Option Order involving mini-options contracts would be an order in which leg one consists of eighty (80) mini-options contracts (i.e., 800 shares) and leg two consists of 100 shares of the underlying stock (i.e., eight-to-one ratio). Similarly, an order where leg one consists of eight (8) mini-options contracts (i.e., 80 shares) and leg two consists of 10 shares of the underlying stock would be permitted.

The proposed rule change provides that market participants may execute complex and Stock/Option orders involving mini-options contracts. The proposed change also ensures that the principle behind the permissible ratios (i.e., to provide a meaningful relationship between the legs of complex and Stock/Option Orders) is maintained for mini-options contracts.

The Exchange also proposes to amend the definition of Complex Order and Complex Trade to specify that when trading a Complex Order/Complex Trade that is comprised of both mini-options contracts and standard contracts, ten mini-options contracts will represent one standard contract. The Exchange seeks to make clear that the current definition of Complex Order in Rule 6.62(e) and Complex Trade in Rule 6.92(a)(4)(i) applies to both standard options and mini-options. The Exchange acknowledges that in accordance with the provisions of Rules 6.62(e) and 6.92(a)(4)(i), one leg of a complex order may consist of mini-options contract(s) and the other leg of the order may consist of standard

options contract(s), so long as the underlying security is the same and the transaction does not violate the permissible ratios set forth in the rules (i.e. ratio greater or equal to one-to-three or less or equal to three-to-one). Moreover, the Exchange's proposed amendment seeks to provide that the permissible ratios represent the total number of shares of the underlying stock in the mini-option leg to the total number of shares of the underlying stock in the standard option leg. An example of a permissible complex order involving mini-options and standard options would be an order in which leg one consists of thirty (30) mini-options (i.e. 300 shares) and leg two consists of one (1) standard options (i.e. 100 shares) in the same underlying security (i.e., a ratio equal to 3.0). Another example of a permissible complex order would be an order in which leg one consists of ten (10) mini-options (i.e. 100 shares) and leg two consists of one (1) standard options (i.e. 100 shares) in the same underlying security (i.e., a ratio equal to one-to-one). The Exchange believes the proposed amendment will reduce potential confusion for investors when trading mini-options contracts. Further, the Exchange proposes to provide that Complex Orders comprised of both mini-options contracts and standard contracts are not available for Electronic Complex Order trading pursuant to Rule 6.91.

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 6(b)⁶ of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Act"), in general, and furthers the objectives of Section 6(b)(5),⁷ in particular, because it is designed to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts, to remove impediments to and to perfect the mechanism for a free and open market and a national market system and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest.

Specifically, the Exchange believes that investors and other market participants would benefit from the current rule proposal because it would allow market participants to take advantage of legitimate investment strategies and execute complex and Stock/Option orders in mini-options contracts. Additionally, the Exchange believes the proposed rule change will avoid investor confusion if both standard options and mini-options on the same underlying security are

⁶ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

⁷ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

permitted to trade as complex and Stock/Option orders. Also, the proposal to maintain the permissible ratios that are applicable to standard options in proportion for mini-options contracts ensures that the principle behind the permissible ratios (i.e., to provide a meaningful relationship between the legs of complex and Stock/Option orders) is maintained for mini-options, which promotes just and equitable principles of trade. The Exchange believes that describing prior to the commencement of trading how the permissible ratios in complex and Stock/Option orders rules will be scaled for mini-options contracts would lessen investor and marketplace confusion.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. In particular, the proposed amendment is based upon recently published rule amendments by other option exchanges.⁸ Since mini-options contracts are permitted on multiply-listed classes, other exchanges that have received approval to trade mini-options will have the opportunity to similarly amend their rules to clarify and accommodate complex and Stop/Option orders in mini-options.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

No written comments were solicited or received with respect to the proposed rule change.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Because the foregoing proposed rule change does not:

- (i) Significantly affect the protection of investors or the public interest;
- (ii) impose any significant burden on competition; and
- (iii) become operative for 30 days from the date on which it was filed, or such shorter time as the Commission may designate, it has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)⁹ of the Act and Rule 19b-4(f)(6)¹⁰ thereunder.

⁸ See *supra* note 5.

⁹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

¹⁰ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6). In addition, Rule 19b-4(f)(6) requires a self-regulatory organization to give the Commission written notice of its intent to file the proposed rule change at least five business days prior to the date of the filing of the proposed rule change, or such shorter time as designated by the Commission. The Exchange has satisfied this requirement.

A proposed rule change filed under Rule 19b-4(f)(6) of the Act¹¹ normally does not become operative prior to 30 days after the date of the filing. However, pursuant to Rule 19b-4(f)(6)(iii) of the Act,¹² the Commission may designate a shorter time if such action is consistent with the protection of investors and the public interest. The Exchange has requested the Commission to waive the 30-day operative delay so that the proposal may become operative immediately upon filing. In June 2012, the Exchange filed a proposed rule change to amend its rules to list and trade certain mini-options contracts on the Exchange, and represented in that filing that the Exchange's rules that apply to the trading of standard options contracts would apply to mini-options contracts.¹³ The Exchange believes that waiver of the 30-day operative delay is consistent with the protection of investors and the public interest because such waiver would minimize confusion among market participants about how complex orders and stock-options orders involving mini-options contracts will trade.¹⁴

The Commission believes that waiving the 30-day operative delay is consistent with the protection of investors and the public interest. Such waiver would allow the Exchange to implement the proposed rule change immediately, thereby mitigating potential investor confusion as to how complex orders and stock options orders involving mini-options contracts will trade. For this reason, the Commission hereby waives the 30-day operative delay and designates the proposed rule change to be operative upon filing with the Commission.¹⁵

The Exchange represented that it began trading in mini-options contracts on March 18, 2013.¹⁶ The Commission notes that this proposed rule change was filed on March 19, 2013, and, therefore, pursuant to Rule 19b-4(f)(6), waiver of the 30-day operative delay renders this proposed rule change effective upon the day that it was filed, March 19, 2013.

At any time within 60 days of the filing of such proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may

temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission shall institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be approved or disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR-NYSEArca-2013-28 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Elizabeth M. Murphy, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-NYSEArca-2013-28. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet Web site (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for Web site viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549-1090, on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of such filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from

¹¹ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6).

¹² 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6)(iii).

¹³ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 67283 (June 27, 2012), 77 FR 39535 (July 3, 2012). See also *supra* note 4.

¹⁴ See *id.*

¹⁵ For purposes only of waiving the 30-day operative delay, the Commission has also considered the proposed rule's impact on efficiency, competition, and capital formation. See 15 U.S.C. 78c(f).

¹⁶ See SR-NYSEArca-2013-28, Item 7.

submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR–NYSEArca–2013–28, and should be submitted on or before April 17, 2013.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.¹⁷

Kevin M. O'Neill,
Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2013–07005 Filed 3–26–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011–01–P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–69204; File No. SR–Phlx–2013–31]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; NASDAQ OMX PHLX LLC; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change To Amend Sections I and II of the Pricing Schedule

March 21, 2013.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”),¹ and Rule 19b–4² thereunder, notice is hereby given that on March 15, 2013, NASDAQ OMX PHLX LLC (“Phlx” or “Exchange”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III, below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to amend the Exchange’s Pricing Schedule at Section I entitled “Rebates and Fees for Adding and Removing Liquidity in Select Symbols,”³ and Section II entitled “Multiply Listed Options Fees.”⁴

While the changes proposed herein are effective upon filing, the Exchange has designated that the amendments be operative on April 1, 2013.

The text of the proposed rule change is available on the Exchange’s Web site at <http://>

nasdaqomxphlx.cchwallstreet.com/, at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission’s Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The purpose of the proposed rule change is to increase certain Simple Order Fees for Removing Liquidity and Firm Options Transaction Charges in Penny and Non-Penny Pilot Options. Despite the increase to these fees, the Exchange believes that the fees remain competitive with fees assessed by other options exchanges. The Exchange is also proposing to waive the Firm Options Transaction Charge for the buy side of a transaction if the same member is both the buyer and seller of a Firm transaction when such members are trading in their own proprietary account in order to incentivize Firms to add and remove liquidity in the market.

Section I Amendments

The Exchange proposes to amend the Simple Order fees in Section I, Part A of the Pricing Schedule which apply to Select Symbols. Currently, the Exchange pays the following Simple Order Fees for Removing Liquidity: Customer \$0.00 per contract and a Specialist,⁵ Market Maker,⁶ Firm, Broker-Dealer and Professional⁷ \$0.44 per contract. The

⁵ A “Specialist” is an Exchange member who is registered as an options specialist pursuant to Rule 1020(a).

⁶ A “Market Maker” includes Registered Options Traders (Rule 1014(b)(i) and (ii)), which includes Streaming Quote Traders (see Rule 1014(b)(ii)(A)) and Remote Streaming Quote Traders (see Rule 1014(b)(ii)(B)). Directed Participants are also market makers.

⁷ The term “Professional” means any person or entity that (i) is not a broker or dealer in securities, and (ii) places more than 390 orders in listed options per day on average during a calendar month for its own beneficial account(s). See Rule 1000(b)(14).

Exchange proposes to amend the Simple Order Fees for Adding Liquidity by increasing Specialist, Market Maker, Firm, Broker-Dealer and Professional fees from \$0.44 to \$0.45 per contract. The Exchange proposes to continue to assess Customers no Fee for Removing Liquidity in Simple Orders.

Section II Amendments

The Exchange proposes to increase the Firm electronic Options Transaction Charge in Penny Pilot Options from \$0.44 to \$0.45 per contract. The Exchange also proposes to amend the Firm electronic Options Transaction Charge in Non-Penny Pilot Options from \$0.45 to \$0.50 per contract.

The Exchange proposes to waive the Firm Floor Options Transaction Charge for the buy side of a transaction if the Firm represents both sides of a Firm transaction when such members or its affiliates under Common Ownership⁸ are trading in their own proprietary account.⁹ The Firm Floor Options Transaction Charges in Penny Pilot and Non-Penny Options are \$0.25 per contract. The Exchange also proposes to relocate another Firm Options Transaction Charges waiver for members executing facilitation orders pursuant to Exchange Rule 1064 when such members are trading in their own proprietary account (including FLEX and Cabinet Options Transaction Charges) to a new bullet on the Pricing Schedule and amend that text to clarify that the waiver applies to Floor Options Transaction Charges, which the Exchange proposes to capitalize for consistency. The Exchange also proposes to capitalize the terms “Floor” and “Options Transaction Charge” in Section II.

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that its proposal to amend its Pricing Schedule is consistent with Section 6(b) of the Act¹⁰ in general, and furthers the objectives of Section 6(b)(4) of the Act¹¹ in particular, in that it is an equitable allocation of reasonable fees and other charges among Exchange members and other persons using its facilities.

The Exchange believes that increasing the Simple Order Fees For Removing Liquidity in Select Symbols from \$0.44 to \$0.45 per contract is reasonable because the fees will continue to remain

⁸ Common Ownership is defined as members or member organizations under 75% common ownership or control. See Preface to the Exchange’s Pricing Schedule.

⁹ This waiver does not apply to electronic transactions.

¹⁰ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

¹¹ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(4).

¹⁷ 17 CFR 200.30–3(a)(12).

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b–4.

³ The rebates and fees in Section I apply to certain Select Symbols which are listed in Section I of the Pricing Schedule. The Select Symbols are listed in Section I of the Pricing Schedule.

⁴ The pricing in Section II includes options overlying equities, ETFs, ETNs and indexes which are Multiply Listed.