

calculated rate that reflects the behavior of exporters without the discipline of an order or suspension agreement in place, under certain circumstances, the Department may select a more recently calculated rate to report to the ITC. Thus, we determined that the margins likely to prevail were the order revoked would be above *de minimis*. As stated above, Domestic Parties submitted comments in support of our *Preliminary Results*, and we did not receive comments from any respondent interested party. Therefore, for the reasons explained in the *Preliminary Results*, we continue to determine that the margins likely to prevail were the *Order* revoked would be above *de minimis*.

### Final Results of Sunset Review

Pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act, the Department determines that revocation of the *Order* on folding gift boxes from the PRC would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the rate listed below:

Exporter	Weighted-average margin
All exporters <sup>5</sup> .....	Above <i>de minimis</i> .

### Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Order

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (“APO”) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

### Continuation of the Order

As a result of the determinations by the Department and the ITC that revocation of the *Order* on folding gift boxes from the PRC would likely lead to a continuation or recurrence of dumping and material injury to an industry in the United States, pursuant to section 751(d)(2) of the Act, the Department hereby orders the continuation of the antidumping duty order on folding gift boxes from the PRC. U.S. Customs and Border Protection will continue to collect antidumping duty cash deposits at the rates in effect at the time of entry

<sup>5</sup> Max Fortune Industrial Ltd. was excluded from the *Order*. See *Order*.

for all imports of subject merchandise. The effective date of the continuation of the *Order* will be the date of publication in the **Federal Register** of this notice of final results and continuation. Pursuant to section 751(c)(2) of the Act, the Department intends to initiate the next five-year review of the *Order* not later than 30 days prior to the fifth anniversary of the effective date of continuation.

We are issuing and publishing these results and notice in accordance with sections 751(c), 752, and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: February 25, 2013.

**Paul Piquado**,  
Assistant Secretary for Import  
Administration.

[FR Doc. 2013–05055 Filed 3–4–13; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A–201–822]

### Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils From Mexico: Notice of Settlement of NAFTA Proceedings

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (the Department) is announcing the settlement of proceedings before five separate North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA) binational dispute settlement panels.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* March 5, 2013.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mykhaylo Gryzlov, Office of the Chief Counsel for Import Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–0833.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Background

On July 27, 1999, the Department published the antidumping duty order on stainless steel sheet and strip in coils from Mexico (SSSS from Mexico). See *Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils from Mexico*, 64 FR 40560 (July 27, 1999) (notice of amended LTFV determination and antidumping duty order) (*Order*). Since the *Order* was issued, ThyssenKrupp Mexinox S.A. de C.V. and Mexinox USA, Inc. (together, Mexinox) have challenged various aspects of five administrative reviews<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> *Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils from Mexico*, 71 FR 76978 (December 22, 2006) (admin.

of the *Order* before NAFTA panels.<sup>2</sup> On August 10, 2011, the Department revoked the *Order*, effective July 25, 2010, as a result of a sunset review. See *Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils from Germany, Italy and Mexico*, 76 FR 49450 (August 10, 2011) (revocation of the antidumping duty orders). As a result of this revocation, the Department instructed Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to terminate the suspension of liquidation and collection of cash deposits of the subject merchandise entered or withdrawn from warehouse on or after July 25, 2010.

On September 20, 2012, the Department and Mexinox entered into a Settlement Agreement that fully resolves all pending NAFTA disputes brought by Mexinox. Pursuant to this settlement of litigation, the Department and Mexinox agreed to a termination of the following cases (collectively, the five NAFTA disputes):

1. In the Matter of Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils from Mexico: Final Results of the 2004–2005 Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, Secretariat File No. USA–MEX–2007–1904–01;
2. In the Matter of Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils from Mexico: Final Results of the 2005–2006 Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, Secretariat File No. USA–MEX–2008–1904–01;
3. In the Matter of Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils from Mexico: Final Results of the 2006–2007 Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, Secretariat File No. USA–MEX–2009–1904–02;
4. In the Matter of Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils from Mexico: Final Results of the 2007–2008 Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, Secretariat File No. USA–MEX–2010–1904–01;
5. In the Matter of Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils from Mexico: Final Results of the 2008–2009

review); *Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils from Mexico*, 73 FR 7710 (February 11, 2008) (admin. review), as amended by 73 FR 14215 (March 17, 2008); *Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils from Mexico*, 74 FR 6365 (February 9, 2009); *Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils from Mexico*, 75 FR 6627 (February 10, 2010) (admin. review), as amended by 75 FR 17122 (April 05, 2010); *Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils from Mexico*, 76 FR 2332 (January 13, 2011) (admin. review), as amended by 76 FR 76 FR 9542 (February 18, 2011).

<sup>2</sup> Allegheny Ludlum Corporation, North American Stainless, and AK Steel Corporation (collectively, the domestic industry or petitioners) challenged certain aspects of the final results of *Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils from Mexico*, 71 FR 76978 (December 22, 2006) (admin. review). On April 14, 2010, the NAFTA panel affirmed the final results with respect to all aspects challenged by petitioners.

Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, Secretariat File No. USA-MEX-2011-1904-01.

Pursuant to the Settlement Agreement, the five NAFTA disputes have been dismissed. Pursuant to the Settlement Agreement, following the publication of this notice, the Department will instruct CBP to assess appropriate antidumping duties on the affected entries of the subject merchandise and liquidate such entries as indicated below.

#### Assessment of Duties

Pursuant to the terms of the Settlement Agreement, for any entries of the subject merchandise produced and exported by Mexinox that were entered or withdrawn from warehouse for consumption from July 1, 2004 through June 30, 2005, the Department will instruct CBP to liquidate the entries without regard to antidumping duties. Pursuant to the terms of the Settlement Agreement, for any entries of the subject merchandise produced and exported by Mexinox that were entered or withdrawn from warehouse for consumption from July 1, 2005 through June 30, 2009, the Department will instruct CBP to assess duties at the cash deposit rate in effect at the time of entry.

Dated: February 26, 2013.

**Paul Piquado,**

*Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 2013-05060 Filed 3-4-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

#### Availability of Seats for the Monterey Bay National Marine Sanctuary Advisory Council

**AGENCY:** Office of National Marine Sanctuaries (ONMS), National Ocean Service (NOS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Department of Commerce (DOC).

**ACTION:** Notice and request for applications.

**SUMMARY:** The ONMS is seeking applications for the following vacant seats on the Monterey Bay National Marine Sanctuary Advisory Council: Commercial Fishing. Applicants are chosen based upon their particular expertise and experience in relation to the seat for which they are applying; community and professional affiliations; philosophy regarding the protection and management of marine resources; and

possibly the length of residence in the area affected by the sanctuary. Applicants who are chosen should expect to serve until February 2016.

**DATES:** Applications are due by April 1, 2013.

**ADDRESSES:** Application kits may be obtained from 99 Pacific Street, Bldg. 455A, Monterey, CA, 93940 or online at <http://montereybay.noaa.gov/>.

Completed applications should be sent to the same address.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Jacqueline Sommers, 99 Pacific Street, Bldg. 455A, Monterey, CA, 93940, (831) 647-4247,

[Jacqueline.sommers@noaa.gov](mailto:Jacqueline.sommers@noaa.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

The MBNMS Advisory Council is a community-based group that was established in March 1994 to assure continued public participation in the management of the Sanctuary. Since its establishment, the Advisory Council has played a vital role in decisions affecting the Sanctuary along the central California coast.

The Advisory Council's twenty voting members represent a variety of local user groups, as well as the general public, plus seven local, state and federal governmental jurisdictions. In addition, the respective managers or superintendents for the four California National Marine Sanctuaries (Channel Islands National Marine Sanctuary, Cordell Bank National Marine Sanctuary, Gulf of the Farallones National Marine Sanctuary and the Monterey Bay National Marine Sanctuary) and the Elkhorn Slough National Estuarine Research Reserve sit as non-voting members.

Four working groups support the Advisory Council: The Research Activity Panel ("RAP") chaired by the Research Representative, the Sanctuary Education Panel ("SEP") chaired by the Education Representative, the Conservation Working Group ("CWG") chaired by the Conservation Representative, and the Business and Tourism Activity Panel ("BTAP") co-chaired by the Business/Industry Representative and Tourism Representative, each dealing with matters concerning research, education, conservation and human use. The working groups are composed of experts from the appropriate fields of interest and meet monthly, or bimonthly, serving as invaluable advisors to the Advisory Council and the Sanctuary Superintendent.

The Advisory Council represents the coordination link between the Sanctuary and the state and federal management agencies, user groups,

researchers, educators, policy makers, and other various groups that help to focus efforts and attention on the central California coastal and marine ecosystems.

The Advisory Council functions in an advisory capacity to the Sanctuary Superintendent and is instrumental in helping develop policies, program goals, and identify education, outreach, research, long-term monitoring, resource protection, and revenue enhancement priorities. The Advisory Council works in concert with the Sanctuary Superintendent by keeping him or her informed about issues of concern throughout the Sanctuary, offering recommendations on specific issues, and aiding the Superintendent in achieving the goals of the Sanctuary program within the context of California's marine programs and policies.

**Authority:** 16 U.S.C. Sections 1431, et seq. (Federal Domestic Assistance Catalog Number 11.429 Marine Sanctuary Program)

Dated: February 26, 2013.

**Daniel J. Basta,**

*Director, Office of National Marine Sanctuaries, National Ocean Service, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration.*

[FR Doc. 2013-05011 Filed 3-4-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-NK-M

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Office of the Secretary

[Docket ID DoD-2012-OS-0129]

#### Manual for Courts-Martial; Proposed Amendments

**AGENCY:** Joint Service Committee on Military Justice (JSC), Department of Defense.

**ACTION:** Notice of response to public comments on proposed amendments to the Manual for Courts-Martial, United States (2012 ed.)(MCM).

**SUMMARY:** The Joint Service Committee on Military Justice (JSC) is publishing final proposed amendments to the Manual for Courts-Martial, United States (MCM) to the Department of Defense. The proposed changes concern the rules of procedure and evidence and the punitive articles applicable in trials by courts-martial. These proposed changes have not been coordinated within the Department of Defense under DoD Directive 5500.1, "Preparation, Processing and Coordinating Legislation, Executive Orders, Proclamations, Views Letters and Testimony," June 15, 2007, and do not