

comment on the development of the Plan amendment;

(8) The Roan Plateau RMP Amendment process will rely on available inventories of the lands and resources as well as data gathered during the planning process;

(9) The Roan Plateau RMP Amendment process will follow requirements to address Greater Sage-Grouse habitat and conservation as outlined in the National Sage-Grouse Habitat Conservation Strategy;

(10) The Roan Plateau RMP Amendment process will use Geographic Information Systems and incorporate geospatial data to the extent practicable and Federal Geographic Data Committee standards and other applicable BLM data standards will be followed;

(11) The Roan Plateau RMP Amendment will incorporate and observe the principles of multiple use and sustained yield;

(12) The Roan Plateau RMP Amendment process will involve consultation with Native American tribal governments;

(13) The Roan Plateau RMP Amendment will recognize valid existing rights; and

(14) The Roan Plateau RMP Amendment and SEIS will use analysis in the Roan Plateau Final EIS to the extent possible and practicable.

You may submit comments on relevant issues and planning criteria in writing to the BLM at any public scoping meeting, or you may submit them to the BLM using one of the methods listed in the **ADDRESSES** section above.

The BLM will use the NEPA public participation requirements to assist the agency in satisfying the public involvement requirements under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA) (16 U.S.C. 470(f)) pursuant to 36 CFR 800.2(d)(3). The information about historic and cultural resources within the area potentially affected by the proposed action will assist the BLM in identifying and evaluating impacts to such resources in the context of both NEPA and Section 106 of the NHPA.

The BLM will consult with Indian tribes on a government-to-government basis in accordance with Executive Order 13175 and other policies. Tribal concerns, including impacts on Indian trust assets and potential impacts to cultural resources, will be given due consideration. Federal, state, and local agencies, along with tribes and other stakeholders that may be interested in, or affected by, the proposed action the BLM is evaluating, are invited to

participate in the scoping process and, if eligible, may request or be requested by the BLM to participate in the development of the environmental analysis as a cooperating agency.

Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so. The minutes and list of attendees for each scoping meeting will be available to the public and open for 30 days after the meeting to any participant who wishes to clarify the views he or she expressed. The BLM will evaluate identified issues to be addressed in the plan, and will place them into one of three categories:

1. Issues to be resolved in the plan amendment;
2. Issues to be resolved through policy or administrative action; or
3. Issues beyond the scope of this plan amendment.

The BLM will provide an explanation in the Draft Supplemental EIS as to why an issue was placed in category two or three. The public is also encouraged to help identify any management questions and concerns that should be addressed in the plan. The BLM will work collaboratively with interested parties to identify the management decisions that are best suited to local, regional, and national needs and concerns.

The BLM will use an interdisciplinary approach to develop the Roan Plateau RMP Amendment in order to consider the variety of resource issues and concerns identified. Specialists with expertise in the following disciplines will be involved in the planning process: minerals and geology, air resources, wildlife and fisheries, rangeland management, forestry, outdoor recreation, archaeology, paleontology, lands and realty, hydrology, soils, sociology, and economics.

Authority: 40 CFR 1501.7, 43 CFR 1610.2 and 43 CFR 1610.5-5.

John Mehlhoff,

Acting BLM Colorado State Director.

[FR Doc. 2013-01698 Filed 1-25-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Ocean Energy Management

Adjustment of Service Fees for Outer Continental Shelf Activities

AGENCY: Bureau of Ocean Energy Management (BOEM), Interior.

ACTION: Adjustment of Service Fees.

SUMMARY: This notice informs all lessees, operators, permittees, and right-of-way (ROW) holders that certain BOEM fees are being adjusted for inflation, as provided for in BOEM regulations at 30 CFR § 550.125 and 30 CFR § 556.63.

DATES: *Effective Date:* This Adjustment of Service Fees becomes effective on February 2, 2013.

ADDRESSES: Questions related to the calculations underlying the cost recovery fee adjustments should be directed to: U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Ocean Energy Management, Economics Division, 381 Elden Street, HM 3310, Herndon, Virginia 20170.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Peter Meffert, Office of Policy, Regulations and Analysis, (703) 787-1610 or at Peter.Meffert@BOEM.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Regulations at 30 CFR § 550.125 and 30 CFR § 556.63 provide the authority for BOEM to adjust a number of its cost recovery service fees on an annual basis. These fees were last updated in 2008, with **Federal Register** Notice 73 FR 49943. BOEM is now adjusting various cost recovery fees to reflect inflation since the last update.

This notice informs all relevant parties that the fees are being adjusted in accordance with BOEM regulations at 30 CFR § 550.125 and 30 CFR § 556.63. The proposed *2012 Fee Amount* is based on the Implicit Price Deflator value of 6.72 percent; this value is based on inflation from 2007 through 2011.

The inflation rate between any two years is calculated as the percentage difference between the measure of the level of prices for a designated year (e.g., 2011) and some previous year (e.g., 2007) of all new, domestically produced, final goods and services in the economy for the designated year (e.g., 2011), as contained in the Department of Commerce's Bureau of Economic Analysis (BEA) Table 1.1.9, Implicit Price Deflators for Gross Domestic Product, available at <http://www.bea.gov/national/pdf/dpga.pdf>. We expect BEA to revise the rate during future updates and, as in the last few years, we expect revisions to be upward. Even if BEA revises the inflation rate,

BOEM will retain the published fee schedule until BOEM's next fee recalculation.

The following table highlights those cost recovery fees that are affected by this notice:

Plan/permit action	2008 fee amount	Citation	2012 fee amount
Conservation Information Document	\$25,629	§ 550.296(a)	\$27,348.
Designation of Operator (change of)	\$164	§ 550.143(d)	\$175.
Development and Production Plan (DPP) or Development Operations Coordination Document (DOCD).	\$3,971 for each well proposed; no fee for revisions.	§ 550.24	\$4,238 for each well proposed; no fee for revisions.
Non-Required Document Filing Fee	\$27	§ 556.63	\$29.
Exploration Plan (EP)	\$3,442 for each surface location; no fee for revisions.	§ 550.211(d)	\$3,673 for each surface location; no fee for revisions.
Record Title/Operating Rights (Transfer)	\$186	§ 556.63	\$198.
Right-of-Use and Easement (RUE) for State lessee	\$2,569	§ 550.165	\$2,742.

Authority: 43 U.S.C. 1331–1356 (2002).

Dated: January 18, 2013.

Tommy P. Beaudreau,

Director, Bureau of Ocean Energy Management.

[FR Doc. 2013–01671 Filed 1–25–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310–MR–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Reclamation

Cancellation of Environmental Impact Statement/Environmental Impact Report on the Sacramento River Water Reliability Study, California

AGENCY: Bureau of Reclamation, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of cancellation.

SUMMARY: The Bureau of Reclamation and the Placer County Water Agency are canceling plans to continue work on a joint environmental impact statement/environmental impact report (EIS/EIR) on implementing the Sacramento River Water Reliability Study.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Sharon McHale, Bureau of Reclamation, at (916) 989–5086.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Sacramento River Water Reliability Study (SRWRS) was a water supply plan consistent with the Water Forum Agreement (April 24, 2000) objectives of pursuing a Sacramento River diversion to meet water supply needs of the Placer-Sacramento region and promoting ecosystem preservation along the lower American River. The reason for canceling is that the non-federal sponsor, Placer County Water Agency, does not have the capital improvement funding to construct a project due to the decline in the regional and statewide economy.

The SRWRS cost-sharing partners had identified their long-term needs for additional water supplies to meet growing water supply demands and

reliability objectives in their respective service areas. Placer County Water Agency, Sacramento Suburban Water District, and the cities of Roseville, and Sacramento were the cost-sharing partners.

Reclamation published a notice of intent to prepare the EIS/EIR on July 30, 2003 (68 FR 44811).

Dated: December 11, 2012.

Anastasia T. Leigh,

Regional Environmental Officer, Mid-Pacific Region.

[FR Doc. 2013–01662 Filed 1–25–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging Proposed Consent Decree

In accordance with Departmental Policy, 28 CFR 50.7, notice is hereby given that a proposed Consent Decree in *United States v. Porter*, Civil Action No. 4:09–cv–170–SEB–DML, was lodged with the United States District Court for the Southern District of Indiana, New Albany Division, on January 18, 2013.

This proposed Consent Decree concerns a complaint filed by the United States against Wesley Porter, Wes Porter Development Company, LLC, Temple and Temple Excavating and Paving, Inc., and Robert Jason Shumate, pursuant to Sections 309 and 404 of the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. 1319 and 1344, to obtain injunctive relief from the Defendants for violating the Clean Water Act by discharging pollutants without a permit into waters of the United States. The proposed Consent Decree resolves these allegations by requiring the Defendants to restore the impacted areas and/or to perform mitigation.

The Department of Justice will accept written comments relating to this proposed Consent Decree for thirty (30) days from the date of publication of this

Notice. Please address comments to Perry M. Rosen, United States Department of Justice, Environment and Natural Resources Division, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044, and refer to *United States v. Porter*, DJ # 90–5–1–1–18341.

The proposed Consent Decree may be examined at the Clerk's Office, United States District Court for the Southern District of Indiana, 121 West Spring Street, New Albany, IN 47150. In addition, the proposed Consent Decree may be examined electronically at http://www.justice.gov/enrd/Consent_Decrees.html.

Cherie L. Rogers,

Assistant Section Chief, Environmental Defense Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 2013–01633 Filed 1–25–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

Notice of Information Collection

AGENCY: National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA).

NOTICE: (12–004).

ACTION: Notice of information collection.

SUMMARY: The National Aeronautics and Space Administration, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–13, 44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)).

DATES: All comments should be submitted within 60 calendar days from the date of this publication.

ADDRESSES: All comments should be addressed to Ms. Frances Teel, National