

submission to the NRC, then you should inform those persons not to include identifying or contact information that they do not want to be publicly disclosed in their comment submission. Your request should state that the NRC does not routinely edit comment submissions to remove such information before making the comment submissions available to the public or entering the comment submissions into ADAMS.

## II. Further Information

The NRC seeks public comment on a proposed new SRP subsection entitled "Introduction—Part 2, Standard Review Plan for the Review of Safety Analysis Reports for Nuclear Power Plants: Integral Pressurized Water (iPWR) Edition." This subsection has been developed to assist NRC staff with the review of certain iPWR applications for Design Certifications or Combined Licenses made under part 52 of Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR), and to inform new reactor applicants and other affected entities of proposed SRP guidance for an acceptable method of implementation of a risk-informed and integrated review framework for iPWRs.

Following NRC staff evaluation of public comments, the NRC intends to incorporate the final approved guidance into the next revision of NUREG 0800.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 9th day of January 2013.

For the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**Amy E. Cabbage,**

*Chief, Policy Branch, Division of Advanced Reactors and Rulemaking, Office of New Reactors.*

[FR Doc. 2013-01143 Filed 1-18-13; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7590-01-P**

## OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT

### Federal Employees Dental and Vision Insurance Program: Application Process for Contract Awards

**AGENCY:** U.S. Office of Personnel Management.

**ACTION:** Notice of Application Process for Federal Employees Dental and Vision Insurance Program Contract Awards.

**SUMMARY:** The U. S. Office of Personnel Management (OPM) is changing its contract awards process from a full solicitation to an application process for the Federal Employees Dental and Vision Insurance Program (FEDVIP). This process is being changed to be in

line with the process used for the Federal Employees Health Benefits Program. The application is on Federal Business Opportunities ([FedBizOpps.gov](http://FedBizOpps.gov)).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Sylvia V. Pulley, 202-606-1938.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The FEDVIP law, sections 8953 and 8983 of title 5, United States Code, (enhanced dental and vision benefits, respectively) requires OPM to contract with a reasonable number of qualified companies for a policy or policies of benefits described in the law, without regard to section 5 of title 41, United States Code, or any other statute requiring competitive bidding. OPM shall ensure that each resulting contract is awarded on the basis of contractor qualifications, price, and reasonable competitions. And, each contract entered must be for a uniform term of 7 years and may not be renewed automatically.

U.S. Office of Personnel Management.

**John Berry,**

*Director.*

[FR Doc. 2013-01162 Filed 1-18-13; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6325-63-P**

## POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. CP2013-42; Order No. 1623]

### New Postal Product

**AGENCY:** Postal Regulatory Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Commission is noticing a recent Postal Service filing concerning an additional Global Plus 2C contract. This notice informs the public of the filing, invites public comment, and takes other administrative steps.

**DATES:** *Comments are due:* January 24, 2013.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit comments electronically via the Commission's Filing Online system at <http://www.prc.gov>. Those who cannot submit comments electronically should contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section by telephone for advice on filing alternatives.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Stephen L. Sharfman, General Counsel, at 202-789-6820.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

### Table of Contents

- I. Introduction
- II. Contents of Filing
- III. Commission Action
- IV. Ordering Paragraphs

## I. Introduction

*Notice of filing.* On January 11, 2013, the Postal Service filed a notice announcing that it is entering into an additional Global Plus 2C contract (Agreement).<sup>1</sup> The Postal Service seeks to have the Agreement included within the Global Plus 2C product on the grounds of functional equivalence to previously approved baseline agreements. *Id.* at 2.

*Background.* The Commission added Global Plus 2 to the competitive product list, based on Governors' Decision No. 08-10, by operation of Order No. 112. *Id.* at 1. It later approved the addition of Global Plus 2C contracts to the competitive product list as a result of Docket No. MC2012-5.<sup>2</sup> The Commission designated the contracts filed in companion Docket Nos. CP2012-10 and CP2012-11 as the baseline agreements for purposes of establishing the functional equivalency of other agreements proposed for inclusion with the Global Plus 2C product. Notice at 2.

Customers for Global Plus 2C contracts are Postal Qualified Wholesalers (PQWs) and other large businesses that offer mailing services to end users for shipping articles via Global Direct and/or International Business Reply Service. *Id.* at 5.

## II. Contents of Filing

The filing includes the Notice, along with the following attachments:

- Attachment 1—a redacted copy of the Agreement;
- Attachment 2—a redacted copy of the certification required under 39 CFR 3015.5(c)(2);
- Attachment 3—a redacted copy of Governors' Decision No. 11-6; and
- Attachment 4—an application for non-public treatment of material filed under seal.

The material filed under seal consists of unredacted copies of the Agreement and supporting financial documents. *Id.* at 2. The Postal Service filed redacted versions of the sealed financial documents in public Excel spreadsheets. *Id.* at 3.

*Functional equivalency.* The Postal Service asserts that the instant Agreement and the baseline agreements

<sup>1</sup> Notice of the United States Postal Service of Filing a Functionally Equivalent Global Plus 2C Contract Negotiated Service Agreement and Application for Non-Public Treatment of Materials Filed Under Seal, January 11, 2013 (Notice). The Notice was filed in accordance with 39 CFR 3015.5. *Id.* at 1.

<sup>2</sup> See Docket Nos. MC2012-5, CP2012-10, and CP2012-11, Order No. 1135, Order Adding Global Plus 2C to the Competitive Product List and Approving Functionally Equivalent Global Plus 2C Agreements, January 13, 2012.

are functionally equivalent because they share similar cost and market characteristics. *Id.* at 4. It notes that the pricing formula and classification established in Governors' Decision No. 08–10 ensure that each Global Plus 2C contract meets the criteria of 39 U.S.C. 3633 and related regulations. *Id.* The Postal Service also indicates that the pricing formula relied on for Global Plus 2C contracts is included in Governors' Decision No. 11–6. *Id.* The Postal Service further asserts that the functional terms of the two agreements are the same and the benefits are comparable. *Id.* at 4.

The Postal Service states that prices may differ, depending on when an agreement is signed, due to updated costing information. *Id.* at 5. It also identifies other differences in contractual terms, but asserts that the differences do not affect either the fundamental service being offered or the fundamental structure of the Agreement.<sup>3</sup> *Id.* at 7.

*Effective date; term.* The Agreement includes a scheduled effective date of January 14, 2013, however, given its filing date (January 11, 2013) and advance notice requirements,<sup>4</sup> the Agreement can take effect no sooner than January 26, 2013, assuming regulatory approval.

The Agreement is expected to be in effect for approximately 1 year. Termination is linked to either the date prior to the date in January 2014 that Canada Post Corporation takes action on price changes for certain domestic products<sup>5</sup> or, in the event of inaction, January 31, 2014. *Id.*

### III. Commission Action

The Commission establishes Docket No. CP2013–42 for consideration of matters raised in the Notice. Interested persons may submit comments on whether the Agreement is consistent with the requirements of 39 CFR 3015.5 and the policies of sections 3632, 3633, and 3642. Comments are due no later than January 24, 2013. The public portions of the Postal Service's filing can be accessed via the Commission's Web site at <http://www.prc.gov>. Information on how to obtain access to nonpublic material appears at 39 CFR 3007.40.

The Commission appoints Allison J. Levy to represent the interests of the

<sup>3</sup> The list includes, among other things, the non-inclusion of a particular service, the addition and revision of articles, and related renumbering of articles. See *id.* at 5–8.

<sup>4</sup> Pursuant to 39 CFR 3015.5.

<sup>5</sup> The products are domestic Lettermail, Incentive Lettermail, Admail, and/or Publications Mail products. Notice, Attachment 1 at 9.

general public (Public Representative) in this case.

### IV. Ordering Paragraphs

*It is ordered:*

1. The Commission establishes Docket No. CP2013–42 for consideration of matters raised in the Postal Service's Notice.

2. Pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 505, the Commission designates Allison J. Levy to serve as an officer of the Commission (Public Representative) to represent the interests of the general public in this proceeding.

3. Comments are due no later than January 24, 2013.

4. The Secretary shall arrange for publication of this Order in the **Federal Register**.

By the Commission.

**Shoshana M. Grove,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2013–01086 Filed 1–18–13; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7710–FW–P**

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[SEC File No. 270–0017, OMB Control No. 3235–0017]

### Proposed Collection; Comment Request

*Upon Written Request Copies Available*

From: Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of Investor Education and Advocacy, Washington, DC 20549–0213.

*Extension:*

Rules 6a–1 and 6a–2, Form 1.

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*) (“PRA”), the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) is soliciting comments on the existing collection of information provided for in Rule 6a–1 (17 CFR 240.6a–1), Rule 6a–2 (17 CFR 240.6a–2), and Form 1 (17 CFR 249.1) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (15 U.S.C. 78a *et seq.*) (“Exchange Act” or Act”). The Commission plans to submit this existing collection of information to the Office of Management and Budget (“OMB”) for extension and approval.

The Exchange Act sets forth a regulatory scheme for national securities exchanges. Rule 6a–1 under the Act generally requires an applicant for initial registration as a national securities exchange to file an application with the Commission on Form 1. An exchange that seeks an exemption from registration based on limited trading volume also must apply

for such exemption on Form 1. Rule 6a–2 under the Act requires registered and exempt exchanges: (1) To amend the Form 1 if there are any material changes to the information provided in the initial Form 1; and (2) to submit periodic updates of certain information provided in the initial Form 1, whether such information has changed or not. The information required pursuant to Rules 6a–1 and 6a–2 is necessary to enable the Commission to maintain accurate files regarding the exchange and to exercise its statutory oversight functions. Without the information submitted pursuant to Rule 6a–1 on Form 1, the Commission would not be able to determine whether the respondent met the criteria for registration or exemption set forth in Sections 6 and 19 of the Act. Without the amendments and periodic updates of information submitted pursuant to Rule 6a–2, the Commission would have substantial difficulty determining whether a national securities exchange or exempt exchange was continuing to operate in compliance with the Act.

Initial filings on Form 1 by new exchanges are made on a one-time basis. The Commission estimates that it will receive approximately three initial Form 1 filings per year and that each respondent would incur an average burden of 47 hours to file an initial Form 1 at an average internal labor cost per response of approximately \$13,105. Therefore, the Commission estimates that the annual burden for all respondents to file the initial Form 1 would be 141 hours (one response/respondent × three respondents × 47 hours/response) and an internal labor cost of \$39,315 (one response/respondent × three respondents × \$13,105/response).

There currently are seventeen entities registered as national securities exchanges and two exempt exchanges, for a total of 19 exchanges. The Commission estimates that each registered or exempt exchange files four amendments or periodic update to Form 1 per year, incurring an average burden of 25 hours to comply with Rule 6a–2. The Commission estimates that the annual burden for all respondents to file amendments and periodic updates to the Form 1 pursuant to Rule 6a–2 is 1900 hours (19 respondents × 25 hours/response × four responses/respondent per year) and an internal labor cost of \$510,720 (19 respondents × \$6,720/response × four responses/respondent per year).

Written comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the