

- Comment 4: Whether the Department Erred in Selecting a Benchmark Interest Rate To Measure the Benefit to Samsung Under the KDB/IBK Loan Program
- Comment 5: Whether Premiums Charged by K-SURE Are Adequate to Cover the Long-Term Operating Costs and Losses of the Program
- Comment 6: Whether RSTA Article 10(1)(3) Is *de Facto* Specific
- Comment 7: Whether Income Tax Credits Should Be Attributed to Non-Subject Merchandise
- Comment 8: Whether RSTA Article 25(2) Is *de Facto* Specific
- Comment 9: Whether RSTA Article 26 is Regionally Specific
- Comment 10: Whether the Department Should Offset Exempted Acquisition or Registration Taxes by the Amount of Special Rural Development Tax Paid
- Comment 11: Whether the Green Technology R&D Program Is Countervailable
- Comment 12: Whether Grants Received by Samsung under the “21st Century Frontier and Other R&D Programs” Program Are Countervailable
- Comment 13: Whether the Department Should Adjust Samsung’s Total Sales Denominator to Exclude Sales of Services or Goods Manufactured Outside of Korea
- Comment 14: Whether the Department Erred in Its Calculation of the Subsidy Rate for LG’s Use of the “Green Technology R&D” Program
- Comment 15: Whether the Department Erred in Finding That the “SME Green Partnerships” Program Provides a Benefit to LG
- Comment 16: Whether the Department Erred in Attributing Subsidies Received by ServeOne to LG
- Comment 17: Whether the Department Should Continue To Find Other Programs To Be Not Countervailable
- Comment 18: Whether the Department Should Countervail Other Grants Received by Samsung That Were Identified at Verification

[FR Doc. 2012–31078 Filed 12–21–12; 4:15 pm]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[C–570–982]

#### Utility Scale Wind Towers From the People’s Republic of China: Final Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determination

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (the Department) determines that countervailable subsidies are being provided to producers and exporters of utility scale wind towers (wind towers) from the People’s Republic of China (the PRC). For information on the estimated

subsidy rates, see the “Suspension of Liquidation” section of this notice.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* December 24, 2012.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Kristen Johnson or Patricia Tran, AD/CVD Operations, Office 8, Import Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, Room 4014, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: 202–482–4793 and 202–482–1503, respectively.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

This investigation, which covers 54 programs, was initiated on January 18, 2012.<sup>1</sup> The Petitioner in this investigation is the Wind Tower Trade Coalition.<sup>2</sup> The respondents in this investigation are: CS Wind China Co., Ltd. and its affiliates (collectively, CS Wind) and Titan Wind Energy (Suzhou) Co., Ltd. and its affiliates (collectively, the Titan Companies).

##### Period of Investigation

The period of investigation for which we are measuring subsidies is January 1, 2011, through December 31, 2011.

##### Case History

The events that have occurred since the Department published the *Preliminary Determination*<sup>3</sup> on June 6, 2012, are discussed in the Memorandum to Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Determination in the Countervailing Duty Investigation of Utility Scale Wind Towers from the People’s Republic of China (Decision Memorandum).<sup>4</sup>

##### Scope of the Investigation

The merchandise covered by this investigation are certain wind towers, whether or not tapered, and sections thereof. Certain wind towers are

<sup>1</sup> See *Utility Scale Wind Towers From the People’s Republic of China: Initiation of Countervailing Duty Investigation*, 77 FR 3447 (January 24, 2012) (*Initiation Notice*), and accompanying Initiation Checklist.

<sup>2</sup> The following companies compose the Wind Tower Trade Coalition: Broadwind Towers, Inc., DMI Industries, Katana Summit LLC, and Trinity Structural Towers, Inc.

<sup>3</sup> See *Utility Scale Wind Towers From the People’s Republic of China: Preliminary Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determination*, 77 FR 33422 (June 6, 2012) (*Preliminary Determination*).

<sup>4</sup> Public versions of all business proprietary documents and all public documents are on file electronically via Import Administration’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (IA ACCESS). Access to IA ACCESS is available to registered users at <http://iaaccess.trade.gov> and in the Central Records Unit (CRU), room 7046 of the main Department of Commerce building.

designed to support the nacelle and rotor blades in a wind turbine with a minimum rated electrical power generation capacity in excess of 100 kilowatts and with a minimum height of 50 meters measured from the base of the tower to the bottom of the nacelle (*i.e.*, where the top of the tower and nacelle are joined) when fully assembled.

A wind tower section consists of, at a minimum, multiple steel plates rolled into cylindrical or conical shapes and welded together (or otherwise attached) to form a steel shell, regardless of coating, end-finish, painting, treatment, or method of manufacture, and with or without flanges, doors, or internal or external components (*e.g.*, flooring/decking, ladders, lifts, electrical buss boxes, electrical cabling, conduit, cable harness for nacelle generator, interior lighting, tool and storage lockers) attached to the wind tower section. Several wind tower sections are normally required to form a completed wind tower.

Wind towers and sections thereof are included within the scope whether or not they are joined with non-subject merchandise, such as nacelles or rotor blades, and whether or not they have internal or external components attached to the subject merchandise.

Specifically excluded from the scope are nacelles and rotor blades, regardless of whether they are attached to the wind tower. Also excluded are any internal or external components which are not attached to the wind towers or sections thereof.

Merchandise covered by the investigation is currently classified in the Harmonized Tariff System of the United States (HTSUS) under subheadings 7308.20.0020<sup>5</sup> or 8502.31.0000.<sup>6</sup> Prior to 2011, merchandise covered by this investigation was classified in the HTSUS under subheading 7308.20.0000 and may continue to be to some degree. While the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of the investigation is dispositive.

##### Scope Comments

In accordance with the preamble to the Department’s regulations, we set aside a period of time in our *Initiation Notice* for parties to raise issues regarding product coverage, and encouraged all parties to submit

<sup>5</sup> Wind towers are classified under HTSUS 7308.20.0020 when imported as a tower or tower section(s) alone.

<sup>6</sup> Wind towers may also be classified under HTSUS 8502.31.0000 when imported as part of a wind turbine (*i.e.*, accompanying nacelles and/or rotor blades).

comments within 20 calendar days of publication of that notice.<sup>7</sup> On February 7, 2012, we received scope comments from the Petitioner.

The Department considered Petitioner's comments and issued its decision to not adopt the revised scope language proposed by Petitioner in the preliminary determination of the companion antidumping (AD) investigation.<sup>8</sup> For the final determination, the Department received comments regarding the scope of the investigation from Petitioner, Chengxi Shipyard Co., Ltd., and Titan Companies. After analyzing the comments, the Department has made no changes to the scope of this investigation. For a complete discussion of this issue, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum at Comment 4 of the AD investigation.

**Analysis of Subsidy Program and Comments Received**

The subsidy programs under investigation and the issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs submitted by parties in this investigation are addressed in the Decision Memorandum, dated concurrently with this notice and hereby adopted by this notice. A list of the issues raised is attached to this notice as Appendix I. The Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via IA ACCESS. In addition, a complete version of the Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the internet at <http://www.trade.gov/ia/>. The signed Decision Memorandum and the electronic versions of the Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

**Suspension of Liquidation**

In accordance with section 705(c)(1)(B)(i)(I) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), we have calculated an individual rate for each producer/exporter of the subject merchandise investigated. We determine the total net countervailable subsidy rates to be:

Producer/exporter	Net subsidy ad valorem rate (percent)
CS Wind China Co., Ltd., CS Wind Tech (Shanghai) Co., Ltd., and CS Wind Corporation (collectively, CS Wind) ...	21.86

<sup>7</sup> See *Antidumping Duties; Countervailing Duties*, 62 FR 27296, 27323 (May 19, 1997); and *Initiation Notice*, 77 FR 3447–8.

<sup>8</sup> See *Utility Scale Wind Towers From the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Postponement of Final Determination*, 77 FR 46034, 46035–36 (August 2, 2012).

Producer/exporter	Net subsidy ad valorem rate (percent)
Titan Wind Energy (Suzhou) Co. Ltd. (Titan Wind), Titan Lianyungang Metal Product Co. Ltd. (Titan Lianyungang), Baotou Titan Wind Power Equipment Co., Ltd. (Titan Baotou), and Shenyang Titan Metal Co., Ltd. (Titan Shenyang) (collectively, Titan Companies) .....	34.81
All Others .....	28.34

Section 705(c)(5)(A)(i) of the Act states that for companies not individually investigated, we will determine an all others rate equal to the weighted average of the countervailable subsidy rates established for exporters and producers individually investigated, excluding any zero and *de minimis* countervailable subsidy rates, and any rates based entirely on AFA under section 776 of the Act.

Notwithstanding the language of section 705(c)(5)(A)(i) of the Act, we have not calculated the "all others" rate by weight averaging the rates of CS Wind and the Titan Companies, because doing so risks disclosure of proprietary information. Therefore, for the all others rate, we have calculated a simple average of the two responding firms' rates.

As a result of our *Preliminary Determination* and pursuant to section 703(d) of the Act, we instructed U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to suspend liquidation of all entries of subject merchandise from the PRC which were entered or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after June 6, 2012, the date of the publication of the *Preliminary Determination* in the **Federal Register**. In accordance with section 703(d) of the Act, we later issued instructions to CBP to discontinue the suspension of liquidation for countervailing duty purposes for subject merchandise entered or withdrawn from warehouse, on or after October 4, 2012, but to continue the suspension of liquidation of all entries from June 6, 2012, through October 3, 2012.

If the ITC issues a final affirmative injury determination, we will issue a CVD order, we will instruct CBP to reinstate the suspension of liquidation under section 706(a) of the Act, and we will instruct CBP to require a cash deposit of estimated countervailing duties for such entries of merchandise in the amounts indicated above. If the ITC determines that material injury, or threat of material injury, does not exist,

this proceeding will be terminated and all estimated duties deposited or securities posted as a result of the suspension of liquidation will be refunded or canceled.

**ITC Notification**

In accordance with section 705(d) of the Act, we will notify the ITC of our determination. In addition, we are making available to the ITC all non-privileged and non-proprietary information related to this investigation. We will allow the ITC access to all privileged and business proprietary information in our files, provided the ITC confirms that it will not disclose such information, either publicly or under an administrative protective order (APO), without the written consent of the Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

**Return or Destruction of Proprietary Information**

In the event that the ITC issues a final negative injury determination, this notice will serve as the only reminder to parties subject to an APO of their responsibility concerning the destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return/ destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

This determination is published pursuant to sections 705(d) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: December 17, 2012.

**Paul Piquado**,  
*Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

**Appendix—List of Comments and Issues in the Decision Memorandum**

**General Issues**

- Comment 1: Application of CVD Law to China
- Comment 2: Simultaneous Application of CVD and AD Non-Market Economy Measures

**Preferential Policy Lending**

- Comment 3: Specificity of Preferential Policy Lending
- Comment 4: Whether State-Owned Commercial Banks Are Authorities
- Comment 5: Use of an In-Country Benchmark to Measure the Benefit from Preferential Policy Lending
- Comment 6: Flaws in the Calculation of the External Preferential Policy Lending Benchmark

**Export Buyer's Credits Program**

- Comment 7: Application of Adverse Facts Available (AFA) to the Export Buyer's Credits Program
- Comment 8: Selection of AFA Rate for Export Buyer's Credits
- Comment 9: Treatment of the AFA Rate for Export Buyer's Credits in the AD Investigation

**Provision of Hot-Rolled Steel (HRS) for Less Than Adequate Remuneration (LTAR)**

- Comment 10: Whether HRS Allegation Was Sufficient to Initiate an Investigation
- Comment 11: Whether Application of AFA for HRS for LTAR Establishes the Existence of a Financial Contribution
- Comment 12: Whether HRS Producers are Authorities
- Comment 13: Specificity Finding for HRS for LTAR
- Comment 14: Whether HRS Purchases are Alloy or Non-Alloy
- Comment 15: Construction of HRS Benchmark

**Provision of Electricity for LTAR**

- Comment 16: Electricity Benchmarks

**Tax Programs**

- Comment 17: *De Jure* Specificity of Three Tax Programs; Whether the Tax Programs Are Limited to Certain Enterprises or Groups of Enterprises

**Company-Specific Issues**

- Comment 18: Allocation of CS Wind's Grants
- Comment 19: Value Added Tax and Import Duties in the HRS Benchmark Used to Calculate CS Wind's Benefit
- Comment 20: Whether the Department Should Apply Total AFA for HRS for LTAR with Respect to Titan Companies
- Comment 21: Titan Companies' Sales Denominator

[FR Doc. 2012-30947 Filed 12-21-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****International Trade Administration**

[A-552-812]

**Steel Wire Garment Hangers From the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Final Affirmative Determination of Critical Circumstances**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* December 24, 2012.

**SUMMARY:** On August 2, 2012, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") published its notice of preliminary determination of sales at less than fair value ("LTFV") in the antidumping investigation of steel wire garment hangers from the Socialist

Republic of Vietnam ("Vietnam").<sup>1</sup> We invited interested parties to comment on our *Preliminary Determination* of sales at LTFV. We continue to determine that steel wire garment hangers from Vietnam are being, or are likely to be, sold in the United States at LTFV as provided in section 735 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"). The estimated margins of sales at LTFV are shown in the "Final Determination Margins" section of this notice.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Irene Gorelik or Robert Palmer, AD/CVD Operations, Office 9, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-6905 or (202) 482-9068, respectively.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:****Case History**

The Department published its *Preliminary Determination* on August 2, 2012.<sup>2</sup> On August 2, 2012, Petitioners<sup>3</sup> filed an allegation of critical circumstances.<sup>4</sup> On August 3, 2012, the TJ Group<sup>5</sup> filed a letter withdrawing its participation from this investigation.<sup>6</sup> On August 24, 2012, the Department published its preliminary affirmative determination of critical circumstances.<sup>7</sup> On August 31, 2012, we received a case brief from Godoxa International LLC and Joobles LLC, two U.S. importers of the merchandise under consideration.<sup>8</sup> We did not receive case or rebuttal briefs from any other interested parties.

**Period of Investigation**

The period of investigation ("POI") is April 1, 2011, through September 30, 2011.

<sup>1</sup> See *Steel Wire Garment Hangers From the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Preliminary Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Postponement of Final Determination*, 77 FR 46044 (August 2, 2012) ("*Preliminary Determination*").

<sup>2</sup> See *id.*

<sup>3</sup> M&B Metal Products Company, Inc.; Innovative Fabrication LLC/Indy Hanger; and US Hanger Company, LLC.

<sup>4</sup> See Letter from Petitioners re; Allegation of Critical Circumstances, dated August 2, 2012.

<sup>5</sup> The TJ Group consists of: the Pre-Supreme Entity, Infinite Industrial Hanger Limited, and TJ Co., Ltd. See, e.g., *Preliminary Determination*, 77 FR at 46047-48, 46053 n. 109.

<sup>6</sup> See TJ Group's Letter of Withdrawal, dated August 3, 2012, at 1-2.

<sup>7</sup> See *Steel Wire Garment Hangers from the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Preliminary Affirmative Determination of Critical Circumstances in the Antidumping Duty Investigation*, 77 FR 51514 (August 24, 2012) ("*Preliminary Critical Circumstances Determination*").

<sup>8</sup> See Godoxa's and Joobles' Submission dated August 31, 2012.

**Verification**

The Department did not verify the information submitted by TJ Group pursuant to section 782(i) of the Act because the TJ Group withdrew its participation after the *Preliminary Determination*, including from the Department's planned verification. As a result, the Department did not rely upon the TJ Group's submitted information in reaching the final determination.

**Analysis of Comments Received**

All issues raised in the case brief to this investigation are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum ("Decision Memo"). A list of the issues which parties have raised and to which we have responded in the Decision Memo is attached to this notice as Appendix I. The Decision Memo is a public document and is on file electronically via Import Administration's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System ("IA ACCESS"). Access to IA ACCESS is available in the Central Records Unit ("CRU"), room 7046 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Decision Memo can be accessed directly on the Internet at <http://www.trade.gov/ia/>. The paper copy and electronic version of the Decision Memo are identical in content.

**Changes Since the Preliminary Determination**

For the final determination, we have based the TJ Group's margin on total adverse facts available ("AFA") because of its failure to participate and consider it as part of the Vietnam-wide entity, as detailed below. Furthermore, for the final determination, the separate rate has been revised for the non-individually examined respondents that received a preliminary separate rate margin which had been based on the TJ Group's calculated margin.

**Scope of Investigation**

The merchandise subject to this investigation is steel wire garment hangers, fabricated from carbon steel wire, whether or not galvanized or painted, whether or not coated with latex or epoxy or similar gripping materials, and whether or not fashioned with paper covers or capes (with or without printing) or nonslip features such as saddles or tubes. These products may also be referred to by a commercial designation, such as shirt, suit, strut, caped, or latex (industrial) hangers.

Specifically excluded from the scope of the investigation are (a) Wooden, plastic, and other garment hangers that