

(1) To give public notice that such defective toy or article contains a defect which creates a substantial risk of injury to children;

(2) To mail such notice to each person who is a manufacturer, distributor, or dealer of such toy or article; and

(3) To mail such notice to every person to whom the person giving notice knows such toy or article was delivered or sold.

E. Determine that action under Section 15(d) of the CPSA, 15 U.S.C. 2064(d) and Section 15(c)(2) of the FHSA, 15 U.S.C. 1274(c)(2), is in the public interest and additionally order Respondent to:

(1) Refund consumers the purchase price of the Subject Products;

(2) Make no charge to consumers and to reimburse consumers for any reasonable and foreseeable expenses incurred in availing themselves of any remedy provided under any Commission Order issued in this matter, as provided by Section 15 U.S.C. 2064(e)(1) of the CPSA and Section 15 U.S.C. 1274(d)(1) of the FHSA;

(3) Reimburse retailers for expenses in connection with carrying out any Commission Order issued in this matter, including the costs of returns, refunds and/or replacements, as provided by Section 15(e)(2) of the CPSA, 15 U.S.C. 2064(e)(2) and Section 15(d)(2) of the FHSA, 15 U.S.C. 1274(d)(2);

(4) Submit a corrective action program satisfactory to the Commission, within ten (10) days of service of the Final Order, directing that actions specified in Paragraphs C(1) through (6) and D(1) through (3) above be taken in a timely manner;

(5) To submit monthly reports, in a format satisfactory to the Commission, documenting the progress of the corrective action program;

(6) For a period of five (5) years after issuance of the Final Order in this matter, to keep records of its actions taken to comply with Paragraphs C(1) through (6) and D(1) through (3) above, and supply these records to the Commission for the purpose of monitoring compliance with the Final Order; and

(7) For a period of five (5) years after issuance of the Final Order in this matter, to notify the Commission at least sixty (60) days prior to any change in its business (such as incorporation, dissolution, assignment, sale, or petition for bankruptcy) that results in, or is intended to result in, the emergence of a successor corporation, going out of business, or any other change that might affect compliance obligations under a Final Order issued by the Commission in this matter.

F. Order that Respondent shall take other and further actions as the Commission deems necessary to protect the public health and safety and to comply with the CPSA and FHSA.

Issued By Order of the Commission:
Dated this ___ day of December, 2012.

BY: Marc Schoem

Acting Assistant Executive Director for Compliance and Field Operations

U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission, Bethesda, MD 20814,
Tel: (301) 504-7520.

Mary B. Murphy, Assistant General Counsel, Division of Compliance, Office of General Counsel, U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission, Bethesda, MD 20814,
Tel: (301) 504-7809.

Kelly Moore, Trial Attorney, Complaint Counsel, Division of Compliance, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission, Bethesda, MD 20814,
Tel: (301) 504-7447.

Certificate of Service

I hereby certify that on December __, 2012, I served the foregoing Complaint and List of Summary and Documentary Evidence upon all parties of record in these proceedings by hand-delivering and mailing, certified mail, postage prepaid, a copy to each at their principal place of business, and courtesy copy to counsel, as follows:

Baby Matters LLC, 531 Winston Way, Berwyn, PA 19312.

Raymond G. Mullady, Jr., BLANK ROME LLP, Watergate, 600 New Hampshire Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20037, Counsel for Baby Matters LLC.

Mary B. Murphy, Complaint Counsel for U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission.

[FR Doc. 2012-29760 Filed 12-10-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6355-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

[Docket No. ED-2012-ICCD-0030]

Agency Information Collection Activities; Submission to the Office of Management and Budget for Review and Approval; Comment Request; Student Assistance General Provisions—Non-Title IV Revenue Requirements (90/10)

AGENCY: Department of Education (ED), Federal Student Aid (FSA).

ACTION: Notice

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction of 1995 (44 U.S.C.

chapter 3501 *et seq.*), ED is proposing an extension of an existing information collection.

DATES: Interested persons are invited to submit comments on or before January 10, 2013.

ADDRESSES: Comments submitted in response to this notice should be submitted electronically through the Federal eRulemaking Portal at <http://www.regulations.gov> by selecting Docket ID number ED-2012-ICCD-0030 or via postal mail, commercial delivery, or hand delivery. Please note that comments submitted by fax or email and those submitted after the comment period will not be accepted. Written requests for information or comments submitted by postal mail or delivery should be addressed to the Director of the Information Collection Clearance Division, U.S. Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue SW, LBJ, Room 2E105, Washington, DC 20202-4537.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Electronically mail ICDocketMgr@ed.gov. Please do not send comments here.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Department of Education (ED), in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA) (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)), provides the general public and Federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on proposed, revised, and continuing collections of information. This helps the Department assess the impact of its information collection requirements and minimize the public's reporting burden. It also helps the public understand the Department's information collection requirements and provide the requested data in the desired format. ED is soliciting comments on the proposed information collection request (ICR) that is described below. The Department of Education is especially interested in public comment addressing the following issues: (1) Is this collection necessary to the proper functions of the Department; (2) will this information be processed and used in a timely manner; (3) is the estimate of burden accurate; (4) how might the Department enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (5) how might the Department minimize the burden of this collection on the respondents, including through the use of information technology. Please note that written comments received in response to this notice will be considered public records.

Title of Collection: Student Assistance General Provisions—Non-Title IV Revenue Requirements (90/10).

OMB Control Number: 1845-0096.

Type of Review: Extension of an existing information collection.

Respondents/Affected Public: Private Sector (Business or for-profit institutions).

Total Estimated Number of Annual Responses: 2,201.

Total Estimated Number of Annual Burden Hours: 3,302 .

Abstract: As provided by the Higher Education Opportunity Act (Pub. L. 110–315), the regulations provide that a proprietary institution must derive at least 10% of its annual revenue from sources other than Title IV, Higher Education Act (HEA) funds, sanctions for failing to meet this requirement, and otherwise implement the statute by (1) Specifying a Net Present Value (NPV) formula used to establish the revenue for institutional loans, (2) providing an

administratively easier alternative to the NPV calculation, and (3) describing more fully the non-Title IV eligible programs from which revenue may be counted for 90/10 purposes. The regulations require an institution to disclose in a footnote to its audited financial statements the amounts of Federal and non-Federal revenues, by category, that it used in calculating its 90/10 ratio (see section 487(d) of the HEA). This request is for extending approval of reporting requirements contained in the regulations related to the administrative requirements of the non-Title IV revenue requirement (90/10) program. The information collection requirements in the regulations are necessary to determine eligibility to receive program benefits and to prevent fraud and abuse of program funds.

Dated: December 5, 2012.

Stephanie Valentine,

Acting Director, Information Collection Clearance Division, Privacy, Information and Records Management Services, Office of Management.

[FR Doc. 2012–29817 Filed 12–10–12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4000–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

2012 LNG Export Study

AGENCY: Office of Fossil Energy, Department of Energy.

ACTION: Notice of availability of 2012 LNG Export Study and request for comments.

Freeport LNG Expansion, L.P. and FLNG Liquefaction, LLC	[FE Docket No. 10–161–LNG]
Lake Charles Exports, LLC	[FE Docket No. 11–59–LNG]
Dominion Cove Point LNG, LP	[FE Docket No. 11–128–LNG]
Carib Energy (USA) LLC	[FE Docket No. 11–141–LNG]
Freeport LNG Expansion, L.P. and FLNG Liquefaction, LLC	[FE Docket No. 11–161–LNG]
Cameron LNG, LLC Gulf	[FE Docket No. 11–162–LNG]
Gulf Coast LNG Export, LLC	[FE Docket No. 12–05–LNG]
Jordan Cove Energy Project, L.P.	[FE Docket No. 12–32–LNG]
LNG Development Company, LLC (d/b/a Oregon LNG)	[FE Docket No. 12–77–LNG]
Cheniere Marketing, LLC	[FE Docket No. 12–97–LNG]
Southern LNG Company, L.L.C.	[FE Docket No. 12–100–LNG]
Gulf LNG Liquefaction Company, LLC	[FE Docket No. 12–101–LNG]
CE FLNG, LLC	[FE Docket No. 12–123–LNG]
Excelerate Liquefaction Solutions I, LLC	[FE Docket No. 12–146–LNG]
Golden Pass Products LLC	[FE Docket No. 12–156–LNG]

SUMMARY: The Office of Fossil Energy (FE) of the Department of Energy (DOE) gives notice of the availability of a liquefied natural gas (LNG) export cumulative impact study (LNG Export Study) in the above-referenced proceedings and invites the submission of initial and reply comments regarding the LNG Export Study. DOE commissioned the LNG Export Study to inform DOE's decisions on applications seeking authorization to export LNG from the lower-48 states to non-free trade agreement (FTA) countries.¹ The LNG Export Study consisted of two parts. The first part, performed by the Energy Information Administration (EIA) and originally published in January 2012, assessed how specified scenarios of increased natural gas exports could affect domestic energy markets. The second part, performed by NERA Economic Consulting (NERA) under contract to DOE, evaluated the

macro-economic impact of LNG exports on the U.S. economy using a general equilibrium macroeconomic model of the U.S. economy with an emphasis on the energy sector and natural gas in particular. DOE may use the LNG Export Study to inform its decision in the listed proceedings and for other purposes. Comments submitted in compliance with the instructions in this notice will be placed in the administrative record for all of the above-listed proceedings and need only be submitted once.

DATES: Initial comments are to be filed using procedures detailed in the Public Comment Procedures section no later than 4:30 p.m., eastern time, January 24, 2013. Reply comments are to be filed using the same procedures and will be accepted for filing from January 25, 2013, until 4:30 p.m., eastern time, February 25, 2013.

ADDRESSES:

Electronic Filing by email:
LNGStudy@hq.doe.gov.

Regular Mail: U.S. Department of Energy (FE–34), Office of Natural Gas Regulatory Activities, Office of Fossil Energy, P.O. Box 44375, Washington, DC 20026–4375.

Hand Delivery or Private Delivery Services (e.g., FedEx, UPS, etc.): U.S. Department of Energy (FE–34), Office of Natural Gas Regulatory Activities, Office of Fossil Energy, Forrestal Building, Room 3E–042, 1000 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, DC 20585.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

John Anderson, U.S. Department of Energy (FE–34), Office of Natural Gas Regulatory Activities, Office of Fossil Energy, Forrestal Building, Room 3E–042, 1000 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, DC 20585, (202) 586–0521.

Edward Myers, U.S. Department of Energy, Office of the Assistant General Counsel for Electricity and Fossil Energy, Forrestal Building, Room 6B–256, 1000 Independence Ave. SW., Washington, DC 20585, (202) 586–3397.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Pursuant to section 3 of the Natural Gas Act, 15 U.S.C. 717b, exports of natural gas, including LNG, must be

¹ The LNG Export Study did not consider the impact of exports of Alaska natural gas production. Because there is no natural gas pipeline interconnection between Alaska and the lower-48 states, the macroeconomic consequences of exporting LNG from Alaska are likely to be discrete and separate from those of exporting from the lower-48 states.