1. Is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866;

2. Is not a "significant rule" under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979);

3. Will not affect intrastate aviation in Alaska: and

4. Will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

We prepared a regulatory evaluation of the estimated costs to comply with this AD and placed it in the AD docket.

### Examining the AD Docket

You may examine the AD docket on the Internet at *http://* 

www.regulations.gov; or in person at the Docket Operations office between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The AD docket contains the NPRM (77 FR 43176, July 24, 2012), the regulatory evaluation, any comments received, and other information. The street address for the Docket Operations office (telephone (800) 647–5527) is in the ADDRESSES section. Comments will be available in the AD docket shortly after receipt.

#### List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

## Adoption of the Amendment

Accordingly, under the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the FAA amends 14 CFR part 39 as follows:

# PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

#### §39.13 [Amended]

■ 2. The FAA amends § 39.13 by adding the following new AD:

2012–20–08 Bombardier, Inc.: Amendment 39-17214. Docket No. FAA-2012-0722; Directorate Identifier 2012–NM–188–AD.

#### (a) Effective Date

This airworthiness directive (AD) becomes effective November 21, 2012.

#### (b) Affected ADs

None.

#### (c) Applicability

This AD applies to Bombardier, Inc. Model DHC-8-400, -401, and -402 airplanes; certificated in any category; serial numbers 4001 through 4338 inclusive, with Honeywell alternating current (AC) generator

part number (P/N) 1152218-3, 1152218-4 or 1152218–5 installed.

## (d) Subject

Air Transport Association (ATA) of America Code 24: Electrical Power.

### (e) Reason

This AD was prompted by reports of AC generator failures in-service due to incomplete fusion in the weld joint of the rotor band assembly. We are issuing this AD to prevent rotor windings from coming in contact with the generator housing, which could result in debris contaminating and potentially blocking the engine oil scavenge system, leading to loss of oil pressure and an in-flight shutdown of the engine.

#### (f) Compliance

You are responsible for having the actions required by this AD performed within the compliance times specified, unless the actions have already been done.

## (g) Inspection and Replacement

Within 6,000 flight hours or 36 months after the effective date of this AD, whichever occurs first: Inspect the left and right AC generators to determine if the AC generator has a part number identified in step 3.B.(2) of the Accomplishment Instructions of Bombardier Service Bulletin 84-24-45, dated January 13, 2011, or has P/N 1152218-3. If an AC generator has a part number identified in Bombardier Service Bulletin 84-24-45, dated January 13, 2011, or has P/N 1152218-3, before further flight, replace the AC generator with a modified or new AC generator having P/N 1152218–6, in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions of Bombardier Service Bulletin 84-24-45, dated January 13, 2011.

#### (h) Parts Installation Prohibition

After the effective date of this AD, no person may install an AC generator having P/N 1152218-5, 1152218-4, or 1152218-3 on any airplane.

#### (i) Other FAA AD Provisions

The following provisions also apply to this AD:

(1) Alternative Methods of Compliance (AMOCs): The Manager, New York Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), ANE-170, FAA, has the authority to approve AMOCs for this AD, if requested using the procedures found in 14 CFR 39.19. In accordance with 14 CFR 39.19, send your request to your principal inspector or local Flight Standards District Office, as appropriate. If sending information directly to the ACO, send it to ATTN: Program Manager, Continuing Operational Safety, FAA, New York ACO, 1600 Stewart Avenue, Suite 410, Westbury, New York 11590; telephone 516-228-7300; fax 516-794–5531. Before using any approved AMOC, notify your appropriate principal inspector, or lacking a principal inspector, the manager of the local flight standards district office/ certificate holding district office. The AMOC approval letter must specifically reference this AD.

(2) Airworthy Product: For any requirement in this AD to obtain corrective actions from a manufacturer or other source,

use these actions if they are FAA-approved. Corrective actions are considered FAAapproved if they are approved by the State of Design Authority (or their delegated agent). You are required to assure the product is airworthy before it is returned to service.

#### (j) Related Information

Refer to MCAI Canadian Airworthiness Directive CF-2011-22, dated July 13, 2011; and Bombardier Service Bulletin 84-24-45, dated January 13, 2011; for related information.

#### (k) Material Incorporated by Reference

(1) The Director of the Federal Register approved the incorporation by reference (IBR) of the service information listed in this paragraph under 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51.

(2) You must use this service information as applicable to do the actions required by this AD, unless the AD specifies otherwise.

(i) Bombardier Service Bulletin 84-24-45, dated January 13, 2011.

(ii) Reserved.

(3) For service information identified in this AD, contact Bombardier, Inc., Q-Series Technical Help Desk, 123 Garratt Boulevard, Toronto, Ontario M3K 1Y5, Canada; telephone 416-375-4000; fax 416-375-4539; email thd.qseries@aero.bombardier.com; Internet http://www.bombardier.com.

(4) You may review copies of the service information at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Renton, WA. For information on the availability of this material at the FAA, call 425-227-1221.

(5) You may view this service information that is incorporated by reference at the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA). For information on the availability of this material at NARA, call 202-741-6030, or go to: http:// www.archives.gov/federal-register/cfr/ index.html.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on October 4.2012

## **Dionne Palermo**,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service. [FR Doc. 2012-25108 Filed 10-16-12; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### **Federal Aviation Administration**

#### 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. FAA-2012-0755; Directorate Identifier 99-CE-65-AD; Amendment 39-17217; AD 2000-07-11 R1]

#### RIN 2120-AA64

## Airworthiness Directives; Piaggio Aero Industries S.p.A.

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Department of Transportation (DOT). **ACTION:** Final rule; rescission.

SUMMARY: We are rescinding an airworthiness directive (AD) for all Piaggio Aero Industries S.p.A Model P-180 airplanes. That AD was prompted by mandatory continuing airworthiness information (MCAI) issued by the airworthiness authority for Italy. We issued that AD to prevent the brake hydraulic fluid from leaking because of the brake assembly rods contacting the brake valve tubing, which could result in the inability to adequately stop the airplane during ground operations. Since we issued that AD, we have determined this is no longer an unsafe condition and that regularly scheduled annual inspections address this subject. **DATES:** This AD is effective November 21.2012.

ADDRESSES: You may examine the AD docket on the Internet at *http://www.regulations.gov* or in person at Document Management Facility, U.S. Department of Transportation, Docket Operations, M–30, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12–140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC 20590.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mike Kiesov, Aerospace Safety Engineer, FAA, Small Airplane Directorate, 901 Locust, Kansas City, Missouri 64106; telephone: (816) 329– 4144; fax: (816) 329–4090; email: *mike.kiesov@faa.gov.* 

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Discussion

We issued a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) to amend 14 CFR part 39 by rescinding AD 2000–07–11 (65 FR 19305, April 11, 2000) that applies to the specified products. That NPRM was published in the **Federal Register** on July 19, 2012 (77 FR 42454). The MCAI states:

After a 1999 training session during which conflicting inputs were given to the brake pads between pilot and copilot, a brake system rod was found deflected. The rod, in this bent condition, could possibly wear and damage the tubings connected to the brake valves, with consequent fluid leakage.

Prompted by these findings, PAI issued Service Bulletin (SB) 80–0107, providing instructions for repetitive inspections of the affected rods and tubings. As this was considered to be a potentially unsafe condition, Registro Aeronautico Italiano (RAI), the predecessor of ENAC (Ente Nazionale per l'Aviazione Civile), issued Prescrizione di Aeronavigabilità (PA) No. 1999–219, which required the repetitive inspections as detailed in PAI SB 80–0107 and, depending on findings, replacement of rod or tubing.

Since that AD was issued, the repetitive inspections of SB 80–0107 have been included as regular tasks into the maintenance schedule of both Avanti and Avanti II aeroplanes. In addition, no other cases of brake system bent rods have been reported, nor have any rods been replaced for damage in the P.180 fleet since that occurrence. Based on the available information, this is no longer considered to be an unsafe condition. Prompted by this determination, PAI issued Revision ZZ of SB 80–0107, which cancels the original SB 80– 0107.

For the reasons described above, this Notice is issued to cancel ENAC PA no. 1999–219 dated 03 May 1999.

#### Comments

We gave the public the opportunity to participate in developing this AD. We received no comments on the NPRM (77 FR 42454, July 19, 2012) or on the determination of the cost to the public.

## Conclusion

We reviewed the relevant data and determined that rescinding the AD will not affect air safety and will reduce the burden on the public. We will rescind the AD as proposed except for minor editorial changes. We have determined that these minor changes:

• Are consistent with the intent that was proposed in the NPRM (77 FR 42454, July 19, 2012) for correcting the unsafe condition; and

• Do not add any additional burden upon the public than was already proposed in the NPRM (77 FR 42454, July 19, 2012).

## Authority for This Rulemaking

Title 49 of the United States Code specifies the FAA's authority to issue rules on aviation safety. Subtitle I, section 106, describes the authority of the FAA Administrator. "Subtitle VII: Aviation Programs," describes in more detail the scope of the Agency's authority.

We are issuing this rulemaking under the authority described in "Subtitle VII, Part A, Subpart III, Section 44701: General requirements." Under that section, Congress charges the FAA with promoting safe flight of civil aircraft in air commerce by prescribing regulations for practices, methods, and procedures the Administrator finds necessary for safety in air commerce. This regulation is within the scope of that authority because it addresses an unsafe condition that is likely to exist or develop on products identified in this rulemaking action.

## **Regulatory Findings**

We determined that this AD will not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132. This AD will not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify this AD:

(1) Is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866,

(2) Is not a "significant rule" under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979),

(3) Will not affect intrastate aviation in Alaska, and

(4) Will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

# **Examining the AD Docket**

You may examine the AD docket on the Internet at *http:// www.regulations.gov;* or in person at the Docket Management Facility between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The AD docket contains the NPRM, the regulatory evaluation, any comments received, and other information. The street address for the Docket Office (telephone (800) 647–5527) is in the **ADDRESSES** section. Comments will be available in the AD docket shortly after receipt.

### List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

#### Adoption of the Amendment

Accordingly, under the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the FAA amends 14 CFR part 39 as follows:

## PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

■ 1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

## §39.13 [Amended]

■ 2. The FAA amends § 39.13 by removing airworthiness directive (AD) 2000–07–11, Amendment 39–11665 (65 FR 19305, April 11, 2000), and adding the following new AD:

2000–07–11 R1 Piaggio Aero Industries S.p.A.: Amendment 39–17217; Docket No. FAA–2012–0755; Directorate Identifier 99–CE–65–AD.

## (a) Effective Date

This airworthiness directive (AD) becomes effective November 21, 2012.

#### (b) Affected ADs

This AD rescinds AD 2000–07–11 (65 FR 19305, April 11, 2000).

#### (c) Applicability

This AD applies to Piaggio Aero Industries S.p.A. Model P–180 airplanes, all serial numbers, certificated in any category.

#### (d) Subject

Joint Aircraft System Component (JASC)/ Air Transport Association (ATA) of America Code 32; Landing Gear.

Issued in Kansas City, Missouri, on October 9, 2012.

## Earl Lawrence

Manager, Small Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 2012–25254 Filed 10–16–12; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

### DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### **Federal Aviation Administration**

## 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. FAA–2012–0801; Directorate Identifier 2012–NM–106–AD; Amendment 39–17212; AD 2012–20–06]

### RIN 2120-AA64

# Airworthiness Directives; The Boeing Company Airplanes

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT. **ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** We are adopting a new airworthiness directive (AD) for certain The Boeing Company Model 737-200 and -200C series airplanes. This AD was prompted by a report of elevator vibration and bearing swage failures. This AD requires, for certain airplanes, repetitive inspections for any discrepancies (such as a gap or a loose spacer) of the aft attach lugs for the elevator tab control mechanism, and replacement if necessary; and, for other airplanes, contacting the FAA for inspection or repair instructions and doing the work specified in those instructions. We are issuing this AD to detect and correct discrepancies in the

aft attach lugs for the elevator tab control mechanism, which could result in elevator and tab vibration. Consequent structural failure of the elevator or horizontal stabilizer could result in loss of structural integrity and loss of airplane control.

**DATES:** This AD is effective November 21, 2012.

The Director of the Federal Register approved the incorporation by reference of a certain publication listed in the AD as of November 21, 2012.

ADDRESSES: For service information identified in this AD, contact Boeing Commercial Airplanes, Attention: Data & Services Management, P.O. Box 3707, MC 2H–65, Seattle, WA 98124–2207; telephone 206–544–5000, extension 1; fax 206–766–5680; Internet *https:// www.myboeingfleet.com.* You may review copies of the referenced service information at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate; 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Renton, WA. For information on the availability of this material at the FAA, call 425–227–1221.

### **Examining the AD Docket**

You may examine the AD docket on the Internet at *http://* www.regulations.gov; or in person at the Docket Management Facility between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The AD docket contains this AD, the regulatory evaluation, any comments received, and other information. The address for the Docket Office (phone: 800-647-5527) is Document Management Facility, U.S. Department of Transportation, Docket Operations, M–30, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12–140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC 20590.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Kelly McGuckin, Aerospace Engineer, Systems and Equipment Branch, ANM– 130S, FAA, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office, 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Renton, WA 98057–3356; phone: (425) 917– 6490; fax: (425) 917–6590; email: *Kelly.McGuckin@faa.gov.* 

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

## Discussion

We issued a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) to amend 14 CFR part 39 to include an AD that would apply to the specified products. That NPRM published in the Federal Register on August 1, 2012 (77 FR 45513). That NPRM proposed to require, for certain airplanes, repetitive inspections for any discrepancies (such as a gap or a loose spacer) of the aft attach lugs for the elevator tab control mechanism, and replacement if necessary; and, for other airplanes, contacting the FAA for inspection or repair instructions and doing the work specified in those instructions.

## Comments

We gave the public the opportunity to participate in developing this AD. We have considered the comment received. Boeing supports the NPRM (77 FR 45513, August 1, 2012).

# Conclusion

We reviewed the relevant data, considered the comments received, and determined that air safety and the public interest require adopting the AD as proposed except for minor editorial changes. We have determined that these minor changes:

• Are consistent with the intent that was proposed in the NPRM (77 FR 45513, August 1, 2012) for correcting the unsafe condition; and

• Do not add any additional burden upon the public than was already proposed in the NPRM (77 FR 45513, August 1, 2012).

## **Costs of Compliance**

We estimate that this AD affects 200 airplanes of U.S. registry.

We estimate the following costs to comply with this AD:

### ESTIMATED COSTS

Action	Labor cost	Parts cost	Cost per product	Cost on U.S. operators
Inspection for Group 2 air- planes.	7 work-hours × \$85 per hour = \$595 per inspection cycle.	\$0	\$595 per inspection cycle	\$119,000 per inspection cycle.

For Group 1 airplanes, we do not have definitive data that would enable us to provide cost estimates for the action specified in this AD. We estimate the following costs to do any necessary replacements that would be required based on the results of the inspection. We have no way of determining the number of aircraft that might need these replacements: