

Table 1, below, provides a summary of the annual 2002 emissions of PM_{2.5},

PM₁₀, SO₂, NO_x, VOCs, and NH₃ for the Area submittal.

TABLE 1—2002 ANNUAL EMISSIONS
[Tons per year]

| Pittsburgh-Beaver Valley Area 2002 | PM _{2.5} | PM ₁₀ | SO ₂ | NO _x | VOC | NH ₃ |
|------------------------------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------|-----------------|
| Stationary Point Sources | 4868 | 11149 | 463501 | 110618 | 5157 | 462 |
| Area Sources | 7916 | 41206 | 9905 | 8622 | 36683 | 2948 |
| Highway Vehicle Sources | 824 | 1164 | 1770 | 53268 | 25638 | 1884 |
| Non-Road Sources | 1297 | 1359 | 1694 | 25975 | 13421 | 8 |
| Totals | 14904 | 54879 | 476871 | 198483 | 80898 | 5303 |

The CAA section 172(c)(3) emissions inventory is developed by the incorporation of data from multiple sources. States were required to develop and submit to EPA a triennial emissions inventory according to the Consolidated Emissions Reporting Rule (CERR) for all source categories (i.e., point, area, nonroad mobile and on-road mobile). The review and evaluation of the methods used for the emissions inventory submitted by Pennsylvania are found in the Technical Support Document dated August 12, 2010 available online at www.regulations.gov, Docket No. EPA-R03-OAR-2010-0601. EPA finds that the process used to develop this emissions inventory for the Area is adequate to meet the requirements of CAA section 172(c)(3), the implementing regulations, and EPA guidance for emission inventories.

III. Proposed Action

EPA is proposing to approve the 2002 base year emissions inventory portion of the SIP revision submitted by the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania on November 10, 2009 for the Pittsburgh-Beaver Valley Area. We have made the determination that this action is consistent with section 110 of the CAA. EPA is soliciting public comments on the issues discussed in this document. These comments will be considered before taking final action.

IV. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

Under the CAA, the Administrator is required to approve a SIP submission that complies with the provisions of the CAA and applicable Federal regulations. 42 U.S.C. 7410(k); 40 CFR 52.02(a). Thus, in reviewing SIP submissions, EPA's role is to approve state choices, provided that they meet the criteria of the CAA. Accordingly, this action merely proposes to approve state law as meeting Federal requirements and does not impose additional requirements beyond those imposed by state law. For that reason, this proposed action:

- Is not a "significant regulatory action" subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget under Executive Order 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993);

- Does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*);

- Is certified as not having a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*);

- Does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-4);

- Does not have Federalism implications as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999);

- Is not an economically significant regulatory action based on health or safety risks subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997);

- Is not a significant regulatory action subject to Executive Order 13211 (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001);

- Is not subject to requirements of Section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) because application of those requirements would be inconsistent with the CAA; and

- Does not provide EPA with the discretionary authority to address, as appropriate, disproportionate human health or environmental effects, using practicable and legally permissible methods, under Executive Order 12898 (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994).

In addition, this proposed rule, pertaining to the PM_{2.5} 2002 base year emissions inventory portion of the Pennsylvania SIP for the Pittsburgh-Beaver Valley Area, does not have tribal implications as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000), because the SIP is not approved to apply in Indian country located in the state, and EPA notes that it will not

impose substantial direct costs on tribal governments or preempt tribal law.

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Nitrogen dioxide, Particulate matter, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Sulfur oxides, Volatile organic compounds.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

Dated: September 18, 2012.

W.C. Early,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region III.

[FR Doc. 2012-24380 Filed 10-2-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 63

[EPA-HQ-OAR-2008-0708, FRL-9736-7]

RIN 2060-AQ58

National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for Reciprocating Internal Combustion Engines; New Source Performance Standards for Stationary Internal Combustion Engines

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule; reopening of comment period.

SUMMARY: The EPA is reopening the public comment period for the proposed amendments to the National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for Stationary Reciprocating Internal Combustion Engines to solicit comment on specific issues raised during the initial public comment period regarding existing engines on offshore vessels.

DATES: *Comments.* Comments must be received on or before November 2, 2012.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-OAR-2008-0708, by one of the following methods:

• *www.regulations.gov*: Follow the on-line instructions for submitting comments.

• *Email*: a-and-r-docket@epa.gov.

• *Fax*: (202) 566-1741.

• *Mail*: Air and Radiation Docket and Information Center, Environmental Protection Agency, Mailcode: 2822T, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave. NW., Washington, DC 20460. Please include a total of two copies. The EPA requests a separate copy also be sent to the contact person identified below (see **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**).

• *Hand Delivery*: Air and Radiation Docket and Information Center, U.S. EPA, Room B102, 1301 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC. Such deliveries are only accepted during the Docket's normal hours of operation, and special arrangements should be made for deliveries of boxed information.

Instructions: Direct your comments to Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-OAR-2008-0708. The EPA's policy is that all comments received will be included in the public docket without change and may be made available online at *www.regulations.gov*, including any personal information provided, unless the comment includes information claimed to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Do not submit information that you consider to be CBI or otherwise protected through *www.regulations.gov* or email. The *www.regulations.gov* Web site is an "anonymous access" system, which means the EPA will not know your identity or contact information unless you provide it in the body of your comment. If you send an email comment directly to the EPA without going through *www.regulations.gov*, your email address will be automatically captured and included as part of the comment that is placed in the public docket and made available on the Internet. If you submit an electronic comment, the EPA recommends that you include your name and other contact information in the body of your comment and with any disk or CD-ROM you submit. If the EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties and cannot contact you for clarification, the EPA may not be able to consider your comment. Electronic files should avoid the use of special characters, any form of encryption and be free of any defects or viruses.

Docket: All documents in the docket are listed in the *www.regulations.gov* index. The EPA also relies on documents in Docket ID Nos. EPA-HQ-OAR-2002-0059, EPA-HQ-OAR-2005-0029, EPA-HQ-OAR-2005-0030 and EPA-HQ-OAR-2010-0295, and

incorporated those dockets into the record for this action. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, e.g., CBI or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, will be publicly available only in hard copy. Publicly available docket materials are available either electronically in *www.regulations.gov* or in hard copy at the Air and Radiation Docket, EPA/DC, EPA West, Room 3334, 1301 Constitution Ave. NW., Washington, DC. The Public Reading Room is open from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The telephone number for the Public Reading Room is (202) 566-1744, and the telephone number for the Air Docket is (202) 566-1742.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Melanie King, Energy Strategies Group, Sector Policies and Programs Division (D243-01), Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27711; telephone number (919) 541-2469; facsimile number (919) 541-5450; email address king.melanie@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On June 7, 2012, the EPA published in the **Federal Register** the proposed rule, "National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for Reciprocating Internal Combustion Engines; New Source Performance Standards for Stationary Internal Combustion Engines" (77 FR 33812). The June 7, 2012, action proposed to make specific amendments to the national emission standards for hazardous air pollutants (NESHAP) for stationary reciprocating internal combustion engines (RICE), as well as other regulations. The EPA received comments during the public comment period recommending that the RICE NESHAP be amended such that for any existing compression ignition (CI) RICE on offshore drilling vessels on the Outer Continental Shelf (OCS) that become subject to the RICE NESHAP as a result of the operation of the OCS regulations (40 CFR part 55), such engines may meet the NESHAP through management practices rather than numeric emission limits.¹ This amendment was not

¹ See document numbers EPA-HQ-OAR-2008-0708-0965 (comment from BHP Billiton Petroleum), EPA-HQ-OAR-2008-0708-1004 at p. 3 (comment from Truck and Engine Manufacturers Association), EPA-HQ-OAR-2008-0708-1020 (comment from Anadarko Petroleum Corporation), EPA-HQ-OAR-2008-0708-1071 (comment from Offshore Operators Committee), EPA-HQ-OAR-2008-0708-1105 at p. 6 (comment from American Petroleum Institute), and EPA-HQ-OAR-2008-0708-1145 at p. 2 (comment from Alaska Oil and Gas Association).

contained or contemplated in the proposal that was published on June 7, 2012. However, the comments indicate several significant issues related to application of the NESHAP to regulation of existing marine vessel engines located in the OCS as a result of the OCS regulations; in particular, whether the numerical standards applicable to other CI engines located at area sources (marine vessels located in the OCS are generally located at area sources) are technologically feasible for existing marine engines located in the OCS. Some commenters note specific technological issues relevant to engines on marine vessels in the OCS. Commenters suggest that, to the extent marine vessel engines become subject to the NESHAP as a result of the OCS regulations, they should be subject to generally available control technology (GACT) requirements that they believe are more appropriate for these types of engines. Given the significance of these issues, the EPA believes it is appropriate to request comment on these issues in the context of this rulemaking.

The RICE NESHAP does not on its face apply to mobile sources, including marine vessels. However, OCS Air Regulations, codified at 40 CFR part 55, specify that vessels are OCS sources when they are (1) permanently or temporarily attached to the seabed and erected thereon and used for the purpose of exploring, developing or producing resources therefrom, within the meaning of section 4(a)(1) of the OCS Lands Act (43 U.S.C. § 1331, *et seq.*); or (2) physically attached to an OCS facility, in which case only the stationary sources aspects of the vessels will be regulated. 40 CFR 55.2. In addition, the OCS regulations provide that NESHAP requirements apply to a marine vessel that is an OCS source where the provisions are "rationally related to the attainment and maintenance of the Federal or State ambient air quality standards or the requirements of part C of title I of the Act." 40 CFR 55.13(e). As a result, the commenters have requested that any marine vessel that becomes subject to the requirements of the RICE NESHAP as a result of the operation of 40 CFR part 55, be subject to maintenance-based management practices similar to those proposed for remote spark ignition (SI) engines, rather than the numerical emission limits otherwise applicable. The commenters indicated that these management practices are more appropriate as GACT for existing stationary CI RICE on vessels operating on the OCS. The management practices proposed for remote SI engines and

currently required in the rule for smaller CI engines include changing the oil and filter, inspecting the air cleaner or spark plugs and inspecting all hoses and belts within specified intervals. Facilities have the option of using an oil analysis program to extend the oil change requirement. The EPA requests comment on the information provided in the public comments regarding compliance by existing stationary CI RICE on vessels operating on the OCS with the current RICE NESHAP emission limits, in particular, the comments related to technological feasibility.

How can I get copies of this document and other related information?

The EPA has established a docket for the proposed rule, "National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for Reciprocating Internal Combustion Engines; New Source Performance Standards for Stationary Internal Combustion Engines" under Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-OAR-2008-0708, available at www.regulations.gov.

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 63

Environmental Protection, Administrative practice and procedure, Air pollution control, Hazardous substances, Intergovernmental relations, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Dated: September 25, 2012.

Gina McCarthy,

Assistant Administrator.

[FR Doc. 2012-24379 Filed 10-2-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Part 64

[CG Docket No. 02-278; Report No. 2962]

Petition for Reconsideration of Action in Rulemaking Proceeding

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Petition for reconsideration.

SUMMARY: In this document, Petitions for Reconsideration (Petitions) have been filed in the Commission's Rulemaking proceeding by Kourtney Keough, President/CEO of Marketlink, Inc., on behalf of Marketlink, Inc., Michele A. Shuster, Esq. and Nicholas Whisler, Esq. for Mac Murray, Petersen & Shuster LLP, on behalf of Professional Association for Customer Engagement, and Anthony S. Mendoza, Esq. on behalf of SatCom Marketing, LLC.

DATES: Oppositions to the Petitions must be filed on or before October 18, 2012. Replies to an opposition must be filed on or before October 29, 2012.

DATES: Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street SW., Washington, DC 20554.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Karen F. Johnson, Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau, Consumer Policy Division, at (202) 418-7706 (voice), or email Karen.Johnson@fcc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a summary of Commission's document, Report No. 2962, released September 24, 2012. The full text of this document is available for viewing and copying in Room CY-B402, 445 12th Street SW., Washington, DC or may be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, Best Copy and Printing, Inc. (BCPI) (1-800-378-3160). The Commission will not send a copy of this *Notice* pursuant to the Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A), because this *Notice* does not have an impact on any rules of particular applicability.

Subject: Marketlink, Inc.'s Petition for Reconsideration and Amendment; Rules and Regulations Implementing the Telephone Consumer Protection Act of 1991, Professional Association for Customer Engagement's Petition for Reconsideration; and Rules and Regulations Implementing the Telephone Consumer Protection Act of 1991, Petition for Reconsideration of SatCom Marketing LLC, Report and Order, published at 77 FR 34233, June 11, 2012, in CG Docket No. 02-278 and published pursuant to 47 CFR 1.429(e) of the Commission's rules. *See also* 47 CFR 1.4(b)(1) of the Commission's rules.

Number of Petitions Filed: 3.

Federal Communications Commission.

Bulah P. Wheeler,

Associate Secretary, Office of the Secretary, Office of Managing Director.

[FR Doc. 2012-24406 Filed 10-2-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

48 CFR Part 53

[FAR Case 2011-018; Docket 2011-0018; Sequence 1]

RIN 9000-AM30

Federal Acquisition Regulation; Positive Law Codification of Title 41

Correction

In proposed rule document 2012-21874, appearing on pages 57950-57979 in the issue of Monday, September 18, 2012, make the following correction:

1. PART 53-FORMS will be printed in its entirety, beginning at § "53.301.25 Performance Board", on page 57979.