

Issued: September 5, 2012.

Lisa R. Barton,

Acting Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2012-22172 Filed 9-7-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-798]

Certain Light-Emitting Diodes and Products Containing Same; Commission Determination Not To Review an Initial Determination Terminating the Investigation in Its Entirety on the Basis of a Settlement Agreement

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review the presiding administrative law judge's ("ALJ") initial determination ("ID") (Order No. 38) granting the joint motion to terminate the above-captioned investigation in its entirety on the basis of a settlement agreement. In view of that determination, the Commission finds that review of another ID (Order No. 36), which granted leave to amend the complaint and notice of investigation, is moot.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Sidney A. Rosenzweig, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 708-2532. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S.

International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on August 18, 2011, based on a complaint filed by Samsung LED Co., Ltd. of Suwon City, Korea, and Samsung LED America, Inc. of Atlanta, Georgia

(collectively, "SLED"). 76 FR 51396-97 (Aug. 18, 2011). The complaint alleged violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain light-emitting diodes and products containing same by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 7,282,741; 7,893,443; 7,838,315; 7,959,312; 7,964,881; 6,551,848; 7,268,372; and 7,771,081. The Commission's notice of investigation named as respondents OSRAM GmbH of Munich, Germany; OSRAM Opto Semiconductors GmbH of Regensburg, Germany; OSRAM Opto Semiconductors Inc. of Sunnyvale, California; and OSRAM Sylvania Inc. of Danvers, Massachusetts (collectively, "OSRAM"). On December 7, 2011, the Commission determined not to review an ID (Order No. 15) granting SLED's motion to amend the Notice of Investigation to change the name of respondent OSRAM GmbH to OSRAM AG. Notice (Dec. 7, 2011).

On July 26, 2012, SLED filed a motion to amend the Complaint and Notice of Investigation to substitute Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. of Suwon City, Korea ("Samsung Electronics"), for the SLED complainants, as a result of corporate reorganization. On July 30, 2012, OSRAM filed an opposition, and on August 7, 2012, the ALJ issued an ID granting the motion as an ID. Order No. 36.

On August 9, 2012, SLED and OSRAM filed a joint motion to terminate the investigation in its entirety based on a settlement agreement between OSRAM and Samsung Electronics. On August 10, 2012, the ALJ granted the motion as an ID. Order No. 38.

No petitions for review of either ID were filed. The Commission has determined not to review Order No. 38, and the investigation is thereby terminated. As a result, review of Order No. 36 is moot.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in sections 210.21 and 210.42 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.21, 210.42).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: September 5, 2012.

Lisa R. Barton,

Acting Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2012-22171 Filed 9-7-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act

Notice is hereby given that on September 4, 2012, a proposed Consent Decree in *United States v. State of Utah, School and Institutional Trust Lands Administration*, Civil Action No. 2:12-CV-00841-DBP, was lodged with the United States District Court for the District of Utah.

The Consent Decree resolves claims by the United States against the State of Utah, School and Institutional Trust Lands Administration ("SITLA") pursuant to Section 107(a) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act ("CERCLA"), 42 U.S.C. 9607(a), for response costs incurred in conducting a removal action at the Cook Slurry Site ("Site") in Saratoga Springs, Utah (the "Removal Action"). Cook Associates Inc., doing business as Cook Slurry Company ("Cook"), operated an explosives manufacturing facility at the Site on school trust lands owned by the State of Utah which predecessor agencies to SITLA had leased to Cook. Under the terms of the settlement SITLA will reimburse the United States \$316,500 of the costs of completing the Removal Action.

The Department of Justice will receive, for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication, comments relating to the proposed settlement agreement. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General for the Environment and Natural Resources Division, and either emailed to pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov or mailed to P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044-7611, and should refer to *United States v. State of Utah, School and Institutional Trust Lands Administration*, Civil Action No. 2:12-CV-00841-DBP, and D.J. Ref. No. 90-11-3-10515.

During the public comment period, the settlement agreement may be examined on the following Department of Justice Web site, http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/Consent_Decrees.html. A copy of the settlement agreement may also be obtained by mail from the Consent Decree Library, P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044-7611 or by faxing or emailing a request to "Consent Decree Copy" (EESCDCopy.enrd@usdoj.gov), fax no. (202) 514-0097, phone confirmation number (202) 514-5271. If requesting a

copy from the Consent Decree Library by mail, please enclose a check in the amount of \$3.75 (\$.25 per page) payable to the U.S. Treasury or, if by email or fax, forward a check in that amount to the Consent Decree Library at the address given above.

Robert Brook,

Assistant Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 2012-22121 Filed 9-7-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-15-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Drug Enforcement Administration

[Docket No. DEA-363]

Controlled Substances: Final Adjusted Aggregate Production Quotas for 2012

AGENCY: Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA), Department of Justice.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This notice establishes final adjusted 2012 aggregate production quotas for controlled substances in Schedules I and II of the Controlled Substances Act (CSA).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John W. Partridge, Chief, Liaison and Policy Section, Drug Enforcement Administration, 8701 Morrisette Drive, Springfield, VA 22152, Telephone: (202) 307-4654.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Section 306(a) of the CSA (21 U.S.C. 826) requires that the Attorney General establish aggregate production quotas for each basic class of controlled substance listed in Schedules I and II. This responsibility has been delegated to the Administrator of the DEA by 28 CFR 0.100. In accordance with 21 U.S.C. 826 and 21 CFR 1303.11, DEA published in the **Federal Register** on December 15, 2011, notice of the

established 2012 aggregate production quotas for controlled substances in Schedules I and II (76 FR 78044). That notice stated that the Administrator would adjust, as needed, the established aggregate production quotas in 2012 as provided for in 21 CFR 1303.13. The 2012 proposed adjusted aggregate production quotas were subsequently published in the **Federal Register** on July 5, 2012 (77 FR 39737) in consideration of the outlined criteria. All interested persons were invited to comment on or object to the proposed adjusted aggregate production quotas on or before August 6, 2012.

Analysis for Final Adjusted 2012 Aggregate Production Quotas

Consideration has been given to the criteria outlined in the July 5, 2012, notice of proposed adjusted aggregate production quotas in accordance with 21 CFR 1303.13. In addition, nine companies, eight DEA registered manufacturers and one non-registrant, submitted timely comments regarding a total of 25 Schedule I and II controlled substances. Comments received proposed that the aggregate production quotas for 3,4-Methylenedioxy-N-Methylcathinone (methylone), alfentanil, amphetamine (for conversion), amphetamine (for sale), codeine (for conversion), codeine (for sale), desomorphine, dihydromorphine, hydrocodone (for sale), hydromorphone, levomethorphan, lisdexamfetamine, methadone intermediate, methylphenidate, morphine (for conversion), morphine (for sale), noroxymorphone (for conversion), noroxymorphone (for sale), oripavine, oxycodone (for conversion), oxycodone (for sale), oxymorphone (for conversion), oxymorphone (for sale), sufentanil, and tapentadol were insufficient to provide for the estimated medical, scientific, research, and industrial needs of the United States, for export requirements, and for the establishment and maintenance of reserve stocks.

DEA has taken into consideration the above comments along with the relevant 2011 year-end inventories, initial 2012 manufacturing quotas, 2012 export requirements, actual and projected 2012 sales, research and product development requirements, and additional applications received. Based on all of the above, the Administrator has determined that the proposed adjusted 2012 aggregate production quotas for 3,4-Methylenedioxypropionylvalerone (MDPV), 3,4-Methylenedioxy-N-Methylcathinone (methylone), 4-Methyl-N-Methylcathinone (mephedrone), alfentanil, amphetamine (for conversion), desomorphine, diethyltryptamine, dihydromorphine, gamma hydroxybutyric acid, hydrocodone (for sale), hydromorphone, levomethorphan, methadone, methadone intermediate, methylphenidate, morphine (for sale), oxycodone (for conversion), oxycodone (for sale), and sufentanil required additional consideration and hereby further adjusts the 2012 aggregate production quotas for those substances. Regarding amphetamine (for sale), codeine (for conversion), codeine (for sale), morphine (for conversion), noroxymorphone (for conversion), noroxymorphone (for sale), oripavine, oxymorphone (for conversion), oxymorphone (for sale), and tapentadol, the Administrator hereby determines that the proposed adjusted 2012 aggregate production quotas for these substances as published on July 5, 2012, at 77 FR 39737 are sufficient to meet the current 2012 estimated medical, scientific, research, and industrial needs of the United States and to provide for adequate inventories. Pursuant to the above, the Administrator hereby establishes the 2012 final aggregate production quotas for Schedule I and II controlled substances, expressed in grams of anhydrous acid or base, as follows:

	Final adjusted 2012 quotas
Basic Class—Schedule I	
1-[1-(2-Thienyl)cyclohexyl]piperidine	5 g
1-[2-(4-Morpholinyl)ethyl]-3-(1-naphthoyl)indole (JWH-200)	45 g
1-Butyl-3-(1-naphthoyl)indole (JWH-073)	45 g
1-Methyl-4-phenyl-4-propionoxypiperidine	2 g
1-Pentyl-3-(1-naphthoyl)indole (JWH-018)	45 g
2,5-Dimethoxyamphetamine	12 g
2,5-Dimethoxy-4-ethylamphetamine (DOET)	12 g
2,5-Dimethoxy-4-n-propylthiophenethylamine	12 g
3-Methylfentanyl	2 g
3-Methylthiofentanyl	2 g
3,4-Methylenedioxyamphetamine (MDA)	30 g