

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit comments, identified by docket number and title, by any of the following methods:

- *Federal eRulemaking Portal:* <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.
- *Mail:* Federal Docket Management System Office, 4800 Mark Center Drive, Suite 02G09, Alexandria VA 22350–3100.

*Instructions:* All submissions received must include the agency name, docket number and title for this **Federal Register** document. The general policy for comments and other submissions from members of the public is to make these submissions available for public viewing on the Internet at <http://www.regulations.gov> as they are received without change, including any personal identifiers or contact information.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** To request more information on this proposed information collection or to obtain a copy of the proposal and associated collection instruments, please write to Naval Health Research Center, DoD Center for Deployment Health Research, Department 164, ATTN: Nancy Crum-Cianflone, MD, MPH, 140 Sylvester Rd., San Diego, CA, 92106–3521, or call (619) 553–7335.

*Title; Associated Form; and OMB Number:* Prospective Department of Defense Studies of US Military Forces: The Millennium Cohort Study—OMB #0720–0029

*Needs and Uses:* The Millennium Cohort Study responds to recent recommendations by Congress and by the Institute of Medicine to perform investigations that systematically collect population-based demographic and health data so as to track and evaluate the health of military personnel throughout the course of their careers and after leaving military service. The Millennium Cohort Study will also evaluate family impact by adding a spouse assessment component to the Cohort, called the Millennium Cohort Family Study.

*Affected Public:* Civilians, formerly Active Duty and activated Reservists in the US Military, who enrolled and participated in Panels 1, 2, 3, and 4 of the Millennium Cohort Study, and civilians who elect to participate in the Millennium Cohort Family Study.

#### Millennium Cohort Study

*Annual Burden Hours:* 35,060.  
*Number of Respondents:* 46,747.  
*Responses per Respondent:* 1.  
*Average Burden Per Response:* 45 minutes.

*Frequency:* every 3 years.

#### Millennium Cohort Family Study

*Annual Burden Hours:* 2,682.  
*Number of Respondents:* 3,576.  
*Responses Per Respondent:* 1.  
*Average Burden Per Response:* 45 minutes.

*Frequency:* every 3 years.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Summary of Information Collection

Persons eligible to respond to this survey are those civilians now separated from military service who initially enrolled, gave consent and participated in the Millennium Cohort Study while on active duty in the Army, Navy, Air Force, Marine Corps or US Coast Guard during the first, second, third, or fourth panel enrollment periods in 2001–2003, 2004–2006, 2007–2008, or 2011–2012 respectively, as well as civilians that choose to participate in the Millennium Cohort Family Study.

Dated: August 31, 2012.

**Aaron Siegel,**

*Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.*

[FR Doc. 2012–21971 Filed 9–5–12; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 5001–06–P**

#### DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

##### Department of the Army, Corps of Engineers

##### Intent to Prepare a Draft Environmental Impact Statement/Environmental Impact Report for the Proposed Ballona Wetlands Restoration Project at Ballona Creek Within the City and County of Los Angeles, California

**AGENCY:** U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Department of the Army, DoD.

**ACTION:** Notice of Intent—Extension of Comment Period for Scoping.

**SUMMARY:** The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Corps) and the California Department of Fish and Game (CDFG) intend to jointly prepare a Draft Environmental Impact Statement/Environmental Impact Report (DEIS/EIR) for the proposed Ballona Wetlands Restoration Project. The proposed project is intended to return the daily ebb and flow of tidal waters, maintain freshwater circulation, and augment the physical and biological functions and services in the project area. Restoring the wetland functions and services would allow native wetland vegetation to be reestablished, providing important habitat for a variety of wildlife species. As a restored site, the Ballona Wetlands would play an important role to provide seasonal habitat for migratory birds. A restored, optimally functioning wetland

would also benefit the adjacent marine environment and enhance the quality of tidal waters. The purpose of this notice is to inform the public the comment period for scoping has been extended to October 23, 2012.

**DATES:** Comment period for scoping has been extended from September 10 to October 23, 2012.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Dr. Daniel P. Swenson at (213) 452–3414 ([daniel.p.swenson@usace.army.mil](mailto:daniel.p.swenson@usace.army.mil)), U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Los Angeles District, P.O. Box 532711, Los Angeles, CA 90053–2325.

**Mark D. Cohen,**

*Deputy Chief, Regulatory Division, Corps of Engineers.*

[FR Doc. 2012–21945 Filed 9–5–12; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3720–58–P**

#### DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

##### Notice Inviting Publishers To Submit Tests for a Determination of Suitability for Use in the National Reporting System for Adult Education

**AGENCY:** Office of Vocational and Adult Education, Department of Education.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**DATES:** Deadline for transmittal of applications: October 1, 2012.

**SUMMARY:** The Secretary of Education (1) invites publishers to submit tests for review and approval for use in the National Reporting System for Adult Education (NRS); and (2) announces the date by which publishers must submit these tests.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** John LeMaster, U.S. Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue SW., room 11159, Potomac Center Plaza, Washington, DC 20202–7240. Telephone: (202) 245–6218 or by email: [John.LeMaster@ed.gov](mailto:John.LeMaster@ed.gov).

If you use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) or a text telephone (TTY), call the Federal Relay Service (FRS), toll free, at 1–800–877–8339.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Department's regulations for Measuring Educational Gain in the National Reporting System for Adult Education, 34 CFR part 462 (NRS regulations), include the procedures for determining the suitability of tests for use in the NRS.

*Criteria the Secretary uses:* In order for the Secretary to consider a test suitable for use in the NRS, the test must meet the criteria and requirements established in § 462.13.

**Submission Requirements:**

(a) In preparing your application, you must comply with the requirements in § 462.11.

(b) In accordance with § 462.10, the deadline for transmittal of applications is October 1.

(c) Whether you submit your application by mail (through the U.S. Postal Service or a commercial carrier) or deliver your application by hand or by courier service, you must mail or deliver three copies of your application, on or before the deadline date, to the following address: NRS Assessment Review, c/o American Institutes for Research, 1000 Thomas Jefferson Street NW., Washington, DC 20007.

(d) If you submit your application by mail or commercial carrier, you must show proof of mailing consisting of one of the following:

(1) A legibly dated U.S. Postal Service postmark.

(2) A legible mail receipt with the date of mailing stamped by the U.S. Postal Service.

(3) A dated shipping label, invoice, or receipt from a commercial carrier.

(4) Any other proof of mailing acceptable to the Secretary of the Department of Education.

(e) If you mail your application through the U.S. Postal Service, we do not accept either of the following as proof of mailing:

(1) A private metered postmark.

(2) A mail receipt that is not dated by the U.S. Postal Service.

(f) If your application is postmarked after the application deadline date, we will not consider your

**Note:** The U.S. Postal Service does not uniformly provide a dated postmark. Before relying on this method, you should check with your local post office.

(g) If you submit your application by hand delivery, you (or a courier service) must deliver three copies of the application by hand, on or before 4:30:00 p.m., Washington, DC time, on the application deadline date.

**Accessible Format:** Individuals with disabilities can obtain this document in an accessible format (e.g., braille, large print, audiotope, or compact disc) on request to the contact person listed in this notice.

**Electronic Access to This Document:** The official version of this document is the document published in the **Federal Register**. Free Internet access to the official edition of the **Federal Register** and the Code of Federal Regulations is available via the Federal Digital System at: [www.gpo.gov/fdsys](http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys). At this site you can view this document, as well as all other documents of this Department

published in the **Federal Register**, in text or Adobe Portable Document Format (PDF). To use PDF you must have Adobe Acrobat Reader, which is available free at the site.

You may also access documents of the Department published in the **Federal Register** by using the article search feature at: [www.federalregister.gov](http://www.federalregister.gov). Specifically, through the advanced search feature at this site, you can limit your search to documents published by the Department.

**Authority:** 20 U.S.C. 9212

Dated: August 30, 2012.

**Brenda Dann-Messier,**

*Assistant Secretary for Vocational and Adult Education.*

[FR Doc. 2012-21866 Filed 9-5-12; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4000-01-P**

---

## ELECTION ASSISTANCE COMMISSION

### Request for Substantive Comments on the EAC's Proposed Requirements for Version 1.1 of the Voluntary Voting System Guidelines (VVSG)

**AGENCY:** United States Election Assistance Commission.

**ACTION:** Request for public comment on proposed requirements for Version 1.1 of the Voluntary Voting System Guidelines (VVSG).

**SUMMARY:** The Help America Vote Act of 2002 (HAVA) (Pub. L. 107-252; 42 U.S.C. 15301 *et seq.* (October 29, 2002)) established the U.S. Election Assistance Commission (EAC). Section 202 of HAVA directs the EAC to adopt voluntary voting system guidelines (VVSG) and to provide for the testing, certification, decertification, and recertification of voting system hardware and software. The VVSG provides specifications and standards against which voting systems can be tested to determine if they provide basic functionality, accessibility, and security capabilities. As required by Section 222 (d) of HAVA, the EAC is publishing a set of proposed requirements (Voluntary Voting System Guidelines, 1.1) for the testing of voting systems for a 90 day public comment period.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Background

The EAC made the decision to update and revise the 2005 VVSG (also known as VVSG 1.0) as a result of feedback received through its Voting System Testing and Certification Program. As the EAC has worked to test and certify voting systems it observed and received feedback from various sources that the

standards being tested to were at times ambiguous and difficult to apply in testing. This ambiguity led to challenges in making testing consistent both within a test laboratory and across test campaigns at different laboratories. The EAC also received feedback from the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) that the creation of formalized test suites for the 2005 VVSG would be aided by a clarification of certain portions of document. This information, combined with the EAC's issuance of thirty five interpretations of the VVSG to clarify various standards, led the EAC to propose improvements to the 2005 VVSG. In addition, the EAC determined to implement a number of recommendations submitted by the EAC's Technical Guidelines Development Committee (TGDC).

The TGDC held numerous public meetings and subcommittee conference calls to create a set of draft guidelines for recommendation to the EAC (all TGDC meeting materials can be found at <http://www.nist.gov/itl/vote/>). On August 17, 2007, the TGDC voted to complete final edits of their recommendations and submitted them to the Executive Director of the EAC. The EAC received the draft guidelines from the TGDC on August 31, 2007.

After receipt of the TGDC's recommendations for the next iteration (VVSG 2.0) of the VVSG the EAC opened a one hundred and eighty day public comment period. During this comment period, which ran from September 2007 to May 2008, the EAC received comments praising many of the proposed standards as being more testable and less ambiguous than previous versions of the standard. This public comment period produced over 3000 comments on the recommendations. In addition, during the comment period the EAC conducted a series of seven roundtable discussions regarding the TGDC's recommendations. After the close of the public comment period for the TGDC's VVSG 2.0 recommendations and considering a variety of relevant factors, the EAC made the decision to first update and revise the 2005 VVSG with portions of the TGDC's recommendations. This serves as the basis for the creation of VVSG 1.1.

As noted during the previous public comment period for version VVSG 1.1, by revising the guidelines now, the EAC expects to improve the test process over the short term for existing voting systems while allowing additional time to develop more complex revisions for the requirements in VVSG 2.0 written for the next generation of voting systems. Topics currently undergoing