

submitted to OMB for review the following proposal for the collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). The NRC hereby informs potential respondents that an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and that a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The NRC published a **Federal Register** notice with a 60-day comment period on this information collection on April 30, 2012 (77 FR 25505).

1. *Type of submission, new, revision, or extension:* Extension.

2. *The title of the information collection:* Request for Information Pursuant to 10 CFR 50.54(f) Regarding Recommendations 2.1, 2.3, and 9.3, of the Near-Term Task Force Review of insights from the Fukushima Dai-Ichi Event.

3. *Current OMB approval number:* 3150-0211.

4. *The form number if applicable:* N/A.

5. *How often the collection is required:* Once.

6. *Who will be required or asked to report:* 104 power reactor licensees, 2 reactors in the process of resuming licensing, and 2 Combined License applicants (2 units each).

7. *An estimate of the number of annual responses:* 525.3.

8. *The estimated number of annual respondents:* 110.

9. *An estimate of the total number of hours needed annually to complete the requirement or request:* 457,502 hours.

10. *Abstract:* Following events at the Fukushima Dai-Ichi nuclear power plant resulting from the March 11, 2011, earthquake and subsequent tsunami, and in response to requirements contained in Section 402 of the Consolidated Appropriations Act (Pub. L. 112-074), the NRC sought an expedited clearance from OMB to allow the collection of information from power reactor licensees pursuant to 10 CFR 50.54(f). The OMB approved this clearance, which will expire on September 30, 2012. The NRC has resubmitted this collection to the OMB under the normal clearance processes. The information requested includes seismic and flooding hazard reevaluations to determine if further regulatory action is necessary, walkdowns to confirm compliance with the current licensing basis and provide input to the hazard reevaluations, and analysis of the Emergency Preparedness capability with respect to staffing and communication ability during a prolonged multiunit event.

The public may examine and have copied for a fee publicly available documents, including the final supporting statement, at the NRC's Public Document Room, Room O1-F21, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland 20852. The OMB clearance requests are available at the NRC's Web site: <http://www.nrc.gov/public-involve/doc-comment/omb/>. The document will be available on the NRC home page site for 60 days after the signature date of this notice.

Comments and questions should be directed to the OMB reviewer listed below by September 17, 2012.

Comments received after this date will be considered if it is practical to do so, but assurance of consideration cannot be given to comments received after this date. Chad Whiteman, Desk Officer, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs (3150-0211), NEOB-10202, Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503.

Comments can also be emailed to Chad_S_Whiteman@omb.eop.gov or submitted by telephone at 202-395-4718.

The NRC Clearance Officer is Tremaine Donnell, 301-415-6258.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 9th day of August, 2012.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission,
Tremaine Donnell,
NRC Clearance Officer, Office of Information Services.

[FR Doc. 2012-20184 Filed 8-16-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. NRC-2012-0182]

Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice of pending NRC action to submit an information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) and solicitation of public comment.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) invites public comment about our intention to request the OMB's approval for renewal of an existing information collection that is summarized below. We are required to publish this notice in the **Federal Register** under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

Information pertaining to the requirement to be submitted:

1. *The title of the information collection:* Nuclear Material Events Database (NMED) for the Collection of Event Report, Response, Analyses, and Follow-up Data on Events Involving the Use of Atomic Energy Act (AEA) Radioactive Byproduct Material.

2. *Current OMB approval number:* 3150-0178.

3. *How often the collection is required:* On occasion. Agreement States are requested to provide copies of licensee nuclear material event reports electronically or by hard copy to the NRC within 30 days of receipt from their licensee. In addition, Agreement States are requested to report events that may pose a significant health and safety hazard to the NRC Headquarters Operations Officer within 24 hours of notification by an Agreement State licensee.

4. *Who is required or asked to report:* Current Agreement States and any State receiving Agreement State status in the future.

5. *The number of annual respondents:* 37.

6. *The number of hours needed annually to complete the requirement or request:* 745.5 hours.

7. *Abstract:* NRC regulations require NRC licensees to report incidents and events involving the use, transportation and security of radioactive byproduct material, and source material, such as those involving radiation overexposures, leaking or contaminated sealed source(s), release of excessive contamination of radioactive material, lost or stolen radioactive material, equipment failures, abandoned well logging sources, and medical events. Agreement State licensees are also required to report these events to their individual Agreement State regulatory authorities under compatible Agreement State regulations. The NRC is requesting that the Agreement States provide information to NRC on the initial notification, response actions, and follow-up investigations on events involving the use (including suspected theft or terrorist activities) of nuclear materials regulated pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act. The event information should be provided in a uniform electronic format, for assessment and identification of any facilities/site specific or generic safety concerns that could have the potential to impact public health and safety. The identification and review of safety concerns may result in lessons learned, and may also identify generic issues for further study which could result in proposals for changes or revisions to technical or regulatory designs,

processes, standards, guidance, or requirements.

Submit, by October 16, 2012, comments that address the following questions:

1. Is the proposed collection of information necessary for the NRC to properly perform its functions? Does the information have practical utility?
2. Is the burden estimate accurate?
3. Is there a way to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected?
4. How can the burden of the information collection be minimized, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology?

The public may examine and have copied for a fee, publicly available documents, including the draft supporting statement, at the NRC's Public Document Room, Room O-1F21, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland 20852. The OMB clearance requests are available at the NRC's Web site: <http://www.nrc.gov/public-involve/doc-comment/omb/>. The document will be available on the NRC home page site for 60 days after the signature date of this notice.

Comments submitted in writing or in electronic form will be made available for public inspection. Because your comments will not be edited to remove any identifying or contact information, the NRC cautions you against including any information in your submission that you do not want to be publicly disclosed. Comments submitted should reference Docket No. NRC-2012-0182. You may submit your comments by any of the following methods: Electronic comments: Go to <http://www.regulations.gov> and search for Docket No. NRC-2012-0182. Mail comments to the NRC Clearance Officer, Tremaine Donnell (T-5 F53), U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001. Questions about the information collection requirements may be directed to the NRC Clearance Officer, Tremaine Donnell (T-5 F53), U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, by telephone at 301-415-6258, or by email to INFOCOLLECTS.Resource@NRC.GOV.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 9th day of August, 2012.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Tremaine Donnell,

NRC Clearance Officer, Office of Information Services.

[FR Doc. 2012-20185 Filed 8-16-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[NRC-2012-0194; IA-12-029]

Order Prohibiting Involvement in NRC-Licensed Activities; In the Matter of Mr. Joseph Quintanilla

I

Mr. Joseph Quintanilla is a radiographer who was formerly employed by Quality Inspection and Testing (QIT or Licensee), a radiography company whose corporate offices are located in New Iberia, Louisiana. QIT holds an Agreement State license issued by the State of Louisiana (L-11238-L01) and was operating under a general license issued pursuant to 10 CFR 150.20 at a temporary jobsite near Rock Springs, Wyoming, on October 27, 2010.

II

On October 27, 2010, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) conducted a safety and security inspection of the use of byproduct material for industrial radiographic operations conducted under QIT's general license issued pursuant to 10 CFR 150.20. When approaching QIT's radiography truck, the inspector observed the radiography camera outside the truck (unsecured) with both the radiographer (Mr. Quintanilla) and the assistant radiographer inside the truck; thus neither man was maintaining surveillance over the camera and the camera was not secured. When Mr. Quintanilla and the assistant radiographer emerged from the darkroom on the truck, about 2 minutes later, neither individual was wearing any personnel monitoring equipment (film badge, pocket dosimeter or alarm ratemeter). Mr. Quintanilla stated he forgot to wear his personnel monitoring equipment while conducting radiography but that his dosimetry was in the truck. The inspector observed Mr. Quintanilla retrieve all three of his required personnel monitoring devices. During a conference call with QIT managers in January 2011, QIT informed the NRC that Mr. Quintanilla told QIT that the camera was left unattended for only a few seconds after the wind blew the door to the darkroom closed (both Mr. Quintanilla and the assistant radiographer were inside the darkroom). This conflicted with the inspector's observations that both men were in the darkroom for about 2 minutes before emerging. As a result of this inspection, the NRC's Office of Investigation (OI), Region IV initiated an investigation (OI Report 04-2011-031) to determine the facts and circumstances surrounding the

inspection and whether Mr. Quintanilla provided inaccurate information to QIT.

In his OI interview, Mr. Quintanilla stated that the camera was unattended for about 5 minutes, and Mr. Quintanilla denied providing information to QIT that the camera was only unattended for a few seconds because the wind blew the door shut. Mr. Quintanilla stated he asked the assistant radiographer to leave the darkroom and to watch the radiography camera, but the assistant did not go and Mr. Quintanilla did not ensure that the assistant went. Mr. Quintanilla also admitted to knowingly conducting radiographic operations without wearing his film badge, but he claimed that he was wearing his pocket ion chamber and alarming ratemeter. By letter dated June 5, 2012 [Reference redacted, not publicly available] the NRC informed Mr. Quintanilla that the NRC was considering escalated enforcement action for apparent violations of the NRC's deliberate misconduct rule, 10 CFR 30.10. The June 5, 2012, letter identified apparent violations of the deliberate misconduct rule, specifically apparent violations of 10 CFR 30.10(a)(1) were identified. The 10 CFR 30.10(a)(1) apparent violation was related to Mr. Quintanilla's actions which resulted in QIT being in violation of 10 CFR 20.1802 associated with leaving the radiography camera, unattended and unsecured, and 10 CFR 34.47(a) for failing to wear the required dosimetry when conducting radiographic operations. The NRC's June 5th letter provided Mr. Quintanilla the opportunity to request a predecisional enforcement conference (PEC), or request alternative dispute resolution (ADR) with the NRC in an attempt to resolve issues associated with these apparent violations. During a June 13, 2012 conference call between Mr. Quintanilla and NRC staff, Mr. Quintanilla indicated that he would like to participate in a predecisional enforcement conference. A PEC was conducted on June 22, 2012.

During the PEC, Mr. Quintanilla indicated that he was aware the camera was outside of the dark room and did not contest that apparent violation. Mr. Quintanilla maintained his position that he was wearing his pocket dosimeter and his alarm ratemeter while conducting radiography, but he stated he left his film badge in Utah and was not wearing it during radiography. This conflicted with the inspector's observation that Mr. Quintanilla retrieved his film badge, pocket dosimeter, and alarm ratemeter from the truck when the inspector requested to see his dosimetry. Mr. Quintanilla maintained that he never talked with