

Negative Determinations for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In the following cases, the investigation revealed that the eligibility

criteria for worker adjustment assistance have not been met for the reasons specified.

The investigation revealed that the criteria under paragraphs(a)(2)(A)

(increased imports) and (a)(2)(B) (shift in production or services to a foreign country) of section 222 have not been met.

TA-W No.	Subject firm	Location	Impact date
81,386	W. Scott & Company, The Staffing Center	St. Joseph, MO	

Determinations Terminating Investigations of Petitions for Worker Adjustment Assistance

After notice of the petitions was published in the **Federal Register** and on the Department's Web site, as required by Section 221 of the Act (19

U.S.C. 2271), the Department initiated investigations of these petitions. The following determinations terminating investigations were issued in cases where these petitions were not filed in accordance with the requirements of 29 CFR 90.11. Every petition filed by workers must be signed by at least three individuals of the

petitioning worker group. Petitioners separated more than one year prior to the date of the petition cannot be covered under a certification of a petition under Section 223(b), and therefore, may not be part of a petitioning worker group. For one or more of these reasons, these petitions were deemed invalid.

TA-W No.	Subject firm	Location	Impact date
81,742	ConAgra Foods, Inc	Omaha, NE	

The following determinations terminating investigations were issued because the petitioning groups of

workers are covered by active certifications. Consequently, further investigation in these cases would serve

no purpose since the petitioning group of workers cannot be covered by more than one certification at a time.

TA-W No.	Subject firm	Location	Impact date
81,675	PPP Careers, Inc., Navistar Truck Development & Technology Center, Truck Division, etc.	Fort Wayne, IN	
81,750	Crawford and Company, ICT Production Control Branch	Tucker, GA	

I hereby certify that the aforementioned determinations were issued during the period of July 16, 2012 through July 20, 2012. These determinations are available on the Department's Web site *tradeact/taa/taa search form.cfm* under the searchable listing of determinations or by calling the Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance toll free at 888-365-6822.

Dated: July 25, 2012.

Elliott S. Kushner,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 2012-18834 Filed 8-1-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

Investigations Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

Petitions have been filed with the Secretary of Labor under Section 221(a) of the Trade Act of 1974 ("the Act") and are identified in the Appendix to this notice. Upon receipt of these petitions, the Director of the Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Employment and Training Administration, has instituted investigations pursuant to Section 221(a) of the Act.

The purpose of each of the investigations is to determine whether the workers are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Title II, Chapter 2, of the Act. The investigations will further relate, as appropriate, to the determination of the date on which total or partial separations began or threatened to begin and the subdivision of the firm involved.

The petitioners or any other persons showing a substantial interest in the subject matter of the investigations may request a public hearing, provided such request is filed in writing with the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, at the address shown below, not later than August 13, 2012.

Interested persons are invited to submit written comments regarding the subject matter of the investigations to the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, at the address shown below, not later than August 13, 2012.

The petitions filed in this case are available for inspection at the Office of the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Employment and Training Administration, U.S. Department of Labor, Room N-5428, 200 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20210.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 25th day of July 2012.

Michael W. Jaffe,

Certifying Officer, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

APPENDIX

[14 TAA petitions instituted between 7/16/12 and 7/20/12]

TA-W	Subject firm (petitioners)	Location	Date of institution	Date of petition
81803	Arthritis Foundation (State/One-Stop)	Pittsburgh, PA	07/16/12	07/13/12
81804	Earth Grains/Sara Lee/Bimbo Baking (Workers)	Knoxville, TN	07/16/12	07/13/12
81805	Texas/New Mexico Newspapers Partnership (TNMNP) (Workers).	El Paso, TX	07/16/12	06/30/12
81806	Gates Corporation (Company)	Jefferson, NC	07/17/12	07/16/12
81807	CoreLogic (Workers)	Westlake, TX	07/18/12	07/17/12
81808	Ferrara Candy Company, Inc. (Company)	Chattanooga, TN	07/18/12	07/17/12
81809	Sathers Trucking, Inc. (Company)	Chattanooga, TN	07/18/12	07/17/12
81810	ACE Group/ACE USA/ACE American Insurance Company (State/One-Stop).	Chatsworth, CA	07/18/12	07/17/12
81811	Esselte (Company)	Morristown, TN	07/18/12	07/17/12
81812	Hewlett Packard (Company)	Boise, ID	07/19/12	07/13/12
81813	Crimzon Rose International (Workers)	West Warwick, RI	07/19/12	07/18/12
81814	Abound Solar (Workers)	Ft. Collins, CO	07/19/12	07/18/12
81815	Hartford Financial Services Group, Inc. (State/One-Stop) ...	Hartford, CT	07/19/12	07/11/12
81816	Powertex (State/One-Stop)	Rouses Point, NY	07/20/12	07/19/12

[FR Doc. 2012-18835 Filed 8-1-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-81,145; TA-W-81,145A]

Sunoco, Inc., R&M, Refining Division, Marcus Hook, PA; Sunoco, Inc., 10 Industrial Hwy., MS4 Building G, Lester, PA; Notice of Negative Determination on Reconsideration

On April 30, 2012, the Department of Labor issued an Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration for the workers and former workers of Sunoco, Inc., R&M, Refining Division, Marcus Hook, Pennsylvania (TA-W-81,145), and Sunoco, Inc., Lester, Pennsylvania (TA-W-81,145A). The workers are engaged in employment related to the production of refined petroleum products. The Department's Notice was published in the **Federal Register** on May 17, 2012 (77 FR 29362).

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c), reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

(1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous;

(2) If it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered; or

(3) If in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a mis-interpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the decision.

The initial investigation resulted in a negative determination based on the findings that there was no increase in imports of refined petroleum products by Sunoco, Inc. or its customer, nor was there a shift in production to a foreign country or acquisition of production from a foreign country by the workers' firm. In addition, U.S. aggregate imports of like or directly competitive articles did not increase during the relevant period.

The request for reconsideration alleged that the worker separations at the subject facilities are related to increased imports of articles like or directly competitive with the refined petroleum products produced by the subject firm, and that, while the initial investigation revealed that U.S. aggregate imports of refined petroleum products decreased during the relevant period, the Department did not compare domestic production to U.S. imports of like or directly competitive articles.

Information obtained during the reconsideration investigation confirmed that there was no increase in imports by Sunoco, Inc., or its customer, nor was there a shift in production to a foreign country or acquisition of production from a foreign country by the workers' firm. In addition, additional research conducted during the reconsideration investigation revealed that U.S. aggregate imports of like or directly competitive articles did not increase relative to domestic production during the relevant period.

With respect to Section 222(a)(2)(A)(ii) of the Act, the investigation revealed no increased imports during the relevant period by the subject firm or its customers of articles like or directly competitive with those produced by the subject facilities,

and no increased aggregate U.S. imports of articles like or directly competitive with refined petroleum products.

With respect to Section 222(a)(2)(B) of the Act, the investigation revealed that the workers' firm did not shift the production of refined petroleum products, or a like or directly competitive article, to a foreign country or acquire the production of refined petroleum products, or a like or directly competitive article, from a foreign country.

Conclusion

After careful review, I determine that the requirements of Section 222 of the Act, 19 U.S.C. 2272, have not been met and, therefore, deny the petition for group eligibility of Sunoco, Inc., R&M, Refining Division, Marcus Hook, Pennsylvania (TA-W-81,145), and Sunoco, Inc., Lester, Pennsylvania (TA-W-81,145A), to apply for adjustment assistance, in accordance with Section 223 of the Act, 19 U.S.C. 2273.

Signed in Washington, DC, on this 23rd day of July 2012.

Del Min Amy Chen,

Certifying Officer, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 2012-18836 Filed 8-1-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P