

TABLE 3—ACCOUNTING STATEMENT: CLASSIFICATION OF ESTIMATED EXPENDITURES, FY 2012  
[In \$billions]

| Category                             | TRANSFERS                     |                     |       |                |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|-------|----------------|
|                                      | Year dollar                   | Units discount rate |       | Period covered |
| Annualized Monetized Transfers ..... | .....                         | 7%                  | 3%    | .....          |
|                                      | 2012                          | \$8.9               | \$8.9 | FY-2012        |
| From Whom To Whom? .....             | Federal Government to States. |                     |       |                |

In accordance with the provisions of Executive Order 12866, this final notice was reviewed by the Office of Management and Budget.

**Authority:** Section 1102 of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1302) (Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 93.778, Medical Assistance Program) (Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 93.767, State Children’s Health Insurance Program).

Dated: May 14, 2012.

**Marilyn Tavenner,**  
Acting Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services.

Dated: June 11, 2012.

**Kathleen Sebelius,**  
Secretary, Department of Health and Human Services.

[FR Doc. 2012-17953 Filed 7-20-12; 11:15 am]

BILLING CODE 4120-01-P

**DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES**

**Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services**

[CMS-2384-N]

RIN 0938-AR46

**Medicaid Program; Disproportionate Share Hospital Allotments and Institutions for Mental Diseases Disproportionate Share Hospital Limits for FYs 2010, 2011, and Preliminary FY 2012 Disproportionate Share Hospital Allotments and Limits**

**AGENCY:** Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS), HHS.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** This notice announces the final Federal share disproportionate share hospital (DSH) allotments for Federal FY (FY) 2010, 2011 and the preliminary Federal share DSH allotments for FY 2012. This notice also announces the final FY 2010, 2011 and the preliminary FY 2012 limits on aggregate DSH payments that States may

make to institutions for mental diseases (IMD) and other mental health facilities. In addition, this notice includes background information describing the methodology for determining the amounts of States’ FY DSH allotments.

**DATES: Effective Date:** This notice is effective on August 23, 2012. The final allotments and limitations set forth in this notice are effective for the fiscal years specified.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Richard Strauss, (410) 786-2019.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**I. Background**

Under section 1923(f)(3) of the Social Security Act (the Act), States’ Federal fiscal year (FY) 2003 disproportionate share hospital (DSH) allotments were calculated by increasing the amounts of the FY 2002 allotments for each State (as specified in the chart, entitled “DSH Allotment (in millions of dollars)”, contained in section 1923(f)(2) of the Act) by the percentage change in the Consumer Price Index for all Urban Consumers (CPI-U) for the prior fiscal year. The allotment, determined in this way, is subject to the limitation that an increase to a State’s DSH allotment for a FY cannot result in the DSH allotment exceeding the greater of the State’s DSH allotment for the previous FY or 12 percent of the State’s total medical assistance expenditures for the allotment year (this is referred to as the 12 percent limit).

However, section 1001(a) of the Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement, and Modernization Act of 2003 (Pub. L. 108-173, enacted on December 8, 2003) (MMA) amended section 1923(f)(3) of the Act to provide for a “Special, Temporary Increase In Allotments On A One-Time, Non-Cumulative Basis.” Under this provision, States’ FY 2004 DSH allotments were determined by increasing their FY 2003 allotments by 16 percent and the FY DSH allotment amounts determined were not subject to the 12 percent limit.

Also, under section 1923(h) of the Act, Federal financial participation (FFP) is not available for DSH payments to institutions for mental diseases (IMDs) and other mental health facilities that are in excess of State-specific aggregate limits. Under this provision, this aggregate limit for DSH payments to IMDs and other mental health facilities is the lesser of a State’s FY 1995 total computable (State and Federal share) IMD and other mental health facility DSH expenditures applicable to the State’s FY 1995 DSH allotment (as reported on the Form CMS-64 as of January 1, 1997), or the amount equal to the product of the State’s current year total computable DSH allotment and the applicable percentage.

In general, we initially determine States’ DSH allotments and IMD DSH limits for a FY using estimates of medical assistance expenditures, including DSH expenditures in their Medicaid programs. These estimates are provided by States each year on the August quarterly Medicaid budget reports (Form CMS-37) before the FY for which the DSH allotments and IMD DSH limits are being determined. Also, as part of the basic determination of preliminary DSH allotments for a FY, we use the available CPI-U percentage increase that is available before the beginning of the FY for which the allotment is being determined to determine the preliminary FY DSH allotment. For example, in determining the preliminary FY 2012 DSH allotment, we would apply the CPI-U percentage increase for FY 2011 that was available just before the beginning of FY 2012 on October 1, 2011.

Section 5002 of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (Pub. L. 111-5, enacted on February 17, 2009) (Recovery Act), added a new section 1923(f)(3)(E) of the Act; that provided fiscal relief to States during the recent national economic downturn. In that regard, section 1923(f)(3)(E)(i)(I) of the Act, as created by section 5002 of the Recovery Act, required that, in general, States’ DSH allotments for FY

2009 be equal to 102.5 percent of the FY 2009 allotments that would otherwise have been determined; this Recovery Act provision does not apply to certain States.

For a detailed description of the background of this notice, please refer to “Final FY 2009 and Preliminary FY 2011 Disproportionate Share Hospital Allotments, and Final FY 2009 and Preliminary FY 2011 Institutions for Mental Diseases Disproportionate Share Hospital Limits” notice published in the January 3, 2011 **Federal Register** (76 FR 148).

## II. Provisions of the Notice

### A. Calculation of the Final FY 2010, Final FY 2011 Federal Share State DSH Allotments, and the Preliminary FY 2012 Federal Share State DSH Allotments

#### 1. Final FY 2010 and FY 2011 Federal Share State DSH Allotments

Chart 1 and Chart 2 of the Addendum to this notice provides the States’ final FY 2010 and final FY 2011 DSH allotments, respectively. As described in the previous **Federal Register** notices in determining non-Low DSH States’ DSH allotments for FYs after FY 2004 under section 1923(f)(3)(C) of the Act for DSH allotments, we determined States’ DSH allotments under a “parallel” process. Under the parallel process, for each FY for each State, we determine whether the fiscal year specified (as defined in section 1923(f)(3)(D) of the Act) has occurred. Section 1923(f)(3)(D) of the Act describes the fiscal year specified is determined separately for each State and “is the first FY for which the Secretary estimates that the DSH allotment for that State will equal (or no longer exceed) the DSH allotment for that State under the law as in effect before the date of enactment” of MMA. The process in effect before the enactment in MMA is the process described in section 1923(f)(3)(A) of the Act; in this process each States’ DSH allotment since FY 2003 is increased by the CPI-U increase for the prior FY and the result is then compared to the State’s FY 2004 DSH allotment, as determined by section 1923(f)(3)(C)(i) of the Act. The fiscal year specified for a State is the FY when the FY 2004 allotment is no longer greater than the parallel process DSH allotment.

In accordance to the parallel process provision, we determined that FY 2009 was the fiscal year specified for all non-Low DSH States (except Louisiana). Therefore, in section 1923(f)(3)(C)(ii) of the Act, the Final FY 2009 DSH allotment for all non-Low DSH States (except Louisiana) is equal to the prior

FY 2008 DSH allotment increased by the CPI-U increase for FY 2008 (4.4 percent).

Chart 1 contains the final FY 2010 DSH allotments and Chart 2 contains the final FY 2011 DSH allotments. For the non-Low DSH States for which the FY 2009 is the fiscal year specified, that fiscal year and for following fiscal years, the FY DSH allotments are calculated by increasing the prior FY DSH allotment by the CPI-U increase for the prior fiscal year.

For Low-DSH States, the FY 2009 DSH allotments were calculated using the same methodology as for the non-Low DSH States for which the fiscal year specified has occurred. That is, for FY 2009 and following FYs, the DSH allotment for Low-DSH States is calculated by increasing the prior FY DSH allotment by the percentage change in the CPI-U for the prior fiscal year.

As discussed in the “Background” section of this notice, under section 5002 of the Recovery Act, the preliminary FY 2010 DSH allotment was determined as the higher of 102.5 percent of the FY 2009 DSH allotment (as determined under the Recovery Act) or the FY 2010 DSH allotment as would have been determined without application of the Recovery Act provisions. Accordingly, the preliminary FY 2010 DSH allotments were initially determined using the States’ August 2009 expenditure estimates submitted by the States on the Form CMS-37, and the percentage increase in the CPI-U for the previous FY that was available before the beginning of FY 2010. Then, this amount was compared to the DSH allotment amount equal to 102.5 percent of the FY 2009 DSH allotments as determined under the Recovery Act provisions. For all applicable states the Recovery Act provision resulted in a higher FY 2010 DSH allotment.

The final FY 2011 DSH allotments were determined by first determining the FY 2010 DSH allotments as they would have been calculated without application of the Recovery Act provisions. That is, first the amount of the final FY 2010 DSH allotments were determined by adjusting the amount of the final FY 2009 DSH allotments (also determined without application of the Recovery Act provisions) by the CPI-U percentage increase for FY 2009; this final FY 2010 DSH allotment amount (determined without application of the Recovery Act provisions) was then increased by the CPI-U percentage increase for FY 2010 to determine the final FY 2011 DSH allotments contained in this notice.

#### 2. Calculation of the Preliminary FY 2012 Federal Share State DSH Allotments

Chart 3 of the Addendum to this notice provides the preliminary FY 2012 DSH allotments determined in accordance with the section 1923(f)(3) of the Act. As described in the “Background” section of the January 3, 2011 **Federal Register** (76 FR 148) notice, the Recovery Act provisions which increased States’ DSH allotments for FY 2009 and FY 2010 are not applicable for determining States’ FY 2012 DSH allotments and following fiscal years. That is, the preliminary FY 2012 DSH allotments were determined using States’ estimates of FY 2012 expenditures and increasing the FY 2011 allotments by the percentage increase in the CPI-U for FY 2011. States’ final FY 2012 DSH allotments will be published in the **Federal Register** following receipt of the States’ four quarterly Medicaid expenditure reports (Form CMS-64) for FY 2012 following the end of FY 2012.

### B. Calculation of the Final FY 2010, the Final FY 2011, and the Preliminary FY 2012 IMD DSH Limits

Section 1923(h) of the Act specifies the methodology to be used to establish the limits on the amount of DSH payments that a State can make to IMDs and other mental health facilities. FFP is not available for IMD or DSH payments that exceed the IMD limits. In this notice, we are publishing the final FY 2010, the final FY 2011, and the preliminary FY 2012 IMD DSH Limits determined in accordance with the provisions discussed above, and for FY 2010 reflecting the DSH allotments for the FY determined under the provisions of section 1923(f)(3)(E) of the Act, as amended by section 5002 of the Recovery Act.

Charts 4, 5, and 6 of the “Addendum” to this notice detail each State’s final FY 2010, final FY 2011, and preliminary FY 2011 IMD DSH Limits, respectively, determined in accordance with section 1923(h) of the Act

## III. Collection of Information Requirements

This notice does not impose any new or revised information collection or recordkeeping requirements. The requirements and burden associated with CMS-37 (OMB 0938-0101) are unaffected by this notice. Consequently, this notice and CMS-37 are not subject to Office of Management and Budget review under the authority of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*).

#### IV. Regulatory Impact Statement

We have examined the impact of this notice as required by Executive Order 12866 on Regulatory Planning and Review (September 1993), the Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA) (September 19, 1980, Pub. L. 96–354), section 1102(b) of the Act, section 202 of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (March 22, 1995; Pub. L. 104–4), Executive Order 13132 on Federalism (August 4, 1999) and the Congressional Review Act (5 U.S.C. 804(2)).

Executive Order 12866 directs agencies to assess all costs and benefits of available regulatory alternatives and, if regulation is necessary, to select regulatory approaches that maximize net benefits (including potential economic, environmental, public health and safety effects, distributive impacts, and equity). A regulatory impact analysis (RIA) must be prepared for major rules with economically significant effects (\$100 million or more in any 1 year). This notice does not reach the \$100 million economic threshold and thus is not considered a major rule under the Congressional Review Act.

There are no changes between the final FY 2010 DSH allotments and FY 2010 IMD DSH limits and the preliminary FY 2010 DSH allotments and FY 2010 IMD DSH limits published in the April 23, 2010 **Federal Register** (75 FR 21314).

The final FY 2011 DSH allotments being published in this notice are approximately \$10 million less than the preliminary FY 2011 DSH allotments published in the January 3, 2011 **Federal Register** (76 FR 148). The final FY 2011 IMD DSH limits being published in this notice are approximately \$1 million less than the preliminary FY 2011 IMD DSH limits published in the January 3, 2011 **Federal Register** on (76 FR 148). The decrease in the FY 2011 DSH allotments are due to the difference between the final percentage change in the CPI–U for FY 2010 used in the calculation of the final FY 2011 allotments (1.7 percent) as compared to the estimated percentage change in the CPI–U for FY 2010 used in the calculation of the preliminary allotments (1.8 percent). The decreases in the IMD DSH limits are because the DSH allotment for a FY is a factor in the determination of the IMD DSH limit for the FY, and since the final FY 2011 DSH allotments were decreased as compared to the preliminary FY 2011 DSH allotments, the associated FY 2011 IMD DSH limits for some States were also decreased.

The preliminary FY 2012 DSH allotments being published in this notice are about \$64 million more than the final FY 2011 DSH allotments being published in the **Federal Register**. The preliminary FY 2012 IMD DSH limits being published in this notice are about \$11 million more than the final FY 2011 IMD DSH limits being published in the **Federal Register**. The increase in the DSH allotments is due to the application of the statutory formula for calculating DSH allotments under which the prior fiscal year allotments are increased by the percentage increase in the CPI–U for the prior fiscal year. The increase in the IMD DSH limits is because the DSH allotment for a FY is a factor in the determination of the IMD DSH limit for the FY, and since the preliminary FY 2012 DSH allotments were greater than the final FY 2011 DSH allotments, the associated FY 2012 IMD DSH limits for some States also increased.

The RFA requires agencies to analyze options for regulatory relief of small businesses, if a rule has a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities. For purposes of the RFA, small entities include small businesses, nonprofit organizations, and small governmental jurisdictions. Most hospitals and most other providers and suppliers are small entities, either by nonprofit status or by having revenues of \$7.0 million to \$34.5 million in any one year. Individuals and States are not included in the definition of a small entity. We are not preparing an analysis for the RFA because the Secretary has determined that this notice will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. Specifically, the effects of the various controlling statutes on providers are not impacted by a result of any independent regulatory impact and not this notice. The purpose of the notice is to announce the latest distributions as required by the statute.

In addition, section 1102(b) of the Act requires us to prepare a regulatory impact analysis if a rule may have a significant impact on the operations of a substantial number of small rural hospitals. This analysis must conform to the provisions of section 604 of the RFA. For purposes of section 1102(b) of the Act, we define a small rural hospital as a hospital that is located outside of a Core-Based Statistical Area for Medicaid payment regulations and has fewer than 100 beds. We are not preparing analysis for section 1102(b) of the Act because the Secretary has determined that this notice will not have a significant impact on the operations of a substantial number of small rural hospitals.

The Medicaid statute specifies the methodology for determining the amounts of States' DSH allotments and IMD DSH limits; and as described previously, results in the decreases or increases in States' DSH allotments and IMD DSH limits for the FYs referred to. The statute applicable to these allotments and limits does not apply to the determination of the amounts of DSH payments made to specific DSH hospitals; rather, these allotments and limits represent an overall limit on the total of such DSH payments. In this regard, we do not believe that this notice will have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

Section 202 of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 also requires that agencies assess anticipated costs and benefits before issuing any rule whose mandates require spending in any 1 year of \$100 million in 1995 dollars, updated annually for inflation. In 2012, that threshold is approximately \$139 million. This notice will have no consequential effect on State, local, or tribal governments, in the aggregate, or on the private sector.

Executive Order 13132 establishes certain requirements that an agency must meet when it promulgates a proposed rule (and subsequent final rule) that imposes substantial direct requirement costs on State and local governments, preempts State law, or otherwise has Federalism implications. Since this notice does not impose any costs on State or local governments, the requirements of E.O. 13132 are not applicable.

#### *Alternatives Considered*

The methodologies for determining the States' fiscal year DSH allotments and IMD DSH Limits, as reflected in this notice, were established in accordance with the methodologies and formula for determining States' allotments as specified in statute. This notice does not put forward any further discretionary administrative policies for determining such allotments.

#### *Accounting Statement*

As required by OMB Circular A–4 (available at <http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/circulars/a004/a-4.pdf>), in the table below, we have prepared an accounting statement showing the classification of the estimated expenditures associated with the provisions of this notice. This table provides our best estimate of the change (decrease) in the Federal share of States' Medicaid DSH payments resulting from the application of the provisions of the Medicaid statute

relating to the calculation of States' FY DSH allotments and the increase in the FY DSH allotments from FY 2010 to FY 2011.

TABLE—ACCOUNTING STATEMENT: CLASSIFICATION OF ESTIMATED EXPENDITURES, FROM THE FY 2010 TO FY 2012  
[In millions]

| Category  | Transfers                              |
|---|--|
| Annualized Monetized Transfers From Whom To Whom? | \$54.<br>Federal Government to States. |

In accordance with the provisions of Executive Order 12866, this notice was reviewed by the Office of Management and Budget.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 93.778, Medical Assistance Program)

Dated: May 14, 2012.  
**Marilyn Tavenner,**  
*Acting Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services.*

Dated: June 11, 2012.  
**Kathleen Sebelius,**  
*Secretary.*

that are referred to in the preamble of this notice.

**Key to Chart 1. Final DSH Allotments for FY 2010**

**Addendum**

This addendum contains the charts 1 through 6 (preceded by associated keys)

**KEY TO CHART 1—FINAL DSH ALLOTMENTS FOR FISCAL YEAR: 2010**

[The Final FY 2010 DSH Allotments for the NON-Low DSH States are presented in the top section of this chart, and the Final FY 2010 DSH Allotments for the Low-DSH States are presented in the bottom section of this chart]

| Column      | Description   |
|-------------|---|
| Column A    | State.  |
| Column B    | 1923(f)(3)(D) Test Met. This column indicates whether the "FY Specified" has occurred with respect to Non-Low DSH States, determined in accordance with section 1923(f)(3)(D) of the Act. "YES" indicates the FY Specified has occurred; "NOT MET" indicates that the FY Specified has not occurred; and "na" indicates that this provision is not applicable. This provision is not applicable for Low-DSH States indicated in the bottom portion of chart 1.  |
| Columns C–N | For all States, the entries in Columns B through K present the determination of the final FY 2010 DSH allotments as would be calculated <i>without</i> the application of section 1923(f)(3)(E) of the Act as amended by section 5002 of ARRA. For all States, the entries in Columns L through N present the calculation of the final FY 2010 DSH Allotments, determined in accordance with the provisions of section 5002 of ARRA.<br>For Non-Low DSH States indicated in the top portion of Chart 1, entries in Columns C through K are only for States meeting the "FY Specified" test ("YES" in Column B). For States not meeting the test indicated in Column B, these Columns indicate "na", and for States for which such test is not applicable, these Columns indicate "na".<br>For Low DSH States, entries are in the bottom portion of Chart 1. |
| Column C    | FY 2010 FMAPS. This column contains the States' FY 2009 Federal Medical Assistance Percentages.   |
| Column D    | FY 2009 DSH Allotment for States Meeting Test. This column contains the States' prior FY 2009 DSH Allotments.   |
| Column E    | FY 2009 Allotments X (1 + Percentage Increase in CPI-U): 1.00. This column contains the amount in Column D increased by 1 plus the percentage increase in the CPI-U for the prior FY (0.0 percent).   |
| Column F    | FY 2010 TC MAP Exp. Incl. DSH. This column contains the amount of the States' actual FY 2010 total computable medical assistance expenditures including DSH expenditures.   |
| Column G    | FY 2010 TC MAP Exp. Net of DSH. This column contains the amount of the States' actual FY 2010 total computable DSH expenditures.  |
| Column H    | FY 2010 TC MAP Exp. Net of DSH. This column contains the amount of the States' actual FY 2010 total computable medical assistance expenditures net of DSH expenditures, calculated as the amount in Column F minus the amount in Column G.  |
| Column I    | 12% AMOUNT. This column contains the amount of the "12 percent limit" in Federal share, determined in accordance with the provisions of section 1923(f)(3) of the Act.  |
| Column J    | Greater of FY 2009 Allotment or 12% Limit. This column contains the greater of the State's prior FY (FY 2009) DSH allotment or the amount of the 12% Limit, determined as the maximum of the amount in Column D or Column I.  |
| Column K    | FY 2010 DSH Allotment. This column contains the States' FY 2010 DSH allotments as would be determined without the application of the provisions of section 5002 of ARRA, determined as the minimum of the amount in Column J or Column E. For Non-Low DSH States that have not met the "FY Specified" test (entry in Column B is "NOT MET"), the amount in Column K is equal to the State's FY 2004 DSH allotment. For States for which the entry in Column B is "na", the amount in Column K is determined in accordance with the provisions of section 1923(f)(6) of the Act.   |
| Column L    | FY 2009 DSH Allotment Under ARRA. This column contains the State's FY 2009 DSH allotment as determined in accordance with section 5002 of ARRA.   |
| Column M    | FY 2010 DSH Allotment Under ARRA. This column contains the State's FY 2010 DSH allotment as determined in accordance section 5002 of ARRA, and calculated as the amount in Column L multiplied by 102.5 percent.  |

KEY TO CHART 1—FINAL DSH ALLOTMENTS FOR FISCAL YEAR: 2010—Continued

[The Final FY 2010 DSH Allotments for the NON-Low DSH States are presented in the top section of this chart, and the Final FY 2010 DSH Allotments for the Low-DSH States are presented in the bottom section of this chart]

| Column         | Description  |
|----------------|--|
| Column N ..... | FY 2010 DSH Allotment. (Max of Col K or M). This column contains the State's final FY 2010 DSH allotment as determined as the higher of the amount in Column K (the FY 2010 DSH allotment as determined without the application of section 5002 of ARRA) and the amount in Column M (102.5 percent of the amount of the State's FY 2009 DSH allotment determined in accordance with section 5002 of ARRA). |

BILLING CODE P

CHART 1 - FINAL DSH ALLOTMENTS FOR FISCAL YEAR: FY 2010 DSH ALLOTMENT UNDER ARRA

| A                    | B                         | C              | D  | E                                   | F                     | G                           | H                              | I                                      | J   | K  | L                                | M                                | N   |
|----------------------|---------------------------|----------------|--|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|--|---|--|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|
| STATE                | 1923(f)(3)(D) Test Met /1 | FY 2010 FIMAPS | FY 2009 DSH Allotment For States Meeting Test /2 | FY 2009 Allotments x CPIU Increase: | TC MAP Exp. Incl. DSH | FY 2010 TC DSH Expenditures | FY 2010 TC MAP Exp. Net of DSH | "12% AMOUNT" =COL L x .12/(1-.12)COL G | Greater of FY 2009 Allotment or 12% Limit (MAX of D or I) | FY 2010 DSH Allotment =FY 04 ALLOT or MIN Col J, Col E | FY 2009 DSH Allotment UNDER ARRA | FY 2010 DSH Allotment UNDER ARRA | FY 2010 DSH Allotment (= Max of Col K or M) |
| ALABAMA              | YES                       | 68.01%         | \$302,384,578                                    | 1.00                                | \$4,832,826,791       | \$467,126,595               | \$4,365,700,196                | \$636,124,843                          | \$636,124,843   | \$302,384,578  | \$309,944,192                    | \$317,692,797                    | \$317,692,797                               |
| ARIZONA              | YES                       | 65.75%         | \$99,565,654                                     |                                     | \$9,380,405,044       | \$28,474,900                | \$9,351,930,144                | \$1,372,776,350                        | \$1,372,776,350   | \$99,565,654   | \$102,054,795                    | \$104,606,165                    | \$104,606,165                               |
| CALIFORNIA           | YES                       | 50.00%         | \$1,078,013,311                                  |                                     | \$41,643,408,791      | \$2,157,127,708             | \$39,486,281,083               | \$6,234,675,960                        | \$6,234,675,960   | \$1,078,013,311  | \$1,104,963,644                  | \$1,132,587,735                  | \$1,132,587,735                             |
| COLORADO             | YES                       | 50.00%         | \$90,961,214                                     |                                     | \$4,028,039,849       | \$200,031,086               | \$3,828,008,763                | \$604,422,436                          | \$604,422,436   | \$90,961,214   | \$93,235,244                     | \$95,566,125                     | \$95,566,125                                |
| CONNECTICUT          | YES                       | 50.00%         | \$196,672,896                                    |                                     | \$5,528,407,156       | \$288,679,122               | \$5,239,728,034                | \$604,483,374                          | \$604,483,374   | \$196,672,896  | \$201,589,718                    | \$206,629,461                    | \$206,629,461                               |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | YES                       | 70.00%         | \$60,231,074                                     |                                     | \$1,772,035,504       | \$66,913,105                | \$1,705,122,399                | \$246,948,761                          | \$246,948,761   | \$60,231,074   | \$61,736,851                     | \$63,280,272                     | \$63,280,272                                |
| FLORIDA              | YES                       | 54.98%         | \$196,672,896                                    |                                     | \$17,261,512,630      | \$375,826,593               | \$16,885,686,037               | \$2,592,019,595                        | \$2,592,019,595   | \$196,672,896  | \$201,589,718                    | \$206,629,461                    | \$206,629,461                               |
| GEORGIA              | YES                       | 65.10%         | \$284,279,204                                    |                                     | \$7,107,555,659       | \$434,584,421               | \$6,673,071,238                | \$1,070,460,447                        | \$1,070,460,447   | \$284,279,204  | \$270,886,184                    | \$277,658,339                    | \$277,658,339                               |
| HAWAII               | na                        | na             | na   | na                                  | na                    | na                          | na                             | na                                     | na  | \$10,000,000   | \$0                              | \$0                              | \$10,000,000                                |
| ILLINOIS             | YES                       | 50.17%         | \$211,423,363                                    |                                     | \$15,196,168,373      | \$564,870,009               | \$14,631,298,364               | \$2,307,735,621                        | \$2,307,735,621   | \$211,423,363  | \$216,708,947                    | \$222,126,671                    | \$222,126,671                               |
| INDIANA              | YES                       | 65.93%         | \$210,194,158                                    |                                     | \$5,879,119,164       | \$155,768,733               | \$5,723,330,431                | \$639,619,897                          | \$639,619,897   | \$210,194,158  | \$215,449,012                    | \$220,835,237                    | \$220,835,237                               |
| KANSAS               | YES                       | 60.38%         | \$40,563,785                                     |                                     | \$2,407,976,071       | \$68,217,357                | \$2,339,758,714                | \$350,412,479                          | \$350,412,479   | \$40,563,785   | \$41,577,880                     | \$42,617,327                     | \$42,617,327                                |
| KENTUCKY             | YES                       | 70.96%         | \$142,587,850                                    |                                     | \$5,522,072,289       | \$211,102,815               | \$5,310,969,474                | \$767,027,939                          | \$767,027,939   | \$142,587,850  | \$146,152,546                    | \$149,806,360                    | \$149,806,360                               |
| LOUISIANA            | NOT MET                   | na             | na   | na                                  | na                    | na                          | na                             | na                                     | na  | \$731,960,000  | \$750,259,000                    | \$769,015,475                    | \$769,015,475                               |
| MAINE                | YES                       | 64.99%         | \$103,253,270                                    |                                     | \$2,266,424,935       | \$49,680,874                | \$2,216,744,061                | \$326,249,171                          | \$326,249,171   | \$103,253,270  | \$105,834,602                    | \$108,480,467                    | \$108,480,467                               |
| MARYLAND             | YES                       | 50.00%         | \$74,981,542                                     |                                     | \$7,011,557,299       | \$112,904,611               | \$6,898,652,688                | \$1,089,260,951                        | \$1,089,260,951   | \$74,981,542   | \$76,856,081                     | \$78,777,483                     | \$78,777,483                                |
| MASSACHUSETTS        | YES                       | 50.00%         | \$299,926,166                                    |                                     | \$11,595,044,370      | \$0                         | \$11,595,044,370               | \$1,830,796,479                        | \$1,830,796,479   | \$299,926,166  | \$307,424,320                    | \$315,109,928                    | \$315,109,928                               |
| MICHIGAN             | YES                       | 63.19%         | \$260,591,587                                    |                                     | \$11,556,478,652      | \$376,966,410               | \$11,179,512,242               | \$1,656,026,674                        | \$1,656,026,674   | \$260,591,587  | \$267,106,377                    | \$273,784,036                    | \$273,784,036                               |
| MISSISSIPPI          | YES                       | 75.67%         | \$149,963,083                                    |                                     | \$4,106,064,888       | \$208,213,247               | \$3,897,851,341                | \$555,898,372                          | \$555,898,372   | \$149,963,083  | \$153,712,160                    | \$157,554,964                    | \$157,554,964                               |
| MISSOURI             | YES                       | 64.51%         | \$465,868,922                                    |                                     | \$7,993,869,980       | \$738,829,930               | \$7,255,040,050                | \$1,069,562,294                        | \$1,069,562,294   | \$465,868,922  | \$477,515,645                    | \$489,453,536                    | \$489,453,536                               |
| NEVADA               | YES                       | 50.16%         | \$45,480,607                                     |                                     | \$1,505,286,119       | \$95,232,395                | \$1,410,053,724                | \$222,416,021                          | \$222,416,021   | \$45,480,607   | \$46,617,622                     | \$47,783,063                     | \$47,783,063                                |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE        | YES                       | 50.00%         | \$157,435,200                                    |                                     | \$1,318,621,083       | \$230,179,521               | \$1,088,441,562                | \$171,859,194                          | \$171,859,194   | \$157,435,200  | \$161,371,080                    | \$165,405,357                    | \$165,405,357                               |
| NEW JERSEY           | YES                       | 50.00%         | \$633,040,884                                    |                                     | \$10,162,873,296      | \$1,340,385,742             | \$8,822,487,554                | \$1,393,024,351                        | \$1,393,024,351   | \$633,040,884  | \$648,866,906                    | \$665,088,579                    | \$665,088,579                               |
| NEW YORK             | YES                       | 50.00%         | \$1,579,529,196                                  |                                     | \$50,453,082,673      | \$3,117,352,830             | \$47,335,729,843               | \$7,474,062,607                        | \$7,474,062,607   | \$1,579,529,196  | \$1,619,017,426                  | \$1,659,492,862                  | \$1,659,492,862                             |
| NORTH CAROLINA       | YES                       | 65.13%         | \$290,092,522                                    |                                     | \$10,319,106,416      | \$467,993,030               | \$9,851,213,386                | \$1,449,146,308                        | \$1,449,146,308   | \$290,092,522  | \$297,344,835                    | \$304,778,456                    | \$304,778,456                               |
| OHIO                 | YES                       | 63.42%         | \$399,491,820                                    |                                     | \$15,121,647,576      | \$656,437,448               | \$14,465,210,128               | \$2,140,916,615                        | \$2,140,916,615   | \$399,491,820  | \$409,479,116                    | \$419,716,094                    | \$419,716,094                               |
| PENNSYLVANIA         | YES                       | 54.81%         | \$551,913,314                                    |                                     | \$18,634,048,432      | \$844,422,175               | \$17,789,626,257               | \$2,733,144,822                        | \$2,733,144,822   | \$551,913,314  | \$565,711,147                    | \$579,853,926                    | \$579,853,926                               |

| A              | B                          | C             | D                                | E                                  | F                     | G                           | H                              | I                                       | J   | K  | L                                | M                                | N   |
|----------------|----------------------------|---------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|---|---|--|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|
| STATE          | 1923(f)(3)(D) Test Met / I | FY 2010 FMAPS | FY 2009 DSH Allotment For States | FY 2009 Allotments x CPU Increase: | TC MAP Exp. Incl. DSH | FY 2010 TC DSH Expenditures | FY 2010 TC MAP Exp. Net of DSH | "12% AMOUNT" =COL L x .12/(1-.12)COL G* | Greater of FY 2009 Allotment or 12% Limit (MAX of D or I) | FY 2010 DSH Allotment =FY 04 ALLOT or MIN Col J, Col E | FY 2009 DSH Allotment UNDER ARRA | FY 2010 DSH Allotment UNDER ARRA | FY 2010 DSH Allotment (= Max of Col K or M) |
|                |                            |               | Meeting Test / 2                 | 1.00                               |                       |                             | Col F - G                      | (In FS)                                 | (MAX of D or I)   | MIN Col J, Col E                                       |                                  | Col L x 1.025                    | Col K or M                                  |
| RHODE ISLAND   | YES                        | 52.63%        | \$63,918,691                     | \$63,918,691                       | \$1,912,007,222       | \$124,795,467               | \$1,787,211,755                | \$277,807,397                           | \$277,807,397   | \$63,918,691   | \$65,516,668                     | \$67,154,574                     | \$67,154,574                                |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | YES                        | 70.32%        | \$322,051,867                    | \$322,051,867                      | \$4,992,150,984       | \$418,142,174               | \$4,574,008,810                | \$661,819,546                           | \$661,819,546   | \$322,051,867  | \$330,103,164                    | \$338,355,743                    | \$338,355,743                               |
| TENNESSEE*     | na                         | na            | na                               | na                                 | na                    | na                          | na                             | na                                      | na  | \$305,451,928  | \$0                              | \$0                              | \$305,451,928                               |
| TEXAS          | YES                        | 58.73%        | \$940,342,284                    | \$940,342,284                      | \$26,330,687,310      | \$1,688,246,076             | \$24,642,441,234               | \$3,716,457,711                         | \$3,716,457,711   | \$940,342,284  | \$963,850,841                    | \$987,947,112                    | \$987,947,112                               |
| VERMONT        | YES                        | 58.73%        | \$22,125,701                     | \$22,125,701                       | \$1,247,368,764       | \$36,548,781                | \$1,210,819,983                | \$182,610,206                           | \$182,610,206   | \$22,125,701   | \$22,678,844                     | \$23,245,815                     | \$23,245,815                                |
| VIRGINIA       | YES                        | 50.00%        | \$86,150,177                     | \$86,150,177                       | \$6,407,659,287       | \$198,720,152               | \$6,209,139,135                | \$980,390,390                           | \$980,390,390   | \$86,150,177   | \$88,303,931                     | \$90,511,529                     | \$90,511,529                                |
| WASHINGTON     | YES                        | 50.12%        | \$181,922,429                    | \$181,922,429                      | \$8,988,870,974       | \$388,089,934               | \$8,620,781,040                | \$1,044,596,681                         | \$1,044,596,681   | \$181,922,429  | \$186,470,490                    | \$191,132,252                    | \$191,132,252                               |
| WEST VIRGINIA  | YES                        | 74.04%        | \$66,377,102                     | \$66,377,102                       | \$2,538,797,193       | \$73,974,744                | \$2,464,822,449                | \$352,989,273                           | \$352,989,273   | \$66,377,102   | \$68,036,530                     | \$69,737,443                     | \$69,737,443                                |
| TOTAL          |                            |               | \$9,588,006,347                  | \$9,588,006,347                    | \$323,624,574,474     | \$16,145,757,985            | \$307,478,816,489              | \$47,181,744,764                        | \$47,181,744,764  | \$10,635,418,275                                       | \$10,577,965,506                 | \$10,842,414,644                 | \$11,157,866,572                            |
| LOW DSH STATES |                            | FY 2010 FMAPS | Prior FY 2009 Allotments         |                                    |                       |                             |                                |   |   |  |                                  |                                  |   |
| ALASKA         |                            | 51.43%        | \$20,030,833                     | \$20,030,833                       | \$1,207,521,822       | \$24,565,605                | \$1,182,956,217                | \$185,157,112                           | \$185,157,112   | \$20,030,833   | \$20,531,604                     | \$21,044,894                     | \$21,044,894                                |
| ARKANSAS       |                            | 72.78%        | \$42,420,163                     | \$42,420,163                       | \$3,880,864,886       | \$60,911,365                | \$3,819,953,521                | \$548,896,776                           | \$548,896,776   | \$42,420,163   | \$43,480,667                     | \$44,567,684                     | \$44,567,684                                |
| DELAWARE       |                            | 50.21%        | \$8,902,592                      | \$8,902,592                        | \$1,286,830,808       | \$6,294,243                 | \$1,280,536,565                | \$201,923,258                           | \$201,923,258   | \$8,902,592  | \$9,125,157                      | \$9,353,286                      | \$9,353,286                                 |
| IDAHO          |                            | 69.40%        | \$16,164,055                     | \$16,164,055                       | \$1,345,242,339       | \$23,873,424                | \$1,321,368,915                | \$191,713,594                           | \$191,713,594   | \$16,164,055   | \$16,568,156                     | \$16,982,360                     | \$16,982,360                                |
| IOWA           |                            | 63.51%        | \$38,726,014                     | \$38,726,014                       | \$3,046,670,401       | \$48,964,496                | \$2,997,705,905                | \$443,527,786                           | \$443,527,786   | \$38,726,014   | \$39,694,164                     | \$40,686,518                     | \$40,686,518                                |
| MINNESOTA      |                            | 50.00%        | \$73,446,387                     | \$73,446,387                       | \$7,496,239,705       | \$109,024,479               | \$7,387,215,226                | \$1,166,402,404                         | \$1,166,402,404   | \$73,446,387   | \$75,282,547                     | \$77,164,611                     | \$77,164,611                                |
| MONTANA        |                            | 67.42%        | \$11,161,950                     | \$11,161,950                       | \$927,990,258         | \$17,393,361                | \$910,596,897                  | \$132,932,031                           | \$132,932,031   | \$11,161,950   | \$11,440,999                     | \$11,727,024                     | \$11,727,024                                |
| NEBRASKA       |                            | 60.56%        | \$27,827,466                     | \$27,827,466                       | \$1,595,294,737       | \$47,746,534                | \$1,547,548,203                | \$231,596,835                           | \$231,596,835   | \$27,827,466   | \$28,523,153                     | \$29,236,232                     | \$29,236,232                                |
| NEW MEXICO     |                            | 71.35%        | \$20,030,833                     | \$20,030,833                       | \$3,456,995,131       | \$29,207,929                | \$3,427,787,202                | \$494,502,342                           | \$494,502,342   | \$20,030,833   | \$20,531,604                     | \$21,044,894                     | \$21,044,894                                |
| NORTH DAKOTA   |                            | 63.01%        | \$9,393,079                      | \$9,393,079                        | \$682,210,866         | \$1,745,030                 | \$680,465,856                  | \$100,865,290                           | \$100,865,290   | \$9,393,079  | \$9,627,906                      | \$9,868,604                      | \$9,868,604                                 |
| OKLAHOMA       |                            | 64.43%        | \$35,610,368                     | \$35,610,368                       | \$3,861,876,770       | \$40,396,623                | \$3,821,480,147                | \$563,535,302                           | \$563,535,302   | \$35,610,368   | \$36,500,627                     | \$37,413,143                     | \$37,413,143                                |
| OREGON         |                            | 62.74%        | \$44,512,961                     | \$44,512,961                       | \$3,973,078,563       | \$54,379,244                | \$3,918,699,319                | \$581,456,512                           | \$581,456,512   | \$44,512,961   | \$45,625,785                     | \$46,766,430                     | \$46,766,430                                |

| A                    | B                         | C                | D  | E                                   | F                             | G                           | H                              | I  | J   | K  | L                                | M                                | N   |
|----------------------|---------------------------|------------------|--|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|--|---|--|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|
| STATE                | 1923(f)(3)(D) Test Met /1 | FY 2010 FMAPS    | FY 2009 DSH Allotment For States Meeting Test /2 | FY 2009 Allotments x CPIU Increase: | FY 2010 TC MAP Exp. Incl. DSH | FY 2010 TC DSH Expenditures | FY 2010 TC MAP Exp. Net of DSH | "12% AMOUNT" =COL L x .12/(1-.12/COL G)* (In FS) | Greater of FY 2009 Allotment or 12% Limit (MAX of D or I) | FY 2010 DSH Allotment =FY 04 ALLOT or MIN Col J, Col E | FY 2009 DSH ALLOTMENT UNDER ARRA | FY 2010 DSH ALLOTMENT UNDER ARRA | FY 2010 DSH ALLOTMENT (= Max of Col K or M) |
| SOUTH DAKOTA         | 62.72%                    | \$10,860,913     | \$10,860,913                                     | 1.00                                | \$775,170,127                 | \$648,496                   | \$774,521,631                  | \$114,932,169                                    | \$114,932,169   | \$10,860,913   | \$11,132,436                     | \$11,410,747                     | \$11,410,747                                |
| UTAH                 | 71.68%                    | \$19,291,628     | \$19,291,628                                     | \$19,291,628                        | \$1,687,188,906               | \$26,849,118                | \$1,660,339,788                | \$239,302,593                                    | \$239,302,593   | \$19,291,628   | \$19,773,919                     | \$20,268,267                     | \$20,268,267                                |
| WISCONSIN            | 60.21%                    | \$92,960,219     | \$92,960,219                                     | \$92,960,219                        | \$6,431,517,340               | \$3,956,666                 | \$6,427,560,674                | \$963,294,159                                    | \$963,294,159   | \$92,960,219   | \$95,284,224                     | \$97,666,330                     | \$97,666,330                                |
| WYOMING              | 50.00%                    | \$222,564        | \$222,564  | \$222,564                           | \$529,751,394                 | \$801,851                   | \$528,949,543                  | \$83,516,349                                     | \$83,516,349  | \$222,564  | \$228,128                        | \$233,831                        | \$233,831                                   |
| TOTAL LOW DSH STATES |                           | \$471,562,025    | \$471,562,025                                    | \$471,562,025                       | \$42,184,443,873              | \$486,756,464               | \$41,687,687,409               | \$6,243,556,512                                  | \$6,243,556,512   | \$471,562,025  | \$483,351,076                    | \$495,434,855                    | \$495,434,855                               |
| TOTAL                |                           | \$10,059,566,372 | \$10,059,566,372                                 | \$10,059,566,372                    | \$365,809,016,347             | \$16,642,514,449            | \$349,166,503,898              | \$53,425,301,277                                 | \$53,425,301,277  | \$11,106,980,300                                       | \$11,061,316,582                 | \$11,337,849,499                 | \$11,653,301,427                            |

FOOTNOTES:

/1 "YES", if FY 2010 or prior fiscal year is the "Fiscal Year Specified", as determined under section 1923(f)(3)(D) of the Social Security Act; "NOT MET", if Fiscal Year Specified has not occurred, and "NA" for States that this provision is not applicable.

/2 For Non-Low DSH States, entries in Columns C through Column K are only for States meeting the "Fiscal Year Specified" test ("YES" in Column B). The entry in Column D is the actual prior year (FY 2009) DSH allotment, and for States that FY 2010 is the Fiscal Year Specified, the prior FY 2009 DSH allotment was equal to the FY 2004 allotment.

/3 Hawaii and Tennessee DSH allotments determined under section 1923(f)(6) of the Act; under this section, Tennessee's DSH payments are limited to 30% of DSH allotment.



**Key to Chart 2. Final DSH Allotments for FY 2011**

**KEY TO CHART 2—FINAL DSH ALLOTMENTS FOR FISCAL YEAR: 2011**

[The Final FY 2011 DSH Allotments for the NON-Low DSH States are presented in the top section of this chart, and the Final FY 2011 DSH Allotments for the Low-DSH States are presented in the bottom section of this chart]

| Column            | Description  |
|-------------------|--|
| Column A .....    | State.   |
| Column B .....    | 1923(f)(3)(D) Test Met. This column indicates whether the "FY Specified" has occurred with respect to Non-Low DSH States, determined in accordance with section 1923(f)(3)(D) of the Act. "YES" indicates the FY Specified has occurred; "NOT MET" indicates that the FY Specified has not occurred; and "na" indicates that this provision is not applicable. This provision is not applicable for Low-DSH States indicated in the bottom portion of chart 3.   |
| Columns C–K ..... | For all States, the entries in Columns B through K present the determination of the final FY 2011 DSH allotments as would be calculated <i>without</i> the application of section 5002 of ARRA since such provisions were only applicable for FY 2009 and FY 2010.<br>For Non-Low DSH States indicated in the top portion of Chart 2, entries in Columns C through J are only for States meeting the "FY Specified" test ("YES" in Column B). For States not meeting the test indicated in Column B, these Columns indicate "NA", and for States for which such test is not applicable, these Columns indicate "na". For Low DSH States, entries are in the bottom portion of Chart 2. |
| Column C .....    | FY 2011 FMAPS. This column contains the States' FY 2011 Federal Medical Assistance Percentages.  |
| Column D .....    | FY 2010 DSH Allotment for States Meeting Test. This column contains the States' prior FY 2010 DSH Allotments as would be determined <i>without</i> the application of section 5002 of ARRA.  |
| Column E .....    | FY 2010 Allotments X (1 + Percentage Increase in CPI-U): 1.017. This column contains the amount in Column D increased by 1 plus the percentage increase in the CPI-U for the prior FY (1.7 percent).   |
| Column F .....    | FY 2011 TC MAP Exp. Incl. DSH. This column contains the amount of the States' projected FY 2011 total computable medical assistance expenditures including DSH expenditures.   |
| Column G .....    | FY 2011 TC DSH Expenditures. This column contains the amount of the States' projected FY 2011 total computable DSH expenditures.   |
| Column H .....    | FY 2011 TC MAP Exp. Net of DSH. This column contains the amount of the States' projected FY 2011 total computable medical assistance expenditures net of DSH expenditures, calculated as the amount in Column F minus the amount in Column G.  |
| Column I .....    | 12% AMOUNT. This column contains the amount of the "12 percent limit" in Federal share, determined in accordance with the provisions of section 1923(f)(3) of the Act.   |
| Column J .....    | Greater of FY 2010 Allotment or 12% Limit. This column contains the greater of the State's prior FY (FY 2010) DSH allotment or the amount of the 12% Limit, determined as the maximum of the amount in Column D or Column I.   |
| Column K .....    | FY 2011 DSH Allotment. This column contains the States' FY 2011 DSH allotments as would be determined without the application of the provisions of section 5002 of ARRA, determined as the minimum of the amount in Column J or Column E.<br>For Non-Low DSH States that have not met the "FY Specified" test (entry in Column B is "NOT MET"), the amount in Column K is equal to the State's FY 2004 DSH allotment. For States for which the entry in Column B is "na", the amount in Column K is determined in accordance with the provisions of section 1923(f)(6) of the Act.   |

BILLING CODE P

CHART 2 - FINAL DSH ALLOTMENTS FOR FISCAL YEAR: 2011

| A                    | B                           | C                | D  | E  | F                                   | G                                 | H                                    | I   | J   | K   |
|----------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|--|--|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|---|---|
| STATE                | 1923(f)(3)(D)<br>Test Met/1 | FY 2011<br>FMAPS | FY 2010<br>DSH Allotment<br>For States<br>Meeting Test /2,<br>/3 | FY 2010<br>Allotments x<br>CPIU Increase:<br>1.017 | FY 2011<br>TC MAP Exp.<br>Incl. DSH | FY 2011<br>TC DSH<br>Expenditures | FY 2011<br>TC MAP Exp.<br>Net of DSH | "12%<br>AMOUNT"<br>=COL L x<br>.12/(1-.12)COL<br>G)*<br>(In FS) | Greater of<br>FY 2010<br>Allotment<br>or 12% Limit<br>(MAX of D or I) | FY 2011<br>DSH Allotment<br>=FY 04 ALLOT<br>or<br>MIN Col J, Col<br>E |
| ALABAMA              | YES                         | 68.54%           | \$302,384,578  | \$307,525,116                                      | \$4,793,247,444                     | \$449,120,952                     | \$4,344,126,492                      | \$631,934,411   | \$631,934,411   | \$307,525,116   |
| ARIZONA              | YES                         | 65.85%           | \$99,565,654   | \$101,258,270                                      | \$8,988,386,558                     | \$165,763,665                     | \$8,822,622,893                      | \$1,294,640,039   | \$1,294,640,039   | \$101,258,270   |
| CALIFORNIA           | YES                         | 50.00%           | \$1,078,013,311  | \$1,096,339,537                                    | \$54,305,789,679                    | \$2,275,296,092                   | \$52,030,493,587                     | \$8,215,341,093   | \$8,215,341,093   | \$1,096,339,537   |
| COLORADO             | YES                         | 50.00%           | \$90,961,214   | \$92,507,555                                       | \$4,348,993,638                     | \$185,015,110                     | \$4,163,978,528                      | \$657,470,294   | \$657,470,294   | \$92,507,555  |
| CONNECTICUT          | YES                         | 50.00%           | \$196,672,896  | \$200,016,335                                      | \$5,812,369,379                     | \$201,419,483                     | \$5,610,949,896                      | \$885,939,457   | \$885,939,457   | \$200,016,335   |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | YES                         | 70.00%           | \$60,231,074   | \$61,255,002                                       | \$2,129,496,913                     | \$73,326,528                      | \$2,056,170,385                      | \$297,790,194   | \$297,790,194   | \$61,255,002  |
| FLORIDA              | YES                         | 55.45%           | \$196,672,896  | \$200,016,335                                      | \$18,127,940,651                    | \$350,105,390                     | \$17,777,835,261                     | \$2,722,525,105   | \$2,722,525,105   | \$200,016,335   |
| GEORGIA              | YES                         | 65.33%           | \$264,279,204  | \$268,771,950                                      | \$8,064,611,365                     | \$410,126,151                     | \$7,654,485,214                      | \$1,125,222,244   | \$1,125,222,244   | \$268,771,950   |
| HAWAII* /4           | na                          | na               | na   | na   | na                                  | na                                | na                                   | na  | na  | \$10,000,000  |
| ILLINOIS             | YES                         | 50.20%           | \$211,423,363  | \$215,017,560                                      | \$12,835,985,780                    | \$409,833,294                     | \$12,426,152,486                     | \$1,959,558,706   | \$1,959,558,706   | \$215,017,560   |
| INDIANA              | YES                         | 66.52%           | \$210,194,158  | \$213,767,459                                      | \$6,566,449,764                     | \$326,730,322                     | \$6,239,719,442                      | \$913,571,836   | \$913,571,836   | \$213,767,459   |
| KANSAS               | YES                         | 59.05%           | \$40,563,785   | \$41,253,369                                       | \$2,669,175,687                     | \$69,861,760                      | \$2,599,313,927                      | \$391,471,594   | \$391,471,594   | \$41,253,369  |
| KENTUCKY             | YES                         | 71.49%           | \$142,587,850  | \$145,011,843                                      | \$5,652,087,484                     | \$202,842,136                     | \$5,449,245,348                      | \$785,812,506   | \$785,812,506   | \$145,011,843   |
| LOUISIANA            | NOT MET                     | na               | na   | na   | na                                  | na                                | na                                   | na  | na  | \$731,960,000   |
| MAINE                | YES                         | 63.80%           | \$103,253,270  | \$105,008,576                                      | \$2,356,177,543                     | \$51,536,880                      | \$2,304,640,663                      | \$340,624,110   | \$340,624,110   | \$105,008,576   |
| MARYLAND             | YES                         | 50.00%           | \$74,981,542   | \$76,256,228                                       | \$7,319,542,445                     | \$88,352,109                      | \$7,231,190,336                      | \$1,141,766,895   | \$1,141,766,895   | \$76,256,228  |
| MASSACHUSETTS        | YES                         | 50.00%           | \$299,926,166  | \$305,024,911                                      | \$13,007,366,707                    | \$0                               | \$13,007,366,707                     | \$2,053,794,743   | \$2,053,794,743   | \$305,024,911   |
| MICHIGAN             | YES                         | 65.79%           | \$260,591,587  | \$265,021,644                                      | \$12,062,932,510                    | \$387,852,156                     | \$11,675,080,354                     | \$1,713,560,595   | \$1,713,560,595   | \$265,021,644   |
| MISSISSIPPI          | YES                         | 74.73%           | \$149,963,083  | \$152,512,455                                      | \$4,410,842,108                     | \$204,084,644                     | \$4,206,757,464                      | \$601,379,216   | \$601,379,216   | \$152,512,455   |
| MISSOURI             | YES                         | 63.29%           | \$465,868,922  | \$473,788,694                                      | \$8,011,172,212                     | \$699,597,196                     | \$7,311,575,016                      | \$1,082,666,210   | \$1,082,666,210   | \$473,788,694   |
| NEVADA               | YES                         | 51.61%           | \$45,480,607   | \$46,253,777                                       | \$1,562,938,792                     | \$88,358,886                      | \$1,474,579,906                      | \$230,557,139   | \$230,557,139   | \$46,253,777  |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE        | YES                         | 50.00%           | \$157,435,200  | \$160,111,598                                      | \$1,348,154,355                     | \$148,617,573                     | \$1,199,536,782                      | \$189,400,545   | \$189,400,545   | \$160,111,598   |
| NEW JERSEY           | YES                         | 50.00%           | \$633,040,884  | \$643,802,579                                      | \$10,501,136,233                    | \$1,269,857,523                   | \$9,231,278,710                      | \$1,457,570,323   | \$1,457,570,323   | \$643,802,579   |
| NEW YORK             | YES                         | 50.00%           | \$1,579,529,196  | \$1,606,381,192                                    | \$51,711,675,845                    | \$3,158,222,542                   | \$48,553,453,303                     | \$7,666,334,732   | \$7,666,334,732   | \$1,606,381,192   |
| NORTH CAROLINA       | YES                         | 64.71%           | \$290,092,522  | \$295,024,095                                      | \$10,297,057,563                    | \$408,931,874                     | \$9,888,125,689                      | \$1,456,711,698   | \$1,456,711,698   | \$295,024,095   |
| OHIO                 | YES                         | 63.69%           | \$399,491,820  | \$406,283,181                                      | \$15,533,331,022                    | \$662,908,943                     | \$14,870,422,079                     | \$2,198,716,616   | \$2,198,716,616   | \$406,283,181   |
| PENNSYLVANIA         | YES                         | 55.64%           | \$551,913,314  | \$561,295,840                                      | \$20,395,014,723                    | \$869,302,325                     | \$19,525,712,398                     | \$2,987,380,306   | \$2,987,380,306   | \$561,295,840   |

| A              | B                           | C                | D  | E  | F                                   | G                                 | H                                    | I  | J  | K   |
|----------------|-----------------------------|------------------|--|--|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| STATE          | 1923(f)(3)(D)<br>Test Met/1 | FY 2011<br>FMAPS | FY 2010<br>DSH Allotment<br>For States<br>Meeting Test /2,<br>/3 | FY 2010<br>Allotments x<br>CPI Increase: | FY 2011<br>TC MAP Exp.<br>Incl. DSH | FY 2011<br>TC DSH<br>Expenditures | FY 2011<br>TC MAP Exp.<br>Net of DSH | "12%<br>AMOUNT"<br>=COL L x<br>.12(1-.12/COL<br>G)*<br>(In FS) | Greater of<br>FY 2010<br>Allotment<br>or 12% Limit | FY 2011<br>DSH Allotment<br>=FY 04 ALLOT<br>or<br>MIN Col J, Col<br>E |
| RHODE ISLAND   | YES                         | 52.97%           | \$63,918,691   | \$65,005,309                             | \$2,098,664,704                     | \$122,720,991                     | \$1,975,943,713                      | \$306,563,061  | \$306,563,061                                      | \$85,005,309  |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | YES                         | 70.04%           | \$322,051,867  | \$327,526,749                            | \$4,930,814,886                     | \$530,651,697                     | \$4,400,163,189                      | \$637,189,724  | \$637,189,724                                      | \$327,526,749   |
| TENNESSEE* /4  | na                          | na               | na   | na                                       | na                                  | na                                | na                                   | na   | na   | \$305,451,928   |
| TEXAS          | YES                         | 60.56%           | \$940,342,284  | \$956,328,103                            | \$27,847,444,279                    | \$1,579,141,499                   | \$26,268,302,780                     | \$3,931,157,536  | \$3,931,157,536                                    | \$956,328,103   |
| VERMONT        | YES                         | 58.71%           | \$22,125,701   | \$22,501,838                             | \$1,281,883,083                     | \$37,448,782                      | \$1,244,434,301                      | \$187,696,179  | \$187,696,179                                      | \$22,501,838  |
| VIRGINIA       | YES                         | 50.00%           | \$86,150,177   | \$87,614,730                             | \$6,893,824,841                     | \$195,252,578                     | \$6,698,572,263                      | \$1,057,669,305  | \$1,057,669,305                                    | \$87,614,730  |
| WASHINGTON     | YES                         | 50.00%           | \$181,922,429  | \$185,015,110                            | \$7,334,958,500                     | \$348,853,464                     | \$6,986,105,036                      | \$1,103,069,216  | \$1,103,069,216                                    | \$185,015,110   |
| WEST VIRGINIA  | YES                         | 73.24%           | \$66,377,102   | \$67,505,513                             | \$2,740,221,609                     | \$73,313,008                      | \$2,666,908,601                      | \$382,738,836  | \$382,738,836                                      | \$67,505,513  |
| TOTAL          |                             |                  | \$9,588,006,347  | \$9,751,002,455                          | \$345,939,688,302                   | \$16,044,445,553                  | \$329,895,242,749                    | \$50,607,824,463   | \$50,607,824,463                                   | \$10,798,414,381  |

| LOW DSH STATES | FY 2011<br>FMAPS | Prior<br>FY 2010<br>Allotments | FY 2011<br>FMAPS | FY 2010<br>Allotments | FY 2011<br>TC MAP Exp.<br>Incl. DSH | FY 2011<br>TC DSH<br>Expenditures | FY 2011<br>TC MAP Exp.<br>Net of DSH | "12%<br>AMOUNT"<br>=COL L x<br>.12(1-.12/COL<br>G)*<br>(In FS) | Greater of<br>FY 2010<br>Allotment<br>or 12% Limit | FY 2011<br>DSH Allotment<br>=FY 04 ALLOT<br>or<br>MIN Col J, Col<br>E |
|----------------|------------------|--------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| ALASKA         | 50.00%           | \$20,030,833                   | \$20,371,357     | \$1,290,457,318       | \$15,200,649                        | \$1,275,256,669                   | \$1,275,256,669                      | \$201,356,316  | \$201,356,316                                      | \$20,371,357  |
| ARKANSAS       | 71.37%           | \$42,420,163                   | \$43,141,306     | \$3,951,827,218       | \$62,042,792                        | \$3,889,784,426                   | \$3,889,784,426                      | \$561,119,585  | \$561,119,585                                      | \$43,141,306  |
| DELAWARE       | 53.15%           | \$8,902,592                    | \$9,053,936      | \$1,391,676,715       | \$5,626,975                         | \$1,386,049,740                   | \$1,386,049,740                      | \$214,829,289  | \$214,829,289                                      | \$9,053,936   |
| IDAHO          | 68.85%           | \$16,164,055                   | \$16,438,844     | \$1,514,685,207       | \$24,665,737                        | \$1,490,019,470                   | \$1,490,019,470                      | \$216,544,254  | \$216,544,254                                      | \$16,438,844  |
| IOWA           | 62.63%           | \$38,726,014                   | \$39,384,356     | \$3,317,142,942       | \$81,897,436                        | \$3,235,245,506                   | \$3,235,245,506                      | \$480,245,134  | \$480,245,134                                      | \$39,384,356  |
| MINNESOTA      | 50.00%           | \$73,446,387                   | \$74,694,976     | \$8,271,183,374       | \$89,416,037                        | \$8,181,767,337                   | \$8,181,767,337                      | \$1,291,858,001  | \$1,291,858,001                                    | \$74,694,976  |
| MONTANA        | 66.81%           | \$11,161,950                   | \$11,351,703     | \$954,479,434         | \$16,991,023                        | \$937,488,411                     | \$937,488,411                        | \$137,128,847  | \$137,128,847                                      | \$11,351,703  |
| NEBRASKA       | 58.44%           | \$27,827,466                   | \$28,300,533     | \$1,637,255,773       | \$38,509,947                        | \$1,598,745,826                   | \$1,598,745,826                      | \$241,423,013  | \$241,423,013                                      | \$28,300,533  |
| NEW MEXICO     | 69.78%           | \$20,030,833                   | \$20,371,357     | \$3,317,620,410       | \$29,106,046                        | \$3,288,514,364                   | \$3,288,514,364                      | \$476,578,468  | \$476,578,468                                      | \$20,371,357  |
| NORTH DAKOTA   | 60.35%           | \$9,393,079                    | \$9,552,761      | \$701,893,360         | \$1,805,893                         | \$700,087,467                     | \$700,087,467                        | \$104,861,085  | \$104,861,085                                      | \$9,552,761   |
| OKLAHOMA       | 64.94%           | \$35,610,368                   | \$36,215,744     | \$4,008,275,083       | \$43,979,398                        | \$3,964,295,685                   | \$3,964,295,685                      | \$583,546,721  | \$583,546,721                                      | \$36,215,744  |
| OREGON         | 62.85%           | \$44,512,961                   | \$45,269,681     | \$4,386,322,933       | \$52,920,939                        | \$4,333,401,994                   | \$4,333,401,994                      | \$642,724,048  | \$642,724,048                                      | \$45,269,681  |
| SOUTH DAKOTA   | 61.25%           | \$10,860,913                   | \$11,045,549     | \$750,185,483         | \$537,729                           | \$749,647,754                     | \$749,647,754                        | \$111,876,365  | \$111,876,365                                      | \$11,045,549  |
| UTAH           | 71.13%           | \$19,291,628                   | \$19,619,586     | \$1,733,301,867       | \$23,971,578                        | \$1,709,330,289                   | \$1,709,330,289                      | \$246,747,161  | \$246,747,161                                      | \$19,619,586  |
| WISCONSIN      | 60.16%           | \$92,960,219                   | \$94,540,543     | \$6,878,408,081       | \$100                               | \$6,878,407,981                   | \$6,878,407,981                      | \$1,031,075,642  | \$1,031,075,642                                    | \$94,540,543  |

| A                       | B                            | C                | D  | E  | F                                   | G                                 | H   | I  | J   | K   |
|-------------------------|------------------------------|------------------|--|--|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|--|---|---|
| STATE                   | 1923(f)(3)(D)<br>Test Met /1 | FY 2011<br>FMAPS | FY 2010<br>DSH Allotment<br>For States<br>Meeting Test /2,<br>/3 | FY 2010<br>Allotments x<br>CPIU Increase:<br>1.017 | FY 2011<br>TC MAP Exp.<br>Incl. DSH | FY 2011<br>TC DSH<br>Expenditures | FY 2011<br>TC MAP Exp.<br>Net of DSH<br>Col F - G | "12%<br>AMOUNT"<br>=COL L x<br>.12(1-.12/COL<br>G)*<br>(In FS) | Greater of<br>FY 2010<br>Allotment<br>or 12% Limit<br>(MAX of D or I) | FY 2011<br>DSH Allotment<br>=FY 04 ALLOT<br>or<br>MIN Col J, Col<br>E |
| WYOMING                 |                              | 50.00%           | \$222,564  | \$226,348  | \$527,161,771                       | \$759,731                         | \$526,402,040                                     | \$83,116,112   | \$83,116,112  | \$226,348   |
| TOTAL LOW DSH<br>STATES |                              |                  | \$471,562,025  | \$479,578,579                                      | \$44,631,876,969                    | \$487,432,010                     | \$44,144,444,959                                  | \$6,625,030,039  | \$6,625,030,039   | \$479,578,580   |
| TOTAL                   |                              |                  | \$10,059,568,372   | \$10,230,581,034                                   | \$390,571,565,271                   | \$16,531,877,563                  | \$374,039,687,708                                 | \$57,232,854,502   | \$57,232,854,502  | \$11,277,992,961  |

FOOTNOTES:

/1 'YES', if FY 2010 or other prior fiscal year is the 'Fiscal Year Specified', as determined under section 1923(f)(3)(D) of the Social Security Act; and 'NOT MET', if the 'Fiscal Year Specified' has not occurred, and NA for States for which this provision is not applicable.

/2 For Non-Low DSH States, entries in Columns C through Column K are only for States meeting the "Fiscal Year Specified" test ("YES" in Column B) in this fiscal year or a prior fiscal year; the entry in Column D is the actual prior year DSH allotment for such States. For States not meeting such test, the prior fiscal year allotment would be equal to the FY 2004 allotment.

/3 The DSH Allotments in Column D are not the actual FY 2010 DSH Allotments; rather, under section 1923(f)(3) of the Social Security Act, they are the allotments as would have been determined without regard to section 5002 of P.L. 111-5.

/4 Hawaii and Tennessee DSH allotments determined under section 1923(f)(6) of the Act; under such section, Tennessee's DSH payments are limited to 30% of the DSH allotment.

**Key to Chart 3—Preliminary DSH  
Allotments for FY 2012**

**KEY TO CHART 3—PRELIMINARY DSH ALLOTMENTS FOR FISCAL YEAR: 2012**

[The Preliminary FY 2012 DSH Allotments for the NON-Low DSH States are presented in the top section of this chart, and the Preliminary FY 2012 DSH Allotments for the Low-DSH States are presented in the bottom section of this chart]

| Column            | Description   |
|-------------------|---|
| Column A .....    | State.  |
| Column B .....    | 1923(f)(3)(D) Test Met. This column indicates whether the "FY Specified" has occurred with respect to Non-Low DSH States, determined in accordance with section 1923(f)(3)(D) of the Act. "YES" indicates the FY Specified has occurred; "NOT MET" indicates that the FY Specified has not occurred; and "na" indicates that this provision is not applicable. This provision is not applicable for Low-DSH States indicated in the bottom portion of chart 3.  |
| Columns C–K ..... | For Non-Low DSH States indicated in the top portion of Chart 3, entries in Columns C through J are only for States meeting the "FY Specified" test ("YES" in Column B). For States not meeting the test indicated in Column B, these Columns indicate "na," and for States for which such test is not applicable, these Columns indicate "na." For Low DSH States, entries are in the bottom portion of Chart 3.  |
| Column C .....    | FY 2012 FMAPS. This column contains the States' FY 2012 Federal Medical Assistance Percentages.   |
| Column D .....    | FY 2011 DSH Allotment For States Meeting Test. This column contains the States' prior FY 2010 DSH Allotments as would be determined without the application of section 5002 of ARRA.  |
| Column E .....    | FY 2011 Allotments X (1 + Percentage Increase in CPI-U): 1.024.<br>This column contains the amount in Column D increased by 1 plus the percentage increase in the CPI-U for the prior FY (1.024 percent).   |
| Column F .....    | FY 2012 TC MAP Exp. Incl. DSH. This column contains the amount of the States' projected FY 2012 total computable medical assistance expenditures including DSH expenditures.  |
| Column G .....    | FY 2012 TC DSH Expenditures. This column contains the amount of the States' projected FY 2012 total computable DSH expenditures.  |
| Column H .....    | FY 2012 TC MAP Exp. Net of DSH. This column contains the amount of the States' projected FY 2012 total computable medical assistance expenditures net of DSH expenditures, calculated as the amount in Column F minus the amount in Column G  |
| Column I .....    | 12% AMOUNT. This column contains the amount of the "12 percent limit" in Federal share, determined in accordance with the provisions of section 1923(f)(3) of the Act.  |
| Column J .....    | Greater of FY 2010 Allotment or 12% Limit. This column contains the greater of the State's prior FY (FY 2011) DSH allotment or the amount of the 12% Limit, determined as the maximum of the amount in Column D or Column I   |
| Column K .....    | FY 2012 DSH Allotment. This column contains the States' preliminary FY 2012 DSH allotments, determined as the minimum of the amount in Column J or Column E.<br>For Non-Low DSH States that have not met the "FY Specified" test (entry in Column B is "NOT MET"), the amount in Column K is equal to the State's FY 2004 DSH allotment. For States for which the entry in Column B is "na", the amount in Column K is determined in accordance with the provisions of section 1923(f)(6) of the Act. |

**BILLING CODE P**

CHART 3 - PRELIMINARY DSH ALLOTMENTS FOR FISCAL YEAR: 2012

| A                       | B                            | C                | D  | E  | F                                   | G                                 | H                                    | I   | J   | K   |
|-------------------------|------------------------------|------------------|--|--|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|---|---|
| STATE                   | 1923(f)(3)(D)<br>Test Met /1 | FY 2012<br>FMAPS | FY 2011<br>DSH Allotment<br>For States<br>Meeting Test /2,<br>/3 | FY 2011<br>Allotments x<br>CPIU Increase:<br>1.024 | FY 2012<br>TC MAP Exp.<br>Incl. DSH | FY 2012<br>TC DSH<br>Expenditures | FY 2012<br>TC MAP Exp.<br>Net of DSH | "12%<br>AMOUNT"<br>=COL L x<br>.12/(1-.12/COL<br>G)*<br>(In FS) | Greater of<br>FY 2011<br>Allotment<br>or 12% Limit<br>(MAX of D or I) | FY 2012<br>DSH Allotment<br>=FY 04 ALLOT<br>or<br>MIN Col J, Col<br>E |
| ALABAMA                 | YES                          | 68.62%           | \$307,525,116  | \$314,905,719                                      | \$5,543,737,000                     | \$448,597,000                     | \$5,095,140,000                      | \$741,000,014   | \$741,000,014   | \$314,905,719   |
| ARIZONA                 | YES                          | 67.30%           | \$101,258,270  | \$103,688,468                                      | \$8,509,683,000                     | \$153,317,000                     | \$8,356,366,000                      | \$1,220,361,877   | \$1,220,361,877   | \$103,688,468   |
| CALIFORNIA              | YES                          | 50.00%           | \$1,096,339,537  | \$1,122,651,686                                    | \$57,013,025,000                    | \$808,605,000                     | \$56,204,420,000                     | \$8,874,382,105   | \$8,874,382,105   | \$1,122,651,686   |
| COLORADO                | YES                          | 50.00%           | \$92,507,555   | \$94,727,736                                       | \$4,626,831,000                     | \$188,635,000                     | \$4,438,196,000                      | \$700,767,789   | \$700,767,789   | \$94,727,736  |
| CONNECTICUT             | YES                          | 50.00%           | \$200,016,335  | \$204,816,727                                      | \$5,886,028,000                     | \$136,848,000                     | \$5,749,180,000                      | \$907,765,263   | \$907,765,263   | \$204,816,727   |
| DISTRICT OF<br>COLUMBIA | YES                          | 70.00%           | \$61,255,002   | \$62,725,122                                       | \$2,059,009,000                     | \$69,379,000                      | \$1,989,630,000                      | \$288,153,310   | \$288,153,310   | \$62,725,122  |
| FLORIDA                 | YES                          | 56.04%           | \$200,016,335  | \$204,816,727                                      | \$18,911,586,000                    | \$384,827,000                     | \$18,526,759,000                     | \$2,828,990,666   | \$2,828,990,666   | \$204,816,727   |
| GEORGIA                 | YES                          | 66.16%           | \$268,771,950  | \$275,222,477                                      | \$8,080,995,000                     | \$440,879,000                     | \$7,640,116,000                      | \$1,119,948,467   | \$1,119,948,467   | \$275,222,477   |
| HAWAII* /4              | na                           | na               | na   | na   | na                                  | na                                | na                                   | na  | na  | \$10,000,000  |
| ILLINOIS                | YES                          | 50.00%           | \$215,017,560  | \$220,177,981                                      | \$13,932,435,000                    | \$428,744,000                     | \$13,503,691,000                     | \$2,132,161,737   | \$2,132,161,737   | \$220,177,981   |
| INDIANA                 | YES                          | 66.96%           | \$213,767,459  | \$218,897,878                                      | \$7,777,312,000                     | \$320,489,000                     | \$7,456,823,000                      | \$1,090,194,035   | \$1,090,194,035   | \$218,897,878   |
| KANSAS                  | YES                          | 56.91%           | \$41,253,369   | \$42,243,450                                       | \$2,813,694,000                     | \$70,400,000                      | \$2,743,294,000                      | \$417,156,611   | \$417,156,611   | \$42,243,450  |
| KENTUCKY                | YES                          | 71.18%           | \$145,011,843  | \$148,492,127                                      | \$6,388,433,000                     | \$207,429,000                     | \$6,181,004,000                      | \$892,120,037   | \$892,120,037   | \$148,492,127   |
| LOUISIANA               | NOT MET                      | na               | na   | na   | na                                  | na                                | na                                   | na  | na  | \$731,960,000   |
| MAINE                   | YES                          | 63.27%           | \$105,008,576  | \$107,528,782                                      | \$2,127,018,000                     | \$52,760,000                      | \$2,074,258,000                      | \$307,169,815   | \$307,169,815   | \$107,528,782   |
| MARYLAND                | YES                          | 50.00%           | \$76,256,228   | \$78,086,377                                       | \$8,449,938,000                     | \$92,561,000                      | \$8,357,377,000                      | \$1,319,585,842   | \$1,319,585,842   | \$78,086,377  |
| MASSACHUSETTS           | YES                          | 50.00%           | \$305,024,911  | \$312,345,509                                      | \$13,616,213,000                    | \$0                               | \$13,616,213,000                     | \$2,149,928,368   | \$2,149,928,368   | \$312,345,509   |
| MICHIGAN                | YES                          | 66.14%           | \$265,021,644  | \$271,382,163                                      | \$12,493,693,000                    | \$399,654,000                     | \$12,094,039,000                     | \$1,772,958,418   | \$1,772,958,418   | \$271,382,163   |
| MISSISSIPPI             | YES                          | 74.18%           | \$152,512,455  | \$156,172,754                                      | \$5,224,836,000                     | \$215,553,000                     | \$5,009,283,000                      | \$717,121,800   | \$717,121,800   | \$156,172,754   |
| MISSOURI                | YES                          | 63.45%           | \$473,788,694  | \$485,159,623                                      | \$9,212,344,000                     | \$725,169,000                     | \$8,487,175,000                      | \$1,256,002,924   | \$1,256,002,924   | \$485,159,623   |
| NEVADA                  | YES                          | 56.20%           | \$46,253,777   | \$47,363,868                                       | \$1,709,101,000                     | \$82,383,000                      | \$1,626,718,000                      | \$248,203,308   | \$248,203,308   | \$47,363,868  |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE           | YES                          | 50.00%           | \$160,111,598  | \$163,954,276                                      | \$1,291,538,000                     | \$68,979,000                      | \$1,222,559,000                      | \$193,035,632   | \$193,035,632   | \$163,954,276   |
| NEW JERSEY              | YES                          | 50.00%           | \$643,802,579  | \$659,253,841                                      | \$11,348,953,000                    | \$1,288,871,000                   | \$10,060,082,000                     | \$1,588,434,000   | \$1,588,434,000   | \$659,253,841   |
| NEW YORK                | YES                          | 50.00%           | \$1,606,381,192  | \$1,644,934,341                                    | \$59,916,093,000                    | \$3,215,450,000                   | \$56,700,643,000                     | \$8,952,733,105   | \$8,952,733,105   | \$1,644,934,341   |
| NORTH<br>CAROLINA       | YES                          | 65.28%           | \$295,024,095  | \$302,104,673                                      | \$11,283,445,000                    | \$394,137,000                     | \$10,889,308,000                     | \$1,601,022,582   | \$1,601,022,582   | \$302,104,673   |
| OHIO                    | YES                          | 64.15%           | \$406,283,181  | \$416,033,977                                      | \$17,563,767,000                    | \$644,733,000                     | \$16,919,034,000                     | \$2,497,463,542   | \$2,497,463,542   | \$416,033,977   |
| PENNSYLVANIA            | YES                          | 55.07%           | \$561,295,840  | \$574,766,940                                      | \$21,199,808,000                    | \$795,864,000                     | \$20,403,944,000                     | \$3,130,657,616   | \$3,130,657,616   | \$574,766,940   |

| A              | B                            | C                | D  | E  | F                                   | G                                 | H                                    | I   | J   | K   |
|----------------|------------------------------|------------------|--|--|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|---|---|
| STATE          | 1923(f)(3)(D)<br>Test Met /1 | FY 2012<br>FMAPS | FY 2011<br>DSH Allotment<br>For States<br>Meeting Test /2,<br>/3 | FY 2011<br>Allotments x<br>CPIU Increase:<br>1.024 | FY 2012<br>TC MAP Exp.<br>Incl. DSH | FY 2012<br>TC DSH<br>Expenditures | FY 2012<br>TC MAP Exp.<br>Net of DSH | "12%<br>AMOUNT"<br>=COL L x<br>.12/(1-.12/COL<br>G)*<br>(In FS) | Greater of<br>FY 2011<br>Allotment<br>or 12% Limit<br>(MAX of D or I) | FY 2012<br>DSH Allotment<br>=FY 04 ALLOT<br>or<br>MIN Col J, Col<br>E |
| RHODE ISLAND   | YES                          | 52.12%           | \$65,005,309   | \$66,565,436                                       | \$2,242,211,000                     | \$132,367,000                     | \$2,109,844,000                      | \$328,908,482   | \$328,908,482   | \$66,565,436  |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | YES                          | 70.24%           | \$327,526,749  | \$335,387,391                                      | \$5,184,713,000                     | \$463,699,000                     | \$4,721,014,000                      | \$683,250,048   | \$683,250,048   | \$335,387,391   |
| TENNESSEE* /4  | na                           | na               | na   | na   | na                                  | na                                | na                                   | na  | na  | \$123,562,982   |
| TEXAS          | YES                          | 58.22%           | \$956,328,103  | \$979,279,977                                      | \$30,053,163,000                    | \$1,580,695,000                   | \$28,472,468,000                     | \$4,303,765,695   | \$4,303,765,695   | \$979,279,977   |
| VERMONT        | YES                          | 57.58%           | \$22,501,838   | \$23,041,882                                       | \$1,481,074,000                     | \$37,449,000                      | \$1,443,625,000                      | \$218,843,161   | \$218,843,161   | \$23,041,882  |
| VIRGINIA       | YES                          | 50.00%           | \$87,614,730   | \$89,717,484                                       | \$7,122,814,000                     | \$202,738,000                     | \$6,920,076,000                      | \$1,092,643,579   | \$1,092,643,579   | \$89,717,484  |
| WASHINGTON     | YES                          | 50.00%           | \$185,015,110  | \$189,455,473                                      | \$8,369,624,000                     | \$631,865,000                     | \$7,737,759,000                      | \$1,221,751,421   | \$1,221,751,421   | \$189,455,473   |
| WEST VIRGINIA  | YES                          | 72.62%           | \$67,505,513   | \$69,125,645                                       | \$2,807,309,000                     | \$91,403,000                      | \$2,715,906,000                      | \$390,423,808   | \$390,423,808   | \$69,125,645  |
| TOTAL          |                              |                  | \$9,751,002,453  | \$9,985,026,512                                    | \$374,240,423,000                   | \$14,774,479,000                  | \$359,465,944,000                    | \$55,166,905,060  | \$55,166,905,060  | \$10,850,549,492  |

| LOW DSH STATES | FY 2012<br>FMAPS | Prior<br>FY 2011<br>Allotments | FY 2012<br>FMAPS | FY 2011<br>Allotments x<br>CPIU Increase:<br>1.024 | FY 2012<br>TC MAP Exp.<br>Incl. DSH | FY 2012<br>TC DSH<br>Expenditures | FY 2012<br>TC MAP Exp.<br>Net of DSH | "12%<br>AMOUNT"<br>=COL L x<br>.12/(1-.12/COL<br>G)*<br>(In FS) | Greater of<br>FY 2011<br>Allotment<br>or 12% Limit<br>(MAX of D or I) | FY 2012<br>DSH Allotment<br>=FY 04 ALLOT<br>or<br>MIN Col J, Col<br>E |
|----------------|------------------|--------------------------------|------------------|--|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|---|---|
| ALASKA         | 50.00%           | \$20,371,357                   | \$20,860,270     | \$1,468,824,000                                    | \$20,365,000                        | \$1,448,459,000                   | \$228,704,053                        | \$228,704,053   | \$228,704,053   | \$20,860,270  |
| ARKANSAS       | 70.71%           | \$43,141,306                   | \$44,176,697     | \$4,357,646,000                                    | \$57,820,000                        | \$4,299,826,000                   | \$621,442,405                        | \$621,442,405   | \$621,442,405   | \$44,176,697  |
| DELAWARE       | 54.17%           | \$9,053,936                    | \$9,271,230      | \$1,428,904,000                                    | \$5,740,000                         | \$1,423,164,000                   | \$219,377,170                        | \$219,377,170   | \$219,377,170   | \$9,271,230   |
| IDAHO          | 70.23%           | \$16,438,844                   | \$16,833,376     | \$1,811,070,000                                    | \$25,406,000                        | \$1,785,664,000                   | \$258,438,295                        | \$258,438,295   | \$258,438,295   | \$16,833,376  |
| IOWA           | 60.71%           | \$39,384,356                   | \$40,329,581     | \$3,618,226,000                                    | \$53,904,000                        | \$3,564,322,000                   | \$533,089,687                        | \$533,089,687   | \$533,089,687   | \$40,329,581  |
| MINNESOTA      | 50.00%           | \$74,694,976                   | \$76,487,655     | \$9,809,425,000                                    | \$154,792,000                       | \$9,654,633,000                   | \$1,524,415,737                      | \$1,524,415,737   | \$1,524,415,737   | \$76,487,655  |
| MONTANA        | 66.11%           | \$11,351,703                   | \$11,624,144     | \$1,031,612,000                                    | \$17,553,000                        | \$1,014,059,000                   | \$148,673,681                        | \$148,673,681   | \$148,673,681   | \$11,624,144  |
| NEBRASKA       | 56.64%           | \$28,300,533                   | \$28,979,746     | \$1,985,088,000                                    | \$39,811,000                        | \$1,945,277,000                   | \$296,184,111                        | \$296,184,111   | \$296,184,111   | \$28,979,746  |
| NEW MEXICO     | 69.36%           | \$20,371,357                   | \$20,860,270     | \$3,940,036,000                                    | \$29,223,000                        | \$3,910,813,000                   | \$567,476,966                        | \$567,476,966   | \$567,476,966   | \$20,860,270  |
| NORTH DAKOTA   | 55.40%           | \$9,552,761                    | \$9,782,027      | \$780,902,000                                      | \$1,776,000                         | \$779,126,000                     | \$119,346,305                        | \$119,346,305   | \$119,346,305   | \$9,782,027   |
| OKLAHOMA       | 63.88%           | \$36,215,744                   | \$37,084,922     | \$4,789,962,000                                    | \$57,000,000                        | \$4,732,962,000                   | \$699,325,241                        | \$699,325,241   | \$699,325,241   | \$37,084,922  |
| OREGON         | 62.91%           | \$45,269,681                   | \$46,356,153     | \$4,778,528,000                                    | \$24,568,000                        | \$4,753,960,000                   | \$704,941,953                        | \$704,941,953   | \$704,941,953   | \$46,356,153  |
| SOUTH DAKOTA   | 59.13%           | \$11,045,549                   | \$11,310,642     | \$868,253,000                                      | \$2,406,000                         | \$865,847,000                     | \$130,356,545                        | \$130,356,545   | \$130,356,545   | \$11,310,642  |
| UTAH           | 70.99%           | \$19,619,586                   | \$20,090,456     | \$2,024,580,000                                    | \$27,390,000                        | \$1,997,190,000                   | \$288,416,040                        | \$288,416,040   | \$288,416,040   | \$20,090,456  |
| WISCONSIN      | 60.53%           | \$94,540,543                   | \$96,809,516     | \$6,823,722,000                                    | \$157,303,000                       | \$6,666,419,000                   | \$997,778,715                        | \$997,778,715   | \$997,778,715   | \$96,809,516  |

| A                       | B                            | C                | D  | E  | F                                   | G                                 | H  | I   | J   | K   |
|-------------------------|------------------------------|------------------|--|--|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|---|---|---|
| STATE                   | 1923(f)(3)(D)<br>Test Met /1 | FY 2012<br>FMAPS | FY 2011<br>DSH Allotment<br>For States<br>Meeting Test /2,<br>/3 | FY 2011<br>Allotments x<br>CPIU Increase:<br>1.024 | FY 2012<br>TC MAP Exp.<br>Incl. DSH | FY 2012<br>TC DSH<br>Expenditures | FY 2012<br>TC MAP Exp.<br>Net of DSH<br><br>Co F - G | "12%<br>AMOUNT"<br>=COL L x<br>.12/(1-.12/COL<br>G) <sup>1</sup><br><br>(In FS) | Greater of<br>FY 2011<br>Allotment<br>or 12% Limit<br><br>(MAX of D or I) | FY 2012<br>DSH Allotment<br>=FY 04 ALLOT<br>or<br>MIN Col J, Col<br>E |
| WYOMING                 |                              | 50.00%           | \$226,348  | \$231,780  | \$554,151,000                       | \$453,000                         | \$553,698,000  | \$87,426,000  | \$87,426,000  | \$231,780   |
| TOTAL LOW DSH<br>STATES |                              |                  | \$479,578,580  | \$491,088,466                                      | \$50,070,929,000                    | \$675,510,000                     | \$49,395,419,000                                     | \$7,425,392,903   | \$7,425,392,903   | \$491,088,465   |
| TOTAL                   |                              |                  | \$10,230,581,033   | \$10,476,114,978                                   | \$424,311,352,000                   | \$15,449,989,000                  | \$408,861,363,000                                    | \$62,612,297,963  | \$62,612,297,963  | \$11,341,637,957  |

**FOOTNOTES:**

/1 'YES', if FY 2011 or other prior fiscal year is the 'Fiscal Year Specified', as determined under section 1923(f)(3)(D) of the Social Security Act; and 'NOT MET', if the 'Fiscal Year Specified' has not occurred, and NA for States for which this provision is not applicable.

/2 For Non-Low DSH States, entries in Columns C through Column K are only for States meeting the "Fiscal Year Specified" test ("YES" in Column B) in this fiscal year or a prior fiscal year; the entry in Column D is the actual prior year DSH allotment for such States. For States not meeting such test, the prior fiscal year allotment would be equal to the FY 2004 allotment.

/3 The DSH Allotments in Column D are not the actual FY 2010 DSH Allotments; rather, under section 1923(f)(3) of the Social Security Act, they are the allotments as would have been determined without regard to section 5002 of P.L. 111-5.

/4 Hawaii and Tennessee DSH allotments are determined under section 1923(f)(6) of the Act. Under this provision, Tennessee's DSH payments for FY 2012 are limited to \$70,108,895



**Key to Chart 4—Final IMD DSH Limit  
for FY 2010**

**KEY TO CHART 4—FINAL IMD DSH LIMIT FOR FY: 2010**

[Key to the Chart of the Final FY 2010 IMD Limitations.—The Final FY 2010 IMD DSH Limits for the regular States are presented in the top section of this chart and the final FY IMD DSH Limits for the Low DSH States are presented in the bottom section of the chart]

| Column         | Description   |
|----------------|---|
| Column A ..... | State.  |
| Column B ..... | Inpatient Hospital Services FY 95 DSH Total Computable. This column contains the States' total computable FY 1995 inpatient hospital DSH expenditures as reported on the Form CMS-64.   |
| Column C ..... | IMD and Mental Health Services FY 95 DSH Total Computable. This column contains the total computable FY 1995 mental health facility DSH expenditures as reported on the Form CMS-64 as of January 1, 1997.  |
| Column D ..... | Total Inpatient & IMD & Mental Health FY 95 DSH Total Computable, Col B + C. This column contains the total computation of all inpatient hospital DSH expenditures and mental health facility DSH expenditures for FY 1995 as reported on the Form CMS-64 as of January 1, 1997 (representing the sum of Column B and Column C).  |
| Column E ..... | Applicable Percentage Col C/D. This column contains the "applicable percentage" representing the total computable FY 1995 mental health facility DSH expenditures divided by total computable all inpatient hospital and mental health facility DSH expenditures for FY 1995 (the amount in Column C divided by the amount in Column D). Per section 1923(h)(2)(A)(ii)(III) of the Act, for FYs after FY 2002, the applicable percentage can be no greater than 33 percent. |
| Column F ..... | FY 2010 Allotment in FS Under ARRA. This column contains the States' final FY 2010 DSH allotments as determined under ARRA.   |
| Column G ..... | FY 2010 FMAP. This column contains the States' FY 2010 FMAPs.   |
| Column H ..... | FY 2010 DSH Allotments in TC. Col. F/G. This column contains the FY 2010 total computable DSH Allotment (determined as the amount in Column F divided by the amount in Column G).   |
| Column I ..... | Col E * Col H in TC. This column contains the applicable percent of FY 2010 total computable DSH allotment (calculated as the amount in Column E multiplied by the amount in Column H).   |
| Column J ..... | FY 2010 TC IMD DSH Limit. Lesser of Col. C or I. This column contains the FY 2010 TC IMD DSH Limit equal to the lesser of the amount in Column C or Column I.   |
| Column K ..... | FY 2010 IMD DSH Limit in FS U/ARRA. Col. G × J. This column contains the FY 2010 Federal share IMD DSH limit determined by converting the total computable FY 2010 IMD DSH Limit from Column J into a Federal share amount by multiplying it by the FY 2010 FMAP in Column G.   |

**BILLING CODE P**

| CHART 4 - FINAL IMD DSH LIMIT FOR FY: 2010 |  |   |  |                            |                                    |              |                                  |                    |   |  |  |  |
|--|--|---|--|----------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------|----------------------------------|--------------------|---|--|--|--|
| A  | B  | C   | D  | E                          | F                                  | G            | H                                | I                  | J   | K  |  |  |
| STATE                                      | INPATIENT HOSPITAL SERVICES FY 95 DSH TOTAL COMPUTABLE | IMD AND MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES FY 95 DSH TOTAL COMPUTABLE | TOTAL INPATIENT & MENTAL HEALTH FY 95 DSH TOTAL COMPUTABLE Col B + C | APPLICABLE PERCENT Col C/D | FY 2010 ALLOTMENT IN FS UNDER ARRA | FY 2010 FMAP | FY 2010 ALLOTMENTS IN TC Col F/G | COLE * COL H IN TC | FY 2010 TC IMD LIMIT (Lesser of Col I or Col C) | FY 2010 IMD LIMIT IN FS UJARRA Col G x J |  |  |
| ALABAMA                                    | \$413,006,229  | \$4,451,770   | \$417,457,999  | 1.07%                      | \$317,692,797                      | 68.01%       | \$467,126,595                    | \$4,981,436        | \$4,451,770                                     | \$3,027,649                              |  |  |
| ARIZONA                                    | \$93,916,100   | \$28,474,900  | \$122,391,000  | 23.27%                     | \$104,606,165                      | 65.75%       | \$159,096,829                    | \$37,014,701       | \$28,474,900                                    | \$18,722,247                             |  |  |
| CALIFORNIA                                 | \$2,189,879,543  | \$1,555,919   | \$2,191,435,462  | 0.071%                     | \$1,132,587,735                    | 50.00%       | \$2,265,175,470                  | \$1,608,274        | \$1,555,919                                     | \$777,960                                |  |  |
| COLORADO                                   | \$173,900,441  | \$594,776   | \$174,495,217  | 0.34%                      | \$95,566,125                       | 50.00%       | \$191,132,250                    | \$651,484          | \$594,776                                       | \$297,388                                |  |  |
| CONNECTICUT                                | \$303,359,275  | \$105,573,725   | \$408,933,000  | 25.82%                     | \$206,629,461                      | 50.00%       | \$413,258,922                    | \$106,690,543      | \$105,573,725                                   | \$52,786,863                             |  |  |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA                       | \$39,532,234   | \$6,545,136   | \$46,077,370   | 14.20%                     | \$63,280,272                       | 70.00%       | \$90,400,389                     | \$12,841,072       | \$6,545,136                                     | \$4,581,595                              |  |  |
| FLORIDA                                    | \$184,468,014  | \$149,714,986   | \$334,183,000  | 33.00%                     | \$206,629,461                      | 54.98%       | \$375,826,593                    | \$124,022,776      | \$124,022,776                                   | \$68,187,722                             |  |  |
| GEORGIA                                    | \$407,343,557  | \$0   | \$407,343,557  | 0.00%                      | \$277,658,339                      | 65.10%       | \$426,510,505                    | \$0                | \$0   | \$0                                      |  |  |
| HAWAII                                     | \$0  | \$0   | \$0  | 0.00%                      | \$10,000,000                       | 54.24%       | \$18,436,578                     | \$0                | \$0   | \$0                                      |  |  |
| ILLINOIS                                   | \$315,868,508  | \$89,408,276  | \$405,276,784  | 22.06%                     | \$222,126,671                      | 50.17%       | \$442,747,999                    | \$97,674,816       | \$89,408,276                                    | \$44,856,132                             |  |  |
| INDIANA                                    | \$79,960,783   | \$153,566,302   | \$233,527,085  | 33.00%                     | \$220,835,237                      | 65.93%       | \$334,954,098                    | \$110,534,852      | \$110,534,852                                   | \$72,875,628                             |  |  |
| KANSAS                                     | \$11,587,208   | \$76,663,508  | \$88,250,716   | 33.00%                     | \$42,617,327                       | 60.38%       | \$70,581,860                     | \$23,292,014       | \$23,292,014                                    | \$14,063,718                             |  |  |
| KENTUCKY                                   | \$158,804,908  | \$37,443,073  | \$196,247,981  | 19.08%                     | \$149,806,360                      | 70.96%       | \$211,113,811                    | \$40,279,394       | \$37,443,073                                    | \$26,569,605                             |  |  |
| LOUISIANA                                  | \$1,078,512,169  | \$132,917,149   | \$1,211,429,318  | 10.97%                     | \$769,015,475                      | 67.61%       | \$1,137,428,598                  | \$124,797,843      | \$124,797,843                                   | \$94,375,822                             |  |  |
| MAINE                                      | \$99,957,958   | \$60,958,342  | \$160,916,300  | 33.00%                     | \$108,480,467                      | 64.99%       | \$166,918,706                    | \$55,083,173       | \$55,083,173                                    | \$35,798,554                             |  |  |
| MARYLAND                                   | \$22,226,467   | \$120,873,531   | \$143,099,998  | 33.00%                     | \$78,777,483                       | 50.00%       | \$157,554,966                    | \$51,993,139       | \$51,993,139                                    | \$25,996,569                             |  |  |
| MASSACHUSETTS                              | \$469,653,946  | \$105,635,054   | \$575,289,000  | 18.36%                     | \$315,109,928                      | 50.00%       | \$630,219,856                    | \$115,721,504      | \$105,635,054                                   | \$52,817,527                             |  |  |
| MICHIGAN                                   | \$133,258,800  | \$304,765,552   | \$438,024,352  | 33.00%                     | \$273,784,036                      | 63.19%       | \$433,271,144                    | \$142,979,478      | \$142,979,478                                   | \$90,348,732                             |  |  |
| MISSISSIPPI                                | \$182,608,033  | \$0   | \$182,608,033  | 0.00%                      | \$157,554,964                      | 75.67%       | \$208,213,247                    | \$0                | \$0   | \$0                                      |  |  |
| MISSOURI                                   | \$521,946,524  | \$207,234,618   | \$729,181,142  | 28.42%                     | \$489,453,536                      | 64.51%       | \$758,725,060                    | \$215,631,054      | \$207,234,618                                   | \$133,687,052                            |  |  |
| NEVADA                                     | \$73,560,000   | \$0   | \$73,560,000   | 0.00%                      | \$47,783,063                       | 50.16%       | \$95,261,290                     | \$0                | \$0   | \$0                                      |  |  |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE                              | \$92,675,916   | \$94,753,948  | \$187,429,864  | 33.00%                     | \$165,405,357                      | 50.00%       | \$330,810,714                    | \$109,167,536      | \$94,753,948                                    | \$47,376,974                             |  |  |
| NEW JERSEY                                 | \$736,742,539  | \$357,370,461   | \$1,094,113,000  | 32.66%                     | \$665,088,579                      | 50.00%       | \$1,330,177,158                  | \$434,476,169      | \$357,370,461                                   | \$178,685,231                            |  |  |
| NEW YORK                                   | \$2,418,869,368  | \$605,000,000   | \$3,023,869,368  | 20.01%                     | \$1,659,492,862                    | 50.00%       | \$3,318,985,724                  | \$664,045,340      | \$605,000,000                                   | \$302,500,000                            |  |  |

| CHART 4 - FINAL IMD DSH LIMIT FOR FY: 2010 |  |   |  |                            |                                    |              |                                  |                        |   |  |
|--|--|---|--|----------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------|----------------------------------|------------------------|---|--|
| A  | B  | C   | D  | E                          | F                                  | G            | H                                | I                      | J   | K  |
| STATE                                      | INPATIENT HOSPITAL SERVICES FY 95 DSH TOTAL COMPUTABLE | IMD AND MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES FY 95 DSH TOTAL COMPUTABLE | TOTAL INPATIENT & MENTAL HEALTH FY 95 DSH TOTAL COMPUTABLE Col B + C | APPLICABLE PERCENT Col C/D | FY 2010 ALLOTMENT IN FS UNDER ARRA | FY 2010 FMAP | FY 2010 ALLOTMENTS IN TC Col F/G | COLE * COL H IN TC     | FY 2010 TC IMD LIMIT (Lesser of Col I or Col C) | FY 2010 IMD LIMIT IN FS UJARRA Col G x J |
| NORTH CAROLINA                             | \$193,201,966  | \$236,072,627   | \$429,274,593  | 33.00%                     | \$304,778,456                      | 65.13%       | \$467,954,024                    | \$154,424,828          | \$154,424,828                                   | \$100,576,890                            |
| OHIO                                       | \$535,731,956  | \$93,432,758  | \$629,164,714  | 14.85%                     | \$419,716,094                      | 63.42%       | \$661,803,996                    | \$98,279,785           | \$93,432,758                                    | \$59,255,055                             |
| PENNSYLVANIA                               | \$388,207,319  | \$579,199,682   | \$967,407,001  | 33.00%                     | \$579,853,926                      | 54.81%       | \$1,057,934,548                  | \$349,118,401          | \$349,118,401                                   | \$191,351,796                            |
| RHODE ISLAND                               | \$108,503,167  | \$2,397,833   | \$110,901,000  | 2.16%                      | \$67,154,574                       | 52.63%       | \$127,597,519                    | \$2,758,835            | \$2,397,833                                     | \$1,261,980                              |
| SOUTH CAROLINA                             | \$366,681,364  | \$72,076,341  | \$438,757,705  | 16.43%                     | \$338,355,743                      | 70.32%       | \$481,165,732                    | \$79,042,864           | \$72,076,341                                    | \$50,684,083                             |
| TENNESSEE                                  | \$0  | \$0   | \$0  | 0.00%                      | \$305,451,928                      | 65.57%       | \$465,840,976                    | \$0                    | \$0   | \$0                                      |
| TEXAS                                      | \$1,220,515,401  | \$292,513,592   | \$1,513,028,993  | 19.33%                     | \$987,947,112                      | 58.73%       | \$1,682,184,764                  | \$325,216,443          | \$292,513,592                                   | \$171,793,233                            |
| VERMONT                                    | \$19,979,252   | \$9,071,297   | \$29,050,549   | 31.23%                     | \$23,245,815                       | 58.73%       | \$39,580,819                     | \$12,359,469           | \$9,071,297                                     | \$5,327,573                              |
| VIRGINIA                                   | \$129,313,480  | \$7,770,268   | \$137,083,748  | 5.67%                      | \$90,511,529                       | 50.00%       | \$181,023,058                    | \$10,260,864           | \$7,770,268                                     | \$3,885,134                              |
| WASHINGTON                                 | \$171,725,815  | \$163,836,435   | \$335,562,250  | 33.00%                     | \$191,132,252                      | 50.12%       | \$381,349,266                    | \$125,845,258          | \$125,845,258                                   | \$63,073,643                             |
| WEST VIRGINIA                              | \$66,962,606   | \$18,887,045  | \$85,849,651   | 22.00%                     | \$69,737,443                       | 74.04%       | \$94,188,875                     | \$20,721,686           | \$18,887,045                                    | \$13,983,968                             |
| <b>TOTAL</b>                               | <b>\$13,402,460,846</b>                                | <b>\$4,118,758,904</b>                                    | <b>\$17,521,219,750</b>  |                            | <b>\$11,157,866,572</b>            |              | <b>\$19,674,551,938</b>          | <b>\$3,651,515,030</b> | <b>\$3,402,282,551</b>                          | <b>\$1,919,526,323</b>                   |
| <b>LOW DSH STATES</b>                      |  |   |  |                            |                                    |              |                                  |                        |   |  |
| ALASKA                                     | \$2,506,827  | \$17,611,765  | \$20,118,592   | 33.00%                     | \$21,044,894                       | 51.43%       | \$40,919,491                     | \$13,503,432           | \$13,503,432                                    | \$6,944,815                              |
| ARKANSAS                                   | \$2,422,649  | \$819,351   | \$3,242,000  | 25.27%                     | \$44,567,684                       | 72.78%       | \$61,236,169                     | \$15,476,223           | \$819,351                                       | \$596,324                                |
| DELAWARE                                   | \$0  | \$7,069,000   | \$7,069,000  | 33.00%                     | \$9,353,286                        | 50.21%       | \$18,628,333                     | \$6,147,350            | \$6,147,350                                     | \$3,086,584                              |
| IDAHO                                      | \$2,081,429  | \$0   | \$2,081,429  | 0.00%                      | \$16,982,360                       | 69.40%       | \$24,470,259                     | \$0                    | \$0   | \$0                                      |
| IOWA                                       | \$12,011,250   | \$0   | \$12,011,250   | 0.00%                      | \$40,686,518                       | 63.51%       | \$64,063,168                     | \$0                    | \$0   | \$0                                      |
| MINNESOTA                                  | \$24,240,000   | \$5,257,214   | \$29,497,214   | 17.82%                     | \$77,164,611                       | 50.00%       | \$154,329,222                    | \$27,505,708           | \$5,257,214                                     | \$2,628,607                              |
| MONTANA                                    | \$237,048  | \$0   | \$237,048  | 0.00%                      | \$11,727,024                       | 67.42%       | \$17,393,984                     | \$0                    | \$0   | \$0                                      |
| NEBRASKA                                   | \$6,449,102  | \$1,811,337   | \$8,260,439  | 21.93%                     | \$29,236,232                       | 60.56%       | \$48,276,473                     | \$10,585,994           | \$1,811,337                                     | \$1,096,946                              |
| NEW MEXICO                                 | \$6,490,015  | \$254,786   | \$6,744,801  | 3.78%                      | \$21,044,894                       | 71.35%       | \$29,495,296                     | \$1,114,190            | \$254,786                                       | \$181,790                                |

| CHART 4 - FINAL IMD DSH LIMIT FOR FY: 2010 |  |   |  |                    |                                    |              |                          |                     |   |   |
|--|--|---|--|--------------------|------------------------------------|--------------|--------------------------|---------------------|---|---|
| A  | B  | C   | D  | E                  | F                                  | G            | H                        | I                   | J   | K                                       |
| STATE                                      | INPATIENT HOSPITAL SERVICES FY 95 DSH TOTAL COMPUTABLE | IMD AND MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES FY 95 DSH TOTAL COMPUTABLE | TOTAL INPATIENT & IMD & MENTAL HEALTH FY 95 DSH TOTAL COMPUTABLE | APPLICABLE PERCENT | FY 2010 ALLOTMENT IN FS UNDER ARRA | FY 2010 FMAP | FY 2010 ALLOTMENTS IN TC | COL E * COL H IN TC | FY 2010 TC IMD LIMIT (Lesser of Col I or Col C) | FY 2010 IMD LIMIT IN FS UARRA Col G x J |
| NORTH DAKOTA                               | \$214,523  | \$988,478   | \$1,203,001  | 33.00%             | \$9,868,604                        | 63.01%       | \$15,661,965             | \$5,168,448         | \$988,478                                       | \$622,840                               |
| OKLAHOMA                                   | \$20,019,969   | \$3,273,248   | \$23,293,217   | 14.05%             | \$37,413,143                       | 64.43%       | \$58,067,892             | \$8,159,912         | \$3,273,248                                     | \$2,108,954                             |
| OREGON                                     | \$11,437,908   | \$19,975,092  | \$31,413,000   | 33.00%             | \$46,766,430                       | 62.74%       | \$74,540,054             | \$24,598,218        | \$19,975,092                                    | \$12,532,373                            |
| SOUTH DAKOTA                               | \$321,120  | \$751,299   | \$1,072,419  | 33.00%             | \$11,410,747                       | 62.72%       | \$18,193,155             | \$6,003,741         | \$751,299                                       | \$471,215                               |
| UTAH                                       | \$3,621,116  | \$934,586   | \$4,555,702  | 20.51%             | \$20,268,267                       | 71.68%       | \$28,276,042             | \$5,800,729         | \$934,586                                       | \$669,911                               |
| WISCONSIN                                  | \$6,609,524  | \$4,492,011   | \$11,101,535   | 33.00%             | \$97,666,330                       | 60.21%       | \$162,209,483            | \$53,529,130        | \$4,492,011                                     | \$2,704,640                             |
| WYOMING                                    | \$0  | \$0   | \$0  | 0.00%              | \$233,831                          | 50.00%       | \$467,662                | \$0                 | \$0   | \$0                                     |
| TOTAL LOW DSH STATES                       | \$98,662,480   | \$63,238,167  | \$161,900,647  |                    | \$495,434,855                      |              | \$816,228,650            | \$177,593,076       | \$59,208,184                                    | \$33,644,999                            |
| TOTAL                                      | \$13,501,123,326                                       | \$4,181,997,071   | \$17,683,120,397   |                    | \$11,157,866,572                   |              | \$20,490,780,588         | \$3,829,108,106     | \$3,460,490,735                                 | \$1,953,171,322                         |

**Key to Chart 5. Preliminary IMD DSH  
Limit for FY 2011**

**KEY TO CHART 5—FINAL IMD DSH LIMIT FOR FY: 2011**

[Key to the Chart of the FY 2011 IMD Limitations.—The final FY 2011 IMD DSH Limits for the Non-Low DSH States are presented in the top section of this chart and the final FY 2011 IMD DSH Limits for the Low-DSH States are presented in the bottom section of the chart]

| Column         | Description  |
|----------------|--|
| Column A ..... | State.   |
| Column B ..... | Inpatient Hospital Services FY 95 DSH Total Computable. This column contains the States' total computable FY 1995 inpatient hospital DSH expenditures as reported on the Form CMS-64.  |
| Column C ..... | IMD and Mental Health Services FY 95 DSH Total Computable. This column contains the total computable FY 1995 mental health facility DSH expenditures as reported on the Form CMS-64 as of January 1, 1997.   |
| Column D ..... | Total Inpatient & IMD & Mental Health FY 95 DSH Total Computable, Col. B + C. This column contains the total computation of all inpatient hospital DSH expenditures and mental health facility DSH expenditures for FY 1995 as reported on the Form CMS-64 as of January 1, 1997 (representing the sum of Column B and Column C).  |
| Column E ..... | Applicable Percent Col. C/D. This column contains the "applicable percentage" representing the total Computable FY 1995 mental health facility DSH expenditures divided by total computable all inpatient hospital and mental health facility DSH expenditures for FY 1995 (the amount in Column C divided by the amount in Column D) Per section 1923(h)(2)(A)(ii)(III) Of the Act, for FYs after FY 2002, the applicable Percentage can be no greater than 33 percent. |
| Column F ..... | FY 2011 Federal Share DSH Allotment. This column contains the States' final FY 2011 DSH allotments.  |
| Column G ..... | FY 2011 FMAP. This columns contains the States' FY 2010 FMAPs.   |
| Column H ..... | FY 2011 DSH Allotments in Total Computable Col. F/G. This column contains States' FY 2011 total computable DSH allotment (determined as Column F/Column G).  |
| Column I ..... | Col E * Col H in TC. This column contains the applicable percent of FY 2010 total computable DSH allotment (calculated as the percentage in Column E multiplied by the amount in Column H)   |
| Column J ..... | FY 2011 TC IMD DSH Limit. Lesser of Col. C or I. This column contains the FY 2011 TC IMD DSH Limit equal to the lesser of the amount in Column C or Column I.  |
| Column K ..... | FY 2011 IMD DSH Limit in Federal Share, Col. G × J. This column contains the FY 2011 Federal share IMD DSH limit determined by converting the total computable FY 2011 IMD DSH Limit from Column J into a Federal share amount by multiplying it by the FY 2011 FMAP in Column G.  |

**BILLING CODE P**

CHART 5 - FINAL IMD DSH LIMIT FOR FY: 2011

| A                    | B  | C   | D  | E                  | F                       | G            | H                        | I                   | J                                | K                       |
|----------------------|--|---|--|--------------------|-------------------------|--------------|--------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------|
| STATE                | INPATIENT HOSPITAL SERVICES FY 95 DSH TOTAL COMPUTABLE | IMD AND MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES FY 95 DSH TOTAL COMPUTABLE | TOTAL INPATIENT & MENTAL HEALTH FY 95 DSH TOTAL COMPUTABLE | APPLICABLE PERCENT | FY 2011 ALLOTMENT IN FS | FY 2011 FMAP | FY 2011 ALLOTMENTS IN TC | COL E * COL H IN TC | FY 2011 TC IMD LIMIT (LESSER OF) | FY 2011 IMD LIMIT IN FS |
|                      |  | Col B + C   | Col B + C  | Col C/D            |                         |              | Col F/G                  |                     | Col I or Col C                   | Col G x J               |
| ALABAMA              | \$413,006,229  | \$4,451,770   | \$417,457,999  | 1.07%              | \$307,525,116           | 68.54%       | \$448,679,772            | \$4,784,719         | \$4,451,770                      | \$3,051,243             |
| ARIZONA              | \$93,916,100   | \$28,474,900  | \$122,391,000  | 23.27%             | \$101,258,270           | 65.85%       | \$153,771,101            | \$35,775,643        | \$28,474,900                     | \$18,750,722            |
| CALIFORNIA           | \$2,189,879,543  | \$1,555,919   | \$2,191,435,462  | 0.071%             | \$1,096,339,537         | 50.00%       | \$2,192,679,074          | \$1,556,802         | \$1,555,919                      | \$777,960               |
| COLORADO             | \$173,900,441  | \$594,776   | \$174,495,217  | 0.34%              | \$92,507,555            | 50.00%       | \$185,015,110            | \$630,634           | \$594,776                        | \$297,388               |
| CONNECTICUT          | \$303,359,275  | \$105,573,725   | \$408,933,000  | 25.82%             | \$200,016,335           | 50.00%       | \$400,032,670            | \$103,275,938       | \$103,275,938                    | \$51,637,969            |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | \$39,532,234   | \$6,545,136   | \$46,077,370   | 14.20%             | \$61,255,002            | 70.00%       | \$87,507,146             | \$12,430,097        | \$6,545,136                      | \$4,581,595             |
| FLORIDA              | \$184,468,014  | \$149,714,986   | \$334,183,000  | 33.00%             | \$200,016,335           | 55.45%       | \$360,714,761            | \$119,035,871       | \$119,035,871                    | \$66,005,391            |
| GEORGIA              | \$407,343,557  | \$0   | \$407,343,557  | 0.00%              | \$268,771,950           | 65.33%       | \$411,406,628            | \$0                 | \$0                              | \$0                     |
| HAWAII               | \$0  | \$0   | \$0  | 0.00%              | \$10,000,000            | 51.79%       | \$19,308,747             | \$0                 | \$0                              | \$0                     |
| ILLINOIS             | \$315,868,508  | \$89,408,276  | \$405,276,784  | 22.06%             | \$215,017,560           | 50.20%       | \$428,321,833            | \$94,492,254        | \$89,408,276                     | \$44,882,955            |
| INDIANA              | \$79,960,783   | \$153,566,302   | \$233,527,085  | 33.00%             | \$213,767,459           | 66.52%       | \$321,358,176            | \$106,048,198       | \$106,048,198                    | \$70,543,261            |
| KANSAS               | \$11,587,208   | \$76,663,508  | \$88,250,716   | 33.00%             | \$41,253,369            | 59.05%       | \$69,861,760             | \$23,054,381        | \$23,054,381                     | \$13,613,612            |
| KENTUCKY             | \$158,804,908  | \$37,443,073  | \$196,247,981  | 19.08%             | \$145,011,843           | 71.49%       | \$202,842,136            | \$38,701,203        | \$37,443,073                     | \$26,768,053            |
| LOUISIANA            | \$1,078,512,169  | \$132,917,149   | \$1,211,429,318  | 10.97%             | \$731,960,000           | 64.72%       | \$1,130,964,153          | \$124,088,569       | \$124,088,569                    | \$80,310,122            |
| MAINE                | \$99,957,958   | \$60,958,342  | \$160,916,300  | 33.00%             | \$105,008,576           | 63.80%       | \$164,590,245            | \$54,314,781        | \$54,314,781                     | \$34,652,830            |
| MARYLAND             | \$22,226,467   | \$120,873,531   | \$143,099,998  | 33.00%             | \$76,256,228            | 50.00%       | \$152,512,456            | \$50,329,110        | \$50,329,110                     | \$25,164,555            |
| MASSACHUSETTS        | \$469,653,946  | \$105,635,054   | \$575,289,000  | 18.36%             | \$305,024,911           | 50.00%       | \$610,049,822            | \$112,017,866       | \$105,635,054                    | \$52,817,527            |
| MICHIGAN             | \$133,258,800  | \$304,765,552   | \$438,024,352  | 33.00%             | \$265,021,644           | 65.79%       | \$402,829,676            | \$132,933,793       | \$132,933,793                    | \$87,457,143            |
| MISSISSIPPI          | \$182,608,033  | \$0   | \$182,608,033  | 0.00%              | \$152,512,455           | 74.73%       | \$204,084,645            | \$0                 | \$0                              | \$0                     |
| MISSOURI             | \$521,946,524  | \$207,234,618   | \$729,181,142  | 28.42%             | \$473,788,694           | 63.29%       | \$748,599,611            | \$212,753,383       | \$207,234,618                    | \$131,158,790           |
| NEVADA               | \$73,560,000   | \$0   | \$73,560,000   | 0.00%              | \$46,253,777            | 51.61%       | \$89,621,734             | \$0                 | \$0                              | \$0                     |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE        | \$92,675,916   | \$94,753,948  | \$187,429,864  | 33.00%             | \$160,111,598           | 50.00%       | \$320,223,196            | \$105,673,655       | \$94,753,948                     | \$47,376,974            |
| NEW JERSEY           | \$736,742,539  | \$357,370,461   | \$1,094,113,000  | 32.66%             | \$643,802,579           | 50.00%       | \$1,287,605,158          | \$420,570,863       | \$357,370,461                    | \$178,685,231           |
| NEW YORK             | \$2,418,869,368  | \$605,000,000   | \$3,023,869,368  | 20.01%             | \$1,606,381,192         | 50.00%       | \$3,212,762,384          | \$642,792,729       | \$605,000,000                    | \$302,500,000           |
| NORTH CAROLINA       | \$193,201,966  | \$236,072,627   | \$429,274,593  | 33.00%             | \$295,024,095           | 64.71%       | \$455,917,316            | \$160,452,714       | \$150,452,714                    | \$97,357,951            |

| A                     | B  | C   | D  | E                  | F                       | G            | H                        | I                      | J                               | K                       |
|-----------------------|--|---|--|--------------------|-------------------------|--------------|--------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------|
| STATE                 | INPATIENT HOSPITAL SERVICES FY 95 DSH TOTAL COMPUTABLE | IMD AND MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES FY 95 DSH TOTAL COMPUTABLE | TOTAL INPATIENT & MENTAL HEALTH FY 95 DSH TOTAL COMPUTABLE | APPLICABLE PERCENT | FY 2011 ALLOTMENT IN FS | FY 2011 FMAP | FY 2011 ALLOTMENTS IN TC | COL E * COL H IN TC    | FY 2011 TC IMD LIMIT (LESSER OF | FY 2011 IMD LIMIT IN FS |
|                       |  |   | Col B + C  | Col C/D            |                         |              | Col F/G                  |                        | Col I or Col C                  | Col G x J               |
| OHIO                  | \$535,731,956  | \$93,432,758  | \$629,164,714  | 14.85%             | \$406,283,181           | 63.69%       | \$637,907,334            | \$94,731,062           | \$93,432,758                    | \$99,507,324            |
| PENNSYLVANIA          | \$388,207,319  | \$579,199,682   | \$967,407,001  | 33.00%             | \$561,295,840           | 55.64%       | \$1,008,799,137          | \$332,903,715          | \$332,903,715                   | \$185,227,627           |
| RHODE ISLAND          | \$108,503,167  | \$2,397,833   | \$110,901,000  | 2.16%              | \$65,005,309            | 52.97%       | \$122,720,991            | \$2,653,398            | \$2,397,833                     | \$1,270,132             |
| SOUTH CAROLINA        | \$366,681,364  | \$72,076,341  | \$438,757,705  | 16.43%             | \$327,526,749           | 70.04%       | \$467,628,140            | \$76,818,993           | \$72,076,341                    | \$50,482,269            |
| TENNESSEE             | \$0  | \$0   | \$0  | 0.00%              | \$305,451,928           | 65.85%       | \$463,860,179            | \$0                    | \$0                             | \$0                     |
| TEXAS                 | \$1,220,515,401  | \$292,513,592   | \$1,513,028,993  | 19.33%             | \$956,328,103           | 60.56%       | \$1,579,141,518          | \$305,295,113          | \$292,513,592                   | \$177,146,231           |
| VERMONT               | \$19,979,252   | \$9,071,297   | \$29,050,549   | 31.23%             | \$22,501,838            | 58.71%       | \$38,327,096             | \$11,967,983           | \$9,071,297                     | \$5,325,758             |
| VIRGINIA              | \$129,313,480  | \$7,770,268   | \$137,083,748  | 5.67%              | \$87,614,730            | 50.00%       | \$175,229,460            | \$9,932,467            | \$7,770,268                     | \$3,885,134             |
| WASHINGTON            | \$171,725,815  | \$163,836,435   | \$335,562,250  | 33.00%             | \$185,015,110           | 50.00%       | \$370,030,220            | \$122,109,973          | \$122,109,973                   | \$61,054,986            |
| WEST VIRGINIA         | \$66,962,606   | \$18,887,045  | \$85,849,651   | 22.00%             | \$67,505,513            | 73.24%       | \$92,170,280             | \$20,277,592           | \$18,887,045                    | \$13,832,872            |
| <b>TOTAL</b>          | <b>\$13,402,460,846</b>                                | <b>\$4,118,758,904</b>                                    | <b>\$17,521,219,750</b>                                    |                    | <b>\$10,798,414,381</b> |              | <b>\$19,017,073,664</b>  | <b>\$3,522,403,498</b> | <b>\$3,353,164,109</b>          | <b>\$1,896,123,605</b>  |
| <b>LOW DSH STATES</b> |  |   |  |                    |                         |              |                          |                        |                                 |                         |
| ALASKA                | \$2,506,827  | \$17,611,765  | \$20,118,592   | 33.00%             | \$20,371,357            | 50.00%       | \$40,742,714             | \$13,445,096           | \$13,445,096                    | \$6,722,548             |
| ARKANSAS              | \$2,422,649  | \$819,351   | \$3,242,000  | 25.27%             | \$43,141,306            | 71.37%       | \$60,447,395             | \$15,276,877           | \$819,351                       | \$584,771               |
| DELAWARE              | \$0  | \$7,069,000   | \$7,069,000  | 33.00%             | \$9,053,936             | 53.15%       | \$17,034,687             | \$5,621,447            | \$5,621,447                     | \$2,987,799             |
| IDAHO                 | \$2,081,429  | \$0   | \$2,081,429  | 0.00%              | \$16,438,844            | 68.85%       | \$23,876,317             | \$0                    | \$0                             | \$0                     |
| IOWA                  | \$12,011,250   | \$0   | \$12,011,250   | 0.00%              | \$39,384,356            | 62.63%       | \$62,884,171             | \$0                    | \$0                             | \$0                     |
| MINNESOTA             | \$24,240,000   | \$5,257,214   | \$29,497,214   | 17.82%             | \$74,694,976            | 50.00%       | \$149,389,952            | \$26,625,394           | \$5,257,214                     | \$2,628,607             |
| MONTANA               | \$237,048  | \$0   | \$237,048  | 0.00%              | \$11,351,703            | 66.81%       | \$16,991,024             | \$0                    | \$0                             | \$0                     |
| NEBRASKA              | \$6,449,102  | \$1,811,337   | \$8,260,439  | 21.93%             | \$28,300,533            | 58.44%       | \$48,426,648             | \$10,618,925           | \$1,811,337                     | \$1,058,545             |
| NEW MEXICO            | \$6,490,015  | \$254,786   | \$6,744,801  | 3.78%              | \$20,371,357            | 69.78%       | \$29,193,690             | \$1,102,797            | \$254,786                       | \$177,790               |
| NORTH DAKOTA          | \$214,523  | \$988,478   | \$1,203,001  | 33.00%             | \$9,552,761             | 60.35%       | \$15,828,933             | \$5,223,548            | \$988,478                       | \$586,546               |
| OKLAHOMA              | \$20,019,969   | \$3,273,248   | \$23,293,217   | 14.05%             | \$36,215,744            | 64.94%       | \$55,768,007             | \$7,836,724            | \$3,273,248                     | \$2,125,647             |
| OREGON                | \$11,437,908   | \$19,975,092  | \$31,413,000   | 33.00%             | \$45,269,681            | 62.85%       | \$72,028,132             | \$23,769,284           | \$19,975,092                    | \$12,554,345            |
| SOUTH DAKOTA          | \$321,120  | \$751,299   | \$1,072,419  | 33.00%             | \$11,045,549            | 61.25%       | \$18,033,549             | \$5,951,071            | \$751,299                       | \$460,171               |
| UTAH                  | \$3,621,116  | \$934,586   | \$4,555,702  | 20.51%             | \$19,619,586            | 71.13%       | \$27,582,716             | \$5,658,496            | \$934,586                       | \$664,771               |

| A                    | B  | C   | D  | E                  | F                       | G            | H                        | I                   | J   | K                                 |
|----------------------|--|---|--|--------------------|-------------------------|--------------|--------------------------|---------------------|---|-----------------------------------|
|                      | INPATIENT HOSPITAL SERVICES FY 95 DSH TOTAL COMPUTABLE | IMD AND MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES FY 95 DSH TOTAL COMPUTABLE | TOTAL INPATIENT & MENTAL HEALTH FY 95 DSH TOTAL COMPUTABLE | APPLICABLE PERCENT | FY 2011 ALLOTMENT IN FS | FY 2011 FMAP | FY 2011 ALLOTMENTS IN TC | COL E * COL H IN TC | FY 2011 TC IMD LIMIT (LESSER OF Col I or Col C) | FY 2011 IMD LIMIT IN FS Col G x J |
|                      |  | Col B + C   | Col C/D  |                    | Col F/G                 |              | Col F/G                  |                     | Col I or Col C                                  | Col G x J                         |
| STATE                |  |   |  |                    |                         |              |                          |                     |   |                                   |
| WISCONSIN            | \$6,609,524  | \$4,492,011   | \$11,101,535   | 33.00%             | \$94,540,543            | 60.16%       | \$157,148,509            | \$51,859,008        | \$4,492,011                                     | \$2,702,394                       |
| WYOMING              | \$0  | \$0   | \$0  | 0.00%              | \$226,348               | 50.00%       | \$452,696                | \$0                 | \$0   | \$0                               |
| TOTAL LOW DSH STATES | \$98,662,480   | \$63,238,167  | \$161,900,647  |                    | \$479,578,580           |              | \$795,829,140            | \$172,988,665       | \$57,623,944                                    | \$33,263,934                      |
| TOTAL                | \$13,501,123,326                                       | \$4,181,997,071   | \$17,683,120,397   |                    | \$11,277,992,961        |              | \$19,812,902,804         | \$3,695,392,162     | \$3,410,788,053                                 | \$1,929,387,539                   |



**Key to Chart 6. Preliminary IMD DSH  
Limit for FY 2012**

**KEY TO CHART 6—PRELIMINARY IMD DSH LIMIT FOR FY: 2012**

[Key to the Chart of the FY 2012 IMD Limitations.—The preliminary FY 2012 IMD DSH Limits for the Non-Low DSH States are presented in the top section of this chart and the preliminary FY 2012 IMD DSH Limits for the Low-DSH States are presented in the bottom section of the chart]

| Column         | Description  |
|----------------|--|
| Column A ..... | State.   |
| Column B ..... | Inpatient Hospital Services FY 95 DSH Total Computable. This column contains the States' total computable FY 1995 inpatient hospital DSH expenditures as reported on the Form CMS-64.  |
| Column C ..... | IMD and Mental Health Services FY 95 DSH Total Computable. This column contains the total computable FY 1995 mental health facility DSH expenditures as reported on the Form CMS-64 as of January 1, 1997.   |
| Column D ..... | Total Inpatient & IMD & Mental Health FY 95 DSH Total Computable, Col. B + C. This column contains the total computation of all inpatient hospital DSH expenditures and mental health facility DSH expenditures for FY 1995 as reported on the Form CMS-64 as of January 1, 1997 (representing the sum of Column B and Column C).  |
| Column E ..... | Applicable Percent Col. C/D. This column contains the "applicable percentage" representing the total Computable FY 1995 mental health facility DSH expenditures divided by total computable all inpatient hospital and mental health facility DSH expenditures for FY 1995 (the amount in Column C divided by the amount in Column D) Per section 1923(h)(2)(A)(ii)(III) Of the Act, for FYs after FY 2002, the applicable Percentage can be no greater than 33 percent. |
| Column F ..... | FY 2012 Federal Share DSH Allotment. This column contains the States' preliminary FY 2012 DSH allotments.  |
| Column G ..... | FY 2012 FMAP. This columns contains the States' FY 2010 FMAPs.   |
| Column H ..... | FY 2012 DSH Allotments in Total Computable Col. F/G. This column contains States' FY 2012 total computable DSH allotment (determined as Column F/Column G).  |
| Column I ..... | Col E * Col H in TC. This column contains the applicable percent of FY 2012 total computable DSH allotment (calculated as the percentage in Column E multiplied by the amount in Column H).  |
| Column J ..... | FY 2012 TC IMD DSH Limit. Lesser of Col. C or I. This column contains the FY 2012 TC IMD DSH Limit equal to the lesser of the amount in Column C or Column I.  |
| Column K ..... | FY 2012 IMD DSH Limit in Federal Share, Col. G × J. This column contains the FY 2012 Federal share IMD DSH limit determined by converting the total computable FY 2012 IMD DSH Limit from Column J into a Federal share amount by multiplying it by the FY 2012 FMAP in Column G.  |

**BILLING CODE P**

CHART 6 - PRELIMINARY IMD DSH LIMIT FOR FY: 2012

| A                    | B  | C   | D  | E                  | F                       | G            | H                        | I                   | J   | K                       |
|----------------------|--|---|--|--------------------|-------------------------|--------------|--------------------------|---------------------|---|-------------------------|
| STATE                | INPATIENT HOSPITAL SERVICES FY 95 DSH TOTAL COMPUTABLE | IMD AND MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES FY 95 DSH TOTAL COMPUTABLE | TOTAL INPATIENT & MENTAL HEALTH FY 95 DSH TOTAL COMPUTABLE | APPLICABLE PERCENT | FY 2012 ALLOTMENT IN FS | FY 2012 FMAP | FY 2012 ALLOTMENTS IN TC | COL E * COL H IN TC | FY 2012 TC IMD LIMIT (LESSER OF COL I OR COL C) | FY 2012 IMD LIMIT IN FS |
|                      |  | COMPUTABLE  | Col B + C  | Col C/D            |                         |              | Col F/G                  |                     | Col I or Col C                                  | Col G x J               |
| ALABAMA              | \$413,006,229  | \$4,451,770   | \$417,457,999  | 1.07%              | \$314,905,719           | 68.62%       | \$458,912,444            | \$4,893,840         | \$4,451,770                                     | \$3,054,805             |
| ARIZONA              | \$93,916,100   | \$28,474,900  | \$122,391,000  | 23.27%             | \$103,688,468           | 67.30%       | \$154,069,046            | \$35,844,961        | \$28,474,900                                    | \$19,163,608            |
| CALIFORNIA           | \$2,189,879,543  | \$1,555,919   | \$2,191,435,462  | 0.071%             | \$1,122,651,686         | 50.00%       | \$2,245,303,372          | \$1,594,165         | \$1,555,919                                     | \$777,960               |
| COLORADO             | \$173,900,441  | \$594,776   | \$174,495,217  | 0.34%              | \$94,727,736            | 50.00%       | \$189,455,472            | \$645,769           | \$594,776                                       | \$297,388               |
| CONNECTICUT          | \$303,359,275  | \$105,573,725   | \$408,933,000  | 25.82%             | \$204,816,727           | 50.00%       | \$409,633,454            | \$105,754,560       | \$105,573,725                                   | \$52,786,863            |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | \$39,532,234   | \$6,545,136   | \$46,077,370   | 14.20%             | \$62,725,122            | 70.00%       | \$89,607,317             | \$12,728,419        | \$6,545,136                                     | \$4,581,595             |
| FLORIDA              | \$184,468,014  | \$149,714,986   | \$334,183,000  | 33.00%             | \$204,816,727           | 56.04%       | \$365,483,096            | \$120,609,422       | \$120,609,422                                   | \$67,589,520            |
| GEORGIA              | \$407,343,557  | \$0   | \$407,343,557  | 0.00%              | \$275,222,477           | 66.16%       | \$415,995,280            | \$0                 | \$0   | \$0                     |
| HAWAII               | \$0  | \$0   | \$0  | 0.00%              | \$10,000,000            | 50.48%       | \$19,809,826             | \$0                 | \$0   | \$0                     |
| ILLINOIS             | \$315,868,508  | \$89,408,276  | \$405,276,784  | 22.06%             | \$220,177,981           | 50.00%       | \$440,355,962            | \$97,147,108        | \$89,408,276                                    | \$44,704,138            |
| INDIANA              | \$79,960,783   | \$153,566,302   | \$233,527,085  | 33.00%             | \$218,897,878           | 66.96%       | \$326,908,420            | \$107,879,779       | \$107,879,779                                   | \$72,236,300            |
| KANSAS               | \$11,587,208   | \$76,663,508  | \$88,250,716   | 33.00%             | \$42,243,450            | 56.91%       | \$74,228,519             | \$24,495,411        | \$24,495,411                                    | \$13,940,339            |
| KENTUCKY             | \$158,804,908  | \$37,443,073  | \$196,247,981  | 19.08%             | \$148,492,127           | 71.18%       | \$208,614,958            | \$39,802,627        | \$37,443,073                                    | \$26,651,979            |
| LOUISIANA            | \$1,078,512,169  | \$132,917,149   | \$1,211,429,318  | 10.97%             | \$731,960,000           | 69.78%       | \$1,048,953,855          | \$115,090,459       | \$115,090,459                                   | \$80,310,122            |
| MAINE                | \$99,957,958   | \$60,958,342  | \$160,916,300  | 33.00%             | \$107,528,782           | 63.27%       | \$169,952,240            | \$56,084,239        | \$56,084,239                                    | \$35,484,498            |
| MARYLAND             | \$22,226,467   | \$120,873,531   | \$143,099,998  | 33.00%             | \$78,086,377            | 50.00%       | \$156,172,754            | \$51,537,009        | \$51,537,009                                    | \$25,768,504            |
| MASSACHUSETTS        | \$469,653,946  | \$105,635,054   | \$575,289,000  | 18.36%             | \$312,345,509           | 50.00%       | \$624,691,018            | \$114,706,294       | \$105,635,054                                   | \$52,817,527            |
| MICHIGAN             | \$133,258,800  | \$304,765,552   | \$438,024,352  | 33.00%             | \$271,382,163           | 66.14%       | \$410,314,731            | \$135,403,861       | \$135,403,861                                   | \$89,556,114            |
| MISSISSIPPI          | \$182,608,033  | \$0   | \$182,608,033  | 0.00%              | \$156,172,754           | 74.18%       | \$210,532,157            | \$0                 | \$0   | \$0                     |
| MISSOURI             | \$521,946,524  | \$207,234,618   | \$729,181,142  | 28.42%             | \$485,159,623           | 63.45%       | \$764,632,976            | \$217,310,094       | \$207,234,618                                   | \$131,490,365           |
| NEVADA               | \$73,560,000   | \$0   | \$73,560,000   | 0.00%              | \$47,363,868            | 56.20%       | \$84,277,345             | \$0                 | \$0   | \$0                     |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE        | \$92,675,916   | \$94,753,948  | \$187,429,864  | 33.00%             | \$163,954,276           | 50.00%       | \$327,908,552            | \$108,209,822       | \$94,753,948                                    | \$47,376,974            |
| NEW JERSEY           | \$736,742,539  | \$357,370,461   | \$1,094,113,000  | 32.66%             | \$659,253,841           | 50.00%       | \$1,318,507,682          | \$430,664,564       | \$357,370,461                                   | \$178,685,231           |
| NEW YORK             | \$2,418,869,368  | \$605,000,000   | \$3,023,869,368  | 20.01%             | \$1,644,934,341         | 50.00%       | \$3,289,868,682          | \$658,219,754       | \$605,000,000                                   | \$302,500,000           |
| NORTH CAROLINA       | \$193,201,966  | \$236,072,627   | \$429,274,593  | 33.00%             | \$302,104,673           | 65.28%       | \$462,782,894            | \$152,718,355       | \$152,718,355                                   | \$99,694,542            |

| A                     | B  | C   | D  | E                  | F                       | G            | H                        | I                      | J                               | K                       |
|-----------------------|--|---|--|--------------------|-------------------------|--------------|--------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------|
| STATE                 | INPATIENT HOSPITAL SERVICES FY 95 DSH TOTAL COMPUTABLE | IMD AND MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES FY 95 DSH TOTAL COMPUTABLE | TOTAL INPATIENT & IMD & MENTAL HEALTH FY 95 DSH TOTAL COMPUTABLE | APPLICABLE PERCENT | FY 2012 ALLOTMENT IN FS | FY 2012 FMAP | FY 2012 ALLOTMENTS IN TC | COL E * COL H IN TC    | FY 2012 TC IMD LIMIT (LESSER OF | FY 2012 IMD LIMIT IN FS |
|                       |  |   | Col B + C  | Col C/D            |                         |              | Col F/G                  |                        | Col I or Col C)                 | Col G x J               |
| OHIO                  | \$535,731,956  | \$93,432,758  | \$629,164,714  | 14.85%             | \$416,033,977           | 64.15%       | \$648,533,090            | \$96,309,017           | \$93,432,758                    | \$59,937,114            |
| PENNSYLVANIA          | \$388,207,319  | \$579,199,682   | \$967,407,001  | 33.00%             | \$574,766,940           | 55.07%       | \$1,043,702,451          | \$344,421,809          | \$344,421,809                   | \$189,673,090           |
| RHODE ISLAND          | \$108,503,167  | \$2,397,833   | \$110,901,000  | 2.16%              | \$66,565,436            | 52.12%       | \$127,715,725            | \$2,761,391            | \$2,397,833                     | \$1,249,751             |
| SOUTH CAROLINA        | \$366,681,364  | \$72,076,341  | \$438,757,705  | 16.43%             | \$335,387,391           | 70.24%       | \$477,487,743            | \$78,438,667           | \$72,076,341                    | \$50,626,422            |
| TENNESSEE             | \$0  | \$0   | \$0  | 0.00%              | \$123,562,982           | 66.36%       | \$186,200,998            | \$0                    | \$0                             | \$0                     |
| TEXAS                 | \$1,220,515,401  | \$292,513,592   | \$1,513,028,993  | 19.33%             | \$979,279,977           | 58.22%       | \$1,682,033,626          | \$325,187,224          | \$292,513,592                   | \$170,301,413           |
| VERMONT               | \$19,979,252   | \$9,071,297   | \$29,050,549   | 31.23%             | \$23,041,882            | 57.58%       | \$40,017,162             | \$12,495,721           | \$9,071,297                     | \$5,223,253             |
| VIRGINIA              | \$129,313,480  | \$7,770,268   | \$137,083,748  | 5.67%              | \$89,717,484            | 50.00%       | \$179,434,968            | \$10,170,847           | \$7,770,268                     | \$3,885,134             |
| WASHINGTON            | \$171,725,815  | \$163,836,435   | \$335,562,250  | 33.00%             | \$189,455,473           | 50.00%       | \$378,910,946            | \$125,040,612          | \$125,040,612                   | \$62,520,306            |
| WEST VIRGINIA         | \$66,962,606   | \$18,887,045  | \$85,849,651   | 22.00%             | \$69,125,645            | 72.62%       | \$95,188,164             | \$20,941,531           | \$18,887,045                    | \$13,715,772            |
| <b>TOTAL</b>          | <b>\$13,402,460,846</b>                                | <b>\$4,118,758,904</b>                                    | <b>\$17,521,219,750</b>  |                    | <b>\$10,950,549,492</b> |              | <b>\$19,126,196,924</b>  | <b>\$3,607,107,331</b> | <b>\$3,373,471,745</b>          | <b>\$1,906,600,627</b>  |
| <b>LOW DSH STATES</b> |  |   |  |                    |                         |              |                          |                        |                                 |                         |
| ALASKA                | \$2,506,827  | \$17,611,765  | \$20,118,592   | 33.00%             | \$20,860,270            | 50.00%       | \$41,720,540             | \$13,767,778           | \$13,767,778                    | \$6,883,889             |
| ARKANSAS              | \$2,422,649  | \$819,351   | \$3,242,000  | 25.27%             | \$44,176,697            | 70.71%       | \$62,475,883             | \$15,789,537           | \$819,351                       | \$579,363               |
| DELAWARE              | \$0  | \$7,069,000   | \$7,069,000  | 33.00%             | \$9,271,230             | 54.17%       | \$17,115,064             | \$5,647,971            | \$5,647,971                     | \$3,059,506             |
| IDAHO                 | \$2,081,429  | \$0   | \$2,081,429  | 0.00%              | \$16,833,376            | 70.23%       | \$23,968,925             | \$0                    | \$0                             | \$0                     |
| IOWA                  | \$12,011,250   | \$0   | \$12,011,250   | 0.00%              | \$40,329,581            | 60.71%       | \$66,429,881             | \$0                    | \$0                             | \$0                     |
| MINNESOTA             | \$24,240,000   | \$5,257,214   | \$29,497,214   | 17.82%             | \$76,487,655            | 50.00%       | \$152,975,310            | \$27,264,403           | \$5,257,214                     | \$2,628,607             |
| MONTANA               | \$237,048  | \$0   | \$237,048  | 0.00%              | \$11,624,144            | 66.11%       | \$17,583,034             | \$0                    | \$0                             | \$0                     |
| NEBRASKA              | \$6,449,102  | \$1,811,337   | \$8,260,439  | 21.93%             | \$28,979,746            | 56.64%       | \$51,164,806             | \$11,219,344           | \$1,811,337                     | \$1,025,941             |
| NEW MEXICO            | \$6,490,015  | \$254,786   | \$6,744,801  | 3.78%              | \$20,860,270            | 69.36%       | \$30,075,360             | \$1,136,102            | \$254,786                       | \$176,720               |
| NORTH DAKOTA          | \$214,523  | \$988,478   | \$1,203,001  | 33.00%             | \$9,782,027             | 55.40%       | \$17,657,088             | \$5,826,839            | \$988,478                       | \$547,617               |
| OKLAHOMA              | \$20,019,969   | \$3,273,248   | \$23,293,217   | 14.05%             | \$37,084,922            | 63.88%       | \$58,054,042             | \$8,157,966            | \$3,273,248                     | \$2,090,951             |
| OREGON                | \$11,437,908   | \$19,975,092  | \$31,413,000   | 33.00%             | \$46,356,153            | 62.91%       | \$73,686,462             | \$24,316,532           | \$19,975,092                    | \$12,566,330            |
| SOUTH DAKOTA          | \$321,120  | \$751,299   | \$1,072,419  | 33.00%             | \$11,310,642            | 59.13%       | \$19,128,432             | \$6,312,383            | \$751,299                       | \$444,243               |
| UTAH                  | \$3,621,116  | \$934,586   | \$4,555,702  | 20.51%             | \$20,090,456            | 70.99%       | \$28,300,403             | \$5,805,727            | \$934,586                       | \$663,463               |

| A                       | B   | C   | D   | E  | F                                 | G                   | H   | I                             | J  | K  |
|-------------------------|---|---|---|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------|---|-------------------------------|--|--|
|                         | INPATIENT<br>HOSPITAL<br>SERVICES FY<br>95 DSH<br>TOTAL<br>COMPUTABLE | IMD AND<br>MENTAL<br>HEALTH<br>SERVICES FY<br>95 DSH<br>TOTAL<br>COMPUTABLE | TOTAL<br>INPATIENT &<br>IMD &<br>MENTAL<br>HEALTH FY 95<br>DSH<br>TOTAL<br>COMPUTABLE | APPLICABLE<br><br>PERCENT<br><br>Col C/D | FY 2012<br><br>ALLOTMENT<br>IN FS | FY 2012<br><br>FMAP | FY 2012<br><br>ALLOTMENTS<br>IN TC<br><br>Col F/G | COL E * COL<br>H<br><br>IN TC | FY 2012<br><br>TC IMD LIMIT<br>(LESSER OF<br>Col I or Col C) | FY 2012<br><br>IMD LIMIT<br>IN FS<br><br>Col G x J |
| WISCONSIN               | \$6,609,524   | \$4,492,011   | \$11,101,535  | 33.00%                                   | \$96,809,516                      | 60.53%              | \$159,936,422                                     | \$52,779,019                  | \$4,492,011  | \$2,719,014  |
| WYOMING                 | \$0   | \$0   | \$0   | 0.00%                                    | \$231,780                         | 50.00%              | \$463,560   | \$0                           | \$0  | \$0  |
| TOTAL LOW DSH<br>STATES | \$98,662,480  | \$63,238,167  | \$161,900,647   |  | \$491,088,465                     |                     | \$820,735,213                                     | \$178,023,601                 | \$57,973,151   | \$33,385,644                                       |
| TOTAL                   | \$13,501,123,326  | \$4,181,997,071   | \$17,683,120,397  |  | \$11,341,637,957                  |                     | \$19,946,932,136                                  | \$3,785,130,932               | \$3,431,444,896  | \$1,939,986,271                                    |

[FR Doc. 2012-17954 Filed 7-20-12; 11:15 am]

BILLING CODE C

**DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES****Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services**

[CMS-2385-N]

RIN 0938-AR47

**Medicaid Program; State Allotments for Payment of Medicare Part B Premiums for Qualifying Individuals (QIs) for FY 2012****AGENCY:** Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS), HHS.**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** This notice sets forth the States' final allotments available to pay the Medicare Part B premiums for Qualifying Individuals (QIs) for the Federal fiscal year (FY) 2011 and the preliminary QI allotments for FY 2012. The amounts of these QI allotments were determined in accordance with the methodology set forth in regulations and reflect funding for the QI program made available under recent legislation as described in this notice.

**DATES:** The final QI allotments for payment of Medicare Part B premiums for FY 2011 are effective October 1, 2010. The preliminary QI allotments for FY 2012 are effective October 1, 2011.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Richard Strauss, (410) 786-2019.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:****I. Background***A. QI Allotments for FY 2011 and Thereafter*

Section 5005 of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (Pub. L. 111-5, enacted on February 17,

2009) (Recovery Act) extended the authority and funding for the QI program by providing \$150 million in additional funds for the first quarter of FY 2011 (that is, through December 31, 2010). Section 3 of the Emergency Aid to American Survivors of the Haiti Earthquake Act (Pub. L. 111-127, enacted on January 27, 2010) (Haiti Earthquake Act) provided an additional \$15 million for States' FY 2011 QI allotments; that brought the total funds available for the QI program for FY 2011 through December 31, 2010 to \$165 million. Section 110 of the Medicare and Medicaid Extenders Act of 2010 (Pub. L. 111-309, enacted on December 15, 2010) (MMEA) extended authority and funding for the QI program for FY 2011 by providing an additional \$720 million for the QI program for the last 3 quarters of FY 2011 in addition to the previously available \$165 million; which brought the total funding available for the QI program for FY 2011 to \$885 million.

*B. QI Allotments for FY 2012 and Thereafter*

Section 110 of the MMEA also extended the authority and funding for the QI program for the first quarter of FY 2012 (that is, through December 31, 2011) by providing \$280 million available for the first quarter of FY 2012. Section 310 of the Temporary Payroll Tax Cut Continuation Act of 2011 (Pub. L. 112-78, enacted on December 23, 2011) (TPTCA) provided temporary continued authority and an additional \$150 million in funding for the QI program for the period January 1, 2012 through February 29, 2012. With the enactment of TPTCA, the QI program was authorized and funded at a total amount nationally of \$430 million (\$280 million plus \$150 million) for FY 2012 through February 29, 2012. Most

recently, section 3101 of the Middle Class Tax Relief and Job Creation Act of 2012 (Pub. L. 112-96, enacted on February 22, 2012) extended the authority and funding for the QI program for FY 2012 by increasing the amount of funding previously made available under TPTCA for FY 2012 from \$150 million to \$450 million, and extending the period in FY 2012 this funding is available to September 30, 2012 (that is, to the end of FY 2012). Therefore the total funding available for the QI program for FY 2012 is \$730 million (\$280 million plus \$450 million).

Finally, section 3101 of Middle Class Tax Relief and Job Creation Act also extended the authority and funding for the QI program by providing \$280 million to be available for the period October 1, 2012 through December 31, 2012, the first quarter of FY 2013.

*C. Methodology for Calculating the Fiscal Year QI Allotments*

The amounts of the final FY 2011 and preliminary FY 2012 QI allotments, contained in this notice, were determined in accordance with the methodology set forth in existing regulations at 42 CFR 433.10(c)(5) and reflect funding for the QI program made available under the legislation discussed above.

**II. Charts**

The final QI allotments for FY 2011 and the preliminary QI allotments for FY 2011 are shown by State in Chart 1 and Chart 2 below, respectively:

Chart 1—Final Qualifying Individuals Allotments for October 1, 2010 through September 30, 2011.

Chart 2—Preliminary Qualifying Individuals Allotments for October 1, 2011 through September 30, 2012.

BILLING CODE 4120-01-P