NATIONAL CREDIT UNION ADMINISTRATION

Sunshine Act Meetings

TIME AND DATE: Monday, July 23, 2012, 2:30 p.m.

PLACE: Board Room, 7th Floor, Room 7047, 1775 Duke Street, Alexandria, VA 22314–3428.

STATUS: Closed.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

1. Creditor Claim Appeal. Closed pursuant to Exemption (6).

2. Consideration of Supervisory Activities (2). Closed pursuant to exemptions (8), (9)(i)(B) and 9(ii).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mary Rupp, Secretary of the Board, Telephone: 703–518–6304.

Mary Rupp,

Board Secretary. [FR Doc. 2012–17640 Filed 7–16–12; 4:15 pm] BILLING CODE 7535–01–P

BILLING CODE 7535–01–P

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

Proposal Review Panel for Chemistry; Notice of Meeting

In accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92– 463 as amended), the National Science Foundation announces the following meeting:

Name: ChemMatCARS Site Visit, 2011 Awardees by NSF Division of Chemistry (1191).

Dates & Times: July 23, 2012; 8:00 a.m.– 6:00 p.m.; July 24, 2012; 8:00 a.m.–5:00 p.m. Place: ChemMatCARS, 9700 S. Cass

Avenue, Argonne, IL 60439.

Type of Meeting: Part-open.

Contact Person: Dr. Carlos Murillo, Program Director, Division of Chemistry, Room 1055, National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, VA 22230, Telephone (703) 292–4970.

Purpose Of Meeting: To evaluate and give recommendations on the progress and the direction of research and other activities of the ChemMatCARS award to determine further NSF support.

Agenda:

Monday, July 23, 2012

- 8:00 a.m.–9:30 a.m. Closed—Panel Briefing and Discussion
- 9:30 a.m.–11:45 a.m. Open—Presentations, Discussion, and Q&A
- 11:45 a.m.–1:00 p.m. Closed—Lunch/Panel Discussion
- 1:00 p.m.–3:15 p.m. Open—Presentations, Discussion and Q&A
- 3:15 p.m.–6:00 p.m. Closed—Panel Discussion

Tuesday, July 24, 2012

8:00 a.m.–8:30 a.m. Closed—Panel Discussion

- 8:30 a.m.–10:45 a.m. Open—Presentations, Discussion and Q&A
- 10:45 a.m.–12:00 p.m. Closed—Lunch/ Panel Discussion
- 12:00 p.m.–1:45 p.m. Open—Presentations, Discussion and Q&A
- 2:15 p.m.–5:00 p.m. Closed—Panel Discussion

Reason for Late Notice: Due to unforeseen administrative complications and the necessity to proceed with the review of the proposal.

Reason for Closing: The work being reviewed may include information of a proprietary or confidential nature, including technical information; financial data, such as salaries and personal information concerning individuals associated with the proposals. These matters are exempt under 5 U.S.C. 552b(c), (4) and (6) of the Government in the Sunshine Act.

Dated: July 13, 2012.

Susanne Bolton,

Committee Management Officer. [FR Doc. 2012–17435 Filed 7–17–12; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7555–01–P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[NRC-2012-0002]

Sunshine Act Meetings

DATE: Weeks of July 16, 23, 30, August 6, 13, 20, 2012.

PLACE: Commissioners' Conference Room, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland.

STATUS: Public and closed.

Week of July 16, 2012

There are no meetings scheduled for the week of July 16, 2012.

Week of July 23, 2012—Tentative

There are no meetings scheduled for the week of July 23, 2012.

Week of July 30, 2012—Tentative

There are no meetings scheduled for the week of July 30, 2012.

Week of August 6, 2012—Tentative

Tuesday, August 7, 2012

9:30 a.m. Briefing on the Status of Lessons Learned from the Fukushima Dai-ichi Accident (Public Meeting) (Contact: John Monninger, 301–415–0610.) This meeting will be webcast live at

the Web address—*www.nrc.gov.*

Week of August 13, 2012—Tentative

There are no meetings scheduled for the week of August 13, 2012.

Week of August 20, 2012—Tentative

There are no meetings scheduled for the week of August 20, 2012.

*The schedule for Commission meetings is subject to change on short notice. To verify the status of meetings, call (recording)—301–415–1292. Contact person for more information: Rochelle Bavol, 301–415–1651.

The NRC Commission Meeting Schedule can be found on the Internet at: http://www.nrc.gov/public-involve/ public-meetings/schedule.html.

The NRC provides reasonable accommodation to individuals with disabilities where appropriate. If you need a reasonable accommodation to participate in these public meetings, or need this meeting notice or the transcript or other information from the public meetings in another format (e.g. braille, large print), please notify Bill Dosch, Chief, Work Life and Benefits Branch, at 301–415–6200, TDD: 301– 415–2100, or by email at william.dosch@nrc.gov. Determinations on requests for reasonable accommodation will be made on a caseby-case basis.

This notice is distributed electronically to subscribers. If you no longer wish to receive it, or would like to be added to the distribution, please contact the Office of the Secretary, Washington, DC 20555 (301–415–1969), or send an email to

darlene.wright@nrc.gov.

Dated: July 12, 2012.

Rochelle C. Bavol,

Policy Coordinator, Office of the Secretary. [FR Doc. 2012–17571 Filed 7–16–12; 4:15 pm] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. MC2012-31; Order No. 1399]

New Postal Product

AGENCY: Postal Regulatory Commission. **ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission is noticing a recently-filed Postal Service request to add Every Door Direct Mail–Retail (EDDM–R) to the market dominant product list. This notice addresses procedural steps associated with the filing.

DATES: Comments are due: July 30, 2012; *Reply Comments are due:* August 6, 2012.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments electronically via the Commission's Filing Online system at *http:// www.prc.gov.* Commenters who cannot submit their views electronically should contact the person identified in FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT by telephone for advice on alternatives to electronic filing. **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Stephen L. Sharfman, General Counsel at 202–789–6820.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On July 10, 2012, the Postal Service filed a request pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 3642 and 39 CFR 3020.30 *et seq.*, to modify the Mail Classification Schedule (MCS) by adding Every Door Direct Mail–Retail (EDDM–R) to the market dominant product list and establishing the classification language and price for EDDM–R.¹

The Postal Service explains that EDDM–R is a Standard Mail experimental product currently in market test status in Docket No. MT2011–3.² The Postal Service states that the EDDM–R market test has successfully simplified mail entry by reducing complexity and cost and has enabled businesses to communicate by mail at a low cost within their target marketing areas. Request at 2.

According to the Postal Service, EDDM–R mail must meet the same preparation requirements as the Simplified Address option for Standard Mail Saturation Mail, be flat-shaped, and weigh no more than 3.3 ounces. Id. at 1. EDDM–R mailings do not require a permit or mailing fee, must be entered and paid for at a local Destination Delivery Unit (DDU), and must not exceed 5,000 pieces per ZIP Code served by the DDU. Id. If the Request is approved by the Commission, EDDM-R will continue to be classified as a market dominant Standard Mail product. Id. at 2.³

As required by 39 CFR 3020.31, the Postal Service indicates that EDDM–R is not a special classification within the meaning of 39 U.S.C. 3622(c)(10) for market dominant products; that EDDM– R will not be a product that is not of general applicability within the meaning of 39 U.S.C. 3632(b)(3) for competitive products; and that EDDM– R is not a non-postal product. Request at 2 n.1. The Postal Service also states that because EDDM–R is a market dominant product, its addition to the MCS does not require a Governors' Decision. *Id.* Included as Attachment A to the Request is proposed MCS language. Included as Attachment B is a Statement of Supporting Justification which, among other things, addresses operational impacts and cost information requested by the Commission in Order No. 687 and Order No. 1164.⁴

Pursuant to rule 3020.33, the Commission provides interested persons an opportunity to express views and offer comments on the proposed addition to the Mail Classification Schedule. Comments are due no later than July 30, 2012. Reply comments may be filed no later than August 6, 2012. The Postal Service's Request in Docket No. MC2012–31 can be accessed on the Commission's Web site (http:// www.prc.gov).

Pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 505, Kenneth E. Richardson is appointed to serve as an officer of the Commission (Public Representative) to represent the interests of the general public in the above-captioned docket.

It is ordered:

1. Docket No. MC2012–31 is established to consider the Postal Service Request referred to in the body of this order.

2. Comments are due no later than July 30, 2012.

3. Reply comments are due no later than August 6, 2012.

4. The Commission appoints Kenneth E. Richardson as Public Representative to represent the interests of the general public in this proceeding.

5. The Secretary shall arrange for publication of this order in the **Federal Register**.

By the Commission.

Shoshana M. Grove,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2012–17398 Filed 7–17–12; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7710–FW–P

POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. MC2012-26; Order No. 1401]

Post Office Box Service Enhancements

AGENCY: Postal Regulatory Commission. **ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission is noticing a recently-filed Postal Service pleading concerning service enhancements introduced at certain competitive post office box locations. This notice addresses procedural steps associated with the filing.

DATES: *Comments are due:* July 31, 2012; *Reply Comments are due:* August 8, 2012.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments electronically via the Commission's Filing Online system at *http:// www.prc.gov.* Commenters who cannot submit their views electronically should contact the person identified in FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT by

telephone for advice on alternatives to electronic filing.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Stephen L. Sharfman, General Counsel at 202–789–6820.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Table of Contents

I. Introduction II. Notice of Filings III. Ordering Paragraphs

I. Introduction

In Docket No. C2012–1, the Associated Mail and Parcel Centers, the National Alliance of Retail and Ship Centers, and 11 additional organizations (Complainants) jointly filed a complaint with the Commission concerning the Postal Service's introduction of enhanced services that it offers to post office box customers at certain retail locations.¹ The Postal Service filed a motion to dismiss the Complaint.²

In Order No. 1366, the Commission denied the Motion to Dismiss as to Complainants' claims under sections 3633 and 3642, and gave the Postal Service the option of making an elective filing under 39 CFR 3020.30, concerning the enhancements to its competitive Post Office Box service.³ The Commission ordered that the Complaint be held in abeyance until July 9, 2012, to permit the Postal Service to make the elective filing. This docket was established as a placeholder for the Postal Service's elective filing. *Id.*

On July 9, 2012, pursuant to 39 CFR 3020.30 *et seq.*, the Postal Service filed an elective pleading designed to provide the Commission with additional information to aid in its review of service enhancements that the Postal Service introduced at certain competitive post office box locations.⁴ The Response, which summarizes the

¹Request of the United States Postal Service to Add Every Door Direct Mail—Retail to the Mail Classification Schedule, July 10, 2012 (Request).

² Docket No. MT2011–3, Order Approving Market Test of Experimental Product—Marketing Mail Made Easy, March 1, 2011, at 1 (Order No. 687). As proposed in Docket No. MT2011–3, the experimental product was named ''Marketing Mail Made Easy'' (MMME). The Postal Service has renamed that product ''Every Door Direct Mail-Retail.''

³ The experimental product being tested in Docket No. MT2011–3, MMME (see n.2, supra) is, like EDDM–R, a market dominant product. Order No. 687 at 1.

⁴ Docket No. MT2011–3, Order Granting Request for Exemption from Annual Revenue Limitation, January 23, 2012 (Order No. 1164).

¹Complaint Regarding Postal Service Offering Enhanced Services Product for Competitive PO Boxes, March 15, 2012 (Complaint).

² Motion of the United States Postal Service to Dismiss Complaint, April 4, 2012 (Motion to Dismiss).

³Docket No. C2012–1, Order on Motion to Dismiss Holding Complaint in Abeyance Pending Further Proceeding, June 13, 2012, at 15 (Order No. 1366).

⁴Response of the United States Postal Service to Order No. 1366, July 9, 2012 (Response).