percent of Carrier Route volume," and that Carrier Route letter costs are unreliable. Petition at 2–3. The Commission discussed the reliability issue in the 2011 Annual Compliance Determination Report. FY2011 ACD at 120–121. In the ACD, the Commission recognized the possibility of merging unit cost data for Carrier Route letters and flats, but did not discuss unit costs of Carrier Route parcels. *Id.* at 121.

Proposal Two. Calculation of City Carrier Scanning Costs for All Non-Accountable Delivery Scans. Last year, the Postal Service introduced the USPS Tracking Barcode to better track parcels. However, the Postal Service states that the costs of USPS Tracking Barcode delivery scans performed by city carriers during street activities are not calculated. The Postal Service proposes to extend the established methodology for calculating the city carrier street scanning costs to all non-accountable delivery scans performed by city carriers during street activities (Cost Segment 7). The methodology would also apply to other non-accountable delivery scans that the Postal Service may introduce in the future. Petition at 4. According to the Postal Service, Proposal Two would increase the attributable costs of domestic market dominant parcels by between 1.7 and 3.2 percent, increase the attributable costs of domestic competitive products by 1.9 percent, reduce the attributable costs of domestic market dominant ancillary services by between 0.3 and 0.6 percent, and reduce the attributable costs of International Mail by 0.2 percent. Id. at 5-6.

Proposal Three. Changes in IOCS Encirclement Rules. Currently, all Registered mail, both domestic and International, is encircled in all operations.2 According to the Postal Service, this is consistent with operations for domestic Registered and outbound International Registered, because such pieces receive hand-tohand transfers. However, in 2009, the Postal Service says that it changed the operating procedures for inbound Registered mail such that those pieces now travel in the regular letters and flats mailstreams rather than in the Registered mailstream. The Postal Service proposes to update the encirclement rules for inbound Registered mail and for certain other Extra Services to reflect changes in operations and to correct

inconsistencies. For the C.O.D., Certified, Insured, and Signature Confirmation Extra Services, encirclement would be added for certain mail processing and window operations. Petition at 7–8.

Proposal Three would affect attributable costs in Cost Segment 3. Inbound Registered mail attributable costs would decline by 38.3 percent. Attributable costs of competitive products would decline by 0.1 percent. Attributable costs of First-Class mail would decline by 0.7 percent. Attributable costs of Parcel Post would decline by 0.4 percent. Attributable costs of Inbound LC/AO would increase by between 6.5 and 13.8 percent. Attributable costs of certain Extra Services would increase by between 1.7 and 64.8 percent. *Id.* at 9.

Proposal Four. Changes in IOCS Reporting Codes. The Postal Service proposes to make changes to In-Office Cost System activity codes and operation codes. These changes are:

- 1. Streamline activity codes by eliminating codes that are no longer used for costing;
- 2. Combine the operation codes for Outgoing Primary Distribution and Outgoing Secondary Distribution into one code;
- 3. Add a code for Managed Mail Distribution; and
- 4. Add or change codes to account for the recent transfers of Parcel Select Lightweight and First-Class Package Service to the competitive product list. *Id.* at 10–12. The Postal Service asserts that Proposal Four will have no impact on product costs. *Id.* at 13.

Proposal Five. Changes to Methodology of Distributing Costs Incurred by Vehicle Service Drivers. The Postal Service proposes a new distribution key for allocating the attributable costs of Vehicle Service Drivers (Cost Segment 8). The new distribution key is derived from a new subsystem of the Transportation Cost System (TRACS) called TRACS-VSD. The current distribution key relies on the costs of intra-sectional center facility purchased highway transportation in Cost Segment 14. The Postal Service believes that it has developed a sampling frame that enables the development of a statistical system similar to the four TRACS subsystems representing purchased highway transportation. Id. at 14–15.

For most classes of mail, the Postal Service shows a change in unit attributable cost in mills (tenths of a cent). However, the unit attributable cost of Media and Library Mail declines by 4.5 cents and the unit attributable cost of International Mail rises by 1.7 cents. *Id.* at 16.

The Petition, and an accompanying Appendix, are available for review on the Commission's Web site, http://www.prc.gov.

Pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 505, James Callow is designated as Public Representative to represent the interests of the general public in this proceeding. Comments are due no later than July 31, 2012.

It is ordered:

- 1. The Petition of the United States Postal Service Requesting Initiation of a Proceeding To Consider Proposed Changes in Analytical Principles (Proposals One through Five), filed June 26, 2012, is granted.
- 2. The Commission establishes Docket No. RM2012–5 to consider the matters raised by the Postal Service's Petition.
- 3. Interested persons may submit comments on Proposals One through Five no later than July 31, 2012. Reply comments are due no later than August 10, 2012.
- 4. James Callow is appointed to serve as the Public Representative to represent the interests of the general public in this proceeding.
- 5. The Secretary shall arrange for publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**.

By the Commission.

Ruth Ann Abrams,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2012–16570 Filed 7–12–12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7710-FW-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[EPA-R03-OAR-2010-0847; FRL-9697-8]

Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Delaware; Control Technique Guidelines for Plastic Parts, Metal Furniture, Large Appliances, and Miscellaneous Metal Parts

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is proposing to approve revisions to the Delaware State Implementation Plan (SIP) submitted by the Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control (DNREC) on April 1, 2010 and March 9, 2012. These SIP revisions consist of amendments to Delaware's regulation for the Control of Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) and meet the

² Encirclement is the process of allocating the cost of handling a mailpiece with an Extra Service to the Extra Service rather than to the host mailpiece. Encirclement is appropriate when an Extra Service is the main reason that an employee handles a mailpiece. *Id.* at 7.

requirement to adopt reasonably available control technology (RACT) for sources covered by EPA's Control Techniques Guidelines (CTG) standards for the following categories: Plastic Parts, Metal Furniture, Large Appliances, and Miscellaneous Metal Parts. These amendments will reduce emissions of VOC from these source categories and help Delaware attain and maintain the national ambient air quality standard (NAAQS) for ozone. This action is being taken under the Clean Air Act (CAA).

DATES: Written comments must be received on or before August 13, 2012. **ADDRESSES:** Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID Number EPA-R03-OAR-2010-0847 by one of the following methods:

A. www.regulations.gov. Follow the on-line instructions for submitting comments.

B. Email: mastro.donna@epa.gov.

C. Mail: EPA-R03-OAR-2010-0847, Donna Mastro, Acting Associate Director, Office of Air Program Planning, Mailcode 3AP30, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, 1650 Arch Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103.

D. Hand Delivery: At the previouslylisted EPA Region III address. Such deliveries are only accepted during the Docket's normal hours of operation, and special arrangements should be made for deliveries of boxed information.

Instructions: Direct your comments to Docket ID No. EPA-R03-OAR-2010-0847. EPA's policy is that all comments received will be included in the public docket without change, and may be made available online at www.regulations.gov, including any personal information provided, unless the comment includes information claimed to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Do not submit information that you consider to be CBI or otherwise protected through www.regulations.gov or email. The www.regulations.gov Web site is an "anonymous access" system, which means EPA will not know your identity or contact information unless you provide it in the body of your comment. If you send an email comment directly to EPA without going through www.regulations.gov, your email address will be automatically captured and included as part of the comment that is placed in the public docket and made available on the Internet. If you submit an electronic comment, EPA recommends that you include your name and other contact information in the body of your

comment and with any disk or CD–ROM you submit. If EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties and cannot contact you for clarification, EPA may not be able to consider your comment. Electronic files should avoid the use of special characters, any form of encryption, and be free of any defects or viruses.

Docket: All documents in the electronic docket are listed in the www.regulations.gov index. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, i.e., CBI or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, is not placed on the Internet and will be publicly available only in hard copy form. Publicly available docket materials are available either electronically in www.regulations.gov or in hard copy during normal business hours at the Air Protection Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, 1650 Arch Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103. Copies of the State submittal are available at the Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control, 89 Kings Highway, P.O. Box 1401, Dover, Delaware 19903.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Gregory Becoat, (215) 814–2036, or by email at becoat.gregory@epa.gov

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Throughout this document, whenever "we," "us," or "our" is used, we mean EPA.

I. What action is EPA taking?

II. What is the background for this action?III. Description of the SIP RevisionsSubmitted by the State of Delaware

IV. What is EPA's evaluation of the State submittal?

V. Proposed Action

VI. Statutory and Executive Order Review

I. What action is EPA taking?

EPA is proposing to approve revisions to the Delaware SIP submitted by DNREC on April 1, 2010 and March 9, 2012, adopting the requirements of EPA's CTGs for the coating of plastic parts, metal furniture, large appliances, and miscellaneous metal parts, as RACT for these source categories. Specifically, DNREC is amending its Regulation No. 1124, Control of Volatile Organic Compounds, to incorporate the requirements of EPA's CTGs for the above mentioned source categories. CTGs are documents issued by EPA that provide guidance to States concerning what types of controls could constitute RACT for VOC from various sources, including plastic parts, metal furniture, large appliances, and miscellaneous

metal parts. EPA requires all ozone nonattainment areas to update regulations for emission sources covered in an EPA CTG and to submit the regulations to EPA for approval as SIP revisions. The revisions to Delaware's Regulation 1124 include amendments to sections 2.0, "Definitions," 12.0, "Surface Coating of Plastic Parts," 19.0, "Coating of Metal Furniture," 20.0, "Coating of Large Appliances," and 22.0, "Coating of Miscellaneous Metal Parts." These amendments will reduce the VOC content of currently regulated coatings, regulate additional coating categories, require the use of coating application equipment that provides for high transfer efficiency, and require that clean-up solvent emissions be included in regulatory applicability determinations.

II. What is the background for this action?

Section 172(c)(1) of the CAA provides that SIPs for nonattainment areas must include reasonably available control measures (RACM), including RACT for sources of emissions. Section 182(b)(2)(A) of the CAA provides that for certain nonattainment areas, states must revise their SIPs to include RACT for VOC sources covered by any CTG document issued after November 15, 1990 and prior to the area's date of attainment. Section 183(e) of the CAA provides that states may issue a CTG in lieu of a national regulation for a product category where EPA determines that a CTG will be substantially as effective as regulations in reducing emissions of VOC in ozone nonattainment areas. In developing these CTGs, EPA, among other things, evaluates the sources of VOC emissions from these categories, and the available control approaches for addressing these emissions, including the cost of such approaches. Based on available information and data, EPA provides recommendations for RACT for VOC from these categories. States can follow the CTGs and adopt State regulations to implement the recommendations contained therein, or they can adopt alternative approaches. In either case, states must submit their RACT rules to EPA for review and approval as part of the SIP process. EPA will evaluate the rules and determine, through notice and comment rulemaking in the SIP approval process, whether the submitted rules meet the RACT requirements of the CAA and EPA's regulations.

In September 2007, EPA published new CTGs for Metal Furniture Coatings (EPA-453/R-07-005) and Large Appliance Coatings (EPA 453/R-07004). In September 2008, EPA published a new CTG for Miscellaneous Metal and Plastic Parts Coatings (EPA-453/R-08-003). These CTGs discuss the nature of VOC emissions from these industries, the available control technologies for addressing such emissions, the costs of available control options, and other information. EPA developed the new CTGs for these industries after reviewing existing state and local VOC emission reduction approaches, new source performance standards (NSPS), previously issued CTGs, and national emission standards for hazardous air pollutants (NESHAP) for these source categories.

A. Metal Furniture Coatings

Metal furniture coatings include the coatings that are applied to the surfaces of metal furniture. A metal furniture substrate is the furniture or components of furniture constructed either entirely or partially from metal. Metal furniture includes, but is not limited to, the following types of products: Household. office, institutional, laboratory, hospital, public building, restaurant, barber and beauty shop, and dental furniture, as well as components of these products. Metal furniture also includes office and store fixtures, partitions, shelving, lockers, lamps and lighting fixtures, and wastebaskets. Metal furniture coatings include paints and adhesives and are typically applied without a primer. Higher solids and powder coatings are used extensively in the metal furniture surface coating industry. Metal furniture coatings provide a covering, finish, or functional or protective layer, and can also provide a decorative finish to metal furniture.

B. Large Appliance Coatings

Large appliance coatings include, but are not limited to, materials referred to as paint, topcoats, basecoats, primers, enamels, and adhesives used in the manufacture of large appliance parts or products. A large appliance part is defined as any organic surface-coated metal lid, door, casing, panel, or other interior or exterior metal part or accessory that is assembled to form a large appliance product. A large appliance product is also defined as any organic surface-coated metal range, oven, microwave oven, refrigerator, freezer, washer, dryer, dishwasher, water heater, or trash compactor

manufactured for household, commercial, or recreational use.

C. Miscellaneous Metal and Plastic Parts Coatings

Miscellaneous metal product and plastic parts surface coating categories include the coatings that are applied to the surfaces of a varied range of metal and plastic parts and products. These parts or products are constructed either entirely or partially from metal or plastic. They include, but are not limited to, metal and plastic components of the following types of products as well as the products themselves: Fabricated metal products, molded plastic parts, small and large farm machinery, commercial and industrial machinery and equipment, automotive or transportation equipment, interior or exterior automotive parts, construction equipment, motor vehicle accessories, bicycles and sporting goods, toys, recreational vehicles, pleasure craft (recreational boats), extruded aluminum structural components, railroad cars, heavier vehicles, 1 lawn and garden equipment, business machines, laboratory and medical equipment, electronic equipment, steel drums, metal pipes, and numerous other industrial and household products (hereinafter collectively referred to as "miscellaneous metal and plastic parts.") The CTG applies to manufacturers of miscellaneous metal and plastic parts that surface-coat the parts they produce. Miscellaneous metal products and plastic parts coatings do not include coatings that are a part of other product categories listed under section 183(e) of the CAA for which CTGs have been published or coatings addressed by other CTGs.

III. Description of the SIP Revisions Submitted by the State of Delaware

On April 1, 2010 and March 9, 2012 DNREC submitted SIP revisions adopting the recommendations contained in EPA's new CTGs for the control of VOC from the coating of plastic parts, metal furniture, large appliances, and miscellaneous metal parts, as RACT for these source categories. The March 9, 2012 SIP revision amended the submission of

April 1, 2010 to include EPA as well as DNREC approval for any alternative coating method not explicitly specified in the regulation. The revision also corrected minor typographical errors which were non-substantive in nature. As a result of these SIP revisions, the following sections of 7 DE Administrative Code 1124, Control of Volatile Organic Compounds, are being revised to reflect Delaware's adoption of the new CTGs: section 2.0, "Definitions," section 12.0, "Surface Coating of Plastic Parts," section 19.0, "Coating of Metal Furniture," section 20.0, "Coating of Large Appliances," and section 22.0, "Coating of Miscellaneous Metal Parts.'

A. Regulation 1124, Section 2.0— Definitions

The revisions to section 2.0, "Definitions," add the following definitions: Adhesion primer, aerosol coating product, air-dried coating, baked coating, dip coating, electric-insulating and thermal-conducting coating, electrostatic spray, extreme high-gloss coating, extreme performance coating, flow coating, hand application, heat resistant coating, high-volume, low pressure (HVLP) spray equipment, metallic coating, mold-seal coating, onecomponent coating, pretreatment coating, repair coating, safety-indicating coating, solar-absorbent coating, solidfilm lubricant, stencil coating, touch-up coating, two-component paint, and vacuum-metalizing coating.

B. Regulation 1124, Section 12.0— Surface Coating of Plastic Parts

The revisions to section 12.0, "Surface Coating of Plastic Parts," establish (1) Applicability for every owner or operator of any plastic parts or products coating units; (2) add, revise, and delete definitions; (3) specify standards for owners or operators of any plastic parts or products coating units; (4) specify exemptions; and (5) specify control devices, test methods, compliance certification, recordkeeping, and reporting requirements. More detailed information on these provisions can be found in the docket prepared for this rulemaking action.

Section 12.0 requires that the VOC contents of a plastic part or products coating unit subject to the provisions of this section, be less than or equal to the limits listed in Table 1 below.

¹ Heavier vehicles includes all vehicles that meet the definition of the term "other motor vehicles," as defined in the National Emission Standards for Surface Coating of Automobile and Light-Duty Trucks at 40 CFR 63.3176.

TABLE 1—PLASTIC PARTS COATING VOC CONTENT LIMITS—VOC CONTENT LIMITS ARE EXPRESSED AS MASS (kg OR lb) PER VOLUME (LITER (I) OR GALLON (GAL)) OF COATING LESS WATER AND EXEMPT COMPOUNDS, AS APPLIED

Coating category	kg VOC/I coating	lb VOC/gal coating		
General*				
One component coating	0.28	2.3.		
Multi-component coating	0.42	3.5.		
Electric dissipating coatings and shock-free coatings	0.36	3.0.		
Extreme performance	0.42 (2 pack)	3.5 (2 pack).		
Metallic	0.42	3.5.		
Military specification	0.34 (1 pack)	2.8 (1 pack)		
,	0.42 (2 pack)	3.5 (2 pack).		
Mold-seal	0.76	6.3.		
Multicolored coatings	0.68	5.7.		
Optical coatings	0.80	6.7.		
Vacuum-metalizing	0.80	6.7.		
-		0.7.		
Busi	ness Machine Parts			
Primers	0.14	1.2.		
Topcoat	0.28	2.3.		
Texture coat	0.28	2.3.		
Fog coat	0.26	2.2.		
Touchup and repair	0.28	2.3.		
Clearcoats	0.28	2.3.		
EMI/RFI coatings	0.48	4.0.		
Soft coatings	0.52	4.3.		
Plating resist coatings	0.71	5.9.		
Plating sensitizer coatings	0.85	7.1.		
Automoti	ve/Transportation Parts			
	gh bake coatings			
110		T		
Flexible primer	0.46	3.8.		
Non-flexible primer	0.42	3.5.		
Base coats	0.52	4.3.		
Clear coat	0.48	4.0.		
Non-basecoat/clear coat	0.52	4.3.		
Interior colorcoat	0.49	4.1.		
Exterior colorcoat	0.55	4.6.		
Low bake/a	ir dried coatings-exterior			
		1		
Primers	0.58	4.8.		
Basecoat	0.60	5.0.		
Clearcoats	0.54	4.5.		
Non-basecoat/clearcoat	0.60	5.0.		
Red and black colorcoats	0.67	5.6.		
All other colorcoats	0.61	5.1.		
Low ba	ake/air dried coatings			
Interior primers	0.42	3.5.		
Colorcoats	0.38	3.2.		
Touchup and repair coatings	0.62	5.2.		
	Auto Specialty	1		
		5.5.		
Vacuum metalizing basecoats	0.66			
Texture coatings	0.66	5.5.		
Reflective argent coatings	0.71	5.9.		
Soft specialty coatings	0.71	5.9.		
Air bag cover coatings	0.71	5.9.		
Gloss flatteners	0.77	6.4.		
Vacuum metalizing topcoats	0.77	6.4.		
Texture topcoats	0.77	6.4.		
Stencil coatings	0.81	6.8.		
Adhesion primers	0.81	6.8.		
Ink pad printing coatings	0.81	6.8.		
Electrostatic prep coats	0.81	6.8.		
Resist coatings	0.81	6.8.		
Headlamp lens coatings	0.89	7.4.		

^{*}General refers to those parts or products which are not Business Machine Parts or Automotive/Transportation Parts.

C. Regulation 1124, Section 19.0— Coating of Metal Furniture

The revisions to section 19.0, "Coating of Metal Furniture," establish (1) Applicability to every owner or operator of any metal furniture coating unit; (2) revise a definition; (3) specify standards for owners or operators of any metal furniture coating unit; (4) specify exemptions; and (5) specify control devices, test methods, compliance certification, recordkeeping, and reporting requirements. More detailed information on these provisions can be found in the docket prepared for this rulemaking.

Section 19.0 of this regulation requires that the VOC content of a metal furniture coating unit subject to the provisions of this section, be less than or equal to the limits listed in Table 2 below.

TABLE 2—METAL FURNITURE COATING VOC CONTENT LIMITS—VOC CONTENT LIMITS ARE EXPRESSED AS MASS (kg or lb) per Volume (L or gal) of Coating Less Water and Exempt Compounds, as Applied

Coating category	Baked		Air dried	
	kg VOC/I coating	lb VOC/gal coating	kg VOC/l coating	lb VOC/gal coating
General, one-component	0.275	2.3	0.275	2.3
General, multi-component	0.275	2.3	0.340	2.8
Extreme high-gloss	0.360	3.0	0.340	2.8
Extreme performance	0.360	3.0	0.420	3.5
Heat-resistant	0.360	3.0	0.420	3.5
Metallic	0.420	3.5	0.420	3.5
Pretreatment	0.420	3.5	0.420	3.5
Solar-absorbent	0.360	3.0	0.420	3.5

D. Regulation 1124, Section 20.0— Coating of Large Appliances

The revisions to section 20.0, "Coating of Large Appliances," establish (1) Applicability to every owner or operator of any large appliance coating unit; (2) revise a definition; (3) specify

standards for owners or operators of any large appliance coating unit; (4) specify exemptions; and (5) specify control devices, test methods, compliance certification, recordkeeping, and reporting requirements. More detailed information on these provisions can be found in the docket prepared for this rulemaking action.

Section 20.0 of this regulation requires that the VOC content of a large appliance coating unit subject to the provisions of this section, be less than or equal to the limits listed in Table 3 below.

TABLE 3—LARGE APPLIANCE COATING VOC CONTENT LIMITS—VOC COATING CONTENT LIMITS ARE EXPRESSED AS MASS (kg or lb) PER VOLUME (L OR GAL) OF COATING LESS WATER AND EXEMPT COMPOUNDS, AS APPLIED

Coating category	Baked		Air dried	
	kg VOC/l coating	lb VOC/gal coating	kg VOC/l coating	lb VOC/gal coating
General, one-component	0.275	2.3	0.275	2.3
General, multi-component	0.275	2.3	0.340	2.8
Extreme high-gloss	0.360	3.0	0.340	2.8
Extreme performance	0.360	3.0	0.420	3.5
Heat-resistant	0.360	3.0	0.420	3.5
Metallic	0.420	3.5	0.420	3.5
Pretreatment	0.420	3.5	0.420	3.5
Solar-absorbent	0.360	3.0	0.420	3.5

E. Regulation 1124, Section 22.0— Coating of Miscellaneous Metal Parts

The revisions to section 22.0, "Coating of Miscellaneous Metal Parts," establish (1) Applicability to every owner or operator of any miscellaneous metal parts and products coating unit; (2) add, revise, and delete definitions;

(3) specify standards for owners or operators of any miscellaneous metal parts and products coating unit; (4) specify exemptions; and (5) specify control devices, test methods, compliance certification, recordkeeping, and reporting requirements. More detailed information on these provisions

can be found in the docket prepared for this rulemaking action.

Section 22.0 of this regulation requires that the VOC content of a miscellaneous metal parts and products coating unit subject to the provisions of this section, be less than or equal to the limits listed in Table 4 below.

TABLE 4—METAL PARTS AND PRODUCTS COATING VOC CONTENT LIMITS—VOC COATING CONTENT LIMITS ARE EX-PRESSED AS MASS (kg OR lb) PER VOLUME (I OR gal) OF COATING LESS WATER AND EXEMPT COMPOUNDS, AS AP-PLIED

Coating category	Air dried		Baked	
	kg VOC/I coating	lb VOC/gal coating	kg VOC/l coating	lb VOC/gal coating
General, one-component	0.34	2.8	0.28	2.3
General, multi-component	0.34	2.8	0.28	2.3
Camouflage	0.42	3.5	0.36	3.0
Electric insulating varnish	0.42	3.5	0.36	3.0
Electric insulating and thermal conducting coatings	0.42	3.5	0.36	3.0
Etching filler	0.42	3.5	0.36	3.0
Extreme high gloss	0.42	3.5	0.36	3.0
Extreme performance	0.42	3.5	0.36	3.0
Heat resistant	0.42	3.5	0.36	3.0
High performance architectural	0.42	3.5	0.36	3.0
High temperature	0.42	3.5	0.36	3.0
Magnetic data storage disc coatings	0.42	3.5	0.36	3.0
Metallic	0.42	3.5	0.36	3.0
Military specification	0.34	2.8	0.28	2.3
Mold seal	0.42	3.5	0.36	3.0
Pan Backing	0.42	3.5	0.36	3.0
Prefabricated architectural multi-component	0.42	3.5	0.28	2.3
Prefabricated architectural one component	0.42	3.5	0.28	2.3
Pretreatment coatings	0.42	3.5	0.36	3.0
Repair and touch up	0.42	3.5	0.36	3.0
Safety indicating coatings	0.42	3.5	0.36	3.0
Silicone release	0.42	3.5	0.42	3.5
Solar absorbent	0.42	3.5	0.36	3.0
Solid-film lubricant	0.42	3.5	0.36	3.0
Stencil coatings	0.42	3.5	0.36	3.0
Vacuum metalizing	0.42	3.5	0.42	3.5
Drum coating, new, exterior	0.34	2.8	0.34	2.8
Drum coating, new, interior	0.42	3.5	0.42	3.5
Drum coating, reconditioned, exterior	0.42	3.5	0.36	3.0
Drum coating, reconditioned, interior	0.50	4.2	0.50	4.2

V. Proposed Action

EPA is proposing to approve the State of Delaware's SIP revisions submitted on April 1, 2010 and March 9, 2012, adopting the requirements of EPA's CTGs for the coating of plastic parts, metal furniture, large appliances, and miscellaneous metal parts, as RACT for these source categories. EPA is soliciting public comments on the issues discussed in this document. These comments will be considered before taking final action.

VI. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

Under the CAA, the Administrator is required to approve a SIP submission that complies with the provisions of the CAA and applicable Federal regulations. 42 U.S.C. 7410(k); 40 CFR 52.02(a). Thus, in reviewing SIP submissions, EPA's role is to approve state choices, provided that they meet the criteria of the CAA. Accordingly, this action merely proposes to approve state law as meeting Federal requirements and does not impose additional requirements beyond those imposed by state law. For that reason, this proposed action:

- Is not a "significant regulatory action" subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget under Executive Order 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993);
- Does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*);
- Is certified as not having a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*);
- Does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–4);
- Does not have Federalism implications as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999);
- Is not an economically significant regulatory action based on health or safety risks subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997);
- Is not a significant regulatory action subject to Executive Order 13211 (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001);

- Is not subject to requirements of Section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) because application of those requirements would be inconsistent with the CAA; and
- Does not provide EPA with the discretionary authority to address, as appropriate, disproportionate human health or environmental effects, using practicable and legally permissible methods, under Executive Order 12898 (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994). In addition, this proposed rule, pertaining to Delaware's adoption of EPA's CTGs for the coating of plastic parts, metal furniture, large appliances, and miscellaneous metal parts, does not have tribal implications as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000), because the SIP is not approved to apply in Indian country located in the state, and EPA notes that it will not impose substantial direct costs on tribal governments or preempt tribal law.

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Ozone, Reporting and

recordkeeping requirements, Volatile organic compounds.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.

Dated: June 26, 2012.

W.C. Early,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region III. [FR Doc. 2012–16950 Filed 7–12–12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[EPA-R08-OAR-2012-0299, FRL-9700-2]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; North Dakota: Prevention of Significant Deterioration; Greenhouse Gas Permitting Authority and Tailoring Rule; PM_{2.5} NSR Implementation Rule

AGENCY: Environmental Protection

Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is proposing to approve a revision to the North Dakota State Implementation Plan (SIP) relating to regulation of Greenhouse Gases (GHGs) and fine particulate matter (PM_{2.5}) under North Dakota's Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) program. This revision was submitted by the North Dakota Department of Health Division of Air Quality (ND DOH DAQ) to EPA on April 18, 2011. It is intended to align North Dakota's regulations with the "PSD and Title V Greenhouse Gas Tailoring Final Rule" and the final rule for "Implementation of the New Source Review (NSR) Program for PM_{2.5}." EPA is proposing to approve the revision because the Agency has made the preliminary determination that the SIP revision, already adopted by North Dakota as a final effective rule, is in accordance with the Clean Air Act (CAA or Act) and EPA regulations regarding PSD permitting for GHGs and $PM_{2.5}$.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before August 13, 2012.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA-R08-OAR-2012-0299, by one of the following methods:

- www.regulations.gov. Follow the on-line instructions for submitting comments.
 - Email: ostendorf.jody@epa.gov.
- Fax: (303) 312–6064 (please alert the individual listed in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT if you are faxing comments).
- Mail: Carl Daly, Director, Air Program, Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), Region 8, Mailcode 8P–

AR, 1595 Wynkoop St., Denver, Colorado 80202–1129.

• Hand Delivery: Carl Daly, Director, Air Program, Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), Region 8, Mailcode 8P–AR, 1595 Wynkoop St., Denver, Colorado 80202–1129. Such deliveries are only accepted Monday through Friday, 8:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., excluding Federal holidays. Special arrangements should be made for deliveries of boxed information.

Instructions: Direct your comments to Docket ID No. EPA-R08-OAR-2012-0299. EPA's policy is that all comments received will be included in the public docket without change and may be made available online at www.regulations.gov, including any personal information provided, unless the comment includes information claimed to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Do not submit information that you consider to be CBI or otherwise protected through www.regulations.gov or email. The www.regulations.gov Web site is an anonymous access system, which means EPA will not know your identity or contact information unless you provide it in the body of your comment. If you send an email comment directly to EPA, without going through www.regulations.gov your email address will be automatically captured and included as part of the comment that is placed in the public docket and made available on the Internet. If you submit an electronic comment, EPA recommends that you include your name and other contact information in the body of your comment and with any disk or CD-ROM you submit. If EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties and cannot contact you for clarification, EPA may not be able to consider your comment. Electronic files should avoid the use of special characters, any form of encryption, and be free of any defects or viruses. For additional information about EPA's public docket visit the EPA Docket Center homepage at http:// www.epa.gov/epahome/dockets.htm. For additional instructions on submitting comments, go to Section I. General Information of the

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION section of this document

Docket: All documents in the docket are listed in the www.regulations.gov index. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, e.g., CBI or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, will be publicly available only in hard copy. Publicly

available docket materials are available either electronically in www.regulations.gov or in hard copy at the Air Program, Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), Region 8, 1595 Wynkoop St., Denver, Colorado 80202–1129. EPA requests that if at all possible, you contact the individual listed in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section to view the hard copy of the docket. You may view the hard copy of the docket Monday through Friday, 8:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m., excluding Federal holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jody Ostendorf, Air Program, Mailcode 8P–AR, Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), Region 8, 1595 Wynkoop St., Denver, Colorado 80202–1129, (303) 312–7814, ostendorf.jody@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Information is organized as follows:

Table of Contents

- I. What action is EPA proposing in today's notice?
- II. What is the background for the PSD SIP approval proposed by EPA in today's notice?
 - A. GHG-Related Actions
- B. PM_{2.5}-Related Actions
- C. North Dakota's Actions
- III. What is EPA's analysis of North Dakota's proposed SIP revision?
- IV. Proposed Action
- V. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

I. What action is EPA proposing in today's notice?

On April 18, 2011, ND DOH submitted a request to EPA to approve revisions to the State's SIP and Title V program to incorporate recent rule amendments adopted by the ND DOH DAQ. These adopted rules became effective in the North Dakota Administrative Code on that date. Among other things, the amendments establish thresholds for GHG emissions in North Dakota's PSD and Title V regulations at the same emissions thresholds and in the same time-frames as those specified by EPA in the "PSD and Title V Greenhouse Gas Tailoring Final Rule" (75 FR 31514, June 3, 2010), hereinafter referred to as the "Tailoring Rule," ensuring that smaller GHG sources emitting less than these thresholds will not be subject to permitting requirements for GHGs that they emit. The requested revisions to the SIP will clarify the applicable thresholds in the North Dakota SIP and incorporate state rule changes adopted at the state level into the federallyapproved SIP.

The revisions to the SIP also address requirements for PSD programs with regard to emissions of $PM_{2.5}$. These requirements were specified by EPA in