

Service, Auburn, WA.

**Barry S. Lineback,**

*Director, Business Operations.*

[FR Doc. 2012-16701 Filed 7-6-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6353-01-P

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Department of the Air Force

#### Intent to Grant an Exclusive Patent License

**AGENCY:** United States Air Force, DoD.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to the provisions of Part 404 of Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, which implements Public Law 96-517, as amended; the Department of the Air Force announces its intention to grant SpiderTek, LLC, a corporation of the State of Missouri, having a place of business at 10101 Stoltz Drive, Rolla, Missouri 65401, an exclusive license in any right, title and interest the Air Force has in:

PCT Application Number PCT/US06/60039, filed on October 17, 2006, entitled "Immunoassay for Venom Detection Including Noninvasive Sample Collection," by William V. Stoecker, Hernan F. Gomez, Jonathan A. Green, and David L. McGlasson;

U.S. Patent Number 7,927,828, Serial No. 11/550,130, issued on April 19, 2011, entitled "Immunoassay for Venom Detection Including Noninvasive Sample Collection," by William V. Stoecker, Hernan F. Gomez, Jonathan A. Green, and David L. McGlasson;

U.S. Patent Application Number Serial No. 12/756,875, filed on April 8, 2010, entitled "Immunoassay for Venom Detection Including Noninvasive Sample Collection," by William V. Stoecker, Hernan F. Gomez, Jonathan A. Green, and David L. McGlasson.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Gomez, Jonathan A. Green, and David L. McGlasson. Anyone wishing to object to the grant of this license must file a written objection along with supporting evidence within fifteen (15) days following publication of this Notice. Written objections should be sent to: Air Force Materiel Command Law Office, AFMCLO/JAZ, 2240 B Street, Rm D-14, Wright-Patterson AFB, OH 45433-7109; Facsimile: (937) 255-3733; Email: [afmclo.jaz.t2@wpafb.af.mil](mailto:afmclo.jaz.t2@wpafb.af.mil).

**Shannon N. Sanchez,**

*Acting Air Force Federal Register Liaison Officer.*

[FR Doc. 2012-16683 Filed 7-6-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5001-10-P

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Department of the Navy

#### Notice of the Availability of Record of Decision for the Final Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement for Disposal and Reuse of Hunters Point Naval Shipyard, San Francisco, CA

**AGENCY:** Department of the Navy, DoD.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of the Navy (DoN), after carefully weighing the environmental consequences of the proposed action, announces its decision to dispose of the Hunters Point Shipyard in a manner consistent with the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency's Hunters Point Shipyard Redevelopment Plan, as amended August 3, 2010. This Record of Decision (ROD) amends the DoN's previous ROD for the Disposal and Reuse of the Hunters Point Annex to the Naval Station Treasure Island, Formerly Hunters Point Naval Shipyard, San Francisco, California, November 20, 2000 (65 FR 69744).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The complete text of the ROD and the Final Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement (SEIS) for the Disposal and Reuse of Hunters Point Shipyard, San Francisco, California, March 2012 is available for public viewing at the DoN Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC) Program Management Office (PMO) Web site (<http://www.bracpmo.navy.mil/>). The ROD and Final SEIS are also available for viewing at the:

1. San Francisco Main Library, 100 Larkin Street, San Francisco, CA 94102;
2. San Francisco State University Library, 1360 Holloway Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94132;
3. Hastings Law Library, UC Hastings College of the Law, 200 McAllister Street, 4th Floor, San Francisco, CA 94102;
4. Jonsson Library of Government Documents, Cecil H. Green Library, Bing Wing, Stanford, CA 94305;
5. Institute of Governmental Studies Library, UC Berkeley, 109 Moses Hall #2370, Berkeley, CA 94720;
6. City Planning Department (By Appointment), 1650 Mission Street, Fourth Floor, San Francisco, CA 94103.

Single copies of the ROD will be made available upon request by contacting: Director, BRAC PMO West, Attention: Mr. Ronald Bochenek, 1455 Frazee Road, Suite 900, San Diego, California 92108-4310, telephone number: 619-

532-0906, email: [ronald.bochenek.ctr@navy.mil](mailto:ronald.bochenek.ctr@navy.mil).

Dated: June 27, 2012.

**L.R. Almand,**

*Judge Advocate General's Corps, U.S. Navy, Alternate Federal Register Liaison Officer.*

[FR Doc. 2012-16646 Filed 7-6-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3810-FF-P

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy

#### Proposed Agency Information Collection

**AGENCY:** Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, U.S. Department of Energy.

**ACTION:** Notice and request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Energy (DOE) is submitting to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for clearance a proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The proposed collection will support a National Evaluation of DOE's American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) funded Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block Grant program.

A 60-day notice and request for comments was published in the **Federal Register** on February 15, 2012 (77 FR 8852). No comments were received in response to this Notice.

This subsequent 30-day notice allows public comment on the final version of the information collection request. Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Information about the outcomes of the program, including energy and cost savings, the net number of jobs created or retained, and gross reductions in carbon emissions, is needed for a comprehensive evaluation of the program.

**DATES:** Comments regarding this proposed information collection must

be received on or before August 8, 2012. If you anticipate difficulty in submitting comments within that period, contact the person listed in **ADDRESSES** as soon as possible.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments may be sent to Colleen Rzy, Environmental Sciences Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, P.O. Box 2008, MS-6036, Oak Ridge, TN 37831-6036; [rizycg@ornl.gov](mailto:rizycg@ornl.gov)

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Requests for additional information should be directed to: Colleen Rzy, Environmental Sciences Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, P.O. Box 2008, MS-6036, Oak Ridge, TN 37831-6036; [rizycg@ornl.gov](mailto:rizycg@ornl.gov).

The detailed technical evaluation plan for this information collection can be found at: <http://weatherization.ornl.gov/eecbg.shtml>. The survey/data collection instrument that composes this information collection request can be found at this same Web site.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This information collection request contains:

(1) *OMB No:* New.

(2) *Information Collection Request Title:* National Evaluation of the United States Department of Energy's Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block Grant Program.

(3) *Type of Request:* New.

(4) *Purpose:* The Department of Energy is conducting an evaluation of the Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block Grant Program (EECBG), a national program providing over \$2.7 billion in grants to approximately 2,350 cities, counties, States, territories, and Indian Tribes. Grants could be used for energy efficiency and conservation efforts, building code support, renewable energy installations, distributed energy technologies, transportation activities, recycling and waste management efforts, and other activities approved by DOE.

The EECBG Program, authorized in Title V, Subtitle E of the Energy Independence and Security Act and signed into law on December 19, 2007, was funded for the first time by the ARRA of 2009. The Funding Opportunity Announcement for Formula Grants was issued on June 25, 2009 and closed on June 25, 2010.

The scope of the National Evaluation of EECBG involves a combination of careful reviews of grant status reports and applications ("engineering desk reviews"), conversations with DOE project officers, and in-depth interviews with grant managers to assemble critical data for answering the three questions of interest:

1. What is the total magnitude of energy and cost savings, and other key outcomes, such as gross carbon emissions reduction and the net number of jobs created or retained, achieved in Broad Program Areas that cumulatively account for approximately 80 percent of total Formula Grant expenditures in the 2009-2011 program years?

2. What is the magnitude of outcomes achieved by each of the most heavily-funded Broad Program Areas within the EECBG portfolio?

3. What are the key factors influencing the magnitude of EECBG outcomes?

These questions will be answered by evaluating a sample of 350 grant activity examples from a pool of direct grants and State sub-grants, all issued as part of the EECBG program.

**Scale of the Information Collection**

The DOE formula grants are well-defined and were further scrutinized by the Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL)/DOE and its contractors for categorization into Broad Program Areas and activities. The evaluation team will complete the process of counting and categorizing the State sub-grants. From these combined lists of grants, sorted by Broad Program Area, sub-area, and activity, and from a set of criteria developed by ORNL/DOE and its contractors, a sampling approach will be applied to select 350 grants for study. That random sample of projects will be taken from the six Broad Program Areas that, in combination, account for over 80 percent of total EECBG Formula Grant expenditures, which will allow valid inferences to be drawn for each Broad Program Area examined.

Data collection will begin with a combination of careful reviews of the DOE program databases, grant status reports and applications ("engineering desk reviews"), and conversations with DOE Project Officers and Regional and State Coordinators. After this extensive preliminary data collection effort, interviews will be conducted with individuals responsible for managing the specific grant activities selected in the sample ("Grant Activity Managers"). The 60-day notice proposed two survey instruments for data collection: 1. Grant Activity-Level Contact (now titled "Grant Activity Manager Survey") and 2. Performance Indicators Survey. Since the submittal of the 60-day notice, the evaluation team conducted an extensive review of DOE's Performance and Accountability for Grants in Energy system demonstrating that the majority of information sought in the Performance Indicators Survey is already readily available. In order to

reduce the data collection burden, the Performance Indicators Survey is no longer a separate instrument. The limited number of questions that cannot be answered through database research and other methods are now included in the Grant Activity Manager Survey.

**Grant Activity Manager Survey**

Verifies activities performed, measures installed, measure level data, and other relevant project information necessary to calculate program impacts and other metrics. Also contains a series of questions related to grant performance not already available in DOE program databases. Approximately 350 interviews will take place to complete the survey instrument.

The survey instrument consists of a core set of questions to be posed to all 350 respondents, after which branching occurs into separate modules of the survey addressing the specific types of projects undertaken. Respondents are only asked those questions relevant to their specific activity, skipping any modules or sets of questions that do not apply; no respondent will be subject to the entire battery of questions.

This survey will involve 350 respondents and entail a total burden of 438 hours. This calculation is based on the assumption that the Grant Activity Manager Survey will require an average of 75 minutes, depending on the details of the specific activity being evaluated and its complexity.

This evaluation approach will not include any data collection from individual service recipients to estimate savings or outcomes. This study will use data from the above-mentioned interviews plus additional information that can be obtained from program records and secondary sources, as well as engineering-based analytical methods, to produce energy savings and outcome estimates.

The above-described data collection instrument will be supplemented by additional records research and database review activities provided by the Grant Program Managers and Local Grant Activity Managers. These general recordkeeping activities will require an estimated 496 hours. Combining the burden hours associated with telephone surveys (438 hours) with the burden hours associated with general records review (496 hours) produces a total estimated burden of 934 hours.

Two key steps are being taken to avoid duplicating the efforts of any concurrent evaluations of EECBG activities: (1) Identifying results from any EECBG grant evaluation efforts taking place at the State level; and (2) coordinating with the Better Buildings

Program evaluation concerning any data collection already taking place during the same time period that addresses EECBG grant activities.

The sample selection of Broad Program Areas and specific programmatic activities within each Broad Program Area is scheduled to be completed in May 2012. Data collection and calculation of outcomes are scheduled to be completed by October 2012.

The detailed study design and work plan for the EECBG evaluation has been available for public review since January 2012 at <http://weatherization.ornl.gov/eecbg.shtml>.

(5) *Annual Estimated Number of Respondents*: 350.

(6) *Annual Estimated Number of Total Responses*: 350.

(7) *Annual Estimated Total Number of Burden Hours (Interview and Record Review)*: 934.

**Statutory Authority:** Title V, Subtitle E of the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007, codified at 42 U.S.C. 17151–17158.

Issued in Washington, DC, on June 7, 2012.

**David T. Danielson,**  
Assistant Secretary, Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy.

[FR Doc. 2012–16663 Filed 7–6–12; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6450–01–P**

**DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**

**Federal Energy Regulatory Commission**

[Docket No. IC12–9–000]

**Commission Information Collection Activities (FERC–552); Comment Request**

**AGENCY:** Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Energy.

**ACTION:** Comment request.

**SUMMARY:** In compliance with the requirements of the Paperwork

Reduction Act of 1995, 44 U.S.C. 3507(a)(1)(D), the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission or FERC) is submitting the information collection FERC Form 552 (Annual Report of Natural Gas Transactions) to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review of the information collection requirements. Any interested person may file comments directly with OMB and should address a copy of those comments to the Commission as explained below. The Commission issued a Notice in the **Federal Register** (77 FR 19009, 3/29/2012) requesting public comments. FERC received no comments on the FERC–552 and is making this notation in its submittal to OMB.

**DATES:** Comments on the collection of information are due by August 8, 2012.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments filed with OMB, identified by the OMB Control No. 1902–0242, should be sent via email to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs: [oira\\_submission@omb.gov](mailto:oira_submission@omb.gov). Attention: Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Desk Officer. The Desk Officer may also be reached via telephone at 202–395–4718.

A copy of the comments should also be sent to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, identified by the Docket No. IC12–9–000, by either of the following methods:

- *eFiling at Commission’s Web Site:* <http://www.ferc.gov/docs-filing/efiling.asp>.

- *Mail/Hand Delivery/Courier:* Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Secretary of the Commission, 888 First Street NE., Washington, DC 20426.

*Instructions:* All submissions must be formatted and filed in accordance with submission guidelines at: <http://www.ferc.gov/help/submission-guide.asp>. For user assistance contact FERC Online Support by email at [ferconlinesupport@ferc.gov](mailto:ferconlinesupport@ferc.gov), or by phone

at: (866) 208–3676 (toll-free), or (202) 502–8659 for TTY.

*Docket:* Users interested in receiving automatic notification of activity in this docket or in viewing/downloading comments and issuances in this docket may do so at <http://www.ferc.gov/docs-filing/docs-filing.asp>.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ellen Brown may be reached by email at [DataClearance@FERC.gov](mailto:DataClearance@FERC.gov), by telephone at (202) 502–8663, and by fax at (202) 273–0873.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**  
*Title:* FERC Form 552, Annual Report of Natural Gas Transactions.

*OMB Control No.:* 1902–0242.  
*Type of Request:* Three-year extension of the FERC–552 information collection requirements with no changes to the reporting requirements.

*Abstract:* The Commission uses the information collected within the FERC–552 to provide greater transparency concerning the use of indices to price natural gas and how well index prices reflect market forces. The collection also includes transactions that contribute to, or may contribute to natural gas price indices. Many market participants rely on indices as a way to reference market prices without taking on the risks of active trading.

FERC–552 had its genesis in the Energy Policy Act of 2005,<sup>1</sup> which added section 23 of the Natural Gas Act (NGA). Section 23 of the NGA, among other things, directs the Commission “to facilitate price transparency in markets for the sale or transportation of physical natural gas in interstate commerce, having due regard for the public interest, the integrity of those markets, and the protection of consumers.”<sup>2</sup>

*Type of Respondents:* Wholesale natural gas market participants.

*Estimate of Annual Burden*<sup>3</sup>: The Commission estimates the total Public Reporting Burden for this information collection as:

**FERC–552 (IC12–9–000): ANNUAL REPORT OF NATURAL GAS TRANSACTIONS**

	Number of respondents	Number of responses per respondent	Total number of responses	Average burden hours per response	Estimated total annual burden
	(A)	(B)	(A) × (B) = (C)	(D)	(C) × (D)
Wholesale natural market participants .....	691	1	691	10	6,910

The total estimated annual cost burden to respondents is \$476,857

[6,910 hours ÷ 2,080<sup>4</sup> hours/year = 3.32212 \* \$143,540/year<sup>5</sup> = \$476,857].

The estimated annual cost of filing the FERC–552 per response is \$690

<sup>1</sup> Public Law 109–58.

<sup>2</sup> 15 U.S.C. 717t–2.

<sup>3</sup> Burden is defined as the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to

generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. For further explanation of what is included in the information

collection burden, reference 5 Code of Federal Regulations 1320.3.

<sup>4</sup> 2080 hours = 40 hours/week \* 52 weeks (1 year).

<sup>5</sup> Average annual salary per employee in 2012.