For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority. 17

Kevin M. O'Neill,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2012–16469 Filed 7–3–12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–67304; File No. SR–BATS–2012–023]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; BATS Exchange, Inc.; Notice of Filing of Proposed Rule Change To Amend BATS Rules 14.2 and 14.3 To Adopt Additional Listing Requirements for Reverse Merger Companies and To Align BATS Rules With the Rules of Other Self-Regulatory Organizations

June 28, 2012.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Act" or the "Exchange Act"), and Rule 19b—4 thereunder, notice is hereby given that on June 15, 2012, BATS Exchange, Inc. (the "Exchange" or "BATS") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I and II below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange is proposing to amend BATS Rules 14.2 and 14.3 to adopt additional listing requirements for a company that has become an Act reporting company by combining either directly or indirectly with a public shell, whether through a Reverse Merger, exchange offer, or otherwise (a "Reverse Merger"). The text of the proposed rule addition is available at the Exchange's Web site at http://www.batstrading.com, at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission's Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of those statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in Sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The Exchange is proposing to adopt more stringent listing requirements for operating companies that become Exchange Act reporting companies through a Reverse Merger ("Reverse Merger Companies"). In a Reverse Merger, an existing public shell company merges with a private operating company in a transaction in which the shell company is the surviving legal entity. While the public shell company survives the merger, the shareholders of the private operating company typically hold a large majority of the shares of the public company after the merger and the management and board of the private company will assume those roles in the post-merger public company. The assets and business operations of the post-merger are primarily, if not solely, those of the former private operating company. The Exchange understands that private operating companies generally enter into Reverse Merger transactions to enable the company and its shareholders to sell shares in the public equity markets. By becoming a public reporting company via a Reverse Merger, a private operating company can access the public markets quickly and avoid the generally more expensive and lengthy process of going public by way of an initial public offering. While the public shell company is required to report the Reverse Merger in a Form 8-K filing with the Commission, generally there are no registration requirements under the Securities Act of 1933 (the "Securities Act") 3 at that point in time, as there would be for an

Significant regulatory concerns, including accounting fraud allegations, have arisen with respect to a number of Reverse Merger Companies in recent times. The Commission has taken direct action against Reverse Merger Companies. During 2011, the Commission suspended trading in the securities of numerous Reverse Merger

Companies.⁴ The Commission also recently brought an enforcement proceeding against an audit firm relating to its work for Reverse Merger Companies.⁵ In addition, the Commission issued a bulletin on the risks of investing in Reverse Merger Companies, noting potential market and regulatory risks related to investing in Reverse Merger Companies.⁶

BATS Rule 14.2 provides the exchange with "broad discretionary authority over the initial and continued listing of securities on the Exchange in order to maintain the quality of and public confidence in its market, to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, to promote just and equitable principles of trade, and to protect investors and the public interest." BATS Rule 14.2 also provides that the Exchange may use such discretion to "deny initial listing, apply additional or more stringent criteria for the initial or continued listing of particular securities, or suspend or delist particular securities based on any event, condition, or circumstance that exists or occurs that makes initial or continued listing of the securities on the Exchange inadvisable or unwarranted in the opinion of the Exchange, even though the securities meet all enumerated criteria for initial or continued listing on the Exchange." The Exchange may use this discretionary authority to increase the stringency of its stated listing criteria, but not to decrease their stringency.

In light of the well-documented concerns related to some Reverse Merger Companies described above, the Exchange believes that it is appropriate to codify in its rules specific requirements with respect to the initial listing qualification of Reverse Merger Companies. As proposed, a Reverse Merger Company would not be eligible for listing unless the combined entity had, immediately preceding the filing of the initial listing application:

(1) Traded for at least one year in the U.S. over-the-counter market, on another national securities exchange, or on a regulated foreign exchange following the consummation of the Reverse Merger and (i) in the case of a domestic issuer, filed with the Commission a form 8–K including all of the information required by Item 2.01(f) of Form 8–K, including all required audited financial statements; or (ii) in the case of a foreign private issuer, filed

^{17 17} CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

³ 15 U.S.C. 77a.

⁴ See Letter from Mary L. Schapiro to Hon. Patrick T. McHenry, dated April 27, 2011 ("Schapiro Letter"), at pages 3–4.

⁵ See Schapiro Letter at page 4.

⁶ See "Investor Bulletin: Reverse Mergers" 2011–

the information described in (i) above on Form 20–F;

(2) Maintained on both an absolute and an average basis for a sustained period a minimum stock price of at least \$4, but in no event for less than 30 of the most recent 60 trading days prior to each of the filing of the initial listing application and the date of the Reverse Merger Company's listing on the Exchange, except that a Reverse Merger Company that has satisfied the one-year trading requirement described in (1) above and has filed at least four annual reports with the Commission which each contain all required audited financial statements for a full fiscal year commencing after filing the information described in paragraph (1) above will not be subject to this price requirement;

(3) Timely filed with the Commission all required reports since the consummation of the Reverse Merger, including the filing of at least one annual report containing audited financial statements for a full fiscal year commencing on a date after the date of filing with the Commission of the filing described in (1) above.

In addition, a Reverse Merger Company would be required to maintain on both an absolute and an average basis a minimum stock price of at least \$4 through listing.

The Exchange believes that requiring a "seasoning" period prior to listing for Reverse Merger Companies should provide great assurance that the company's operations and financial reporting are reliable, and will also provide time for its independent auditor to detect any potential irregularities, as well as for the company to identify and implement enhancements to address any internal control weaknesses. The seasoning period will also provide time for regulatory and market scrutiny of the company and for any concerns that would preclude listing eligibility to be identified.

In addition, the Exchange believes that the proposed rule change will increase transparency to issuers and market participants with respect to the factors considered by the Exchange in assessing Reverse Merger Companies for listing and should generally reduce the risk of regulatory concerns with respect to these companies being discovered after listing. However, the Exchange notes that, while it believes that the proposed requirements would be a meaningful additional safeguard, it is not possible to guarantee that a Reverse Merger Company (or any other listed company) is not engaged in undetected accounting fraud or subject to other

concealed and undisclosed legal or regulatory problems.

For purposes of the proposed amendment to BATS Rules 14.2(c) and 14.3(b)(9) (which will both be applicable to Reverse Merger Companies which qualify to list under BATS Rules) and as defined above, a Reverse Merger would mean any transaction whereby an operating company became an Exchange Act reporting company by combining either directly or indirectly with a shell company that was an Exchange Act reporting company, whether through a Reverse Merger, exchange offer, or otherwise. However, a Reverse Merger would not include the acquisition of an operating company by a listed company that qualified for initial listing under BATS Rule 14.2(b) (the Exchange's standard for companies whose business plan is to complete one or more acquisitions). In determining whether a company was a shell company, the Exchange would consider, among other factors: Whether the company was considered a "shell company" as defined in Rule 12b-2 under the Exchange Act; what percentage of the company's assets were active versus passive; whether the company generates revenues, and if so, whether the revenues were passively or actively generated; whether the company's expenses were reasonably related to the revenues being generated; how many employees worked in the company's revenue-generating business operations; how long the company had been without material business operations; and whether the company had publicly announced a plan to begin operating activities or generate revenues, including through a near-term acquisition or transaction.

In order to qualify for initial listing, a Reverse Merger Company would be required to comply with one of the initial listing standards set forth in BATS Rule 14.4 or 14.5 and the stock price and market value requirements of BATS Rule 14.8 or 14.9, as appropriate. Proposed Rules 14.2(c)(3) and 14.3(b)(9) would supplement and not replace any applicable requirements of Chapter XIV of BATS Rules. However, in addition to the otherwise applicable requirements of BATS Rules, a Reverse Merger Company would be eligible to submit an application for an initial listing only if it meets the additional criteria specified

The Exchange would have the discretion to impose more stringent requirements than those set forth above if the Exchange believed that it was warranted in the case of a particular Reverse Merger Company, based on, among other things, an inactive trading

market in the Reverse Merger Company's securities, the existence of a low number of publicly held shares that were not subject to transfer restrictions, if the Reverse Merger Company had not had a Securities Act registration statement or other filing subjected to a comprehensive review by the Commission, or if the Reverse Merger Company had disclosed that it had material weaknesses in its internal controls which had been identified by management and/or the Reverse Merger Company's independent auditor and had not yet implemented an appropriate corrective action plan.

The Exchange reiterates that any Reverse Merger Company would have to comply with all listing standards set forth in BATS Rules, including corporate governance standards. The Exchange also notes that it will monitor the compliance with applicable BATS Rules by any Reverse Merger Company and will investigate any issues that indicate that a Reverse Merger Company is non-compliant with BATS Rules.

A Reverse Merger Company would not be subject to the requirements of proposed BATS Rules 14.2(c)(3) and 14.3(b)(9) if, in connection with its listing, it completes a firm commitment underwritten public offering where the gross proceeds to the Reverse Merger Company will be at least \$40 million.⁷ In that case, the Reverse Merger Company would only need to meet the initial listing standards. The Exchange believes that it is appropriate to exempt Reverse Merger Companies from the proposed rule where they are listing in conjunction with a sizable offering, as those companies would be subject to the same Commission review and due diligence by underwriters as a company listing in conjunction with its IPO or any other company listing in conjunction with an initial firm commitment underwritten public offering, so it would be inequitable to subject them to more stringent requirements.

The Exchange notes that the proposal is based on and consistent with recent Commission approvals of analogous rules for the New York Stock Exchange LLC ("NYSE"), NYSE Amex LLC ("AMEX") and the NASDAQ Stock Market LLC ("Nasdaq").8

⁷ The prospectus and registration statement covering the offering would thus need to relate to the combined financial statements and operations of the Reverse Merger Company.

⁸ See Securities Exchange Act Release Nos. 65709 (November 8, 2011), 76 FR 70795 (November 15, 2011) (File No. SR–NYSE–2011–38); 65710 (November 8, 2011), 76 FR 70790 (November 15, 2011) (File No. SR–NYSEAmex–2011–55); 65708 (November 8, 2011), 76 FR 70799 (November 15, 2011) (File No. SR–NASDAQ–2011–073).

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that its proposal is consistent with the requirements of the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder that are applicable to a national securities exchange, and, in particular, with the requirements of Section 6(b) of the Act.9 Specifically, the proposed change is consistent with Section 6(b)(5) of the Act, 10 because it is designed to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in regulating, clearing, settling, processing information with respect to, and facilitating transactions in securities, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system, and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest. The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 6(b)(5) of the Act in that, as discussed above under the heading "Purpose", its purpose is to apply more stringent initial listing requirements to a category of companies that have raised regulatory concerns, thereby furthering the goal of protection of investors and the public interest. As set forth above, the proposal is based on and consistent with recent Commission approvals of analogous rules for NYSE, AMEX and Nasdaq.11

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will result in any burden on competition.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

The Exchange has neither solicited nor received written comments on the proposed rule change.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Within 45 days of the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register** or within such longer period (i) as the Commission may designate up to 90 days of such date if it finds such longer period to be appropriate and publishes its reasons for so finding or (ii) as to which the self-regulatory organization consents, the Commission will:

(A) By order approve or disapprove such proposed rule change, or

(B) Institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml); or
- Send an email to *rule-comments@sec.gov*. Please include File Number SR–BATS–2012–023 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

• Send paper comments in triplicate to Elizabeth M. Murphy, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549–1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-BATS-2012-023. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet Web site (http://www.sec.gov/ rules/sro.shtml). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for Web site viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10 a.m. and 3 p.m. The text of the proposed rule change is available on the Commission's Web site at http:// www.sec.gov. Copies of such filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-BATS-

2012–023, and should be submitted on or before July 26, 2012.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority. 12

Kevin M. O'Neill,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2012-16440 Filed 7-3-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–67300; File No. SR–EDGA–2012–24]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; EDGA Exchange, Inc.; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change Relating to Amendments to the EDGA Exchange, Inc. Fee Schedule

June 28, 2012.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Act"),¹ and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on June 19, 2012 the EDGA Exchange, Inc. (the "Exchange" or the "EDGA") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II and III below, which items have been prepared by the self-regulatory organization. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to amend its fees and rebates applicable to Members ³ of the Exchange pursuant to EDGA Rule 15.1(a) and (c). All of the changes described herein are applicable to EDGA Members. The text of the proposed rule change is available on the Exchange's Internet Web site at http://www.directedge.com, at the Exchange's principal office, and at the Public Reference Room of the Commission.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the self-regulatory organization included statements concerning the purpose of,

^{9 15} U.S.C. 78f(b).

^{10 15} U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

¹¹ See supra note 8.

^{12 17} CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b–4.

³ A Member is any registered broker or dealer, or any person associated with a registered broker or dealer, that has been admitted to membership in the Exchange.