

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

## Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[Order No. 1829]

**Voluntary Termination of Foreign-Trade Subzone 33B Verosol USA, Inc. Kennedy Township, Allegheny County, PA**

Pursuant to the authority granted in the Foreign-Trade Zones Act of June 18, 1934, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a–81u), and the Foreign-Trade Zones Board Regulations (15 CFR part 400), the Foreign-Trade Zones Board has adopted the following order:

*Whereas*, on December 28, 1988, the Foreign-Trade Zones Board issued a grant of authority to the Regional Industrial Development Corporation of Southwestern Pennsylvania, grantee of FTZ 33, authorizing the establishment of Foreign-Trade Subzone 33B at the Verosol USA, Inc., plant in Kennedy Township, Allegheny County, Pennsylvania (Board Order 416, 54 FR 164, 1/4/89);

*Whereas*, the Regional Industrial Development Corporation of Southwestern Pennsylvania has advised that zone procedures are no longer needed at the facility and requested voluntary termination of Subzone 33B (FTZ Docket 15–2012);

*Whereas*, the request has been reviewed by the FTZ Staff and Customs and Border Protection officials, and approval has been recommended;

*Now, therefore*, the Foreign-Trade Zones Board terminates the subzone status of Subzone 33B, effective this date.

Dated: Signed at Washington, DC, this 18 day of June 2012.

Paul Piquado,

*Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Import Administration, Alternate Chairman, Foreign-Trade Zones Board.*

ATTEST:

Elizabeth Whiteman,

*Acting Executive Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2012–15733 Filed 6–26–12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

## International Trade Administration

[A–588–833]

**Stainless Steel Bar From Japan: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Changed-Circumstances Review, and Revocation of Order in Part**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** On May 29, 2012, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published in the **Federal Register** a notice of initiation and preliminary results of the antidumping duty changed-circumstances review with intent to revoke in part the order on stainless steel bar (SSBar) from Japan (the Order).<sup>1</sup> In the *Initiation and Preliminary Results*, we invited interested parties to comment on the preliminary determinations to exclude three products under Grades 304 and 440C, as described below, from the scope of the Order and to revoke the Order in part retroactively to February 1, 2010. The Department received no comments from interested parties. Therefore, the Department is revoking the Order in part to exclude the three products described below in *New Scope Language*, effective February 1, 2010.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* February 1, 2010.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Bryan Hansen or Minoo Hatten, AD/CVD Operations, Office 1, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–3683 or (202) 482–1690, respectively.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:****Background**

On February 21, 1995, the Department published the Order.<sup>2</sup> On February 14, 2012, Suruga USA Corp. (Suruga) requested that the Department conduct a changed-circumstances review of the Order.<sup>3</sup> On May 7, 2012, Suruga submitted revised product descriptions, as described below, with respect to one product under Grade 304 and two products under Grade 440C.<sup>4</sup> Suruga stated that, although the form of the descriptions was revised for ease of understanding, the products described in its May 7, 2012 submission are identical to those in its February 14, 2012 submission.<sup>5</sup> On May 11, 2012, we received a submission from the petitioners<sup>6</sup> expressing a lack of interest

in the products identified in Suruga's May 7, 2012, request and certifications that they account for virtually all of the domestic production of the particular SSBar.<sup>7</sup> On May 29, 2012, we published the initiation and preliminary results of this changed-circumstances review.<sup>8</sup> As noted above, we gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on the *Initiation and Preliminary Results*.<sup>9</sup> We received no comments from interested parties.

**Scope of the Order**

The scope of the order covers SSBar. The term SSBar with respect to the order means articles of stainless steel in straight lengths that have been either hot-rolled, forged, turned, cold-drawn, cold-rolled or otherwise cold-finished, or ground, having a uniform solid cross section along their whole length in the shape of circles, segments of circles, ovals, rectangles (including squares), triangles, hexagons, octagons or other convex polygons. SSBar includes cold-finished SSBars that are turned or ground in straight lengths, whether produced from hot-rolled bar or from straightened and cut rod or wire, and reinforcing bars that have indentations, ribs, grooves, or other deformations produced during the rolling process.

Except as specified above, the term does not include stainless steel semi-finished products, cut-length flat-rolled products (*i.e.*, cut-length rolled products which if less than 4.75 mm in thickness have a width measuring at least 10 times the thickness, or if 4.75 mm or more in thickness having a width which exceeds 150 mm and measures at least twice the thickness), wire (*i.e.*, cold-formed products in coils, of any uniform solid cross section along their whole length, which do not conform to the definition of flat-rolled products), and angles, shapes and sections.

In addition, the term does not include certain valve/stem stainless steel round bar of 21–2N modified grade, having a diameter of 5.7 millimeters (with a tolerance of 0.025 millimeters), in length no greater than 15 meters, having a chemical composition consisting of a minimum of 0.50 percent and a maximum of 0.60 percent of carbon, a minimum of 7.50 percent and a

Bar, Inc., Universal Stainless & Alloy Products, Inc., and Valbruna Slater Stainless, Inc.

<sup>7</sup> See the petitioners' letter to the Department, dated May 11, 2012, at 1. The petitioners used the term "virtually all" in their May 11, 2012, letter. See *id.* at 1–2. For the final results, the Department continues to interpret the phrase "virtually all" as fulfilling the "substantially all" threshold provided under section 351.222(g)(1)(i) of the Department's regulations.

<sup>8</sup> See generally *Initiation and Preliminary Results*.

<sup>9</sup> See *id.* 77 FR at 31580.

<sup>1</sup> See *Stainless Steel Bar From Japan: Initiation and Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Changed-Circumstances Review, and Intent To Revoke Order in Part*, 77 FR 31578 (May 29, 2012) (*Initiation and Preliminary Results*).

<sup>2</sup> See *Notices of Antidumping Duty Orders: Stainless Steel Bar from Brazil, India, and Japan*, 60 FR 9661 (February 21, 1995).

<sup>3</sup> See generally Suruga's Letter to the Department, dated February 14, 2012.

<sup>4</sup> See Suruga's Letter to the Department, dated May 7, 2012 at Attachment A.

<sup>5</sup> See *id.* at 1 and Attachment A.

<sup>6</sup> The petitioners are Carpenter Technology Corporation, Crucible Industries LLC, Electralloy Corporation a Division of G.O. Carlson Inc. Co., North American Stainless, Outokumpu Stainless