

## 2. Statutory Basis

The statutory basis for the proposed rule change is Section 6(b)(5) of the Act,<sup>19</sup> which requires the rules of an exchange to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest. The proposed rule change also is designed to support the principles of Section 11A(a)(1)<sup>20</sup> of the Act in that it seeks to assure fair competition among brokers and dealers and among exchange markets. The Exchange believes that the proposed rule meets these requirements in that it promotes transparency and uniformity across markets concerning minimum market maker quotation requirements and member obligations to comply with the regulatory requirements of the Market Access Rule and Regulation SHO. The Exchange also believes that providing Exchange market makers with a transition period, during which they may adequately test the new functionality, will serve to minimize the potential market impact caused by the implementation of the order type.

### *B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition*

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will result in any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act, as amended.

### *C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others*

Written comments were neither solicited nor received.

## III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Within 45 days of the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register** or within such longer period (i) as the Commission may designate up to 90 days of such date if it finds such longer period to be appropriate and publishes its reasons for so finding or (ii) as to which the self-regulatory organization consents, the Commission shall:

A. By order approve or disapprove such proposed rule change; or

B. Institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be disapproved.

## IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

### *Electronic Comments*

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an email to [rule-comments@sec.gov](mailto:rule-comments@sec.gov). Please include File Number SR-NASDAQ-2012-066 on the subject line.

### *Paper Comments*

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Elizabeth M. Murphy, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-NASDAQ-2012-066. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet Web site (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for Web site viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-NASDAQ-2012-066 and should be submitted on or before July 11, 2012.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.<sup>21</sup>

**Kevin M. O'Neill,**  
*Deputy Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2012-15055 Filed 6-19-12; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 8011-01-P**

## SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

### Revocation of License of Small Business Investment Company

Pursuant to the authority granted to the United States Small Business Administration by the Wind-Up Order of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Iowa, Cedar Rapids Division, entered September 19, 2011, the United States Small Business Administration hereby revokes the license of Berthel SBIC, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, to function as a small business investment company under the Small Business Investment Company License No. 07070100 issued to Berthel SBIC, LLC, on May 4, 1998 and said license is hereby declared null and void as of September 19, 2011.

United States Small Business Administration.

Dated: June 4, 2012.

**Sean J. Greene,**  
*Associate Administrator for Investment.*

[FR Doc. 2012-14837 Filed 6-19-12; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 8025-01-P**

## SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION

[Docket No. SSA-2012-0036]

### Notice of Meeting of the Occupational Information Development Advisory Panel

**AGENCY:** Social Security Administration (SSA).

**ACTION:** Notice of upcoming panel teleconference meeting and Request for Comment.

**SUMMARY:** The Occupational Information Development Advisory Panel (Panel) is a discretionary Panel, established under the Federal Advisory Committee Act of 1972, as amended. The Panel provides independent advice and recommendations to us on the creation of an occupational information system for use in our disability programs and for our adjudicative needs.

<sup>21</sup> 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

<sup>19</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

<sup>20</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78k-1(a)(1).

### Meeting Information

**DATES:** Thursday, July 5, 2012. Call in number: 877-852-6575, Leader/Host: Leola S. Brooks.

To be sure that your comments are considered, we must receive them no later than June 29, 2012.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

*Type of meeting:* The teleconference meeting is open to the public.

*Agenda:* The Panel will meet on Thursday, July 5, 2012, from 2 p.m. until 4 p.m. (EDT).

The tentative agenda for this meeting includes: Individual and organizational public comment; Panel discussion and deliberation, and an administrative business meeting.

The Designated Federal Officer will post the meeting agenda on the Internet at [http://www.ssa.gov/oidap/meeting\\_information.html](http://www.ssa.gov/oidap/meeting_information.html) at least one week prior to the start date. You can also receive a copy electronically by email or by fax, upon request. We retain copies of all proceedings available for public inspection, by appointment at the Panel's office.

In addition to notice of this teleconference meeting, the Panel is requesting comment on its Recommendation #9 to us, as determined during deliberation at our June 4, 2012 teleconference meeting. Individuals or organizations may provide testimony during public comment period scheduled for the July 5, 2012 teleconference meeting or in writing.

The Panel will hear public comment during the teleconference meeting from 2:15 p.m. to 3:15 p.m. (EDT). Individuals and organizational representatives must contact the Designated Federal Officer (by email to [OIDAP@ssa.gov](mailto:OIDAP@ssa.gov)), to reserve a time slot assigned on a first come, first served basis, for a maximum of ten minutes. You must also submit your testimony in writing; no longer than five (5) pages; in Microsoft Word or other word processing formats (no PDF files accepted); by mail, fax or email to [OIDAP@ssa.gov](mailto:OIDAP@ssa.gov).

In the event that scheduled public comment does not take the entire time allotted, the Panel may use any remaining time to deliberate or conduct other business.

To be sure that we consider your comments, we must receive them no later than Friday, June 29, 2012.

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit written comments by any one of three methods—Internet, fax or mail. Do not submit the same comments multiple times, or by more than one method. Regardless of which method you

choose, please state that your comments refer to Docket No. SSA-2012-0036, so that we may associate your comments with the correct activity.

*Caution:* You should be careful to include in your comments only information that you wish to make publicly available. We strongly urge you not to include in your comments any personal information, such as Social Security numbers or medical information.

1. *Internet:* We strongly recommend this method for submitting your comments. Visit the Federal eRulemaking portal at <http://www.regulations.gov>. Use the Search function of the Web page to find docket number SSA-2012-0036, and then submit your comment. Once you submit your comment, the system will issue you a tracking number to confirm your submission. You will not be able to view your comment immediately as we must manually post each comment. It may take up to a week for your comment to be viewable.

2. *Fax:* Fax comments to (410) 597-0825.

3. *Mail:* Address your comments to the Office of Program Development and Research, Office of Vocational Resources Development, Social Security Administration, 3-E-26 Robert M. Ball Building, 6401 Security Boulevard, Baltimore, MD 21235-6401.

Comments are available for public viewing on the Federal eRulemaking portal at <http://www.regulations.gov> or in person, during regular business hours, by appointment with the contact person identified below.

The Panel is soliciting comments on Recommendation #9, as determined during deliberation at its June 4, 2012 teleconference meeting, which reads:

#### Continued Transparency and Public Engagement

The OIDAP brought transparency to SSA's occupational information development process that will impact the lives of millions of Americans. We believe SSA must continue this transparency as it develops any occupational information that will affect decision-making in the disability programs. We offer the following advice:

(1) Publicize reports from leadership of the Office of Vocational Resources Development (OVRD) on the project's activities, including continued updates regarding the progress with this initiative and strategic goals on agency Web sites and in public forum webinars and informational sessions, advertised in the **Federal Register** and agency sources;

(2) Announce all future strategic research and development plans, as well as findings from the project development and data collection efforts, to researchers for peer review;

(3) Continue to promote a venue for public comment and a repository for such comment; and,

(4) Engage and involve stakeholders and the scientific community in the review of research and development activities, as well as issues related to the analysis, usability, and integration of occupational data into the disability adjudication process.

#### The Science

The foundation upon which any occupational information database rests is its taxonomy of attributes to be measured and the scales that actually measure them. As with anything anyone builds, if the foundation is inadequate, the structure will fail. We reiterate the importance of developing a taxonomic content model that is strong enough to withstand legal challenge. We affirm our belief that:

(1) The taxonomy must comprehensively measure the world of work and those attributes applicable to disability adjudication;

(2) Internal staff trained and experienced in the scientific design and research, and also in disability adjudication application, must work together in this process;

(3) The scales used to measure these attributes must be absolute, cross job-relative, and psychometrically-sound;

(4) The occupational data must link to other national occupational employment databases through the structure of the Standard Occupational Classification;

(5) SSA adopts a carefully-designed sampling strategy that represents all jobs in the national economy (the Occupational Medical-Vocational study conducted by OVRD offers a good starting place);

(6) The sampling frame must adequately represent all geographically-diverse sectors of the economy, including emerging sectors, be periodically updated, and correspond to the data collection strategy;

(7) Data collection modes, subject matter experts, and the training and experience of those involved in data collection is a vital step in the development of data; thus, SSA should pay special attention to this phase of the project, and particularly to the qualifications and training of field job analysts, an area that presents the greatest threat to the validity of the data;

(8) SSA should test the resulting data with users for comparability and decision-making effects; and,

(9) SSA should periodically update the data to remain relevant and reflective of the world of work in the United States.

Failure to fully ensure the scientific veracity of the occupational taxonomy, data collection instrument, sampling strategy, and sources of data or data collection methods, will make SSA vulnerable to legitimate litigation.

The comment period is open through June 29, 2012.

*Contact Information:* Anyone requiring further information should contact the Panel staff at: Occupational Information Development Advisory Panel, Social Security Administration, 6401 Security Boulevard, 3-E-26 Robert M. Ball Building, Baltimore, MD 21235-0001. Fax: 410-597-0825. Email to [OIDAP@ssa.gov](mailto:OIDAP@ssa.gov). For additional information, please visit the Panel Web site at [www.ssa.gov/oidap](http://www.ssa.gov/oidap).

**Leola S. Brooks,**

*Designated Federal Officer, Occupational Information Development Advisory Panel.*

[FR Doc. 2012-15015 Filed 6-19-12; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4191-02-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF STATE

[Public Notice 7926]

### Determination Under Section 620(q) of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, as Amended, Relating to Assistance to Antigua and Barbuda

Pursuant to the authority vested in me by section 620(q) of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, as amended (FAA), Executive Order 12163, as amended by Executive Order 13346, and Delegation of Authority 245-1, I hereby determine that continued assistance to Antigua and Barbuda is in the national interest of the United States and thereby waive the application of section 620(q) of the FAA for such assistance.

This Determination shall be reported to Congress and published in the **Federal Register**.

Dated: June 11, 2012.

**Thomas R. Nides,**

*Deputy Secretary of State for Management and Resources.*

[FR Doc. 2012-15108 Filed 6-19-12; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4710-29-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Highway Administration

[Docket No. FHWA-2012-0053]

#### Agency Information Collection Activities: Request for Comments for a New Information Collection

**AGENCY:** Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice and request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** FHWA invites public comments about our intention to request the Office of Management and Budget's (OMB) approval for a new information collection, which is summarized below under **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION**. We published a **Federal Register** Notice with a 60-day public comment period on this information collection on March 28, 2012. We are required to publish this notice in the **Federal Register** by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

**DATES:** Please submit comments by July 20, 2012.

**ADDRESSES:** You may send comments within 30 days to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, 725 17th Street NW., Washington, DC 20503, Attention DOT Desk Officer. You are asked to comment on any aspect of this information collection, including: (1) Whether the proposed collection is necessary for the FHWA's performance; (2) the accuracy of the estimated burden; (3) ways for the FHWA to enhance the quality, usefulness, and clarity of the collected information; and (4) ways that the burden could be minimized, including the use of electronic technology, without reducing the quality of the collected information. All comments should include the Docket number FHWA-2012-0053.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mark Ferroni, 202-366-9237, Office of Natural Environment, Federal Highway Administration, Department of Transportation, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC 20590. Office hours are from 8 a.m. to 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** *Title:* FHWA Traffic Noise Model Version 3.0 Beta-Tester Information.

*Background:* Prior to the release of the Federal Highway Administration Traffic Noise Model (FHWA TNM), the FHWA Highway Traffic Noise Prediction Model (FHWA-RD-77-108), or "108 model," was in use for over 20 years. Although an effective model for its time, the "108 model" was comprised of acoustic

algorithms, computer architecture, and source code that dated to the 1970s. Since that time, significant advancements have been made in the methodology and technology for noise prediction, barrier analysis and design, and computer software design and coding. Given the fact that over \$500 million were spent on barrier design and construction between 1970 and 1990, the FHWA identified the need to design, develop, test, and document a state-of-the-art highway traffic noise prediction model that utilized these advancements. This need for a new traffic noise prediction model resulted in the FHWA TNM.

In March 1998, the FHWA released the FHWA TNM Version 1.0. It was developed as a means for aiding compliance with policies and procedures under FHWA regulations. Since its release in March 1998, Version 1.0a was released in March 1999, Version 1.0b in August 1999, Version 1.1 in September 2000, Version 2.0 in June 2002, Version 2.1 in March 2003 and the current version, Version 2.5 was released in April 2004.

The FHWA is currently developing the TNM version 3.0, with anticipated beta-testing of this version towards the end of 2012. Version 3.0 is an entirely new, state-of-the-art computer program used for predicting noise impacts in the vicinity of highways. It uses advances in personal computer hardware and software to improve upon the accuracy and ease of modeling highway noise, including the design of effective, cost-efficient highway noise barriers. This information request is to gather information from the beta-testers on their computer configurations, their experiences using the FHWA TNM and the availability of TNM files.

*Respondents:* Approximately 25 entities.

*Frequency:* Once.

*Estimated Average Burden per Response:* Approximately 15 minutes.

*Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours:* Approximately 6.25 hours.

*Public Comments Invited:* You are asked to comment on any aspect of this information collection, including: (1) Whether the proposed collection is necessary for the FHWA's performance; (2) the accuracy of the estimated burdens; (3) ways for the FHWA to enhance the quality, usefulness, and clarity of the collected information; and (4) ways that the burden could be minimized, including the use of electronic technology, without reducing the quality of the collected information. The agency will summarize and/or include your comments in the request