and date of the source if possible (please note the instructions regarding information submitted in confidence below);

4. Comments containing allegations of labor rights violations should specify: (a) which Dominican labor law related to freedom of association, the right to organize, child labor, forced labor, the right to bargain collectively, and acceptable conditions of work is alleged to have been violated; (b) the location and time of the alleged violation; (c) the number of workers affected; (d) the specific action, or lack thereof, by the GODR related to the allegation; and (e) if applicable, when and how the GODR was notified of the allegation.

In accordance with Section H of the Procedural Guidelines, OTLA solicits specific information relevant to the review of Submission 2011-3 and the GODR's protection of the specified labor rights covered by Article 16.8 of the CAFTA-DR from the public in the form of written document in Word or Power Point in English. Comments submitted to OTLA in response to this Notice will be posted online and included in the public file and thus will become publicly accessible. As provided in Section E of the Procedural Guidelines, information submitted by a person or another Party to the OTLA in confidence shall be treated as exempt from public inspection if the information meets the requirements of 5 U.S.C. 552(b) or as otherwise permitted by law. Each person or Party requesting such treatment shall clearly mark "submitted in confidence" on each page or portion of a page so submitted and furnish an explanation as to the need for exemption from public inspection. If the material is not accepted in confidence, it will be returned promptly to the submitter with an explanation for the action taken. OTLA will be sensitive to the needs of an individual's confidentiality and will make every effort to protect such individual's interests.

Signed at Washington, DC, on June 12, 2012.

#### Carol Pier,

Acting Deputy Undersecretary for International Affairs.

[FR Doc. 2012–14951 Filed 6–18–12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-28-P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**

## **Employment and Training Administration**

[TA-W-81,071; TA-W-81,071A]

II–VI, Inc., Infrared Optics-Saxonburg Division, Saxonburg, PA; Leased Workers From Adecco, Carol Harris, Unlimited Staffing, and Staffmark, Working On-Site at II–VI, Inc., Infrared Optics-Saxonburg Division, Saxonburg, PA; Notice of Revised Determination on Reconsideration

The initial investigation, initiated on November 10, 2011, resulted in a negative determination, issued on February 8, 2012, that was based on the findings that the subject firm did not experience a decline in sales or production nor did the subject firm shift production to a foreign country during the relevant period. The determination was applicable to workers and former workers of II-VI, Inc., Infrared Optics-Saxonburg Division, Saxonburg, Pennsylvania. The Department's Notice of negative determination was published in the Federal Register on February 14, 2012 (77 FR 8281). The workers' firm is engaged in activities related to the production of infrared and CO<sub>2</sub> laser optics and related materials.

The workers of II–VI, Inc., Infrared Optics-Saxonburg Division, Saxonburg, Pennsylvania, were previously certified eligible to apply for TAA under TA–W–64,895. The certification did not include on-site leased workers from Adecco, Carol Harris, Unlimited Staffing, and Staffmark.

To support the request for reconsideration, the petitioner supplied additional information regarding a shift in production to a foreign country to supplement that which was gathered during the initial investigation.

Based on information provided during the reconsideration investigation, the Department determines that worker separations at the subject firm are related to a shift in the production of like or directly competitive articles to a foreign country.

#### Conclusion

After careful review of the additional facts obtained on reconsideration, I determine that workers of II–VI, Inc., Infrared Optics-Saxonburg Division, Saxonburg, Pennsylvania, who were engaged in employment related to production of infrared and  $\rm CO_2$  laser optics and related materials, meet the worker group certification criteria under Section 222(a) of the Act, 19 U.S.C. 2272(a). In accordance with Section 223

of the Act, 19 U.S.C. 2273, I make the following certification:

All workers of II-VI, Inc., Infrared Optics-Saxonburg Division, Saxonburg, Pennsylvania (TA–W–81,071) who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after January 27, 2011, through April 27, 2014, and all workers in the group threatened with total or partial separation from employment on April 27, 2012 through April 27, 2014, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Chapter 2 of Title II of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended; and all leased workers from Adecco, Carol Harris, Unlimited Staffing, and Staffmark, working on-site at II-VI, Inc., Infrared Optics-Saxonburg Division, Saxonburg, Pennsylvania, (TA-W-81,071A) who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after February 13, 2010, through April 27, 2014, and all workers in the group threatened with total or partial separation from employment on April 27, 2012 through April 27, 2014, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Chapter 2 of Title II of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 27th day of April, 2012.

#### Del Min Amy Chen,

Certifying Officer, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 2012–14907 Filed 6–18–12; 8:45 am]

### **DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**

# Occupational Safety and Health Administration

[Docket No. OSHA-2012-0021]

Establishing Indicators to Determine Whether State Plan Operations Are at Least as Effective as Federal OSHA: Stakeholder Meeting; Extension of Comment Period

**AGENCY:** Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), Labor.

**ACTION:** Notice; extension of comment period.

SUMMARY: The Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) is extending the comment period for its notice to gather information and ideas about establishing definitions and measures to determine whether OSHA-approved State Plans for occupational safety and health (State Plans) are at least as effective as the Federal OSHA program as required by the Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970.

**DATES:** Submit information or comments, or a request to extend the comment period, on or before July 6, 2012. All submissions must bear a postmark or provide other evidence of the submission date.