cause to amend the complaint and Notice of Investigation. *Id.* at 7. No petitions for review were filed. The Commission has determined not to review the ID.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in Section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in section 210.42(h) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.42(h)).

By order of the Commission. Issued: June 12, 2012.

Lisa R. Barton,

Acting Secretary to the Commission. [FR Doc. 2012–14750 Filed 6–15–12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Cooperative Research Group on Particle Sensor Performance and Durability

Notice is hereby given that, on May 23, 2012, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 et seq. ("the Act"), Southwest Research Institute—Cooperative Research Group on Particle Sensor Performance and Durability ("PSPD") has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing (1) the identities of the parties to the venture and (2) the nature and objectives of the venture. The notifications were filed for the purpose of invoking the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances.

Pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act, the identities of the parties to the venture are: Caterpillar, Inc., Peoria, IL; Electricfil Automotive, Miribel Cedex, FRANCE; MTU Friedrichshafen GMBH, Friedrichshafen, GERMANY; Emisense Technologies, LLC, San Juan Capistrano, CA; NGK Spark Plug Co., Ltd., Nagoya, JAPAN; Isuzu Manufacturing Services of America, Inc., Plymouth, MI; and Navistar, Inc., Melrose Park, IL. The general area of PSPD's planned activity is to critically investigate the performance and durability of various particle sensor technologies on a diesel engine platform. State-of-the-art laboratory particle equipment will be employed for this investigation. PSPD will capitalize on its know-how and experience in this area to provide an

impartial evaluation that all participants can benefit from. The program will run over a two-year period.

Patricia A. Brink,

Director of Civil Enforcement, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 2012–14769 Filed 6–15–12; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–11–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Border Security Technology Consortium

Notice is hereby given that, on May 30, 2012, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 et seq. ("the Act"), Border Security Technology Consortium ("BSTC") has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing (1) the identities of the parties to the venture and (2) the nature and objectives of the venture. The notifications were filed for the purpose of invoking the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances.

Pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act, the identities of the parties to the venture are: Old Dominion University, Norfolk, VA; DRS Tactical Systems, Inc., Melbourne, FL; Vista Research, Arlington, VA; Ball Aerospace & Technologies Corp., Boulder, CO; List Innovative Solutions, Inc., Herndon, VA; Arc Aspicio, Arlington, VA; University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ; Rapiscan Systems, Torrence, CA; and ICS Consulting, LLC, Arlington, VA. The general area of BSTC's planned activity is (i) to develop various border security-related monitoring, surveillance, communications, fencing and infrastructure, and other supporting technologies that advance the state-ofthe-art; (ii) to improve U.S. industry, government and academia capabilities to sustain U.S. border protection in the research, development, engineering and production of border security-related systems; and (iii) to insert these technologies into legacy and

Patricia A. Brink,

efficiently as possible.

Director of Civil Enforcement, Antitrust Division.

development platforms as quickly and

[FR Doc. 2012–14766 Filed 6–15–12; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–11–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Federal Bureau of Investigation [OMB Number 1110–0021]

Proposed Collection, Comments Requested: FBI National Academy Level III Evaluation; FBI National Academy Post-Course Questionnaire for Graduates, FBI National Academy Post-Course Questionnaire for Supervisors of Graduates

ACTION: 60-Day notice of information collection under review.

The Department of Justice (DOJ), Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI), Training Division's Office of Technology, Research, and Curriculum Development (OTRCD) will be submitting the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The proposed information collection is published to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies. Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for 60 days until August 17, 2012. This process is conducted in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.10.

If you have comments (especially on the estimated public burden or associated response time), suggestions, or need a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions or additional information, please contact Laleatha B. Goode, Management and Program Analyst for the Evaluation Program, Federal Bureau of Investigation, Training Division, Curriculum Planning and Support Unit, FBI Academy, Quantico, Virginia 22135 or facsimile at (703) 632–3111.

Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following three points:

(1) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency/component, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's/component's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of the information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

(3) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(4) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including the use of