to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Room 112, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. Hearing impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at (202) 205– 2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at http://www.usitc.gov. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at http://edis.usitc.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: The Office of the Secretary, Docket Services Division, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone (202) 205–1802.

Authority: The authority for institution of this investigation is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and in section 210.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10 (2012).

Scope of Investigation: Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on June 1, 2012, ordered that—

- (1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain electronics devices, including mobile phones and tablet computers, and components thereof that infringe one or more of claims 1-3 and 5-9 of the '369 patent; claim 1 of the '190 patent; claims 3, 4, 21, 27, 28, 37, 38, 43, 44, 61, 67, 68, 77, and 78 of the '664 patent; claims 6, 8, 10, and 11 of the '260 patent; claims 1-4, 7-10, and 14-18 of the '530 patent; claims 7, 9-11, and 13 of the '293 patent; claims 2, 6, and 9-14 of the '911 patent; claims 1, 2, 4-13, 15-27, and 30 of the '529 patent; claims 2, 10, 11, 14, 18, 19, 21, and 23 of the '247 patent, and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337;
- (2) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:
 - (a) The complainants are:

Nokia Corporation, Keilalahdentie 4, PO Box 226, Espoo, Finland; Nokia Inc., 200 South Mathilda Avenue.

Nokia Inc., 200 South Mathilda Avenue, Sunnyvale, CA 94086;

- Intellisync Corporation, 200 South Mathilda Avenue, Sunnyvale, CA 94086.
- (b) The respondents are the following entities alleged to be in violation of section 337, and are the parties upon which the complaint is to be served:

 HTC Corporation, 23 Xinghua Road,

 Taywan City, Taywan County 330

Taoyuan City, Taoyuan County 330, Taiwan;

- HTC America, Inc., 13920 SE Eastgate Way, Suite 400, Bellevue, WA 98005; Exedea, Inc., 5950 Corporate Drive, Houston, TX 77036, and
- (3) For the investigation so instituted, the Honorable Paul J. Luckern, Chief Administrative Law Judge, U.S. International Trade Commission, shall designate the presiding Administrative Law Judge.

The Office of Unfair Import Investigations will not participate as a party in this investigation.

Responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondents in accordance with section 210.13 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to 19 CFR 201.16(d)-(e) and 210.13(a), such responses will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the Commission of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of a respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of an exclusion order or a cease and desist order or both directed against the respondent.

By order of the Commission. Issued: June 4, 2012.

Lisa R. Barton,

Acting Secretary to the Commission. [FR Doc. 2012–13870 Filed 6–7–12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of the Consent Decree under the Clean Water Act

Notice is hereby given that on June 4, 2012, a proposed Consent Decree in *United States* v. *Municipality of Arecibo and the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico*, Civil Action No. 3:12–CV–01419, was lodged with the United States Court for the District of Puerto Rico.

The proposed Consent Decree resolves violations alleged in the Complaint filed against the Municipality of Arecibo ("Arecibo") which generally alleges that: (1) Arecibo failed to timely obtain coverage under the Small MS4 General Permit; (2) Arecibo discharged storm water into waters of the United States without a permit until receiving coverage under the Small MS4 General Permit; (3) Arecibo violates its Small MS4 General Permit by discharging sewage and sewage sludge not permitted by its permit; failing to develop, implement and enforce a program to detect and eliminate illicit discharges or to take all reasonable steps to minimize or prevent any discharges in violation of its permit; and failing to properly operate and maintain its system; and (4) discharges untreated sewage from its MS4 onto public and private property and into residential dwellings and other buildings where the public has or may have come into contact with the sewage.

The proposed Consent Decree addresses the violations identified above by requiring Arecibo to conduct the following: Implement a Storm Water Management Plan (SWMP); provide training to the Municipality's employees who are responsible for complying with the terms of the Consent Decree and annual training for all employees that work at the pump station; comply with the Operation and Preventive Maintenance Plan recently approved by EPA; construct a New Pump Station and three storm water retention ponds; implement interim pump station operation procedures until the New Pump Station is in operation (including cleaning, disinfection, disposal and sampling); and completion of required closed circuit television studies of various watershed areas in the Municipality and repair and/or replace sewers as necessary. The injunctive relief to be completed under the Consent Decree is estimated to cost approximately \$56 million. Arecibo also agrees to pay a civil penalty of \$305,643 in three installment payments over the next two years.

The Department of Justice will receive for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication comments relating to the Consent Decree.

Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General,
Environment and Natural Resources Division, and either emailed to pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov or mailed to P.O. Box 7611, U.S.

Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044–7611, and should refer to the matter as United States v. Municipality of Arecibo and the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, D.J. Ref. 90–5–1–1–09891.

The Consent Decree may be examined at the Office of the United States Attorney, Torre Chardon Suite 1201, 350 Carlos Chardon Avenue, San Juan. Puerto Rico 00918, and at U.S. EPA CEPD office, City View Plaza—Suite 7000, #48 Rd. 165 KM. 1.2, Guaynabo, Puerto Rico 00968-8069. During the public comment period, the Consent Decree may also be examined on the following Department of Justice Web site, http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/ Consent Decrees.html. A copy of the Consent Decree may also be obtained by mail from the Consent Decree Library, P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044-7611 or by faxing or emailing a request to "Consent Decree Copy" (EESCDCopy. ENRD@usdoj.gov), fax no. (202) 514-0097, phone confirmation number (202) 514–1547. In requesting a copy from the Consent Decree Library, please enclose a check in the amount of \$16.00 (25 cents per page reproduction costs of the Consent Decree) payable to the U.S. Treasury or, if by email or fax, forward a check in that amount to the Consent Decree Library at the stated address.

Ronald G. Gluck,

Assistant Section Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resource Division.

[FR Doc. 2012–13961 Filed 6–7–12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-15-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Under The Clean Air Act, the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act, and the Emergency Planning and Community Right-To-Know Act

Notice is hereby given that on June 4, 2012, a proposed Consent Decree ("Consent Decree") in *United States* v. *INEOS USA LLC*, Civil Action No. 3:12-cv-01404, was lodged with the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio.

In this action, the United States sought injunctive relief and civil penalties from INEOS USA LLC ("INEOS") for alleged violations of Section 112 of the Clean Air Act ("CAA"), 42 U.S.C. § 7412; the federally enforceable Ohio State Implementation Plan; INEOS's CAA Permit-to-Install Numbered 03-9227; INEOS's CAA Title V Permit No. 03-02-02-0015; Section 103(a) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act ("CERCLA"), 42 U.S.C. 9603(a); and Sections 304(a) and (b) of the Emergency Planning and Community Right-To-Know Act ("EPCRA"), 42 U.S.C. 11004(a) and (b). The alleged violations occurred at INEOS' chemical manufacturing plant in Lima, Ohio.

Under the Consent Decree, INEOS is required to undertake the following: (i) Implement an enhanced leak detection and repair program; (ii) improve training, reporting and recordkeeping on bypassing a control device; and (iii) undertake a root cause analysis of CERCLA/EPCRA reportable quantity releases; review and update CERCLA/EPCRA emergency notification training; and perform a CERCLA/EPCRA audit. INEOS also will pay a civil penalty of \$1,150,000.

The Department of Justice will receive for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication comments relating to the Consent Decree.

Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General,
Environment and Natural Resources Division, and either emailed to pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov or mailed to P.O. Box 7611, U.S.

Department of Justice, Washington, DC, 20044–7611, and should refer to United States v. INEOS USA LLC, D. J. Ref. No. 90–5–2–1–08875/1.

During the public comment period, the Consent Decree may be examined on the following Department of Justice Web site: http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/ Consent Decrees.html. A copy of the Consent Decree may also be obtained by mail from the Consent Decree Library, P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044-7611, or by faxing or emailing a request to "Consent Decree Copy" (EESCDCopy.ENRD@usdoj.gov), fax number (202) 514-0097; phone confirmation number (202) 514-5271. If requesting a copy from the Consent Decree Library by mail, please enclose a check in the amount of \$ 18.00 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the U.S. Treasury or, if requesting by email or fax, forward a check in that amount to the Consent

Decree Library at the address given above.

Maureen M. Katz,

Assistant Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 2012–13928 Filed 6–7–12; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–15–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Modification To Consent Decree Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act

Notice is hereby given that on June 1, 2012, a proposed Amendment to the Consent Decree in *U.S. v. Allied Signal Inc.*, et al., 96 Civ. 1513 (RPP) was lodged with the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York.

The Original Consent Decree that was entered in 1996 involves the Cortese Landfill Superfund Site, located in the Town of Tusten, Sullivan County, New York. The Amendment to the Consent Decree modifies the Original Consent Decree to require implementation of a modified remedy that the United States Environmental Protection Agency has selected for the Site.

In the course of the performance of the original remedy, two additional sources of contamination were discovered beneath a former drum disposal areas at the Site, which required the selection of an additional response action to address this newly identified source-area contamination. Accordingly, EPA modified the original remedy to provide for air sparging/soil vapor extraction and amendment addition (i.e., injection of soil amendment into the subsurface), subsequent application of in-situ chemical oxidation, if necessary, to address the sources of contamination beneath the former drum disposal areas, and monitored natural attenuation to address the groundwater downgradient from the landfill perimeter.

The Department of Justice will receive for a period of 30 days from the date of this publication comments relating to the Amendment to the Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and either emailed to pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov or mailed to P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044–7611, and should refer to U.S. v. Allied Signal Inc., et al., D.J. Ref. 90–11–2–1078/1.