the tribes' applications for withdrawal of funds held in trust by the Department of the Interior. If this information were not collected, the Office of the Special Trustee would not be able to comply with the American Indian Trust Fund Management Reform Act of 1994, and tribes would not be able to withdraw funds held for them in trust by the Department of the Interior.

# II. Data

(1) *Title:* Application to Withdraw Tribal Funds from Trust Status, 25 CFR 1200.

*OMB Control Number:* 1035–0003. *Current Expiration Date:* November 30, 2012.

*Type of Review:* Information Collection Renewal.

*Affected Entities:* State, Local and Tribal Governments.

Estimated annual number of respondents: 1.

*Frequency of response:* Once per respondent.

(2) Annual reporting and recordkeeping burden:

Total annual reporting per respondent: 400 hours.

*Total annual reporting:* 400 hours. (3) *Description of the need and use of the information:* The statutorily-required information is needed to provide a vehicle for tribes to withdraw funds from accounts held in trust for them by the United States Government.

### **III. Request for Comments**

The Department of the Interior invites comments on:

(a) Whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(b) The accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the collection and the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

(c) Ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and,

(d) Ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; to develop, acquire, install and utilize technology and systems for the purpose of collecting, validating and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; to train personnel and to be able to respond to a collection of information, to search data sources, to complete and review the collection of information; and to transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid Office of Management and Budget control number.

Dated: May 30, 2012.

### James P. Barham,

Director, Office of External Affairs, Office of the Special Trustee for American Indians. [FR Doc. 2012–13857 Filed 6–6–12; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–2W–P

# INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-772]

# Certain Polyimide Films, Products Containing Same, and Related Methods; Notice of Request for Statements on the Public Interest

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission. **ACTION:** Notice.

ACTION: NOLIC

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the presiding administrative law judge has issued a Final Initial Determination and Recommended Determination on Remedy and Bonding in the abovecaptioned investigation. The Commission is soliciting comments on public interest issues raised by the recommended relief, specifically a limited exclusion order with respect to the accused products of respondents SKI Kolon PI, Inc. and SKC, Inc.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Lisa R. Barton, Acting Secretary to the Commission, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–2000. The public version of the complaint can be accessed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at *http://edis.usitc.gov*, and will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–2000.

General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (*http:// www.usitc.gov*). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at *http://edis.usitc.gov.* Hearingimpaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 provides that if the Commission finds a violation it shall exclude the articles concerned from the United States:

unless, after considering the effect of such exclusion upon the public health and welfare, competitive conditions in the United States economy, the production of like or directly competitive articles in the United States, and United States consumers, it finds that such articles should not be excluded from entry.

19 U.S.C. 1337(d)(1). A similar provision applies to cease and desist orders. 19 U.S.C. 1337(f)(1).

The Commission is interested in further development of the record on the public interest in these investigations. Accordingly, members of the public are invited to file submissions of no more than five (5) pages, inclusive of attachments, concerning the public interest in light of the administrative law judge's Recommended Determination on Remedy and Bonding issued in this investigation on May 10, 2012. Comments should address whether issuance of an exclusion order and a cease and desist order in this investigation would affect the public health and welfare in the United States, competitive conditions in the United States economy, the production of like or directly competitive articles in the United States, or United States consumers.

In particular, the Commission is interested in comments that:

(i) Explain how the articles potentially subject to the recommended orders are used in the United States;

(ii) Identify any public health, safety, or welfare concerns in the United States relating to the recommended orders;

(iii) Identify like or directly competitive articles that complainant, its licensees, or third parties make in the United States which could replace the subject articles if they were to be excluded;

(iv) Indicate whether complainant, complainant's licensees, and/or third party suppliers have the capacity to replace the volume of articles potentially subject to the recommended exclusion order and/or a cease and desist order within a commercially reasonable time; and (v) Explain how the exclusion order and cease and desist order would impact consumers in the United States.

Written submissions must be filed no later than by close of business on June 15, 2012.

Persons filing written submissions must file the original document electronically on or before the deadline stated above and submit 8 true paper copies to the Office of the Secretary by noon the next day pursuant to section 210.4(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.4(f)). Submissions should refer to the investigation number ("Inv. No. 337–TA–772'') in a prominent place on the cover page and/or the first page. (See Handbook for Electronic Filing Procedures, http://www.usitc.gov/ secretary/fed reg notices/rules/ handbook on electronic filing.pdf). Persons with questions regarding filing should contact the Secretary (202-205-2000).

Any person desiring to submit a document to the Commission in confidence must request confidential treatment. All such requests should be directed to the Secretary to the Commission and must include a full statement of the reasons why the Commission should grant such treatment. See 19 CFR 201.6. Documents for which confidential treatment by the Commission is properly sought will be treated accordingly. A redacted nonconfidential version of the document must also be filed simultaneously with any confidential filing. All nonconfidential written submissions will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Secretary and on EDIS.

This action is taken under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and of sections 201.10 and 210.50 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 201.10, 210.50).

Issued: June 1, 2012.

By order of the Commission.

# Lisa R. Barton,

Acting Secretary to the Commission. [FR Doc. 2012–13718 Filed 6–6–12; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

# DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

# Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree under Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act

Notice is hereby given that on May 31, 2012, two proposed consent decrees in U.S. v. Jacob Goldberg & Son, Inc., et al., Civil Action No. 10 Civ. 3237, were lodged with the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York.

In this action the United States sought recovery, pursuant to the **Comprehensive Environmental** Response, Compensation, and Liability Act ("CERCLA"), 42 U.S.C. 9601 et seq., of response costs regarding the Port Refinery Superfund Site in the Village of Rye Brook, N.Y. ("Site"). One of the settlements, referred to as the "Second Partial Consent Decree," provides for PSC Metals, Inc. and PSC Metals-New York, LLC to pay \$225,000, and resolves the United States' claims against these defendants regarding the Site. The other settlement, referred to as the "Third Partial Consent Decree," provides for Vincent A. Pace Scrap Metals, Inc. to pay \$20,000 and also resolves the United States' claims against this defendant regarding the Site.

The Department of Justice will receive for a period of 30 days from the date of this publication comments relating to the two consent decrees. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and either emailed to *pubcommentees.enrd@usdoj.gov* or mailed to P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044–7611, and should refer to *U.S. v. Jacob Goldberg & Son, Inc., et al.*, D.J. Ref. 90–11–3–1142/ 1.

During the public comment period, the two consent decrees may also be examined on the following Department of Justice Web site: http:// www.usdoj.gov/enrd/ Consent\_Decrees.html. Copies of the two consent decrees may also be obtained by mail from the Consent Decree Library, P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044–7611 or by faxing or emailing a request to "Consent Decree Copy" (eescdcopy.enrd@usdoj.gov), fax number (202) 514–0097, phone confirmation number (202) 514–5271. If requesting copies of the two settlements from the Consent Decree Library by mail, please enclose a check in the amount of \$8.00 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the U.S. Treasury or, if requesting by email or fax, forward a check in that amount to the Consent Decree Library at the address given above.

### Ronald G. Gluck,

Assistant Section Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 2012–13761 Filed 6–6–12; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–15–P

### DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Notice of Lodging of Second Amendment to First Amended Consent Decree Under the Clean Water Act

Notice is hereby given that on May 31, 2012, a proposed Second Amendment to First Amended Consent Decree ("Amendment") in *United States and State of Georgia* v. *City of Atlanta*, Civil Action No. 1:98–CV–1956–TWT, was lodged with the United States District Court for the Northern District of Georgia.

In this action the United States, on behalf of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ("U.S. EPA"), and the State of Georgia, at the request of **Environmental Protection Division** ("EPD") sought penalties and injunctive relief under the Clean Water Act ("CWA") against the City of Atlanta ("Defendant") relating to Defendant's wastewater treatment facilities and the Defendant's wastewater collection and transmission system. The complaint alleged that Defendant violated the CWA, 33 U.S.C. 1251 et seq., and the Georgia Water Quality Control Act, O.C.G.A. § 12-5-21 et seq. ("GWQCA"). On December 22, 1999, the Court entered the First Amended Consent Decree ("Decree"), resolving the allegations in the complaint regarding the Defendant's wastewater treatment facilities and Defendant's collection and transmission system. On April 28, 2003, the Court entered Amendments to the Decree to allow the substitution of certain projects required under the Decree.

Defendant satisfied obligations under the Section VII Decree and the Court terminated the Decree on March 31, 2004 as to those obligations. Defendant has completed the majority of the work requirements of the Decree and has made substantial reductions in the total volume of sewage overflows. In order to comply with the requirements of the Decree, the Defendant has raised water and sewer rates by 252% over the past ten years. In addition, a 1% municipal option sales tax within the boundaries of the City of Atlanta has been imposed to contribute to the financing of the City's obligations under the Decree.

Despite the Defendant's efforts and the increase in financing to support those efforts, the Defendant requested a thirteen year extension of the schedule set forth in the Decree to complete the remaining work, due to the financial circumstances the Defendant is facing. The Plaintiffs evaluated the Defendant's financial information and model and the financial condition the Defendant is facing and determined that, based on all