

section 337, and are the parties upon which the complaint is to be served:

LG Electronics, Inc., LG Twin Towers, 20 Yeouido-dong, Youngdeungpo-gu, Seoul 150-721, Republic of Korea;
 LG Electronics U.S.A., Inc., 1000 Sylvan Avenue, Englewood Cliffs, NJ 07632;
 Mitsubishi Electric Corp., Tokyo Building, 2-7-3, Marunouchi, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo 100-8310, Japan;
 Mitsubishi Electric US Holdings, Inc., 5665 Plaza Drive, Cypress, CA 90630;
 Mitsubishi Electric and Electronics USA, Inc., 500 Corporate Woods Parkway, Vernon Hills, IL 60061;
 Mitsubishi Electric Visual Solutions America, Inc., 9351 Jeronimo Road, Irvine, CA 92618;
 Mitsubishi Digital Electronics America, Inc., 9351 Jeronimo Road, Irvine, CA 92618;
 Netflix Inc., 100 Winchester Circle, Los Gatos, CA 95032;
 Roku, Inc., 12980 Saratoga Avenue, Saratoga, CA 95070;
 Vizio, Inc., 39 Tesla, Irvine, CA 92618.

(3) For the investigation so instituted, the Chief Administrative Law Judge, U.S. International Trade Commission, shall designate the presiding Administrative Law Judge.

(4) The Office of Unfair Imports Investigations will not participate as a party in this investigation.

Responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondents in accordance with section 210.13 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to 19 CFR 201.16(d)-(e) and 210.13(a), such responses will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the Commission of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of a respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of an exclusion order or a cease and desist order or both directed against the respondent.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: May 31, 2012.

Lisa R. Barton,

Acting Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2012-13632 Filed 6-5-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-846]

Certain CMOS Image Sensors and Products Containing Same; Institution of Investigation Pursuant to 19 U.S.C. § 1337

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that a complaint was filed with the U.S. International Trade Commission on May 1, 2012, under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. § 1337, on behalf of California Institute of Technology of Pasadena, California. Letters supplementing the complaint were filed on May 21, 2012, and May 22, 2012. The complaint, as supplemented, alleges violations of section 337 based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain CMOS image sensors and products containing same by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent No. 5,841,126 ("the '126 patent"); U.S. Patent No. 5,990,506 ("the '506 patent"); and U.S. Patent No. 6,606,122 ("the '122 patent"). The complaint further alleges that an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337.

The complainant requests that the Commission institute an investigation and, after the investigation, issue an exclusion order and cease and desist orders.

ADDRESSES: The complaint, except for any confidential information contained therein, is available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Room 112, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. Hearing impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at (202) 205-2000. General information concerning

the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: The Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone (202) 205-2560.

Authority: The authority for institution of this investigation is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and in section 210.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR § 210.10 (2012).

Scope of Investigation: Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on May 25, 2012, ordered that—

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain CMOS image sensors and products containing same that infringe one or more of claims 1 and 2 of the '126 patent; claims 15 and 16 of the '506 patent; and claim 6 of the '122 patent, and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337;

(2) Pursuant to Commission Rule 210.50(b)(1), 19 CFR 210.50(b)(1), the presiding administrative law judge shall take evidence or other information and hear arguments from the parties and other interested persons with respect to the public interest in this investigation, as appropriate, and provide the Commission with findings of fact and a recommended determination on this issue, which shall be limited to the statutory public interest factors, 19 U.S.C. 1337(d)(1), (f)(1), (g)(1);

(3) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

(a) The complainant is:

California Institute of Technology, 1200 East California Boulevard, Pasadena, CA 91125;

(b) The respondents are the following entities alleged to be in violation of section 337, and are the parties upon which the complaint is to be served:

STMicroelectronics NV, 39 Chemin du Champ des Filles, C. P. 21, CH 1228 Plan-Les-Ouates, Geneva, Switzerland;
 STMicroelectronics Inc., 750 Canyon Drive, Coppell, TX 75019;

Nokia Corp., Keilalahdentie 2–4, FI-02150 Espoo, Finland;

Nokia, Inc., 102 Corporate Park Drive, White Plains, NY 10604;

Research In Motion Ltd., 295 Phillip Street, Waterloo, Ontario, Canada N2L 3W8;

Research In Motion Corp., 122 W. John Carpenter Parkway, Suite 430, Irving, TX 75038;

(c) The Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Suite 401, Washington, DC 20436; and

(4) For the investigation so instituted, the Chief Administrative Law Judge, U.S. International Trade Commission, shall designate the presiding Administrative Law Judge.

Responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondents in accordance with section 210.13 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to 19 CFR 201.16(d)–(e) and 210.13(a), such responses will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the Commission of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of a respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of an exclusion order or a cease and desist order or both directed against the respondent.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: May 31, 2012.

Lisa R. Barton,

Acting Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2012–13634 Filed 6–5–12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act

Notice is hereby given that on May 31, 2012, a proposed Consent Decree (the Consent Decree) in *United States of America v. Minnie Moore Resources, Inc. et al*, Civil Action No. 2:11–cv–00127–BLW, was lodged with the United States District Court for the District of Idaho.

In this action the United States sought reimbursement under Section 107 of CERCLA for past costs incurred at the Minnie Moore Mine Superfund Site (the Site), located in Blaine County, Idaho. The United States also sought a declaratory judgment under Section 113 of CERCLA for future costs to be incurred at the Site. Under the proposed Consent Decree, which is based on ability to pay, Minnie Moore Resources, Inc. has agreed to secure an environmental covenant to protect the remediation of the Site. The Consent Decree includes a covenant not to sue Minnie Moore Resources, Inc. pursuant to Sections 106 and 107 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9606 & 9607.

The Department of Justice will receive for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication comments relating to the Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and either emailed to pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov or mailed to P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044–7611, and should refer to *United States of America v. Minnie Moore Resources, Inc.*, DJ. Ref. 90–11–3–09515.

During the comment period, the Consent Decree may be examined on the following Department of Justice Web site: http://www.justice.gov/enrd/Consent_Decrees.html. A copy of the Consent Decree may also be obtained by mail from the Consent Decree Library, P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044–7611 or by faxing or emailing a request to “Consent Decree Copy” (EESDCopy.ENRD@usdoj.gov), fax no. (202) 514–0097, phone confirmation number (202) 514–5271. If requesting a copy from the Consent Decree Library, please enclose a check in the amount of \$8.75 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the United States Treasury or, if by email or fax, please forward a check in that amount to the

Consent Decree Library at the address given above.

Maureen Katz,

Deputy Section Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 2012–13633 Filed 6–5–12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410–15–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Office of Justice Programs

[OJP (NIJ) Docket No. 1590]

Draft Offender Tracking System Standard

AGENCY: National Institute of Justice.

ACTION: Notice of Draft Offender Tracking System Standard, Selection and Application Guide, and Certification Program Requirements.

SUMMARY: In an effort to obtain comments from interested parties, the U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Justice Programs, National Institute of Justice will make available to the general public three draft documents: (1) A draft standard entitled, “Offender Tracking System Standard” (2) a draft companion document entitled, “Offender Tracking System Certification Program Requirements” and (3) a Selection and Application Guide.

The opportunity to provide comments on these three documents is open to industry technical representatives, criminal justice agencies and organizations, research, development and scientific communities, and all other stakeholders and interested parties. Those individuals wishing to obtain and provide comments on the draft documents under consideration are directed to the following Web site: <http://www.justnet.org>.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before July 23, 2012.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jack Harne, by telephone at 202–616–2911 or Lisa Bache by telephone at 202–514–9337 [Note: these are not toll-free telephone numbers], or by email at jack.harne@usdoj.gov or by email at lisa.bache@usdoj.gov.

John H. Laub,

Director, National Institute of Justice.

[FR Doc. 2012–13681 Filed 6–5–12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410–18–P