

Gallagher; telephone: 301-492-3668; email: Carol.Gallagher@nrc.gov.

• *NRC's Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS)*: You may access publicly available documents online in the NRC Library at <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html>. To begin the search, select "ADAMS Public Documents" and then select "Begin Web-based ADAMS Search." For problems with ADAMS, please contact the NRC's Public Document Room (PDR) reference staff at 1-800-397-4209, 301-415-4737, or by email to pdr.resource@nrc.gov. The ADAMS accession number for each document referenced in this notice (if that document is available in ADAMS) is provided the first time that a document is referenced.

• *NRC's PDR*: You may examine and purchase copies of public documents at the NRC's PDR, Room O1-F21, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland 20852.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Arthur Cunanan, Project Manager, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001; telephone: 301-415-3897; email: Arthur.Cunanan@nrc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Discussion

Notice is hereby given that the NRC has issued renewed facility operating license No. NPF-21 to Energy Northwest, the operator of Columbia. Renewed facility operating license No. NPF-21 authorizes operation of Columbia by the licensee at reactor core power levels not in excess of 3,486 megawatts thermal in accordance with the provisions of the Columbia renewed operating license and its technical specifications.

The notice also serves as the record of decision for the renewal of facility operating license No. NPF-21, consistent with Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR) 51.103. As discussed in the final supplemental environmental impact statement for Columbia, Supplement 47 to NUREG-1437, "Generic Environmental Impact Statement for License Renewal of Nuclear Plants (GEIS) Regarding Columbia Generating Station," dated April 2012 (ADAMS Accession Nos. ML12096A334 and ML12096A336), the Commission has considered a range of reasonable alternatives that included: (1) Natural gas-fired combined cycle (NGCC); (2) new nuclear; (3) combination alternative (NGCC), hydroelectric, wind, and conservation and efficiency); (4) offsite new nuclear

and NGCC; (5) coal-fired power; (6) energy conservation and energy efficiency; (7) purchased power; (8) solar power; (9) wind power; (10) biomass waste; (11) hydroelectric power; (12) ocean wave and current energy; (13) geothermal power; (14) municipal solid waste; (15) biofuels; (16) oil-fired power; (17) fuel cells; (18) delayed retirement; and (19) the no-action alternative. The factors considered in the record of decision can be found in the final supplemental environmental impact statement for Columbia.

Columbia is a boiling-water reactor located in Benton County, Richland, Washington. The application for the renewed license, "Columbia Generating Station License Renewal Application," dated January 2012 (ADAMS Accession No. ML100250656), complied with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's regulations. As required by the Act and the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR Chapter I, the Commission has made appropriate findings, which are set forth in the license. Prior public notice of the action involving the proposed issuance of the renewed license and of an opportunity for a hearing regarding the proposed issuance of the renewed license was published in the **Federal Register** on March 11, 2010 (75 FR 11576).

For further details with respect to this action, see: (1) Energy Northwest's license renewal application for Columbia Generating Station dated January 19, 2010, as supplemented by letters dated through April 23, 2012; (2) the Commission's safety evaluation report NUREG-2123, "Safety Evaluation Report Related to the License Renewal of Columbia Generating Station," dated May 2012 (ADAMS Accession Nos. ML12139A300 and ML12139A302); (3) the licensee's Final Safety Analysis Report; and (4) the Commission's final environmental impact statement (NUREG-1437, Supplement 47), for the Columbia Generating Station, published April 2012.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 22nd day of May, 2012.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission,
Dennis Morey,
Chief, Projects Branch 1, Division of License Renewal, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 2012-13041 Filed 5-29-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. CP2012-30 and CP2012-31; Order No. 1352]

Product List Changes

AGENCY: Postal Regulatory Commission.
ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission is noticing a recently-filed Postal Service request to enter into two additional Global Expedited Package Services 3 contracts. This notice addresses procedural steps associated with this filing.

DATES: *Comments are due:* May 30, 2012.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments electronically via the Commission's Filing Online system at <http://www.prc.gov>. Commenters who cannot submit their views electronically should contact the person identified in **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** by telephone for advice on alternatives to electronic filing.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Stephen L. Sharfman, General Counsel at 202-789-6820.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Table of Contents

- I. Introduction
- II. Notice of Filings
- III. Ordering Paragraphs

I. Introduction

On May 21, 2012, the Postal Service filed a notice announcing that it intends to enter into two additional Global Expedited Package Services 3 (GEPS 3) contracts.¹ The Postal Service states that the instant contracts are functionally equivalent to the contract filed in Docket No. CP2010-71 (GEPS 3 baseline agreement) and are supported by Governors' Decision No. 08-7 attached to the notice and originally filed in Docket No. CP2008-5. *Id.* at 1-4, Attachment 3. The notice explains that Order No. 503 authorized functionally equivalent agreements to be included in the GEPS 3 product, provided that these agreements meet the requirements of 39 U.S.C. 3633. *Id.* at 2.

The instant contracts. The Postal Service filed the two instant contracts pursuant to 39 CFR 3015.5. In addition, the Postal Service contends that the instant contracts are in accordance with Order No. 503. *Id.* at 2. For each contract, the Postal Service will notify the mailer of the effective date within 30

¹ Notice of United States Postal Service of Filing Two Functionally Equivalent Global Expedited Package Services 3 Negotiated Service Agreements and Application for Non-Public Treatment of Materials Filed Under Seal, May 21, 2012 (Notice).

days after all necessary regulatory approvals have been received (Effective Date). The instant contracts will remain in effect for 1 calendar year after the Effective Date, unless either party terminates the agreement. The contracts may be terminated, among other instances, upon 30 days written notification by either party. *Id.*, Attachment 1A at 6; Attachment 1B at 6–7.

In support of its notice, the Postal Service filed four attachments as follows:

- Attachment 1A—a redacted copy of the first instant contract;
- Attachment 1B—a redacted copy of the second instant contract;
- Attachment 2A—a certified statement required by 39 CFR 3015.5(c)(2) for the first instant contract;
- Attachment 2B—a certified statement required by 39 CFR 3015.5(c)(2) for the second instant contract;
- Attachment 3—a copy of Governors' Decision No. 08–7, which establishes prices and classifications for Global Expedited Package Services Contracts;
- Attachment 4—an application for non-public treatment of materials to maintain redacted portions of the contract and supporting documents filed under seal.

The notice sets forth reasons why the instant contracts are functionally equivalent to the GEPS 3 baseline agreement. It states that the instant contracts differ from the GEPS 3 baseline agreement in several ways pertaining to the revisions or clarifications of terms, *e.g.*, revised options for tendering the mail, minimum revenue commitment, customs and export requirements, an exception of Flat Rate items from the definition of qualifying mail, and an additional article concerning Intellectual Property, Co-Branding, and Licensing. *Id.* at 4–6. It asserts that “[b]ecause the agreements incorporate the same cost attributes and methodology, the relevant characteristics of these two GEPS contracts are similar, if not the same, as the relevant characteristics of previously filed contracts.” *Id.* at 4.

The Postal Service concludes that its filing demonstrates that the instant contracts comply with the requirements of 39 U.S.C. 3633 and are functionally equivalent to the GEPS 3 baseline agreement. Therefore, it requests that the instant contracts be included within the GEPS 3 contract product. *Id.* at 6.

II. Notice of Filings

The notice filed in each of these dockets is identical. In it, the Postal

Service seeks to add two additional GEPS 3 contracts to the GEPS 3 product. The contracts should have been filed in separate dockets. In the future, when it files multiple contracts concerning the same product, the Postal Service must file separate notices (in separate dockets) for each contract. This process ensures transparency and facilitates the Commission review.

The Commission establishes Docket No. CP2012–30 for consideration of matters related to the contract attached to the Postal Service’s notice as Attachment 1A, and Docket No. CP2012–31 for consideration of matters related to the contract attached to the Postal Service’s notice as Attachment 1B.

Interested persons may submit comments on whether the Postal Service’s contract is consistent with the policies of 39 U.S.C. 3632, 3633, or 3642. Comments are due no later than May 30, 2012. The public portions of these filings can be accessed via the Commission’s Web site, <http://www.prc.gov>.

The Commission appoints Natalie Rea Ward to serve as Public Representative in Docket Nos. CP2012–30 and CP2012–31.

III. Ordering Paragraphs

It is ordered:

1. The Commission establishes Docket No. CP2012–30 for consideration of matters related to the contract attached to the Postal Service’s notice as Attachment 1A.

2. The Commission establishes Docket No. CP2012–31 for consideration of matters related to the contract attached to the Postal Service’s notice as Attachment 1B.

3. Comments by interested persons in these proceedings are due no later than May 30, 2012.

4. Pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 505, Natalie Rea Ward is appointed to serve as an officer of the Commission (Public Representative) to represent the interests of the general public in Docket No. CP2012–30.

5. Pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 505, Natalie Rea Ward is appointed to serve as an officer of the Commission (Public Representative) to represent the interests of the general public in Docket No. CP2012–31.

6. The Secretary shall arrange for publication of this order in the **Federal Register**.

By the Commission.
Shoshana M. Grove,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2012–13083 Filed 5–29–12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7710–FW–P

RAILROAD RETIREMENT BOARD

Agency Forms Submitted for OMB Review, Request for Comments

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. chapter 35), the Railroad Retirement Board (RRB) is forwarding an Information Collection Request (ICR) to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs (OIRA), Office of Management and Budget (OMB). Our ICR describes the information we seek to collect from the public. Review and approval by OIRA ensures that we impose appropriate paperwork burdens.

The RRB invites comments on the proposed collection of information to determine (1) the practical utility of the collection; (2) the accuracy of the estimated burden of the collection; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information that is the subject of collection; and (4) ways to minimize the burden of collections on respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Comments to the RRB or OIRA must contain the OMB control number of the ICR. For proper consideration of your comments, it is best if the RRB and OIRA receive them within 30 days of the publication date.

1. *Title and Purpose of Information Collection:* Employer’s Quarterly Report of Contributions Under the RUIA; OMB 3220–0012.

Under Section 8 of the Railroad Unemployment Insurance Act (RUIA), as amended by the Railroad Unemployment Improvement Act of 1988 (Pub. L. 100–647), the RRB determines the amount of an employer’s contribution, primarily on the basis of the RUIA benefits paid, both unemployment and sickness, to the employees of the railroad employer. These experienced-based contributions take into account the frequency, volume, and duration of the employees’ unemployment and sickness benefits. Each employer’s contribution rate includes a component for administrative expenses as well as a component to cover costs shared by all employers. The regulations prescribing the manner and conditions for remitting the contributions and for adjusting overpayments or underpayments of contributions are contained in 20 CFR part 345. RRB Form DC–1, Employer’s Quarterly Report of Contributions Under the Railroad Unemployment Insurance Act, is used by railroad employers to report and remit their quarterly contributions to the RRB. Employers can use either the manual version of the