access privileges and justifications.
Private entities such as outside
contractors are not provided access.
Checking applicants against the TSDB is
a central feature of the STA that TSA
conducts.

Comment: Some commenters submitted that TSA should not base such fees on inexact estimates of the actual costs or the number of STAs that will be required, and should hold the STA fee in abeyance until TSA has further dialogue with industry. Other commenters recommended that TSA wait to charge an STA fee until issuance of the Standardized Vetting, Adjudication, and Redress rule that TSA is developing.

TSA Response: Under 6 U.S.C. 469, TSA is currently required to fund vetting and credentialing programs through user fees. The STA fee is an important part of TSA's compliance with this Congressional mandate. Moreover, TSA does have sufficient information to make a reasonable estimate and has shared that information in the 2011 rule. For these reasons, TSA concludes that it would be inappropriate to delay implementation of the STA fee.

With regard to the Standardized Vetting, Adjudication, and Redress Services rulemaking to which the commenter refers, TSA notes that this initiative is still in the developmental stages, and is not, therefore, a reasonable basis for delaying any part of this rulemaking.

Finally, in addition to the extensive dialogue and industry outreach that TSA conducted in the development of air cargo security policy, industry has had the opportunity to comment on this STA fee through notice-and-comment rulemaking.

Other STA Issues

Comment: A commenter stated that their organization conducts Criminal History Background Checks on all prospective employees, and that although these checks are not fingerprint-based checks, they are exhaustive. Accordingly, to avoid duplication of time, effort, and cost, the commenter requested that TSA accept such background checks in lieu of STAs.

TSA Response: TSA does not believe that the name-based criminal check that the commenter's organization conducts is comparable to the STA TSA conducts on this population and is not sufficient to provide the necessary level of security needed in this industry. The STA TSA conducts includes matching names against the consolidated TSDB and other Government data sources, to

which private entities do not have access. These databases contain information relating to terrorist activity, most of which is not criminal history information.

Comment: One commenter stated that the TSA criminal history records check (CHRC) provides a greater degree of security than the STA requirements, and that to bring congruency among the STA requirements, TSA ought to require CHRCs immediately for workers with unescorted access to cargo.

TSA Response: As TSA stated in the response to comments in the 2011 rule, ¹² TSA agrees that CHRCs add a level of security to the name-based STA requirement. TSA intends to address the CHRC requirement in the broader context of all TSA programs.

Comment: One commenter appreciated TSA's recognition that the STAs performed under the SIDA, Commercial Driver's License-Hazardous Material Endorsement, Transportation Workers Identification Card, and Free and Secure Trade programs have been deemed comparable to STAs performed under the CCSP. This commenter states that it is not clear whether a CCSF facility operator is relieved of the burden to submit personal identifying information for each individual who has been vetted under these comparable programs, because the CCSP is designed on a facility-specific basis rather than an individual enrollment basis. This commenter believes that TSA should be moving toward a common program platform for security vetting programs and should grant full reciprocity to individuals who have been vetted against the TSDB, no matter what program the STA was first required under.

TSA Response: When an individual asserts that he or she has successfully completed an STA comparable to the STA required under the 2011 rule, TSA requires that the individual present the credential that corresponds to the comparable STA to the operator so that the operator may retain a copy, and that the individual notify the operator when the credential expires. 49 CFR 1540.203(i)(1) and (2). TSA does not require the submission of personal identifying information to TSA for an individual who has been vetted under a comparable STA.

As we understand this comment, the commenter suggests that TSA should implement a system for conducting and administering STAs that is focused on the individual rather than the employer for which, or the facility in which, he or she currently works. TSA may

consider such a process in a future rulemaking.

Issued in Arlington, Virginia, on May 17, 2012.

John S. Pistole,

Administrator.

[FR Doc. 2012–12555 Filed 5–22–12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9110-05-P

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

[Docket No. FR-5604-N-06]

Notice of Proposed Information Collection: Comment Request; Form HUD-40221(rev) "LOCCS/VRS Self-Help Homeownership Opportunity Program Payment Voucher"

AGENCY: Office of the Assistant Secretary for Community Planning and Development, HUD.

ACTION: Notice of proposed information collection.

SUMMARY: The proposed information collection requirement described below will be submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act. The Department is soliciting public comments on the subject proposal.

DATES: Comment Due Date: July 23, 2012.

ADDRESSES: Interested persons are invited to submit comments regarding this proposal. Comments should refer to the proposal by name and/or OMB Control Number and should be sent to: Rudene Thomas, Reports Liaison Officer, U.S. Department or Housing and Urban Development, 451 Seventh Street SW., Room 7233, Washington, DC 20410–4500.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Ginger Macomber, SHOP Program Manager, Office of Affordable Housing Programs, U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 Seventh Street SW., Room 7162, Washington, DC 20410–4500; telephone 202–402–4605 (this is not a toll-free number) or by email at <code>ginger.macomber@hud.gov</code>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Department is submitting the proposed information collection to OMB for review, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35, as amended).

This Notice is soliciting comments from members of the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information to: (1) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper

^{12 76} FR 51854.

performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (3) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond; including through the use of appropriate automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

The Self-Help Homeownership Opportunity Program (SHOP) is authorized by the Housing Opportunity Program Extension Act of 1996, Section 11. The purpose of SHOP is to provide grant funds to national and regional non-profit organizations to facilitate and encourage innovative homeownership opportunities on a national, geographically diverse basis through the provision of self-help homeownership housing programs. HUD uses the Line of Credit Control System (LOCCS) to disburse SHOP Grant funds from the

U.S. Treasury to SHOP Grantees. SHOP Grantees use HUD's Voice Response System (VRS) to request a drawdown of SHOP Grant funds from LOCCS via a touchtone telephone. Grantees must complete a "LOCCS/VRS Self-help Homeownership Opportunity Program Payment Voucher" prior to requesting a drawdown of funds through LOCCS/VRS.

Title of Proposal: Form HUD– 40221(rev) "LOCCS/VRS Self-help Homeownership Opportunity Program Payment Voucher".

OMB Control Number: 2506–0157.

Description of the Need for the
Information and Proposed Use: An
extension of the existing PRA approval
is needed to permit SHOP Grantees to
use a revised and updated SHOP Form
HUD-40221(rev) "LOCCS/VRS Selfhelp Homeownership Opportunity
Program Payment Voucher" to
drawdown SHOP Grant funds through
LOCCS/VRS.

Agency Form Numbers: Form HUD– 40221(rev) "LOCCS/VRS Self-help Homeownership Opportunity Program Payment Voucher." Members of Affected Public: National and regional non-profit self-help housing organizations (including consortia) that have been awarded SHOP grant funds (the "Grantee").

Estimation of the total number of hours needed to prepare the information collection including number of respondents, hours per response, frequency of response, and total hours of response for all respondents. Information will be collected once per Grantee for each request to drawdown SHOP Grant funds. The total estimated average hourly burden for this information collection is 30 minutes to prepare each Form HUD-40221(rev) "LOCCS/VRS Self-help Homeownership" Opportunity Program Payment Voucher," including signatures. The frequency of the information collection will vary based on the size of the Grantee's SHOP Grant award and the Grantee's use of its Grant funds. Assuming biweekly drawdown requests and a three year Grant term, the average number of collections is 72 per Grantee. Hourly burden estimates are based on Grantee input and HUD's experience.

Paperwork requirement	Number of respondents	Frequency	Hours per response	Total annual hours
HUD-424 Total Annual Hour Burden	6	varies	.5	varies
	6	72	36	216

Frequency of Submission: As needed by the SHOP Grantee to drawdown SHOP Grant funds through LOCCS/VRS. Status of the Proposed Information Collection: Extension of a currently approved collection OMB control number 2506–0157.

Authority: Section 3506 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, 44 U.S.C. Chapter 35, as amended.

Dated: May 17, 2012.

Clifford Taffet,

General Deputy Assistant Secretary for Community Planning and Development. [FR Doc. 2012–12546 Filed 5–22–12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4210-67-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Fish and Wildlife Service

[FWS-R9-IA-2012-N127: FXIA16710900000P5-123-FF09A30000]

Endangered Species; Receipt of Applications for Permit

AGENCY: Fish and Wildlife Service,

ACTION: Notice of receipt of applications for permit.

SUMMARY: We, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, invite the public to comment on the following applications to conduct certain activities with endangered species. With some exceptions, the Endangered Species Act (ESA) prohibits activities with listed species unless Federal authorization is acquired that allows such activities.

DATES: We must receive comments or requests for documents on or before June 22, 2012.

ADDRESSES: Brenda Tapia, Division of Management Authority, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 4401 North Fairfax Drive, Room 212, Arlington, VA 22203; fax (703) 358–2280; or email DMAFR@fws.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Brenda Tapia, (703) 358–2104 (telephone); (703) 358–2280 (fax); DMAFR@fws.gov (email).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Public Comment Procedures

A. How do I request copies of applications or comment on submitted applications?

Send your request for copies of applications or comments and materials

concerning any of the applications to the contact listed under ADDRESSES. Please include the Federal Register notice publication date, the PRT-number, and the name of the applicant in your request or submission. We will not consider requests or comments sent to an email or address not listed under ADDRESSES. If you provide an email address in your request for copies of applications, we will attempt to respond to your request electronically.

Please make your requests or comments as specific as possible. Please confine your comments to issues for which we seek comments in this notice, and explain the basis for your comments. Include sufficient information with your comments to allow us to authenticate any scientific or commercial data you include.

The comments and recommendations that will be most useful and likely to influence agency decisions are: (1) Those supported by quantitative information or studies; and (2) Those that include citations to, and analyses of, the applicable laws and regulations. We will not consider or include in our administrative record comments we receive after the close of the comment period (see **DATES**) or comments