

FDA estimates the burden of this collection of information as follows:

TABLE 1—ESTIMATED ANNUAL REPORTING BURDEN¹

Activity	Number of respondents	Number of responses per respondent	Total annual responses	Average burden per response	Total hours
Pretest	10	1	10	0.167 (10 minutes)	2
Survey	2,000	1	2,000	0.167 (10 minutes)	334
Total					336

¹ There are no capital costs or operating and maintenance costs associated with this collection of information.

II. References

The following references have been placed on display in the Division of Dockets Management (see **ADDRESSES**) and may be seen by interested persons between 9 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday. (FDA has verified the Web site addresses, but FDA is not responsible for any subsequent changes to the Web sites after this document publishes in the **Federal Register**.)

1. Blumberg, S.J. and J.V. Luke, "Wireless Substitution: Early Release of Estimates From the National Health Interview Survey, July-December 2010." National Center for Health Statistics, June 2011. Available at: <http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhis.htm>.
2. Lee, S., J.M. Brick, E.R. Brown, and D. Grant, "Growing Cell-Phone Population and Noncoverage Bias in Traditional Random Digit Dialing Telephone Health Surveys," *Health Services Research*, 45: 1121–1139, 2010.
3. Voigt, L.F., S.M. Schwartz, D.R. Doody, et al., "Feasibility of Including Cellular Telephone Numbers in Random Digit Dialing for Epidemiologic Case-Control studies," *American Journal of Epidemiology*, 173: 118–126, 2011.
4. Yen, S.T., K.L. Jensen, and C.-T.J. Lin, "Awareness and Perceived Risk of Pesticide and Antibiotic Residues in Food: Socioeconomic Variations Among United States' Consumers," *Food Protection Trends*, 26: 654–661, 2006.
5. Lin, C.-T.J. and S.T. Yen, "Knowledge of Dietary Facts Among U.S. Consumers," *Journal of the American Dietetic Association*, 110: 613–618, 2010.
6. American Association for Public Opinion Research (AAPOR), "New Considerations for Survey Researchers When Planning and Conducting RDD Telephone Surveys in the U.S. With Respondents Reached via Cell Phone Numbers," 2010. Available at: http://www.aapor.org/Cell_Phone_Task_Force_Report.htm.
7. Keeter, S., "The Impact of Cellular Phone Noncoverage Bias on Polling in the 2004 Presidential Election," *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 70: 88–98, 2006.
8. Blumberg, S.J. and J.V. Luke, "Reevaluating the Need for Concern Regarding Noncoverage Bias in Landline Surveys," *American Journal of Public Health*, 99: 1806–1810, 2009.

9. Keeter, S., C. Kennedy, A. Clark, et al., "What's Missing From National Landline RDD Surveys? The Impact of the Growing Cell-Only Population," *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 71: 772–792, 2007.

Dated: May 11, 2012.

Leslie Kux,

Assistant Commissioner for Policy.

[FR Doc. 2012–11934 Filed 5–16–12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4160–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration

Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request

In compliance with Section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 concerning opportunity for public comment on proposed collections of information, the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA) will publish periodic summaries of proposed projects. To request more information on the proposed projects or to obtain a copy of the information collection plans, call the SAMHSA Reports Clearance Officer on (240) 276–1243.

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collections of information are necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Cross-Site Evaluation of the Minority Substance Abuse/HIV Prevention Program—(OMB No. 0930–0298)—Revision and Reinstatement

The Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration Center for Substance Abuse Prevention (CSAP) is requesting from the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) approval for the revision of data collection activities for the cross-site study of the Minority HIV/AIDS Initiative (MAI), which includes both youth and adult questionnaires. This revision includes the addition of four cohorts, changes to the data collection procedures based on intervention duration, and the addition of two questions on binge drinking behavior. The instruments were also modified to include six items for adults and three items for youth on military families and deployment that were recently approved by OMB under the CSAP National Outcomes Measures (NOMs) (OMB # 0930–0230). The current approval for the full cross-site is under OMB No. 0930–0298, which expires on 4/30/12.

This cross-site study supports two of SAMHSA's eight Strategic Initiatives: Prevention of Substance Abuse and Mental Illness and Data, Outcomes, and Quality. The primary objectives of the cross-site study are to:

- Determine the success of the MAI in preventing, delaying, and/or reducing the use of alcohol, tobacco, and other drugs (ATOD) among the target populations.
- Measure the effectiveness of evidence-based programs and infrastructure development activities such as: outreach and training, mobilization of key stakeholders, substance abuse and HIV/AIDS counseling and education, referrals to appropriate medical treatment and/or other intervention strategies (i.e., cultural enrichment activities, educational and vocational resources, and computer-based curricula).
- Assess the process of adopting and implementing the Strategic Prevention

Framework (SPF) with the target populations.

Grantees are community based organizations that are required to address the SAMSHA Strategic Prevention Framework (SPF) and participate in this cross-site evaluation. The grantees are expected to provide leadership and coordination on the planning and implementation of the SPF that targets minority populations, the minority reentry population, as well as other high risk groups residing in communities of color with high prevalence of SA and HIV/AIDS.

The grantees are expected to provide an effective prevention process, direction, and a common set of goals, expectations, and accountabilities to be adapted and integrated at the community level. While the grantees

have substantial flexibility in choosing their individual evidence-based programs, they are all required to base them on the five steps of the SPF to build service capacity specific to SA and HIV prevention services. Conducting this cross-site evaluation will assist SAMHSA/CSAP in promoting and disseminating optimally effective prevention programs.

Grantees must also conduct ongoing monitoring and evaluation of their projects to assess program effectiveness including Federal reporting of the Government Performance and Results Act (GPRA) Modernization Act of 2010, SAMHSA/CSAP National Outcome Measures (NOMs), and HIV Counseling and Testing. All of this information will be collected through self-report questionnaires administered to program

participants. All grantees will use two instruments, one for youth aged between 12 and 17 and one for adults aged 18 and older. The common design for participants in interventions lasting 30 days or longer includes assessments at baseline, program exit, and three to six months post-exit (follow-up). The common questionnaires will be administered to all 30-day intervention (program participants) youth and adults at baseline (first data collection point), program exit (second data collection point), and follow-up (third data collection point). For participants in interventions lasting between 2 and 29 days questionnaires will be administered at baseline and exit. For single session interventions an exit only questionnaire will be administered. See breakdown below:

Intervention duration	Length	Definition	Sections of survey to be administered
Single Session Intervention.	1 day or less	A direct service intervention that lasts one day or less. Participants may receive multiple services during the session, but do not continue in a CSAP HIV grant funded activity for more than one day.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Section One: Facts about You • 3 to 5 questions from Section Two: Attitudes & Knowledge.
Multiple Session Brief Intervention.	Less than 30 days	The participant should receive at least two HIV Grant funded sessions or service encounters. The period of time between the first session or encounter and the last session or encounter should be two to 29 days.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Section One: Facts about You • Section Two: Attitudes & Knowledge.
Multiple Session Long Intervention.	30 days or more ...	The participant should receive at least two HIV Grant funded sessions or service encounters. The period of time between the first session/encounter and the last session/encounter should be 30 days or more.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Section One: Facts about You • Section Two: Attitudes & Knowledge • Section Three: Behavior & Relationships.

The CSAP National Outcome Measures (NOMs) on the instruments have already been approved by OMB (OMB No. 0930-0230) will expire on 2/28/2013. These NOMs data are used to report on Government Performance and Results Act (GPRA) and findings across CSAP programs. For this program, these cross-site instruments are augmented with additional scales (currently approved under OMB No. 0930-0298 and expiring on 4/30/2012) to measure other important risk and protective factors uniquely associated with HIV/AIDS among minority populations and minority re-entry populations in communities of color. The youth (covering ages 12-17) questionnaire contains 128 questions, of which 28

relate to HIV/AIDS and the adult questionnaire contains 122 items, of which 47 relate to HIV/AIDS. Two new questions have been added to both the youth and adult questionnaires to address SAMHSA's need to collect information on binge drinking behavior, not covered under any prior OMB package. These questions are:

1. Females only: During the past 30 days, on how many days did you have 4 or more drinks on the same occasion?
2. Males only: During the past 30 days, on how many days did you have 5 or more drinks on the same occasion?

Procedures are employed to safeguard the privacy and confidentiality of participants. The cross-site evaluation results will have significant

implications for the substance abuse and HIV/AIDS prevention fields, the allocation of grant funds, and other evaluation activities conducted by multiple Federal, State, and local government agencies. They will be used to develop Federal policy in support of SAMHSA/CSAP program initiatives, inform the public of lessons learned and findings, improve existing programs, and promote replication and dissemination of effective prevention strategies.

Total Estimates of Annualized Hour Burden

The following table shows the estimated annualized burden for data collection.

TABLE 1a—ESTIMATES OF ANNUALIZED HOUR BURDEN BY INTERVENTION LENGTH

Intervention length	Number of respondents	Responses per respondent	Total responses	Hours per response	Total hour burden
30-Days or More Intervention:					
Base line	7,937	1	7,937	0.83	6,588
Exit	4,887	1	4,887	0.83	4,056
Follow-up	2,942	1	2,942	0.83	2442
Subtotal	7,937	15,766	13,086
2 to 29 Day Intervention:					

TABLE 1a—ESTIMATES OF ANNUALIZED HOUR BURDEN BY INTERVENTION LENGTH—Continued

Intervention length	Number of respondents	Responses per respondent	Total responses	Hours per response	Total hour burden
Base line	1,416	1	1,416	0.5	708
Exit	872	1	872	0.5	436
Subtotal	1,416	2,288	1,144
Single Day Intervention: Exit	2,458	1	2,458	0.25	614
Annualized Total	11,811	20,512	14,844

TABLE 1b—ESTIMATES OF ANNUALIZED HOUR BURDEN BY SURVEY TYPE

Questionnaire	Number of respondents	Total responses	Total hour burden
Annualized total adult	9,682	16,899	12,234
Annualized Total Youth	2,128	3,612	2,610
Annualized Total	11,811	20,512	14,844

Send comments to Summer King, SAMHSA Reports Clearance Officer, Room 8–1099, One Choke Cherry Road, Rockville, MD 20857 OR email a copy to summer.king@samhsa.hhs.gov. Written comments must be received before 60 days after the date of the publication in the **Federal Register**.

Summer King,
Statistician.

[FR Doc. 2012–11905 Filed 5–16–12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4162–20–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Fish and Wildlife Service

[FWS–R3–ES–2012–N111;
FXES1113030000F3–123–FF03E00000]

Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Permit Applications

AGENCY: Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of availability of permit applications; request for comments.

SUMMARY: We, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS), invite the public to comment on the following applications to conduct certain activities with endangered species. With some exceptions, the Endangered Species Act (Act) prohibits activities with endangered and threatened species unless a Federal permit allows such activity. The Act requires that we invite public comment before issuing these permits.

DATES: We must receive any written comments on or before June 18, 2012.

ADDRESSES: Send written comments by U.S. mail to the Regional Director, Attn: Lisa Mandell, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Ecological Services, 5600 American Blvd. West, Suite 990, Bloomington, MN 55437–1458; or by electronic mail to permitsR3ES@fws.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Lisa Mandell, (612) 713–5343.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

We invite public comment on the following permit applications for certain activities with endangered species authorized by section 10(a)(1)(A) of the Act (16 U.S.C. 1531 *et seq.*) and our regulations governing the taking of endangered species in the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) at 50 CFR 17. Submit your written data, comments, or request for a copy of the complete application to the address shown in **ADDRESSES**.

Permit Applications

Permit Application Number: TE73584A.

Applicant: Illinois Natural History Survey, Champaign, IL.

The applicant requests a permit to take (capture and release; capture and relocate) the following endangered mussel species: clubshell (*Pleurobema clava*), northern riffleshell (*Epioblasma rangiana*), orangefoot pimpleback (*Plethobasus cooperianus*), pink mucket (*Lampsilis abrupta*), sheepnose (*Plethobasus cyphus*), spectaclecase (*Cumberlandia monodonta*), winged mapleleaf (*Quadrula fragosa*), fanshell (*Cyprogenia stegaria*), snuffbox (*Epioblasma triquetra*), Higgins' eye pearl mussel (*Lampsilis higginsii*), ring

pink (*Obovaria retusa*), fat pocketbook (*Potamilus capax*), and rayed bean (*Villosa fabalis*). Proposed activities would occur throughout the State of Illinois, including presence/absence surveys and mussel relocation to enhance recovery of the species.

Proposed activities are for the purpose of recovery of the species in the wild.

Permit Application Number: TE73587A.

Applicant: Missouri Department of Conservation, Jefferson City, MO.

The applicant requests a permit to take the Ozark hellbender (*Cryptobranchus alleganiensis bishopi*) in Missouri for the propagation, augmentation, and reintroduction of the species. Proposed activities are for the survival and recovery of the species in the wild.

Permit Application Number: TE73128A.

Applicant: Malacological Consultants, LaCrosse, WI.

The applicant requests a permit to take (capture and release; capture and relocate) Higgins' eye pearl mussel, fat pocketbook, winged mapleleaf, sheepnose, spectaclecase, scaleshell (*Leptodea leptodon*), and snuffbox mussels within the Upper Mississippi and Iowa Rivers, States of Minnesota, Wisconsin, Iowa, and Illinois. Proposed activities are for the enhancement of survival and recovery of the species in the wild.

Permit Application Number: TE73598A.

Applicant: Fowler Ridge Wind Farm, LLC, Houston, TX.

The applicant requests a permit to take (study, salvage, and monitor) the Indiana bat (*Myotis sodalis*) at the